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We consider the nonlinear von Kármán equations with memory term. We show the exponential decay result of solutions. Our result is established without imposing the usual relation between $g$ and its derivative. This result improves on earlier ones concerning the exponential decay.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the exponential decay rate of solutions for the nonlinear von Kármán equations with memory term:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} u^{\prime \prime}-h \Delta u^{\prime \prime}+\Delta^{2} u-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta^{2} u(s) d s=[u, v] \\
\operatorname{in} \Omega \times(0, \infty)  \tag{1}\\
\Delta^{2} v=-[u, u], \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
u(x, y, 0)=u_{0}(x, y), \quad u^{\prime}(x, y, 0)=u_{1}(x, y), \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
v=\frac{\partial v}{\partial v}=0, \quad \text { on } \Gamma \times(0, \infty), \\
u=\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}=0, \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{0} \times(0, \infty), \\
\mathscr{B}_{1} u-\mathscr{B}_{1}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) u(s) d s\right\}=0, \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, \infty), \\
\mathscr{B}_{2} u-h \frac{\partial u^{\prime \prime}}{\partial v}-\mathscr{B}_{2}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) u(s) d s\right\}=0 \\
\text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, \infty), \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is an open bounded set of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, with a sufficiently smooth boundary $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0} \cup \Gamma_{1}$. Here, $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ are closed and disjoint. The constants $h, \rho>0$. Let us denote by $\nu=$ ( $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}$ ) the external unit normal to $\Gamma$ and by $\eta=\left(-v_{2}, \nu_{1}\right)$ the corresponding unit tangent vector. The von Kármán bracket is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[u, v]=u_{x x} v_{y y}+u_{y y} v_{x x}-2 u_{x y} v_{x y} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we are denoting by $\mathscr{B}_{1}, \mathscr{B}_{2}$ the following differential operators:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{B}_{1} u=\Delta u+(1-\mu) B_{1} u \\
& \mathscr{B}_{2} u=\frac{\partial \Delta u}{\partial v}+(1-\mu) B_{2} u \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{1} u=2 v_{1} v_{2} u_{x y}-v_{1}^{2} u_{y y}-v_{2}^{2} u_{x x} \\
B_{2} u=\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\left[\left(v_{1}^{2}-v_{2}^{2}\right) u_{x y}+v_{1} v_{2}\left(u_{y y}-u_{x x}\right)\right] \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the constant $\mu(0<\mu<1 / 2)$ represents Poisson's ratio.
This system describes the transversal displacement $u(x, y, t)$ and the Airy stress function $v(x, y, t)$ of a vibrating plate. The dissipation in (1) is due to the term $-g * \Delta^{2} u$, where $g$ is positive real function and the convolution product $*$ is given by $(g * u)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) u(s) d s$. A material whose
contained term is $-g * \Delta^{2} u$ is called viscoelastic and is said to be "endowed with long-range memory" since the stress at any instant depends on the complete history of strain that the material has undergone.

Problems related to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u-\Delta u^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

are interesting not only from the point of view of PDE general theory, but also due to its applications in Mechanics. For instance, when the material density, $f\left(u^{\prime}\right)$, is equal to 1 , (8) describes the extensional vibrations of thin rods; see Love [1] for the physical details. When the material density $f\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ is not constant, we are dealing with a thin rod which possesses a rigid surface and whose interior is somehow permissive to slight deformations such that the material density varies according to the velocity.

On the other hand, the problem of stability of the solutions to the following wave equation with memory was studied by many authors [2-6]:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u-\Delta u^{\prime \prime}+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta u(s) d s+F\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
x \in \Omega, \quad t>0 \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

Cavalcanti et al. [2] showed an exponential and polynomial decay for the viscoelastic wave equation (9) with $F\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)=$ $-\gamma \Delta u^{\prime}$ under the usual conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
-c_{1} g(t) \leq g^{\prime}(t) \leq-c_{2} g(t), \quad 0 \leq g^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq c_{3} g(t) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c_{i}, i=1,2,3$. Han and Wang [3] proved the uniform decay for the nonlinear viscoelastic equation under condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(t) \leq-c g(t) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$. Park and Kang [7] studied the uniform decay for a nonlinear viscoelastic problem with damping. They obtained the exponential decay estimate under condition (11). Later, this assumption was relaxed by several authors. Messaoudi and Tatar [6] investigated exponential and polynomial decay for a quasilinear viscoelastic equation under condition on $g$ such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(t) \leq-\xi g^{p}(t), \quad \text { for } 1 \leq p<\frac{3}{2}, t \geq 0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi>0$, by choosing a suitable perturbed energy. Liu [5] showed exponential and polynomial decay for the system of two coupled quasilinear viscoelastic equation, under condition (12). Messaoudi and Tatar [8] proved the exponential decay rate for a quasilinear viscoelastic equation under the conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
g^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 \\
\int_{0}^{\infty} g(t) e^{\alpha t} d t<+\infty \quad \text { for some large } \alpha>0 \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

They improved some earlier results concerning the exponential decay. Han and Wang [4] studied the general decay
rate for the nonlinear viscoelastic equations under the more general conditions on $g$ such as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
g^{\prime}(t) \leq-\xi(t) g(t), \quad \frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}(t)\right|}{|\xi(t)|} \leq k  \tag{14}\\
\xi(t)>0, \quad \xi^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, \quad \forall t>0
\end{array}
$$

When $\rho=0$, the problem of stability of the solutions to the viscoelastic system with memory has been studied by many authors. In $[9,10]$, the authors proved exponential and polynomial decay for the viscoelastic wave equation under conditions (10). Berrimi and Messaoudi [11] studied exponential and polynomial decay rates under condition (12). Messaoudi [12] investigated the general decay rate for the viscoelastic equations under general conditions (14). Guesmia and Messaoudi [13] obtained general stability for the Timoshenko system under weaker condition on $g$ such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(t) \leq-\xi(t) g(t) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ is a nonincreasing and positive function. As for problem of stability of the solutions to a viscoelastic system under condition (15), we also refer the reader to [14-16] and references therein. These general decay estimates extended and improved on some earlier results-exponential or polynomial decay rates.

The problem of stability of the solutions to a von Kármán system with dissipative effects has been studied by several authors. For example, in $[17,18]$ the authors studied the von Kármán equation in the presence of thermal effects. In [1923] the authors considered the von Kármán system with frictional dissipations effective in the boundary. It is shown in these works that these dissipations produce uniform rate of decay of the solution when $t$ goes to infinity. Rivera and Menzala [24] and Rivera et al. [25] studied the stability of the solutions to a von Kármán system for viscoelastic plates with memory and boundary memory conditions. They proved that the energy decays uniformly exponentially or algebraically with the same rate of decay as the relaxation function. Later, Santos and Soufyane [26] generalized the decay result of [24]. Raposo and Santos [27] considered the general decay of the solutions to a von Kármán plate model (1)-(4) for $\rho=0$. They showed that the energy decays with a similar rate of decay of the relaxation function, which is not necessarily decaying in a polynomial or exponential fashion. Kang [28] investigated the general decay of the solution to a von Kármán system with memory and boundary damping. Recently, Kang [29] proved that solutions for a von Kármán plate with memory decay exponentially to zero as time goes to infinity in case $g^{\prime}(t)+\gamma g(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ provided that $\left[g^{\prime}(t)+\gamma g(t)\right] e^{\alpha t} \in$ $L^{1}(0, \infty)$ for some $\alpha>0$.

In this paper, we establish an exponential decay of the solutions to the nonlinear von Kármán plate model (1)-(4) without assumption (15), which is the usual relation between $g$ and its derivative. Instead of (15), we require the function $e^{\alpha t} g(t)$ to have sufficiently small $L^{1}$-norms on $(0, \infty)$ for some $\alpha>0$. This result improves on earlier ones concerning the exponential decay of the solutions to the von Kármán equations.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we give some notations and introduce the relative results of Airy stress function and von Kármán bracket. In Section 3, we prove that the energy decreases exponentially. The construction of the Lyapunov function is inspired in multiplier techniques that was used in [8].

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some material needed in the proof of our result and state the main result. Throughout this paper we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} u(x, y) v(x, y) d \Omega \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define

$$
\begin{gather*}
V=\left\{v \in H^{1}(\Omega) \mid v=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{0}\right\}, \\
U=\left\{u \in H^{2}(\Omega) \left\lvert\, u=\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}=0\right. \text { on } \Gamma_{0}\right\} . \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

For a Banach space $X,\|\cdot\|_{X}$ denotes the norm of $X$. For simplicity, we denote $\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$ by $\|\cdot\|$. We define for all $1 \leq$ $p<\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{p}^{p}=\int_{\Omega}|u(x, y)|^{p} d \Omega \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple calculation, based on the integration by parts formula, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta^{2} u, v\right)=a(u, v)+\left(\mathscr{B}_{2} u, v\right)_{\Gamma}-\left(\mathscr{B}_{1} u, \frac{\partial v}{\partial v}\right)_{\Gamma} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the bilinear symmetric form $a(u, v)$ is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
a(u, v)=\int_{\Omega}\left\{u_{x x} v_{x x}+u_{y y} v_{y y}+\mu\left(u_{x x} v_{y y}+u_{y y} v_{x x}\right)\right.  \tag{20}\\
\left.+2(1-\mu) u_{x y} v_{x y}\right\} d \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where $d \Omega=d x d y$. Since $\Gamma_{0} \neq \emptyset$, we know that $\sqrt{a(u, u)}$ is equivalent to the $H^{2}(\Omega)$ norm; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}\|u\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq a(u, u) \leq c_{1}\|u\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}$ and $c_{1}$ are generic positive constants. This and Sobolev embedding theorem imply that for some positive constants $C_{p}$ and $C_{s}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{2} \leq C_{p} a(u, u), \quad\|\nabla u\|^{2} \leq C_{s} a(u, u), \quad \forall u \in U \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We establish the following hypotheses on the relaxation function $g$ (see [8]). The relaxation function $g: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$ is nonincreasing $C^{1}$ function satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
g(0)>0, \quad l:=\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s<1,  \tag{23}\\
g^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\alpha t} g(t) d t<+\infty, \quad \text { for some } \alpha>0 \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

To simplify calculation in our analysis, we introduce the following notation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
g \square u:=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)\|u(\cdot, t)-u(\cdot, s)\|^{2} d s, \\
g \square \partial^{2} u:=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) a(u(\cdot, t)-u(\cdot, s), u(\cdot, t)-u(\cdot, s)) d s . \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

From the symmetry of $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, we have that, for any $v \in$ $C^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
a\left(g * v, v^{\prime}\right)= & -\frac{1}{2} g(t) a(v, v)+\frac{1}{2} g^{\prime} \square \partial^{2} v-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \\
& \times\left\{g \square \partial^{2} v-\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right) a(v, v)\right\} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we introduce the relative results of the Airy stress function and von Kármán bracket [ $\cdot, \cdot]$.

Lemma 1 (see [30]). Let $u, w$ be functions in $H^{2}(\Omega)$ and $v$ in $H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega$ is an open bounded and connected set of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with regular boundary. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} w[v, u] d \Omega=\int_{\Omega} v[w, u] d \Omega \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2 (see [20, 31]). If $u, v \in H^{2}(\Omega)$, then $[u, v] \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|[u, v]\| \leq c\|u\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{W^{2, \infty}(\Omega)} \\
\|v\|_{W^{2, \infty}(\Omega)} \leq c\|u\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

The energy of problem (1)-(4) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
E(t)= & \frac{1}{\rho+2}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\frac{1}{2} a(u, u)  \tag{29}\\
& +\frac{h}{2}\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\|\Delta v(t)\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The existence of solutions can be proved by the FaedoGalerkin method; see [2, 9].

Theorem 3. Assume that the kernel $g$ is a positive continuous function satisfying (23). Let $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in H^{4}(\Omega) \times H^{2}(\Omega)$. Then, the system (1)-(4) has a unique weak solution $u$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; U \cap H^{4}(\Omega)\right) \\
u^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{30}\\
u^{\prime \prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

## 3. Exponential Decay of the Energy

In this section we will prove the exponential decay rates. To demonstrate the stability of the system (1)-(4), the lemmas below are essential. The following result shows the dissipative
property of the system (1)-(4). Multiplying (1) by $u^{\prime}(t)$, we get the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(t)=a\left(g * u, u^{\prime}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the modified energy by

$$
\begin{align*}
F(t)= & \frac{1}{\rho+2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\frac{h}{2}\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right) a(u, u) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} g \square \partial^{2} u+\frac{1}{4}\|\Delta v\|^{2}, \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

and applying (26) to (31), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{1}{2} g(t) a(u, u)+\frac{1}{2} g^{\prime} \square \partial^{2} u . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $F(t)$ is nonincreasing, and one easily sees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq \frac{1}{1-l} F(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, it is enough to obtain the desired decay for the modified energy $F(t)$, which will be done below. The key point for showing our desired result is finding a Lyapunov functional $L$ which is equivalent to $F(t)$. First, we introduce three functionals and establish several lemmas. So, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} G(\alpha ; t-s) a(u(s), u(s)) d s \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
G(\alpha ; t)=e^{-\alpha t} \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{\alpha s} g(s) d s, \\
\Phi_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{\rho+1} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} u^{\prime} u d \Omega+h \int_{\Omega} \nabla u^{\prime} \nabla u d \Omega, \\
\Phi_{3}(t)=-\frac{1}{\rho+1} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} u^{\prime} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(u(t)-u(s)) d s d \Omega \\
-h \int_{\Omega} \nabla u^{\prime} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s)) d s d \Omega . \tag{36}
\end{gather*}
$$

We define the modified energy by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(t)=N F(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{i} \Phi_{i}(t), \quad t \geq 0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constants $\gamma_{i}$ is to be specified later.
Lemma 4. Assume that $g$ satisfies (23) and (24). For $N>0$ large enough, there exist $\alpha_{1}>0$ and $\alpha_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} F(t) \leq L(t) \leq \alpha_{2}\left(F(t)+\Phi_{1}(t)\right), \quad \forall t \geq 0 . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From Young inequality, we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\Phi_{2}(t)\right| \leq & \frac{1}{\rho+2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\frac{1}{(\rho+1)(\rho+2)}\|u\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}  \tag{39}\\
& +\frac{h}{2}\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{h}{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Considering the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\rho+2}(\Omega)$ and taking (22) into account, it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\Phi_{2}(t)\right| \leq & \frac{1}{\rho+2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\frac{C^{\rho+2}}{(\rho+1)(\rho+2)}\|\nabla u\|^{\rho+2} \\
& +\frac{h}{2}\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{h}{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{\rho+2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}  \tag{40}\\
& +\left(\frac{C^{\rho+2} C_{s}}{(\rho+1)(\rho+2)}\left(2 C_{s} E(0)\right)^{\rho / 2}+\frac{h C_{s}}{2}\right) \\
& \times a(u, u)+\frac{h}{2}\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ comes from the inequality $\|u\|_{\rho+2} \leq C\|\nabla u\|$ for all $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, by Young inequality, Hölder inequality and (22) can be estimated as

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\Phi_{3}(t)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{h}{2}\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{h}{2} \\
& \times \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s)| d s\right)^{2} d \Omega \\
&+\frac{1}{\rho+2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\frac{1}{(\rho+1)(\rho+2)} \\
& \times \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|u(t)-u(s)| d s\right)^{\rho+2} d \Omega \\
& \leq \frac{h}{2}\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{h l C_{s}}{2} g \square \partial^{2} u+\frac{1}{\rho+2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2} \\
&+\frac{1}{(\rho+1)(\rho+2)}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)^{\rho+1} \\
& \times \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|u(t)-u(s)|^{\rho+2} d s d \Omega \\
& \leq \frac{h}{2}\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{\rho+2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2} \\
&+\left(\frac{h l C_{s}}{2}+\frac{l^{\rho+1} C^{\rho+2} C_{s}}{(\rho+1)(\rho+2)}\left(2 C_{s} E(0)\right)^{\rho / 2}\right) g \square \partial^{2} u . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (40) and (41) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid L(t) & -N F(t)-\gamma_{1} \Phi_{1}(t) \mid \\
\leq & \frac{1}{\rho+2}\left(\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}\right)\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+\frac{h}{2}\left(\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}\right)\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{C^{\rho+2} C_{s}}{(\rho+1)(\rho+2)}\left(2 C_{s} E(0)\right)^{\rho / 2}+\frac{h C_{s}}{2}\right) \gamma_{2} a(u, u) \\
& +\left(\frac{h l C_{s}}{2}+\frac{l^{\rho+1} C^{\rho+2} C_{s}}{(\rho+1)(\rho+2)}\left(2 C_{s} E(0)\right)^{\rho / 2}\right) \\
\quad \times & \gamma_{3} g \square \partial^{2} u \leq c_{0} F(t), \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{0}$ is a positive constant depending on $\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, h$, $\rho, l, C$, and $C_{s}$. Choosing $N>0$ large, we complete the proof of Lemma 4.

Lemma 5. For each $t_{0}>0$ and sufficiently large $N>0$, there exists positive constant $c_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\prime}(t) \leq-\mathcal{c}_{2}\left(F(t)+\Phi_{1}(t)\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By differentiating $\Phi_{1}(t)$ and using Young inequality, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{1}^{\prime}(t)= & \bar{g}_{\alpha} a(u, u)-\alpha \Phi_{1}(t) \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) a(u(s), u(s)) d s, \\
= & \bar{g}_{\alpha} a(u, u)-\alpha \Phi_{1}(t)-g \square \partial^{2} u \\
& +\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right) a(u, u) \\
& -2 \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) a(u(t), u(s)) d s \\
\leq & -\alpha \Phi_{1}(t)-g \square \partial^{2} u \\
& +\left(\bar{g}_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{4 \delta}-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right) a(u, u)+\delta \lg \square \partial^{2} u \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{g}_{\alpha}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\alpha s}|g(s)| d s, \alpha>0$, and $\delta>0$. Using (1)-(4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{2}^{\prime}(t)= & -a(u, u)+a(g * u, u)+([u, v], u) \\
& +\frac{1}{\rho+1}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+h\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

We use the following inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
a(g * u, u)= & \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) a(u(s)-u(t), u(t)) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s a(u, u)  \tag{46}\\
\leq & \left(\eta+\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right) a(u, u)+\frac{l}{4 \eta} g \square \partial^{2} u ;
\end{align*}
$$

then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{2}^{\prime}(t) \leq & \frac{1}{\rho+1}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}+h\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\left(1-\eta-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right) a(u, u)-\|\Delta v\|^{2}+\frac{l}{4 \eta} g \square \partial^{2} u \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\eta>0$. Similarly we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{3}^{\prime}(t)= & \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) a(u(t)-u(s), u(t)) d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(u(t)-u(s),[u, v]) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) a(u(t)-u(s), \\
& \left.\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) u(\tau) d \tau\right) d s \\
& -h \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)\left(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s), \nabla u^{\prime}(t)\right) d s \\
& -h \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{\rho+1} \\
& \times \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)\left(u(t)-u(s),\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} u^{\prime}\right) d s \\
& -\frac{1}{\rho+1} \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2} \\
& =\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right) \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \\
& \times a(u(t)-u(s), u(t)) d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(u(t)-u(s),[u, v]) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \\
& \times a(u(t)-u(s), \\
& \left.\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d s \\
& -h \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)\left(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s), \nabla u^{\prime}(t)\right) d s \\
& -h \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{\rho+1} \\
& \times \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)\left(u(t)-u(s),\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} u^{\prime}\right) d s \\
& -\frac{1}{\rho+1} \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2} \\
& :=I_{1}+I_{2}+\cdots+I_{5}-h \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{\rho+1} \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2} . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we estimate the terms in the right hand side of (48). The Young and Hölder inequalities and (22) give that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{1}+I_{3}\right| \leq & \left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\left(\eta a(u, u)+\frac{l}{4 \eta} g \square \partial^{2} u\right) \\
& +\lg \square \partial^{2} u, \\
\left|I_{4}\right| \leq & h \eta\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{h}{4 \eta} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s)| d s\right)^{2} d \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\leq & h \eta\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2}-\frac{g(0) C_{s} h}{4 \eta} g^{\prime} \square \partial^{2} u, \\
\left|I_{5}\right| \leq & \frac{\eta}{\rho+1}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2(\rho+1)}^{2(\rho+1)}+\frac{1}{4 \eta(\rho+1)} \\
& \times \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)|u(t)-u(s)| d s\right)^{2} d \Omega \\
\leq & \frac{\eta C^{2(\rho+1)}}{\rho+1}\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2(\rho+1)}-\frac{g(0)}{4 \eta(\rho+1)} \\
& \times \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)|u(t)-u(s)|^{2} d s d \Omega \\
\leq & \frac{a_{0} \eta}{\rho+1}\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2}-\frac{g(0) C_{p}}{4 \eta(\rho+1)} g^{\prime} \square \partial^{2} u, \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{0}=C^{2(\rho+1)}\left(2 h^{-1} E(0)\right)^{\rho}>0$. From Lemmas 1 and 2 and (22), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|I_{2}\right| & =\left|\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(u(t)-u(s)) d s,[u, v]\right)\right| \\
& \leq \eta\|[u, v]\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \eta}\left\|\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(u(t)-u(s)) d s\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \eta\left(c\|u\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{W^{2, \infty}(\Omega)}\right)^{2}+\frac{l C_{p}}{4 \eta} g \square \partial^{2} u \\
& \leq \eta C_{0} a(u, u)+\frac{l C_{p}}{4 \eta} g \square \partial^{2} u . \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

Summarizing these estimates with (48), we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{3}^{\prime}(t) \leq & \left(h \eta+\frac{a_{0} \eta}{\rho+1}-h \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(l+\frac{l}{4 \eta}+\frac{l C_{p}}{4 \eta}\right) g \square \partial^{2} u \\
& +\eta\left(1+C_{0}\right) a(u, u)-\frac{g(0)}{4 \eta}\left(C_{s} h+\frac{C_{p}}{\rho+1}\right) g^{\prime} \square \partial^{2} u \\
& -\frac{1}{\rho+1} \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2} . \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $g$ is continuous and positive, for any $t \geq t_{0}>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s \geq \int_{0}^{t_{0}} g(s) d s:=g_{0}>0 \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, making use of (52) and combining (33), (37), (44), (47), and (51), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\left(\left(h g_{0}-h \eta-\frac{\eta a_{0}}{\rho+1}\right) \gamma_{3}-h \gamma_{2}\right)\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{N}{2}-\frac{g(0)}{4 \eta}\left(C_{s} h+\frac{C_{p}}{\rho+1}\right) \gamma_{3}\right) g^{\prime} \square \partial^{2} u
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left[\frac{N}{2} g(t)+\left(1-\eta-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right) \gamma_{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\bar{g}_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{4 \delta}-g_{0}\right) \gamma_{1}-\eta\left(1+C_{0}\right) \gamma_{3}\right] a(u, u) \\
& -\alpha \gamma_{1} \Phi_{1}(t)-\gamma_{2}\|\Delta v\|^{2} \\
& -\left[(1-\delta l) \gamma_{1}-\frac{l \gamma_{2}}{4 \eta}-\left(l+\frac{l}{4 \eta}+\frac{l C_{p}}{4 \eta}\right) \gamma_{3}\right] g \square \partial^{2} u \\
& -\frac{1}{\rho+1}\left(g_{0} \gamma_{3}-\gamma_{2}\right)\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2}, \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} . \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

We first take $\gamma_{2}>0$ and $\delta>0$ so small that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0} \gamma_{3}-\gamma_{2}>0, \quad 1-\delta l>0 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. And then, we choose $\eta>0$ and $\gamma_{3}>0$ so small that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(g_{0}-\eta-\frac{\eta a_{0}}{(\rho+1) h}\right) \gamma_{3}-\gamma_{2}>0  \tag{55}\\
1-\eta-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s>0
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively. We then pick $\gamma_{1}$ large enough so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\delta l) \gamma_{1}-\frac{l \gamma_{2}}{4 \eta}-\left(l+\frac{l}{4 \eta}+\frac{l C_{p}}{4 \eta}\right) \gamma_{3}>0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, taking $N>0$ large enough and by (53), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\prime}(t) \leq-c_{2}\left(F(t)+\Phi_{1}(t)\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c_{2}>0$.
Our main result reads as follows.
Theorem 6. Suppose that $g$ satisfies (23) and (24). Then, for each $t_{0}>0$, there exist two positive constants $C_{1}$ and $\beta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq C_{1} e^{-\beta t}, \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (38) and (43), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\prime}(t) \leq-\frac{c_{2}}{\alpha_{2}} L(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating this over $\left(t_{0}, t\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(t) \leq L\left(t_{0}\right) e^{-\beta\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\beta=c_{2} / \alpha_{2}$. Consequently, (34), (38), and (60) yield the result in Theorem 6.

## Acknowledgment

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2012R1A1A3011630).

## References

[1] A. E. H. Love, A Treatise on the Mathematical Theory of Elasticity, Dover, New York, NY, USA, 1944.
[2] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. D. Cavalcanti, and J. Ferreira, "Existence and uniform decay for a non-linear viscoelastic equation with strong damping," Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, vol. 24, no. 14, pp. 1043-1053, 2001.
[3] X. Han and M. Wang, "Global existence and uniform decay for a nonlinear viscoelastic equation with damping," Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 70, no. 9, pp. 3090-3098, 2009.
[4] X. Han and M. Wang, "General decay of energy for a viscoelastic equation with nonlinear damping," Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 346-358, 2009.
[5] W. Liu, "Uniform decay of solutions for a quasilinear system of viscoelastic equations," Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 71, no. 5-6, pp. 2257-2267, 2009.
[6] S. A. Messaoudi and N. E. Tatar, "Exponential and polynomial decay for a quasilinear viscoelastic equation," Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 785-793, 2008.
[7] J. Y. Park and J. R. Kang, "Global existence and uniform decay for a nonlinear viscoelastic equation with damping," Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, vol. 110, no. 3, pp. 1393-1406, 2010.
[8] S. A. Messaoudi and N. E. Tatar, "Exponential decay for a quasilinear viscoelastic equation," Mathematische Nachrichten, vol. 282, no. 10, pp. 1443-1450, 2009.
[9] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. D. Cavalcanti, J. S. P. Filho, and J. A. Soriano, "Existence and uniform decay rates for viscoelastic problems with nonlinear boundary damping," Differential Integral Equations, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 85-116, 2001.
[10] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. D. Cavalcanti, and J. A. Soriano, "Exponential decay for the solution of semilinear viscoelastic wave equations with localized damping," Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, vol. 44, pp. 1-14, 2002.
[11] S. Berrimi and S. A. Messaoudi, "Existence and decay of solutions of a viscoelastic equation with a nonlinear source," Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 64, no. 10, pp. 2314-2331, 2006.
[12] S. A. Messaoudi, "General decay of solutions of a viscoelastic equation," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 341, no. 2, pp. 1457-1467, 2008.
[13] A. Guesmia and S. A. Messaoudi, "General energy decay estimates of Timoshenko systems with frictional versus viscoelastic damping," Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, vol. 32, no. 16, pp. 2102-2122, 2009.
[14] S. A. Messaoudi, "General decay of the solution energy in a viscoelastic equation with a nonlinear source," Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 69, no. 8, pp. 2589-2598, 2008.
[15] S. A. Messaoudi and M. I. Mustafa, "On convexity for energy decay rates of a viscoelastic equation with boundary feedback," Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 72, no. 9-10, pp. 3602-3611, 2010.
[16] J. Y. Park and S. H. Park, "Decay rate estimates for wave equations of memory type with acoustic boundary conditions," Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 74, no. 3, pp. 993-998, 2011.
[17] E. Bisognin, V. Bisognin, G. P. Perla Menzala, and E. Zuazua, "On exponential stability for von Kármán equations in the presence of thermal effects," Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 393-416, 1998.
[18] I. Chueshov and I. Lasiecka, "Long time dynamics of von Karman evolutions with thermal effects," Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matemática, vol. 25, no. 1-2, pp. 37-54, 2007.
[19] M. E. Bradley and I. Lasiecka, "Global decay rates for the solutions to a von Kármán plate without geometric conditions," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 181, no. 1, pp. 254-276, 1994.
[20] A. Favini, M. A. Horn, I. Lasiecka, and D. Tataru, "Global existence, uniqueness and regularity of solutions to a von Kármán system with nonlinear boundary dissipation," Differential and Integral Equations, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 267-294, 1996.
[21] M. A. Horn and I. Lasiecka, "Uniform decay of weak solutions to a von Kármán plate with nonlinear boundary dissipation," Differential and Integral Equations, vol. 7, no. 3-4, pp. 885-908, 1994.
[22] M. A. Horn and I. Lasiecka, "Global stabilization of a dynamic von Kármán plate with nonlinear boundary feedback," Applied Mathematics and Optimization, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 57-84, 1995.
[23] J. Puel and M. Tucsnak, "Boundary stabilization for the von Kármán equations," SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, vol. 33, pp. 255-273, 1996.
[24] J. E. M. Rivera and G. P. Menzala, "Decay rates of solutions to a von Kármán system for viscoelastic plates with memory," Quarterly of Applied Mathematics, vol. 82, no. 1, pp. 181-200, 1999.
[25] J. E. M. Rivera, H. P. Oquendo, and M. L. Santos, "Asymptotic behavior to a von Kármán plate with boundary memory conditions," Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 62, no. 7, pp. 1183-1205, 2005.
[26] M. L. Santos and A. Soufyane, "General decay to a von Kármán plate system with memory boundary conditions," Differential and Integral Equations, vol. 24, no. 1-2, pp. 69-81, 2011.
[27] C. A. Raposo and M. L. Santos, "General decay to a von Kármán system with memory," Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 74, no. 3, pp. 937945, 2011.
[28] J. R. Kang, "Energy decay rates for von Kármán system with memory and boundary feedback," Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 218, no. 18, pp. 9085-9094, 2012.
[29] J. R. Kang, "Exponential decay for a von Kármán equations with memory," Journal of Mathematical Physics, vol. 54, no. 3, Article ID 033501, 2013.
[30] J. E. Lagnese, Boundary Stabilization of Thin Plates, vol. 10 of Studies in Applied and Numerical Mathematics, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1989.
[31] I. Chueshov and I. Lasiecka, "Global attractors for von Karman evolutions with a nonlinear boundary dissipation," Journal of Differential Equations, vol. 198, no. 1, pp. 196-231, 2004.

