

Research Article

Monostable-Type Travelling Wave Solutions of the Diffusive FitzHugh-Nagumo-Type System in \mathbf{R}^N

Chih-Chiang Huang

Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

Correspondence should be addressed to Chih-Chiang Huang, loveworldsteven@hotmail.com

Received 30 March 2012; Accepted 25 May 2012

Academic Editor: Norimichi Hirano

Copyright © 2012 Chih-Chiang Huang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This paper is concerned with monostable-type travelling wave solutions of the diffusive FitzHugh-Nagumo-type system (FHN) in \mathbf{R}^N for the two components u and v . By solving v in terms of u , this system can be reduced to a nonlocal single equation for u . When the diffusion coefficients in the system are equal, we construct travelling wave solutions for the non-local equation by the method of super- and subsolutions developed by Morita and Ninomiya (2008). Moreover, we propose a condition for γ , which is similar to the condition Reinecke and Sweers (1999) used to transform (FHN) into a quasimonotone system.

1. Introduction

In the present paper, we are concerned with the diffusive FitzHugh-Nagumo-type system (FHN) in \mathbf{R}^N that is,

$$\begin{aligned}u_t &= u_{\xi\xi} + \Delta_y u + f(y, u) - v, \\v_t &= dv_{\xi\xi} + \Delta_y v + \delta(u - \gamma v),\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where $(\xi, y) \in \mathbf{R}^N = \mathbf{R}^1 \times \mathbf{R}^{N-1}$, $N \geq 2$, $\delta, \gamma > 0$ and $d \geq 0$. A typical example of $f(y, u)$ is $f(y, u) = u(1 - u)(u - \beta)$ for $0 < \beta < 1/2$. Throughout the paper we assume that f is a C^2 function in u and f , f_u , and f_{uu} are bounded in $\{(y, u) \mid y \in \Omega_y, |u| \leq K\}$ for some large constant $K > 0$. In addition, f satisfies (H1)–(H5).

FHN derived from the Hodgkin-Huxley model is a typical model for excitable media. In many fields, such as physics, chemistry, and biology, FHN has become one of the frequently

used-reaction diffusion systems to describe interesting phenomena. The solutions of interest here are traveling wave solutions. Let $x = \xi - ct$, then travelling wave solutions of (1.1) satisfy

$$u_{xx} + cu_x + \Delta_y u + f(y, u) - v = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$dv_{xx} + cv_x + \Delta_y v + \delta(u - \gamma v) = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Over the past decades, this system has been extensively studied. For instance, as $N = 1$, under different assumptions, Systems (1.2) and (1.3) admit standing pulses in [1–3], infinitely many periodic solutions in [3], fronts, back waves in [4, 5] and travelling pulses in [5]. For the higher dimension case $N \geq 2$, symmetric standing waves were established by Reinecke and Sweers [6] and Wei and Winter [7].

As $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, if the solutions are assumed to be bounded, (1.2) and (1.3) tend to the single equation

$$u_{xx} + cu_x + \Delta_y u + f(y, u) = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

Let $f(y, u)$ be a C^2 function $g(u)$ which has the property that for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ $g(0) = g(\theta) = g(1) = 0$, $g_u(0) < 0$, $g_u(\theta) > 0$, $g_u(1) < 0$, $g < 0$ on $(0, \theta)$ and $g > 0$ on $(\theta, 1)$. In addition to the planar waves, (1.4) admits other types of solutions, including travelling curved fronts ($N = 2$), conical shapes and pyramidal shapes ($N \geq 3$) in [8–11]. Moreover, Hamel and Roquejoffre [12] established travelling wave solutions of (1.4) in \mathbf{R}^2 which connect one unstable periodic solution at $x \rightarrow \infty$ ($-\infty$) and one stable constant solution at $x \rightarrow -\infty$ (∞). On the other hand, travelling wave solutions of (1.4) in \mathbf{R}^N connecting a unstable one-peak solution at $x \rightarrow \infty$ ($-\infty$) and a stable constant solution $x \rightarrow -\infty$ (∞) were obtained by Morita and Ninomiya [13].

In this paper, we use the method of super- and subsolutions developed in [13]. Due to technical restriction, we assume $d = 1$. Since (1.3) is linear, v can be solved formally in terms of u . With v expressed in terms of u , Systems (1.2) and (1.3) are reduced to the non-local equation

$$\mathcal{F}[u] := u_{xx} + cu_x + \Delta_y u + f(y, u) - B_c[u] = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where we denote v by $B_c[u] := \delta(-\partial^2/\partial x^2 - c(\partial/\partial x) - \Delta_y + \delta\gamma)^{-1}u$. It is readily seen that if u is independent of x , then by the uniqueness theorem $B_c[u] = \delta(-\Delta_y + \delta\gamma)^{-1}u$. As $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, the asymptotic behaviors of travelling wave solutions of (1.5) formally satisfy

$$\Delta_y u + f(y, u) - B_c[u] = 0, \quad (1.6)$$

where $B_c[u] = \delta(-\Delta_y + \delta\gamma)^{-1}u$. Our main purpose is to look for monostable-type travelling wave solutions $u(x, y)$ which connect a stable solution of (1.6) as $x \rightarrow -\infty$ (∞) and a unstable one as $x \rightarrow \infty$ ($-\infty$). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u(+\infty, y)$ is an unstable solution. Throughout this paper, the following hypotheses are assumed.

(H1) There are two solutions $u_{\pm}(\mathbf{y})$ of (1.6) satisfying $u_-(\mathbf{y}) \geq u_+(\mathbf{y})$. Moreover, there exist an eigenvalue $\mu > 0$ and its corresponding eigenfunction $\phi(\mathbf{y}) > 0$ with $\max_{\{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1}\}} \phi(\mathbf{y}) = 1$ and $\lim_{|\mathbf{y}| \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\mathbf{y}) = 0$ such that

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{y}} \phi + f_u(\mathbf{y}, u_+) \phi - B_c[\phi] = \mu \phi. \tag{1.7}$$

(H2) There exists no other solution $u(\mathbf{y})$ of (1.6) with the property $u_-(\mathbf{y}) \geq u(\mathbf{y}) \geq u_+(\mathbf{y})$.

(H3) $u_-(\mathbf{y}) \geq u_+(\mathbf{y}) + \epsilon \phi(\mathbf{y})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

(H4) For all small $\eta > 0$, there exists solutions $u_+^{\eta}(\mathbf{y})$ satisfying $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} u_+^{\eta}(\mathbf{y}) = u_+(\mathbf{y})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{y}} u_+^{\eta} + f(\mathbf{y}, u_+^{\eta}) - B_c[u_+^{\eta}] + \eta &= 0, \\ u_+^{\eta}(\mathbf{y}) &\geq u_+(\mathbf{y}) + \frac{\eta}{M}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

for some constant $M > 0$.

(H5)

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{y}} \psi_i - (K_1 + \sqrt{\delta}) \psi_i \leq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \tag{1.9}$$

where $K_1 = -\min_{\{u_-(\mathbf{y}) \geq u \geq u_+(\mathbf{y}), \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1}\}} f_u(\mathbf{y}, u) > 0$, $\psi_1 = \phi$, $\psi_2 = u_- - u_+$ and $\psi_3 = u_+^{\eta} - u_+$.

To simplify the proof of the main theorem in this paper, we modify the nonlinear term $f(\mathbf{y}, u)$ such that the minimum and maximum of $f_u(\mathbf{y}, u)$ in $\{u(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1}\}$ are the same as those in $\{u_-(\mathbf{y}) \geq u \geq u_+(\mathbf{y}), \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1}\}$. For convenience, we still denote $f(\mathbf{y}, u)$ for the new modification of f . Let $K^* := \max_{\{u_-(\mathbf{y}) \geq u \geq u_+(\mathbf{y}), \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1}\}} f_u(\mathbf{y}, u) > 0$ and let $K_2 > 0$ satisfy $K_2 + \delta / (\delta \gamma + K_2) = K^*$. We state the main theorem as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $\gamma \geq 2/\sqrt{\delta} + (K_1 + \mu)/\delta$ and (H1)–(H5) hold. Then there exists $c^* = \max\{2\sqrt{\mu}, 2\sqrt{K_2}\} > 0$ such that for all $c \geq c^*$, Systems (1.2) and (1.3) admit a pair of smooth solutions (u^*, v^*) which satisfy $u_x^* \leq 0$, $v_x^* \leq 0$ and the boundary conditions $(u^*, v^*)(\pm\infty, \mathbf{y}) = (u_{\pm}(\mathbf{y}), v_{\pm}(\mathbf{y}))$, where $v_{\pm}(\mathbf{y}) = B_c[u_{\pm}(\mathbf{y})]$.*

Remark 1.2. In (H1), when the inequality $u_-(\mathbf{y}) \geq u_+(\mathbf{y})$ is reversed, that is, $u_-(\mathbf{y}) \leq u_+(\mathbf{y})$, a result similar to Theorem 1.1 can be proved except that the inequalities $u_x^* \leq 0$ and $v_x^* \leq 0$ in Theorem 1.1 need to be replaced by $u_x^* \geq 0$ and $v_x^* \geq 0$, respectively.

Remark 1.3. In fact, (H5) can be weakened to the following assumption:

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{y}} \psi_i - M_i \psi_i \leq 0, \quad \text{for some constants } M_i > 0. \tag{1.10}$$

This condition holds if $\Delta_{\mathbf{y}} \psi_i$ does not decay faster than ψ_i as $|\mathbf{y}| \rightarrow \infty$. In this case, if we choose $\gamma \geq 1/\sqrt{\delta} + (K_3 + \mu)/\delta$, where $K_3 = \max\{M_1, M_2, M_3, K_1 + \sqrt{\delta}\}$, then a similar result can be proved.

It is not easy to find an example which satisfies assumptions (H1)–(H5) even for the case $f(y, u) = u(1 - u)(u - \beta)$ since the stability of the radially symmetric solutions obtained in [6, 7] has not yet been studied. However, we believe that for $\gamma \gg 1$ the structure of System (1.2) and (1.3) are similar to that of (1.5). Accordingly, we extend the result of Theorem 2.1 in [13] to the one in Theorem 1.1.

2. Proof of the Main Theorem

To prove the Theorem 1.1, we use the super- and subsolutions constructed in [13]. By considering the following equation, we construct subsolutions of $\mathcal{F}[u]$. Let $w(x)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} w_{xx} + cw_x + \mu w - w^2 &= 0, \\ w(-\infty) &= \mu, \quad w(\infty) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

For all $c \geq 2\sqrt{\mu}$, the above boundary value problem admits a unique solution $w(x)$ (up to a translation) which is strictly increasing in x . Subsolutions of $\mathcal{F}[u]$ are established as follows.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\underline{U}(x, y) = u_+(y) + \sigma\phi(y)w(x)$. Then there exists $\sigma_1 > 0$ such that $\mathcal{F}[\underline{U}] \geq 0$ for all $0 < \sigma \leq \sigma_1$ and $c \geq 2\sqrt{\mu}$.*

Proof. Let $V := wB_c[\phi] - B_c[\phi w] \geq 0$, then $V \geq 0$. Indeed, it is easy to see that $B_c[\phi] \geq 0$ by the maximum principle and $\phi > 0$. A straightforward calculation gives

$$V_{xx} + cV_x + \Delta_y V - \delta\gamma V = -w(\mu - w)B_c[\phi] \leq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Using the maximum principle, we obtain $V \geq 0$. Therefore by (H1)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[\underline{U}] &= \sigma\phi(w_{xx} + cw_x) + (\Delta_y u_+ - B_c[u_+]) + \sigma w \Delta_y \phi + f(y, u_+ + \sigma\phi w) - \sigma B_c[\phi w] \\ &= \sigma\phi(w_{xx} + cw_x + \mu w) + f(y, u_+ + \sigma\phi w) - f(y, u_+) - f_u(y, u_+)\sigma\phi w + \sigma V \\ &\geq \sigma\phi w^2 + G, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $G = f(y, u_+ + \sigma\phi w) - f(y, u_+) - f_u(y, u_+)\sigma\phi w$.

Let $M_1 = \min_{\{u_-(y) \geq u_+(y), y \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}\}} f_{uu}(y, u)$. By choosing $\sigma \leq \epsilon/\mu$ and using (H3), we obtain $u_+ \leq u_+ + \sigma\phi w \leq u_+ + \epsilon\phi \leq u_-$. According to the mean value theorem, we have $G \geq 0$ if $M_1 \geq 0$ and $G \geq M_1\sigma^2\phi^2 w^2$ if $M_1 < 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{F}[\underline{U}] \geq 0$ if $\sigma \leq \sigma_1$, where $\sigma_1 = \epsilon/\mu$ as $M_1 \geq 0$ and $\sigma_1 = \min\{\epsilon/\mu, -1/M_1\}$ as $M_1 < 0$. The proof is completed. \square

In what follows we construct supersolutions of $\mathcal{F}[u]$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $Q(x) = e^{-((c - \sqrt{(c^2 - 4K_2)/2})x)}$ and $U^+(x, y) = u_+^n(y) + Q(x)$, where $K_2 > 0$ satisfies $K_2 + \delta/(\delta\gamma + K_2) = K^*$ and $c \geq 2\sqrt{K_2}$. Then $\mathcal{F}[U^+] < 0$.*

Proof. Note that $Q_{xx} + cQ_x + K_2Q = 0$ and $0 < B_c[Q] < \infty$. Indeed, by the uniqueness theorem we have $B_c[Q(x)] = \delta(-\partial^2/\partial x^2 - c(\partial/\partial x) + \delta\gamma)^{-1}Q$ and

$$B_c[Q] = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{c^2 + 4\gamma\delta}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-(\sqrt{c^2 + 4\gamma\delta}/2)|x-\xi| + (c/2)(\xi-x)} Q(\xi) d\xi = \frac{\delta}{\delta\gamma + K_2} Q(x). \quad (2.4)$$

It follows from (H4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[U^+] &= (Q_{xx} + cQ_x) + (\Delta_y u_+^\eta - B_c[u_+^\eta]) + f(y, u_+^\eta + Q) - B_c[Q] \\ &= -K_2Q + f(y, u_+^\eta + Q) - f(y, u_+^\eta) - \eta - B_c[Q] \\ &= \left\{ -K_2 + f_u(y, u_+^\eta + \theta Q) - \frac{\delta}{\delta\gamma + K_2} \right\} Q - \eta \leq -\eta < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. The last second inequality is due to

$$K_2 + \frac{\delta}{\delta\gamma + K_2} = \max_{\{u_-(y) \geq u \geq u_+(y), y \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}\}} f_u(y, u). \quad (2.6)$$

We complete the proof of the lemma. \square

Let

$$\mathcal{L}[u] = u_{xx} + cu_x + \Delta_y u - (K_1 + \mu + \sqrt{\delta})u, \quad (2.7)$$

where $K_1 = -\min_{\{u_-(y) \geq u \geq u_+(y), y \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}\}} f_u(y, u) > 0$.

To show the existences of travelling wave solutions of (1.6), we use the following iteration process:

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(x, y) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(-f(u_{n-1}) + B_c[u_{n-1}] - (K_1 + \mu + \sqrt{\delta})u_{n-1} \right), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ u_0(x, y) &= \underline{U}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

In the following lemma, we assert that the supersolutions of \mathcal{F} are greater than or equal to the subsolutions of \mathcal{F} . Moreover, we show that both $U^+ - \underline{U}$ and $u_- - \underline{U}$ are supersolutions of \mathcal{L} , which is useful in the proof of iteration process.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume $\gamma \geq 2/\sqrt{\delta} + (K_1 + \mu)/\delta$ and let $\bar{U} := \min\{U^+(x, y), u_-(y)\}$. Then for all $\eta > 0$ there exists $\sigma_2 > 0$ depending on η such that for all $0 < \sigma \leq \sigma_2$ one has*

$$\bar{U} \geq \underline{U}, \quad \mathcal{L}[U^+ - \underline{U}] \leq 0, \quad \mathcal{L}[u_- - \underline{U}] \leq 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. For the case $\bar{U} = u_-(y)$ we take $\sigma \leq \epsilon/\mu$, then

$$\bar{U} - \underline{U} = u_-(y) - u_+(y) - \sigma\phi(y)w(x) \geq u_-(y) - u_+(y) - \epsilon\phi(y) \geq 0. \quad (2.10)$$

The last inequality holds by (H3). On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{L}[u_- - \underline{U}] = \Delta_y(u_- - u_+) - (K_1 + \mu + \sqrt{\delta})(u_- - u_+) + A, \quad (2.11)$$

where $A = -\sigma\phi(w_{xx} + cw_x) + (K_1 + \mu + \sqrt{\delta})\sigma\phi w - \sigma w\Delta_y\phi$. According to (H5), $|A| \leq \sigma C\phi$ for some positive constant $C = C(\mu, \delta, K_1)$. By choosing $\sigma \leq \epsilon\mu/C$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[u_- - \underline{U}] &\leq \Delta_y(u_- - u_+) - (K_1 + \sqrt{\delta})(u_- - u_+) - \mu(u_- - u_+) + \sigma C\phi \\ &\leq -\epsilon\mu\phi + \sigma C\phi \leq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

which holds due to assumptions (H3) and (H5).

For the case $\bar{U} = u_+^\eta(y) + Q(x)$, given $\eta > 0$ we choose $\sigma \leq \eta/\mu M$ and use assumption (H4), then

$$\bar{U} - \underline{U} = u_+^\eta(y) + Q(x) - u_+(y) - \sigma\phi(y)w(x) \geq \frac{\eta}{M} - \sigma\mu \geq 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[U^+ - \underline{U}] &= \Delta_y(u_+^\eta - u_+) - (K_1 + \mu + \sqrt{\delta})(u_+^\eta - u_+) + A + Q_{xx} + Q_x \\ &\quad - (K_1 + \mu + \sqrt{\delta})Q. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

It is readily seen that $Q_{xx} + Q_x - (K_1 + \mu + \sqrt{\delta})Q \leq 0$. By (H4) and (H5),

$$\mathcal{L}[U^+ - \underline{U}] \leq -\frac{\eta\mu}{M} + \sigma C \leq \quad \text{if } \sigma \leq \frac{\eta\mu}{MC}. \quad (2.15)$$

Setting $\sigma_2 = \min\{\epsilon/\mu, \epsilon\mu/C, \eta/\mu M, \eta\mu/MC\}$, the lemma holds. \square

To generalize the result of Theorem 2.1 in [13], the nonlocal term of (1.5) needs to be better estimated. More precisely, we point wisely control $B_c[u]$ by the local term u such that the iterative sequence u_n is comparable with u_{n-1} .

Lemma 2.4. *Let $u \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^N)$ be nonnegative and solve $u_{xx} + cu_x + \Delta_y u - au \leq 0$ for some constant a . Assume $\gamma \geq a/\delta + 1/b$ for some b . Then $bu - B_c[u] \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $v = B_c[u]$ and $U = bu - v$. Then $v \geq 0$ because of $u \geq 0$ and the maximum principle. Our main purpose is to claim $U \geq 0$. By the assumption of u and the definition of v , we have

$$U_{xx} + cU_x + \Delta_y U - \frac{ab + \delta}{b}U \leq -\left(\delta\gamma - a - \frac{\delta}{b}\right)v \leq 0. \quad (2.16)$$

The last inequality follows from the hypothesis of γ and the nonnegativity of v . By the maximum principle, $U \geq 0$. \square

As γ becomes large, we claim that the iterative sequence u_n is increasing.

Lemma 2.5. *Assume $\gamma \geq 2/\sqrt{\delta} + (K_1 + \mu)/\delta$ and $c \geq c^* = \max\{2\sqrt{\mu}, 2\sqrt{K_2}\}$, then for all $\eta > 0$ and $0 < \sigma \leq \min\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ one has $u_{n,x} \leq 0$ and*

$$u_0 \leq u_1 \leq \cdots \leq u_n \leq \cdots \leq \bar{U}. \quad (2.17)$$

Proof. We first claim that $u_n \leq \bar{U}$ for all n . Indeed, by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 (take $a = K_1 + \mu + \sqrt{\delta}$ and $b = \sqrt{\delta}$) we obtain

$$\sqrt{\delta}(U^+ - u_0) - B_c[U^+ - u_0] \geq 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Therefore Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[U^+ - u_1] &\leq -f(U^+) + B_c[U^+] + f(u_0) - B_c[u_0] - (K_1 + \mu + \sqrt{\delta})(U^+ - u_0) \\ &\leq \{-f_u(\theta U^+(1 - \theta)u_0) - K_1\}(U^+ - u_0) \leq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. According to the maximum principle, $U^+ - u_1 \geq 0$. It follows from the proof of $U^+ - u_1 \geq 0$ that $u_- - u_1 \geq 0$. Therefore $u_1 \leq \bar{U}$. Continuing this process, we have $u_n \leq \bar{U}$ for all n by induction.

Next observe that $\mathcal{L}[u_1 - u_0] = -\mathcal{F}[U] \leq 0$ due to Lemma 2.1. By the maximum principle, $u_1 - u_0 \geq 0$. Applying Lemma 2.4 to $u_1 - u_0$, we have

$$\sqrt{\delta}(u_1 - u_0) - B_c[u_1 - u_0] \geq 0. \quad (2.20)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[u_2 - u_1] &= -(f(u_1) - f(u_0)) + B_c[u_1 - u_0] - (K_1 + \mu + \sqrt{\delta})(u_1 - u_0) \\ &\leq \{-f_u(\theta u_1 + (1 - \theta)u_0) - K_1\}(u_1 - u_0) - \sqrt{\delta}(u_1 - u_0) + B_c[u_1 - u_0] \\ &\leq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. Thus $u_2 \geq u_1$. By induction, the sequence of functions $\{u_n\}$ is nondecreasing. On the other hand, observe that $u_{0,x} = \sigma\phi w_x < 0$. Therefore by (H5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[-u_{0,x}] &= \sigma\phi(\mu w_x - 2\omega w_x) - \sigma w_x \Delta_y \phi + (K_1 + \mu + \sqrt{\delta})\sigma\phi w_x \\ &= -\sigma w_x \left\{ \Delta_y \phi - (K_1 + \sqrt{\delta})\phi + (-2\mu + 2\omega)\phi \right\} \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Using Lemma 2.4 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\delta}(-u_{0,x}) - B_c[-u_{0,x}] &\geq 0, \\ \mathcal{L}[u_{1,x}] &= -f_u(u_0)u_{0,x} + B_c[u_{0,x}] - (K_1 + \mu + \sqrt{\delta})u_{0,x} \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Then $u_{1,x} \leq 0$ by the maximum principle. Inducting in n , we obtain $u_{n,x} \leq 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 2.5, we define $u^*(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x, y)$. Following the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [13], (H2) and (H3), for all $c \geq c^*$ we obtain that $u^*(x, y)$ is a smooth solution of (1.5), $u_x^* \leq 0$ and $u^*(\pm\infty, y) = u_{\pm}(y)$. Let $v^* = B_c[u^*]$, then $v_x^* = B_c[u_x^*] \leq 0$ by the maximum principle. We complete the proof of the theorem. \square

Acknowledgments

C.-C. Huang wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Dr. Li-Chang Hung for his careful reading of the paper and valuable suggestions for improvement, and to his supervisor, Professor Chiun-Chuan Chen for his warm encouragement and invaluable advice throughout his Ph.D. program.

References

- [1] J. D. Dockery, "Existence of standing pulse solutions for an excitable activator-inhibitory system," *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 231–257, 1992.
- [2] G. B. Ermentrout, S. P. Hastings, and W. C. Troy, "Large amplitude stationary waves in an excitable lateral-inhibitory medium," *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 1133–1149, 1984.
- [3] G. A. Klaasen and W. C. Troy, "Stationary wave solutions of a system of reaction-diffusion equations derived from the FitzHugh-Nagumo equations," *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 96–110, 1984.
- [4] H. Ikeda, M. Mimura, and Y. Nishiura, "Global bifurcation phenomena of travelling wave solutions for some bistable reaction-diffusion systems," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 507–526, 1989.
- [5] H. Kokubu, Y. Nishiura, and H. Oka, "Heteroclinic and homoclinic bifurcations in bistable reaction diffusion systems," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 86, no. 2, pp. 260–341, 1990.
- [6] C. Reinecke and G. Sweers, "A positive solution on R^N to a system of elliptic equations of FitzHugh-Nagumo type," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 153, no. 2, pp. 292–312, 1999.
- [7] J. Wei and M. Winter, "Standing waves in the FitzHugh-Nagumo system and a problem in combinatorial geometry," *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 254, no. 2, pp. 359–383, 2006.
- [8] F. Hamel, R. Monneau, and J.-M. Roquejoffre, "Existence and qualitative properties of multidimensional conical bistable fronts," *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems A*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 1069–1096, 2005.

- [9] H. Ninomiya and M. Taniguchi, "Existence and global stability of traveling curved fronts in the Allen-Cahn equations," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 213, no. 1, pp. 204–233, 2005.
- [10] Y. Kurokawa and M. Taniguchi, "Multi-dimensional pyramidal travelling fronts in the Allen-Cahn equations," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, vol. 141, no. 5, pp. 1031–1054, 2011.
- [11] M. Taniguchi, "Traveling fronts of pyramidal shapes in the Allen-Cahn equations," *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 319–344, 2007.
- [12] F. Hamel and J.-M. Roquejoffre, "Heteroclinic connections for multidimensional bistable reaction-diffusion equations," *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems S*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 101–123, 2011.
- [13] Y. Morita and H. Ninomiya, "Monostable-type traveling waves of bistable reaction-diffusion equations in the multi-dimensional space," *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 567–584, 2008.