

Research Article

New Classes of Spatial Central Configurations for $N + N + 2$ -Body Problem

Liu Xuefei, Zhang Chuntao, Luo Jianmei, and Zhang Gan

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing Three Gorges University,
Chongqing 404100, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Liu Xuefei, liu_xf666@163.com

Received 9 December 2011; Accepted 16 February 2012

Academic Editor: Wing-Sum Cheung

Copyright © 2012 Liu Xuefei et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Under arbitrary masses, in this paper, we discuss the existence of new families of spatial central configurations for the $N + N + 2$ -body problem, $N \geq 2$. We study some necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for a families of spatial double pyramidal central configurations (d.p.c.c.), where $2N$ bodies are at the vertices of a nested regular N -gons $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, and the other two bodies are symmetrically located on the straight line that is perpendicular to the plane that contains $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ and passes through the geometric center of $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$. We prove that if the bodies are in a d.p.c.c., then the masses on each N -gon are equal, and the other two are also equal. And also we prove the existence and uniqueness of the central configurations for any given ratios of masses.

1. Main Results

The Newtonian n -body problem (see [1–7]) concerns the motion of n point particles with masses $m_j \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and positions $\bar{q}_j \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$). The motion is governed by Newton's law:

$$m_j \ddot{\bar{q}}_j = \frac{\partial U(\bar{q})}{\partial \bar{q}_j}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\bar{q} = (\bar{q}_1, \dots, \bar{q}_n)$ and $U(\bar{q})$ is the Newtonian potential:

$$U(\bar{q}) = \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} \frac{m_k m_j}{|\bar{q}_k - \bar{q}_j|}. \quad (1.2)$$

Consider the space

$$X = \left\{ \bar{q} = (\bar{q}_1, \dots, \bar{q}_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{3n} : \sum_{k=1}^n m_k \bar{q}_k = 0 \right\}, \quad (1.3)$$

that is, suppose that the center of mass is fixed at the origin of the space. Because the potential is singular when two particles have the same position, it is natural to assume that the configuration avoids the set $\Delta = \{\bar{q} : \bar{q}_k = \bar{q}_j \text{ for some } k \neq j\}$. The set $X \setminus \Delta$ is called the configuration space.

Definition 1.1 (see [4]). A configuration $\bar{q} = (\bar{q}_1, \dots, \bar{q}_n) \in X \setminus \Delta$ is called a central configuration (c.c.) if there exists a constant λ such that $\ddot{\bar{q}}_k = -\lambda \bar{q}_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, or

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^n \frac{m_j m_k}{|\bar{q}_j - \bar{q}_k|^3} (\bar{q}_j - \bar{q}_k) = -\lambda m_k \bar{q}_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad (1.4)$$

and the value of the constant λ in (1.4) is uniquely determined by

$$\lambda = \frac{U}{I}, \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$I = \sum_{k=1}^n m_k |\bar{q}_k|^2. \quad (1.6)$$

For any coordinate system, we have that, if the center of masses m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n with position vectors r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n is not at the origin, central configuration equations (1.4) are equivalent to the following:

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^n \frac{m_j m_k}{|r_j - r_k|^3} (r_j - r_k) = -\lambda m_k (r_k - r_0), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad (1.7)$$

where $r_0 = \sum_{k=1}^n m_k r_k / \sum_{k=1}^n m_k$ is the center of masses m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n .

The knowledge of central configurations allows us to compute homographic solutions (see [8]); there is a relation between central configurations and the bifurcations of the hypersurfaces of constant energy and angular momentum (see [9]); if the N bodies are moving towards a simultaneous collision, then the bodies tend to a central configuration (see [10]). See also [11, 12].

Some examples of spatial central configurations are a regular tetrahedron with arbitrary positive masses at the vertices [13] and a regular octahedron with six equal masses at the vertices [12]. Double nested spatial central configurations for $2N$ bodies were studied for two nested regular polyhedra in [14]. More recently, the same authors studied central configurations of three regular polyhedra for the spatial $3N$ -body problem in [15]. See also [16], where nested regular tetrahedrons are studied.

Recently, Hampton and Santoprete [17] provided new examples of stacked spatial central configurations—central configurations for the N -body problem where a proper subset of the N bodies are already on a central configuration—for the 7-body problem where the bodies are arranged as concentric three- and two-dimensional simplex. New classes of stacked spatial central configurations for the 6-body problem which have four bodies at the vertices of a regular tetrahedron and the other two bodies on a straight line connecting one vertex of the tetrahedron with the center of the opposite face were studied in [18].

In this paper, we study new classes of spatial double pyramidal central configurations (d.p.c.c) for the $N + N + 2$ -body that satisfy the following.

- (1) The position vectors r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N of masses m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N are at the vertices of a regular N -gon Γ_1 , whose sides have length l_1 . The position vectors $r_{N+1}, r_{N+2}, \dots, r_{2N}$ of masses $m_{N+1}, m_{N+2}, \dots, m_{2N}$ are at the vertices of another regular N -gon Γ_2 , whose sides have length l_2 . Two N -gons have a same geometric center and form a affine nested N -gons (base plane Π).
- (2) Let L be the straight line perpendicular to the base plane Π that contains Γ_1 and Γ_2 and passes through the geometric center of $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$. The position vectors r_{2N+1} and r_{2N+2} of masses m_{2N+1} and m_{2N+2} are on L and on opposite sides with respect to the plane Π .

The central configurations studied in this paper are in some measure related to the double pyramidal central configurations (d.p.c.c) studied in [19] and the paper in [20]. The configuration in [19] consists of n masses on a plane that are located at the vertices of a regular n -gon and two equal masses located on the line perpendicular to passing through the geometric center of the N -gon. And the authors in [20] assumed that the center of the N -gon is at the origin, that is, $\sum_{j=1}^n m_j r_j = 0$, and more that the origin of the inertial system is the center of mass of the system, that is, $\sum_{j=1}^{n+3} m_j r_j = 0$ and $r_{n+3} = 0$. In fact the origin is the geometric center of the N -gon. Hence the configuration in [20] is only to append a mass at the geometric center of the N -gon in [19].

As far as we know, the spatial central configurations studied here are very new. The number of bodies (masses) is increased to $2N + 2$, it is not to suppose the origin of the inertial system, and the proofs are more difficult than those in [19, 20].

The main results of this paper are the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Consider $N + N + 2$ bodies with masses $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{2N}, m_{2N+1}, m_{2N+2}$ located according to the following.*

- (i) r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N are at the vertices of a regular n -gon Γ_1 inscribed on a circle of radius α .
- (ii) $r_{N+1}, r_{N+2}, \dots, r_{2N}$ are at the vertices of another regular n -gon Γ_2 inscribed on a circle of radius a .
- (iii) r_{2N+1} and r_{2N+2} are on the straight line L , on opposite sides with respect to the plane Π , where L is the straight line that is perpendicular to Π and passes through the geometric center of $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$. Let $h_1 = \text{distance}(r_{2N+1}, \Pi)$ and $h_2 = \text{distance}(r_{2N+2}, \Pi)$.

In order that the $N + N + 2$ bodies can be in a central configuration (c.c.), the following statements hold.

- (1) *If $h_1 = h_2 =: h$, then there is $m_{2N+1} = m_{2N+2}$.*

(2) If $h_1 = h_2 =: h$, then not only $m_{2N+1} = m_{2N+2}$, but also

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 = m_2 = \cdots = m_N &=: m, \\ m_{N+1} = m_{N+2} = \cdots = m_{2N} &=: \tilde{m}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

(3) The origin is the mass center of m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{2N} and also the mass center of $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{2N+2}$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{2N} m_j r_j &= 0, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{2N+2} m_j r_j &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

(4) Get rid of masses m_{2N+1} and m_{2N+2} , when ratio of masses $b = m_{N+1}/m_1$ in some interval and the masses m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{2N} may form a central configuration.

Remark 1.3. Let $m_{2N+1} = m_{2N+2}$, and the origin is the mass center of m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{2N} , also it is the mass center of $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{2N+2}$, and then we have that $h_1 = h_2$ and (1.8) hold. The conclusions are the opposite problem of some items in Theorem 1.2, which is similar to that in [20]. We may similarly prove $h_1 = h_2$. The proof of (1.8) still see Theorem 1.2 in this paper.

Theorem 1.4. Under the suppositions of the positions for masses, and $m_1 = m_2 = \cdots = m_N =: m$, $m_{N+1} = m_{N+2} = \cdots = m_{2N} =: \tilde{m}$, $m_{2N+1} = m_{2N+2}$ and $h_1 = h_2 =: h$, then $m_1, \dots, m_N, m_{N+1}, \dots, m_{2N}, m_{2N+1}, m_{2N+2}$ are in a c.c., if and only if the parameters b, a, c and h satisfy the following relationships:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda}{M} &= \frac{1}{(N + Nb + 2c)} \left(\sum_{j \neq N} \frac{1 - \rho_j}{|1 - \rho_j|^3} + \sum_j \frac{b(1 - a\rho_j)}{|1 - a\rho_j|^3} + \frac{2c}{(1 + h^2)^{3/2}} \right), \\ \frac{\lambda}{M} &= \frac{1}{h(N + Nb + 2c)} \left[\frac{Nh}{(1 + h^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{Nbh}{(a^2 + h^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{c}{4h^2} \right], \\ b &= \frac{\sum_j \left((a - \rho_j)/|a - \rho_j|^3 \right) - a \sum_{j \neq N} \left((1 - \rho_j)/|1 - \rho_j|^3 \right) + (2c/(a^2 + h^2)^{3/2}) - (2ca/(1 + h^2)^{3/2})}{a \sum_j \left((1 - a\rho_j)/|1 - a\rho_j|^3 \right) - a^{-2} \sum_{j \neq N} \left((1 - \rho_j)/|1 - \rho_j|^3 \right)}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

where $b = \tilde{m}/m$, $c = m_{2N+1}/m$ and $\rho_j = \exp(i(2\pi j/N))$.

Theorem 1.5. Under the suppositions of the positions for masses, and $m_1 = m_2 = \cdots = m_N =: m$, $m_{N+1} = m_{N+2} = \cdots = m_{2N} = \tilde{m}$, $m_{2N+1} = m_{2N+2}$ and $h_1 = h_2 =: h$, then for any ratios of masses $b = \tilde{m}/m$ and $c = m_{2N+1}/m$, $m_1, \dots, m_N, m_{N+1}, \dots, m_{2N}, m_{2N+1}, m_{2N+2}$ may form a unique c.c. such that $a \in (0, 1)$ and $h \in (0, +\infty)$.

2. Some Lemmas

Definition 2.1 (see [21]). If $N \times N$ matrix $A = (a_{i,j})$ satisfies

$$a_{i,j} = a_{i-1,j-1}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N, \quad (2.1)$$

where we assume $a_{i,0} = a_{i,N}$ and $a_{0,j} = a_{N,j}$, then one calls that A is a circular matrix.

Lemma 2.2 (see [21]). (i) If A and B are $N \times N$ circular matrices, for any numbers γ and β , then $A + B, A - B, AB$ and $\gamma A + \beta B$ are also circular matrices, and $AB = BA$.

(ii) Let $A = (a_{i,j})$ be an $N \times N$ circular matrix; the eigenvalues λ_k and the eigenvectors \vec{v}_k of A are

$$\lambda_k(A) = \sum_j a_{1,j} \rho_{k-1}^{j-1}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\vec{v}_k = \left(1, \rho_{k-1}, \rho_{k-1}^2, \dots, \rho_{k-1}^{N-1}\right)^T.$$

(iii) Let A and B be circular matrices, and let $\lambda_k(A)$ and $\lambda_k(B)$ be eigenvalues of A and B . Then the eigenvalues of $A + B, A - B$ and $A \cdot B$ are $\lambda_k(A) + \lambda_k(B), \lambda_k(A) - \lambda_k(B)$ and $\lambda_k(A) \cdot \lambda_k(B)$.

It is clear that.

Lemma 2.3. If $A = (a_{i,j})$ is an $N \times N$ circular matrices, and $AX = 0$, where $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T, x_i > 0 (i = 1, \dots, N)$, then

$$a_{1,j} + \dots + a_{N,j} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad (2.3)$$

$$a_{i,1} + \dots + a_{i,N} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

Lemma 2.4. Let A and B be $N \times N$ Hermite circular matrices; then $A + B, A - B, AB$ and $\gamma A + \beta B (\gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{R})$ are also Hermite circular matrices.

Lemma 2.5. Let A be a Hermite circular matrix; then the eigenvalues of A are real number and

(i) when $n = 2m + 1 (m \geq 1), A$ can be denoted by $A = A_{2m+1} = \text{cir}(a, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m, \bar{b}_m, \dots, \bar{b}_2, \bar{b}_1)$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and \bar{b}_l is a conjugate complex number of b_l . It has eigenvalues

$$\lambda_0 = a + 2 \sum_{l=1}^m \text{Re } b_l, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\lambda_k = a + 2 \sum_{l=1}^m \left[\text{Re } b_l \cos \frac{2k\pi l}{2m+1} - \text{Im } b_l \sin \frac{2k\pi l}{2m+1} \right], \quad 1 \leq k \leq 2m,$$

(ii) when $n = 2m (m \geq 1)$, A can be denoted by $A = A_{2m} = \text{cir}(a, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{m-1}, b_m, \bar{b}_{m-1}, \dots, \bar{b}_2, \bar{b}_1)$. It has eigenvalues

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_0 &= a + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \text{Re } b_l + b_m, \\ \lambda_m &= a + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} (-1)^l \text{Re } b_l + (-1)^m b_m, \\ \lambda_k &= a + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \left[\text{Re } b_l \cos \frac{2k\pi l}{2m} - \text{Im } b_l \sin \frac{2k\pi l}{2m} \right] + (-1)^k b_m, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 2m-1, k \neq m.\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

Lemma 2.6. The complex subspace L of C^N generated by $X_1 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $X_2 = (1, \rho, \dots, \rho^{N-1})$, where $N = 2k > 2 (\rho = \exp 2\pi i / N)$, and the complex subspace \tilde{L} generated by X_1, X_2 , and $X_3 = (1, \rho^{k+1}, \dots, \rho^{(N-1)(k+1)})$, where $N = 2k + 1 > 3$, all contain no real vectors other than the multiples of $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

Remark 2.7. Lemmas 2.3–2.5 can be simply proved by properties of circular matrix and Hermite matrix, and after some algebraic computations, Lemma 2.6 can be also simply proved.

Lemma 2.8 (see [5]). Let $A = (1/4) \sum_{j \neq N} \csc(\pi j / N)$; then $A(N)$ has the following asymptotic expansion for N large:

$$A(N) \sim \frac{N}{2\pi} \left(\gamma + \log \frac{2N}{\pi} \right) + \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k (2^{2k-1} - 1) B_{2k}^2 \pi^{2k-1}}{(2k)(2k)!} \frac{1}{N^{2k-1}},\tag{2.6}$$

where γ stands for the Euler-Mascheroni constant and B_{2k} stands for the Bernoulli numbers.

Lemma 2.9 (see [5]). Let $\Phi_\nu(x) = \sum_j 1/d_j^\nu$, where $\nu > 0$ and $d_j = 1 + x^2 - 2x \cos(2\pi j / N)$; then, for $0 < x < 1$, $\Phi_\nu(x)$ and all of its any order derivatives are positive. Moreover, the same is thus for $\Psi_\nu(x) = \sum_j \cos(2\pi j / N) / d_j^\nu$.

3. The Proof of Theorem

Proposition 3.1. The central configuration equations (1.4) or (1.5) is equivalent to the following:

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^n m_j (r_j - r_k) \left(R_{j,k} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,\tag{3.1}$$

where $M = \sum_{k=1}^n m_k$, $R_{j,k} = |r_j - r_k|^{-3}$.

Proof. From central configuration equation (1.4) or (1.5), we easily prove. \square

Denote $r_k = (x_k, y_k, z_k) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and $\vec{z} = (0, 0, 1)$. Observing (1.5), one could have a free choice of the origin for a configuration. Without loss of generality, consider that the origin is at the geometric center of $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, and let $z_k = 0$, $1 \leq k \leq 2N$.

Proposition 3.2. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2, if $h_1 = h_2 =: h$, then the following equations are verified:*

$$m_{2N+1} = m_{2N+2}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$R_{2N+1,j} = R_{2N+1,k} = R_{2N+2,j} = R_{2N+2,k}, \quad 1 \leq j \neq k \leq N, \quad (3.3)$$

$$R_{2N+1,N+j} = R_{2N+1,N+k} = R_{2N+2,N+j} = R_{2N+2,N+k}, \quad 1 \leq j \neq k \leq N, \quad (3.4)$$

where $R_{j,k} = |r_j - r_k|^{-3}$.

Proof. In (3.1), considering the equations along the direction \vec{z} , and $k = 1, N + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{2N+1}(z_{2N+1} - z_1) \left[R_{1,2N+1} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right] + m_{2N+2}(z_{2N+2} - z_1) \left[R_{1,2N+2} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right] &= 0, \\ m_{2N+1}(z_{2N+1} - z_{N+1}) \left[R_{N+1,2N+1} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right] + m_{2N+2}(z_{2N+2} - z_{N+1}) \left[R_{N+1,2N+2} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right] &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1,2N+1} &= \left(|r_1|^2 + |z_{2N+1}|^2 \right)^{-3/2}, \\ R_{1,2N+2} &= \left(|r_1|^2 + |z_{2N+2}|^2 \right)^{-3/2}, \\ R_{N+1,2N+1} &= \left(|r_{N+1}|^2 + |z_{2N+1}|^2 \right)^{-3/2}, \\ R_{N+1,2N+2} &= \left(|r_{N+1}|^2 + |z_{2N+2}|^2 \right)^{-3/2}, \\ z_1 &= z_{N+1} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

By the $h_1 = h_2$, we have

$$z_{2N+1} = -z_{2N+2}, \quad R_{1,2N+1} = R_{1,2N+2}, \quad R_{N+1,2N+1} = R_{N+1,2N+2}. \quad (3.7)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (m_{2N+1} - m_{2N+2}) \left[R_{1,2N+1} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right] &= 0, \\ (m_{2N+1} - m_{2N+2}) \left[R_{N+1,2N+1} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Obviously $R_{1,2N+1} \neq R_{N+1,2N+1}$, so

$$m_{2N+1} = m_{2N+2}. \quad (3.9)$$

By our assumptions for the origin, (3.3), (3.4) clearly holds. \square

Equation (3.9) of Proposition 3.2 proves item (1) of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 3.3. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2, if $m_{2N+1} = m_{2N+2}$, the origin is the mass center of m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{2N} , and it also is the mass center of $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{2N+2}$, then*

$$h_1 = h_2 =: h \quad (3.10)$$

and (3.3), (3.4) hold.

Proof. The proof is very similar to that in [20]. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2, if $h_1 = h_2 =: h$, then the masses at the vertices of circle Γ_1 are equal, and also the masses at the vertices of circle Γ_2 are equal, that is,*

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= m_2 = \dots = m_N, \\ m_{N+1} &= m_{N+2} = \dots = m_{2N}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. Because if $r \rightarrow \varepsilon r$ is a transformation in a central configuration, then $\lambda \rightarrow (1/\varepsilon^2)\lambda$ can be a new parameter of a central configuration. We say that the old and the new are equivalent. Hence without loss of generality, we may let $a = 1$, $0 < a < 1$. Then the vectors of positions based on the previous assumptions can be interpreted by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} r_k &= (\rho_k, 0) \quad (1 \leq k \leq N), \\ r_{N+k} &= (\tilde{\rho}_k, 0) \quad (1 \leq k \leq N), \\ r_{2N+1} &= (0 \cdot \rho_0, h) \quad (h > 0), \\ r_{2N+2} &= (0 \cdot \rho_0, -h) \quad (h > 0), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where $\rho_k = \exp((2\pi k/N)i)$, $\tilde{\rho}_k = a\rho_k$, $a > 0$, and $i = \sqrt{-1}$, ρ_k denote the N complex k th roots of unity, that is, that m_k ($1 \leq k \leq N$) each locates at the vertices r_k of the one regular N -gon Γ_1 , m_{N+k} ($1 \leq k \leq N$) each locates at the vertices r_{N+k} of the other regular N -gon Γ_2 , and m_{2N+1} and m_{2N+2} lie on the vertices of r_{2N+1}, r_{2N+2} . Then the center of masses is

$$r_0 = \frac{\sum_j (m_j r_j + m_{N+j} r_{N+j}) + m_{2N+1} r_{2N+1} + m_{2N+2} r_{2N+2}}{M}, \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$M = \sum_j (m_j + m_{N+j}) + m_{2N+1} + m_{2N+2}. \tag{3.14}$$

In (3.13)-(3.14) and throughout this paper, unless other restricted, all indices and summations will range from 1 to N .

Let $h_1 = h_2 =: h$; then $m_{2N+1} = m_{2N+2}$. Now we discuss all equations for the $2N$ masses on the base plane Π . According to (3.1), then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \neq k} m_j \left(\frac{1}{|\rho_k - \rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (\rho_k - \rho_j) + \sum_j m_{N+j} \left(\frac{1}{|\rho_k - \tilde{\rho}_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (\rho_k - \tilde{\rho}_j) \\ & + 2m_{2N+1} \left(\frac{1}{(1+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) \rho_k = 0, \\ & \sum_j m_j \left(\frac{1}{|\tilde{\rho}_k - \rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (\tilde{\rho}_k - \rho_j) + \sum_{j \neq k} m_{N+j} \left(\frac{1}{|\tilde{\rho}_k - \tilde{\rho}_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (\tilde{\rho}_k - \tilde{\rho}_j) \\ & + 2m_{2N+1} \left(\frac{1}{(a^2+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) a\rho_k = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Multiplying both sides by ρ_{N-k} , noting that $|\rho_k - \rho_j| = |\rho_k||1 - \rho_{j-k}| = |1 - \rho_{j-k}|$ and $\tilde{\rho}_k = a\rho_k$, we see that (3.15) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \neq k} m_j \left(\frac{1}{|1 - \rho_{j-k}|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (1 - \rho_{j-k}) + \sum_j m_{N+j} \left(\frac{1}{|1 - a\rho_{j-k}|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (1 - a\rho_{j-k}) \\ & + 2m_{2N+1} \left(\frac{1}{(1+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) = 0, \\ & \sum_j m_j \left(\frac{1}{|a - \rho_{j-k}|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (a - \rho_{j-k}) + \sum_{j \neq k} m_{N+j} \left(\frac{1}{|a - a\rho_{j-k}|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (a - a\rho_{j-k}) \\ & + 2m_{2N+1} \left(\frac{1}{(a^2+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) a = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Now we define the $N \times N$ circular matrices $C = [c_{k,j}]$, $A = [a_{k,j}]$, $B = [b_{k,j}]$, and $D = [d_{k,j}]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_{k,j} &= 0, \quad \text{for } k = j, \\
 c_{k,j} &= \left(\frac{1}{|1 - \rho_{j-k}|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (1 - \rho_{j-k}), \quad \text{for } k \neq j, \\
 a_{k,j} &= \left(\frac{1}{|1 - a\rho_{j-k}|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (1 - a\rho_{j-k}), \\
 b_{k,j} &= \left(\frac{1}{|a - \rho_{j-k}|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (a - \rho_{j-k}), \\
 d_{k,j} &= 0, \quad \text{for } k = j, \\
 d_{k,j} &= \left(\frac{1}{|a - a\rho_{j-k}|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (a - a\rho_{j-k}) \quad \text{for } k \neq j.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Also define

$$\begin{aligned}
 \vec{1} &= (1, \dots, 1)^T, \\
 E &= 2 \left(\frac{1}{(1 + h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) \cdot \vec{1}, \\
 F &= 2a \left(\frac{1}{(a^2 + h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) \cdot \vec{1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

We see that (3.16) holds if and only if the matrix equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} C & A & E \\ B & D & F \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_N \\ m_{N+1} \\ \vdots \\ m_{2N} \\ m_{2N+1} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{3.19}$$

has a positive solution. Let

$$\vec{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_N)^T, \quad \vec{\tilde{m}} = (m_{N+1}, \dots, m_{2N})^T. \tag{3.20}$$

Then (3.19) is equivalent to

$$C\vec{m} + A\vec{\tilde{m}} + m_{2N+1}E = \vec{0}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$B\vec{m} + D\vec{\tilde{m}} + m_{2N+1}F = 0. \tag{3.22}$$

Noticing that $A, B, C,$ and D are $N \times N$ circular matrix, using the properties of circular matrix, we know that they must have positive real eigenvector $\vec{1}$. Each of (3.21) and (3.22) left multiplies $\vec{1}^T = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$; there are

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_k m_k \right) \sum_{j \neq N} \left(\frac{1}{|1 - \rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (1 - \rho_j) + \left(\sum_k m_{N+k} \right) \sum_j \left(\frac{1}{|1 - a\rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (1 - a\rho_j) \\ & + 2m_{2N+1} \cdot N \left(\frac{1}{(1 + h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) = 0, \\ & \left(\sum_k m_k \right) \sum_j \left(\frac{1}{|a - \rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (a - \rho_j) + \left(\sum_k m_{N+k} \right) \sum_{j \neq N} \left(\frac{1}{|a - a\rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (a - a\rho_j) \\ & + 2m_{2N+1} \cdot N \left(\frac{1}{(a^2 + h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) a = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

By (3.21) and (3.22) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (CD - AB)\vec{m} + 2m_{2N+1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{(1 + h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) D - a \left(\frac{1}{(a^2 + h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) A \right] \vec{1} &= \vec{0}, \\ (AB - CD)\vec{\tilde{m}} + 2m_{2N+1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{(1 + h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) B - a \left(\frac{1}{(a^2 + h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) C \right] \vec{1} &= \vec{0}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

From Lemma 2.2 we see that $((1/(1 + h^2)^{3/2}) - (\lambda/M))D - a((1/(a^2 + h^2)^{3/2}) - (\lambda/M))A,$ $((1/(1 + h^2)^{3/2}) - (\lambda/M))B - a((1/(a^2 + h^2)^{3/2}) - (\lambda/M))C,$ and $CD - AB$ are circular matrix, and we know that they must have positive real eigenvector $\vec{1}$. By the properties of circular matrix, (3.24) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (CD - AB) \cdot \vec{m} + \gamma_1 \cdot \vec{1} &= \vec{0}, \\ (AB - CD) \cdot \vec{\tilde{m}} + \gamma_2 \cdot \vec{1} &= \vec{0}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma_1 \cdot \vec{1} &= 2m_{2N+1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{(1+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) D - a \left(\frac{1}{(a^2+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) A \right] \vec{1}, \\
 \gamma_2 \cdot \vec{1} &= 2m_{2N+1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{(1+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) B - a \left(\frac{1}{(a^2+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) C \right] \vec{1}, \\
 \gamma_1 &= 2m_{2N+1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{(1+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) \sum_{j \neq N} \left(\frac{1}{|a - a\rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (a - a\rho_j) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - a \left(\frac{1}{(a^2+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) \sum_j \left(\frac{1}{|1 - a\rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (1 - a\rho_j) \right], \\
 \gamma_2 &= 2m_{2N+1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{(1+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) \sum_j \left(\frac{1}{|a - \rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (a - \rho_j) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - a \left(\frac{1}{(a^2+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) \sum_{j \neq N} \left(\frac{1}{|1 - \rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (1 - \rho_j) \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

We easily prove $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in R$, and from (3.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(\sum_k m_{N+k} \right) \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{(1+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) \sum_{j \neq N} \left(\frac{1}{|a - a\rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (a - a\rho_j) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - a \left(\frac{1}{(a^2+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) \sum_j \left(\frac{1}{|1 - a\rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (1 - a\rho_j) \right\} \\
 &+ \left(\sum_k m_k \right) \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{(1+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) \sum_j \left(\frac{1}{|a - \rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (a - \rho_j) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - a \left(\frac{1}{(a^2+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) \sum_{j \neq N} \left(\frac{1}{|1 - \rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (1 - \rho_j) \right\} = 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

that is,

$$\gamma_1 \sum_k m_{N+k} + \gamma_2 \sum_k m_k = 0, \quad m_j > 0, \quad \tilde{m}_j > 0. \tag{3.28}$$

Hence one has the following.

(1) If $\gamma_1 = 0$, then $\gamma_2 = 0$. By (3.25), there are

$$(CD - AB) \cdot \vec{m} = \vec{0}, \tag{3.29}$$

$$(CD - AB) \cdot \vec{\tilde{m}} = \vec{0}. \tag{3.30}$$

We notice that (3.29) or (3.30) must have positive real solutions, which is equivalent to that $CD - AB$ has positive real eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalue 0.

But we notice that (3.29) and (3.30) must hold, and for $k = 1$, we have an eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 0$, and an matching eigenvector $\vec{v}_1 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$ of $CD - AB$. Noticing that A, B, C , and D are Hermite circular matrices, from the properties of circular and Hermite matrix in Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4, then $CD - AB = G$ is also a Hermite circular matrix. We may denote G by $\text{cir}(a_0, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m-1}, g_m, \bar{g}_{m-1}, \dots, \bar{g}_2, \bar{g}_1)$ when $N = 2m$, where $a_0, g_m \in R$. We also denote G by $\text{cir}(a_0, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m-1}, g_m, \bar{g}_m, \dots, \bar{g}_2, \bar{g}_1)$ when $N = 2m + 1$, where $a_0 \in R$. Using Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, after complex computation, we may prove that the kernel of G is at most a subspace in L when $N = 2m > 2$, and a subspace in \tilde{L} when $N = 2m + 1 > 3$ (see [22]), where the meanings of L and \tilde{L} are in Lemma 2.6. Hence the kernel of G does not contain any positive real vectors other than multiplication of $\vec{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

When $N = 2, 3$, we may easily prove the conclusion.

(2) If $\gamma_1 \neq 0$, then $\gamma_2 \neq 0$; from (3.25) and (3.28), we get

$$(AB - CD) \left[\left(\sum_k m_k \right) \vec{\tilde{m}} - \left(\sum_k m_{N+k} \right) \vec{m} \right] = \vec{0}. \tag{3.31}$$

If

$$\left(\sum_k m_k \right) \vec{\tilde{m}} - \left(\sum_k m_{N+k} \right) \vec{m} \neq 0, \tag{3.32}$$

let $G = AB - CD = (g_{ij})$, which is not zero circular matrix; by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 we see that $\sum_j g_{ij} = 0$ and G has an eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 0$. Using the properties of circular matrix, we have $(AB - CD)\vec{1} = \vec{0}$ or $\vec{1}^T(AB - CD) = \vec{0}^T$. Let $\vec{1}^T$ left multiplies (3.25); we get $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0$, which is a contradiction to the supposition. So

$$\left(\sum_k m_k \right) \vec{\tilde{m}} - \left(\sum_k \tilde{m}_k \right) \vec{m} = 0, \tag{3.33}$$

and then $m_{N+j} = bm_j$, where $b = \sum_k \tilde{m}_k / \sum_k m_k$, that is, $\vec{\tilde{m}} = b\vec{m}$. Substituting it into (3.21)-(3.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (C + bA)\vec{m} + 2m_{2N+1} \left(\frac{1}{(1+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) \vec{1} &= 0, \\ (B + bD)\vec{m} + 2a \cdot m_{2N+1} \left(\frac{1}{(a^2+h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) \vec{1} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Then

$$\left[a \cdot \left(\frac{1}{(a^2 + h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (C + bA) - \left(\frac{1}{(1 + h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (B + bD) \right] \vec{m} = 0. \quad (3.35)$$

Noticing that $a \cdot ((1/(a^2 + h^2)^{3/2}) - (\lambda/M))(C + bA) - ((1/(1 + h^2)^{3/2}) - (\lambda/M))(B + bD)$ is a Hermite circular matrix, similarly we also have $\vec{m} = (m_1, m_1, \dots, m_1)^T$ and $\vec{\tilde{m}} = (m_{N+1}, \dots, m_{N+1})$, where $m_1, m_{N+1} > 0$. \square

Remark 3.5. Proposition 3.4 proves item (2) of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 3.6. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2, if $h_1 = h_2$, then the origin is the mass center of m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{2N} and also is the mass center of $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{2N+2}$.*

Proof. We have already

$$m_{2N+1} = m_{2N+2}, \quad (3.36)$$

$$m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_N, \quad (3.37)$$

$$m_{N+1} = m_{N+2} = \dots = m_{2N}. \quad (3.38)$$

So there are

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2N} m_j r_j = 0, \quad (3.39)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2N+2} m_j r_j = 0,$$

by the positions of r_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2N + 2$. \square

Remark 3.7. Proposition 3.6 proves item (3) of Theorem 1.2. By (3.37) and (3.38), item (4) of Theorem 1.2 may be proved.

Proposition 3.8. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.4, the conclusion of Theorem 1.4 holds.*

Proof (The Proof of the Necessary). By the hypothesis of Theorem 1.4, let $\tilde{m} = bm, m_{2N+1} = cm$; from (3.23), there are

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\sum_{j \neq N} \left(\frac{1}{|1 - \rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (1 - \rho_j) + b \sum_j \left(\frac{1}{|1 - a\rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (1 - a\rho_j) \right] \\ & \quad + 2c \left(\frac{1}{(1 + h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) = 0, \\ & \left[\sum_j \left(\frac{1}{|a - \rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (a - \rho_j) + b \sum_{j \neq N} \left(\frac{1}{|a - a\rho_j|^3} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) (a - a\rho_j) \right] \\ & \quad + 2c \left(\frac{1}{(a^2 + h^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\lambda}{M} \right) a = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

We know that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j (1 - \rho_j) &= N, \\ \sum_j b(1 - a\rho_j) &= bN, \\ \sum_j (a - \rho_j) &= aN, \\ \sum_j b(a - a\rho_j) &= abN. \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

From (3.40) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda}{M} &= \frac{1}{N + Nb + 2c} \left[\sum_{j \neq N} \frac{1 - \rho_j}{|1 - \rho_j|^3} + b \sum_j \frac{1 - a\rho_j}{|1 - a\rho_j|^3} + \frac{2c}{(1 + h^2)^{3/2}} \right], \\ \frac{\lambda}{M} &= \frac{1}{a(N + Nb + 2c)} \left[\sum_j \frac{a - \rho_j}{|a - \rho_j|^3} + b \sum_{j \neq N} \frac{a - a\rho_j}{|a - a\rho_j|^3} + \frac{2c}{(a^2 + h^2)^{3/2}} \right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

and similarly we also have

$$\frac{\lambda}{M} = \frac{1}{h(N + Nb + 2c)} \left[\frac{Nh}{(1 + h^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{Nbh}{(a^2 + h^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{ch}{4h^3} \right] \tag{3.43}$$

by (3.1). Then

$$a \left(\sum_{j \neq N} \frac{1 - \rho_j}{|1 - \rho_j|^3} + b \sum_j \frac{1 - a\rho_j}{|1 - a\rho_j|^3} + \frac{2c}{(1 + h^2)^{3/2}} \right) = \sum_j \frac{a - \rho_j}{|a - \rho_j|^3} + b \sum_{j \neq N} \frac{a - a\rho_j}{|a - a\rho_j|^3} + \frac{2c}{(a^2 + h^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (3.44)$$

$$b = \frac{\sum_j ((a - \rho_j)/|a - \rho_j|^3) - a \sum_{j \neq N} ((1 - \rho_j)/|1 - \rho_j|^3) + (2c/(a^2 + h^2)^{3/2}) - (2ca/(1 + h^2)^{3/2})}{a \sum_j ((1 - a\rho_j)/|1 - a\rho_j|^3) - a^{-2} \sum_{j \neq N} ((1 - \rho_j)/|1 - \rho_j|^3)}, \quad (3.45)$$

where (3.42), (3.43), (3.45) imply (1.10). \square

Proof of Sufficient. For $N \geq 2$, under the suppositions of Theorem 1.4, then $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{2N+2}$ in a c.c. if and only if (3.42)–(3.43) hold, which are equivalent to (1.10). Hence the proof of the sufficient was finished. \square

Proposition 3.9. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.5, for any ratios of masses $b = \tilde{m}/m$ and $c = m_{2N+1}/m$, $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{2N+2}$ may form a unique c.c. such that $a \in (0, 1)$ and $h \in (0, +\infty)$.*

Here is Theorem 1.5.

Proof. Under the suppositions of the positions for masses, and $h_1 = h_2 =: h$, $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_N =: m$, $m_{N+1} = m_2 = \dots = \tilde{m}_{2N} =: \tilde{m}$, and $m_{2N+1} = m_{2N+2}$, then for any ratios of masses $b = \tilde{m}/m$ and $c = m_{2N+1}/m$, $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{2N+2}$ are in a unique c.c. such that $a \in (0, 1)$, $h > 0$, if and only if that (1.10)–(1.14) or (3.42)–(3.43) have a unique positive solution on $0 < a < 1$ and $h > 0$ for any given numbers $b, c (> 0)$.

Let $a = x$, $h = y$, and

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, y) &= x \left(\sum_{j \neq N} \frac{1 - \rho_j}{|1 - \rho_j|^3} + b \sum_j \frac{1 - x\rho_j}{|1 - x\rho_j|^3} + \frac{2c}{(1 + y^2)^{3/2}} \right) - \sum_j \frac{x - \rho_j}{|x - \rho_j|^3} \\ &\quad - b \sum_{j \neq N} \frac{x - x\rho_j}{|x - x\rho_j|^3} - \frac{2c}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}}, \\ L(x, y) &= \left(\sum_{j \neq N} \frac{1 - \rho_j}{|1 - \rho_j|^3} + b \sum_j \frac{1 - x\rho_j}{|1 - x\rho_j|^3} + \frac{2c}{(1 + y^2)^{3/2}} \right) - \frac{N}{(1 + y^2)^{3/2}} \\ &\quad - \frac{Nb}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{c}{4y^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

It suffices to prove that $K(x, y) = 0$, and $L(x, y) = 0$ have a unique positive solution (x, y) for any given ratios $b, c (> 0)$ in $x \in (0, 1)$, and $y \in (0, +\infty)$.

Now let

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_j^2 &= 1 + x^2 - 2x \cos\left(\frac{2\pi j}{N}\right), \\
 P(x) &= \sum_j \frac{1}{d_j^3}, \\
 Q(x) &= \sum_j \frac{\cos(2\pi j/N)}{d_j^3}, \\
 A &= \sum_{j \neq N} \frac{1 - \rho_j}{|1 - \rho_j|^3} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} \left(2 \sum_{j=1}^{(N/2)-1} \csc\left(\frac{\pi j}{N}\right) + 1 \right) & \text{when } N \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{(N-1)/2} \csc\left(\frac{\pi j}{N}\right) & \text{when } N \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (3.47) \\
 \Phi(x) &= \sum_j \frac{1}{d_j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definitions that

$$\Phi(x) = (1 + x^2)P(x) - 2xQ(x), \quad (3.48)$$

and it implies

$$P(x) - xQ(x) = Q(x) + x(Q(x) - xP(x)). \quad (3.49)$$

Since

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dx} = Q(x) - xP(x), \quad (3.50)$$

then now K and L in (3.46) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(x, y) &= \left(x - \frac{b}{x^2}\right)A + bx(P(x) - xQ(x)) + (Q(x) - xP(x)) + \frac{2cx}{(1 + y^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{2c}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} \\
 &= \left(x - \frac{b}{x^2}\right)A + bx\Phi(x) + (1 + bx^2)\frac{d\Phi}{dx} + \frac{2cx}{(1 + y^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{2c}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L(x, y) &= \left(\sum_{j \neq N} \frac{1 - \rho_j}{|1 - \rho_j|^3} + b \sum_j \frac{1 - x\rho_j}{|1 - x\rho_j|^3} + \frac{2c}{(1 + y^2)^{3/2}} \right) - \frac{N}{(1 + y^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{Nb}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{c}{4y^3} \\
&= A + b \left[Q(x) + x \frac{d\Phi}{dx} \right] + \frac{2c}{(1 + y^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{N}{(1 + y^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{Nb}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{c}{4y^3},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

where $x = a \in (0, 1)$. From Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9 and their proofs, and with implicit function theory, after some complex calculation (some ideas partially see [23]), we can prove that $K = 0$, and $L = 0$ have only one solution for any given ratios of masses $b(> 0)$ and $c(> 0)$ such that $0 < x < 1$, and $0 < h < +\infty$. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the reviewer(s) for their thoughtful comments. This work is partially supported by the Natural Science Foundation (NSF) of China, supported by NSF of Chongqing, NSF of Chongqing Education Committee, and SXXY-11ZD-19.

References

- [1] R. Abraham and J. E. Marsden, *Foundations of Mechanics*, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing, Reading, Mass, USA, 2nd edition, 1978.
- [2] B. Elmabsout, "Sur l'existence de certaines configurations d'équilibre relatif dans le problème des N corps," *Celestial Mechanics*, vol. 41, no. 1–4, pp. 131–151, 1987/88.
- [3] J. Llibre and L. F. Mello, "Triple and quadruple nested central configurations for the planar n -body problem," *Physica D*, vol. 238, no. 5, pp. 563–571, 2009.
- [4] W. D. MacMillan and W. Bartky, "Permanent configurations in the problem of four bodies," *Transactions of the AMS*, vol. 34, pp. 838–874, 1932.
- [5] R. Moeckel and C. Simo, "Bifurcation of spatial central configurations from planar ones," *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 978–998, 1995.
- [6] L. M. Perko and E. L. Walter, "Regular polygon solutions of the N -body problem," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 94, no. 2, pp. 301–309, 1985.
- [7] I. Newton, *Philosophi Naturalis Principia Mathematica*, Royal Society, London, UK, 1687.
- [8] R. Moeckel, "On central configurations," *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 205, no. 4, pp. 499–517, 1990.
- [9] S. Smale, "Topology and mechanics. II. The planar n -body problem," *Inventiones Mathematicae*, vol. 11, pp. 45–64, 1970.
- [10] D. G. Saari, "On the role and the properties of n -body central configurations," in *Proceedings of the 6th Conference on Mathematical Methods in Celestial Mechanics*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 9–20, 1980.
- [11] Y. Hagihara, *Celestial Mechanics*, The MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass, USA, 1970.
- [12] A. Wintner, *The Analytical Foundations of Celestial Mechanics*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA, 1941.
- [13] R. Lehmann-Filhés, "Ueber zwei Fälle des Vielkörper problems," *Astronomische Nachrichten*, vol. 127, pp. 137–144, 1891.
- [14] M. Corbera and J. Llibre, "Central configurations of nested regular polyhedra for the spatial $2n$ -body problem," *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, vol. 58, no. 9, pp. 1241–1252, 2008.
- [15] M. Corbera and J. Llibre, "Central configurations of three nested regular polyhedra for the spatial $3n$ -body problem," *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 321–339, 2009.
- [16] C. Zhu, "Central configurations of nested regular tetrahedrons," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 312, no. 1, pp. 83–92, 2005.

- [17] M. Hampton and M. Santoprete, "Seven-body central configurations: a family of central configurations in the spatial seven-body problem," *Celestial Mechanics & Dynamical Astronomy*, vol. 99, no. 4, pp. 293–305, 2007.
- [18] L. F. Mello, F. E. Chaves, A. C. Fernandes, and B. A. Garcia, "Stacked central configurations for the spatial six-body problem," *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, vol. 59, no. 9, pp. 1216–1226, 2009.
- [19] S. Zhang and Q. Zhou, "Double pyramidal central configurations," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 281, no. 4, pp. 240–248, 2001.
- [20] L. F. Mello and A. C. Fernandes, "New classes of spatial central configurations for the $n+3$ -body problem," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 723–730, 2011.
- [21] M. Marcus and H. Minc, *A Survey of Matrix Theory and Matrix Inequalities*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass, USA, 1964.
- [22] A. Chenciner, "Perverse solutions of the planar n -body problem," *Astrisque*, no. 286, pp. 249–256, 2003.
- [23] X. Liu, S. Zhang, and J. Luo, "On periodic solutions for nested polygon planar $2N+1$ -body problems with arbitrary masses," *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, no. 27, pp. 63–80, 2010.