Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Abstract and Applied Analysis
Volume 2012, Article ID 569201, 11 pages
doi:10.1155/2012/569201

Research Article

Oscillation of Third-Order Neutral Delay Differential Equations

Tongxing Li,[1,2] Chenghui Zhang,[1] and Guojing Xing[1]

[1] *School of Control Science and Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250061, China*
[2] *School of Mathematical Science, University of Jinan, Shandong 250022, China*

Correspondence should be addressed to Chenghui Zhang, zchui@sdu.edu.cn

Received 8 July 2011; Revised 29 October 2011; Accepted 1 November 2011

Academic Editor: P. J. Y. Wong

The purpose of this paper is to examine oscillatory properties of the third-order neutral delay differential equation $[a(t)(b(t)(x(t) + p(t)x(\sigma(t)))')']' + q(t)x(\tau(t)) = 0$. Some oscillatory and asymptotic criteria are presented. These criteria improve and complement those results in the literature. Moreover, some examples are given to illustrate the main results.

1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the oscillation and asymptotic behavior of the third-order neutral differential equation

$$\left[a(t)\left(b(t)\left(x(t)+p(t)x(\sigma(t))\right)'\right)'\right]' + q(t)x(\tau(t)) = 0. \tag{E}$$

We always assume that

(H1) $a(t), b(t), p(t), q(t) \in C([t_0,\infty)), a(t) > 0, b(t) > 0, q(t) > 0$,

(H2) $\tau(t), \sigma(t) \in C([t_0,\infty)), \tau(t) \le t, \sigma(t) \le t, \lim_{t\to\infty}\tau(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty}\sigma(t) = \infty$.

We set $z(t) := x(t) + p(t)x(\sigma(t))$. By a solution of (E), we mean a nontrivial function $x(t) \in C([T_x,\infty)), T_x \ge t_0$, which has the properties $z(t) \in C^1([T_x,\infty)), b(t)z'(t) \in C^1([T_x,\infty))$, $a(t)(b(t)z'(t))' \in C^1([T_x,\infty))$ and satisfies (E) on $[T_x,\infty)$. We consider only those solutions $x(t)$ of (E) which satisfy $\sup\{|x(t)| : t \ge T\} > 0$ for all $T \ge T_x$. We assume that (E) possesses such a solution. A solution of (E) is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros on $[T_x,\infty)$;

otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory. Equation (E) is said to be almost oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory or convergent to zero asymptotically.

Recently, great attention has been devoted to the oscillation of differential equations; see, for example, the papers [1–30]. Hartman and Wintner [9], Hanan [10], and Erbe [8] studied a particular case of (E), namely, the third-order differential equation

$$x'''(t) + q(t)x(t) = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Equation (E) with $p(t) = 0$ plays an important role in the study of the oscillation of third-order trinomial delay differential equation

$$x'''(t) + p(t)x'(t) + g(t)x(\tau(t)) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

see [6, 12, 27]. Baculíková and Džurina [21, 22], Candan and Dahiya [25], Grace et al. [28], and Saker and Džurina [30] examined the oscillation behavior of (E) with $p(t) = 0$. It seems that there are few results on the oscillation of (E) with a neutral term. Baculíková and Džurina [23, 24] and Thandapani and Li [17] investigated the oscillation of (E) under the assumption

$$b(t) = 1, \qquad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \mathrm{d}t = \infty, \quad a'(t) \ge 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Graef et al. [13] and Candan and Dahiya [26] considered the oscillation of

$$\left[a(t)\Big(b(t)\big(x(t) + p_1 x(t-\sigma)\big)'\Big)'\right]' + q(t)x(t-\tau) = 0, \quad 0 \le p_1 < 1. \tag{E_1}$$

In this paper, we shall further the investigation of the oscillations of (E) and (E_1). Three cases:

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \mathrm{d}t = \infty, \qquad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(t)} \mathrm{d}t = \infty, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \mathrm{d}t < \infty, \qquad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(t)} \mathrm{d}t = \infty, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \mathrm{d}t < \infty, \qquad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(t)} \mathrm{d}t < \infty, \tag{1.6}$$

are studied.

In the following, all functional inequalities considered in this paper are assumed to hold eventually, that is, they are satisfied for all t large enough. Without loss of generality, we can deal only with the positive solutions of (E).

2. Main Results

In this section, we will give the main results.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that* (1.4) *holds,* $0 \le p(t) \le p_1 < 1$. *If for some function* $\rho \in C^1([t_0,\infty), (0,\infty))$, *for all sufficiently large* $t_1 \ge t_0$ *and for* $t_3 > t_2 > t_1$, *one has*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_{t_3}^{t}\left(\rho(s)q(s)(1-p(\tau(s)))\frac{\int_{t_2}^{\tau(s)}\left(\int_{t_1}^{v}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u/b(v)\right)\mathrm{d}v}{\int_{t_1}^{s}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u}-\frac{a(s)\left(\rho'(s)\right)^2}{4\rho(s)}\right)\mathrm{d}s=\infty, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{b(v)}\int_{v}^{\infty}\frac{1}{a(u)}\int_{u}^{\infty}q(s)\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}v=\infty, \tag{2.2}$$

then (E) *is almost oscillatory.*

Proof. Assume that x is a positive solution of (E). Based on the condition (1.4), there exist two possible cases:

(1) $z(t) > 0, z'(t) > 0, (b(t)z'(t))' > 0, [a(t)(b(t)z'(t))']' < 0$,

(2) $z(t) > 0, z'(t) < 0, (b(t)z'(t))' > 0, [a(t)(b(t)z'(t))']' < 0$ for $t \ge t_1$, t_1 is large enough.

Assume that case (1) holds. We define the function ω by

$$\omega(t)=\rho(t)\frac{a(t)(b(t)z'(t))'}{b(t)z'(t)},\quad t\ge t_1. \tag{2.3}$$

Then, $\omega(t) > 0$ for $t \ge t_1$. Using $z'(t) > 0$, we have

$$x(t)\ge(1-p(t))z(t). \tag{2.4}$$

Since

$$b(t)z'(t)\ge\int_{t_1}^{t}\frac{a(s)(b(s)z'(s))'}{a(s)}\ge a(t)(b(t)z'(t))'\int_{t_1}^{t}\frac{1}{a(s)}\mathrm{d}s, \tag{2.5}$$

we have that

$$\left(\frac{b(t)z'(t)}{\int_{t_1}^{t}(1/a(s))\mathrm{d}s}\right)'\le 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned}z(t)&=z(t_2)+\int_{t_2}^{t}\frac{b(s)z'(s)}{\int_{t_1}^{s}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u}\frac{\int_{t_1}^{s}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u}{b(s)}\mathrm{d}s\\&\ge\frac{b(t)z'(t)}{\int_{t_1}^{t}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u}\int_{t_2}^{t}\frac{\int_{t_1}^{s}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u}{b(s)}\mathrm{d}s,\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

for $t \geq t_2 > t_1$. Differentiating (2.3), we obtain

$$\omega(t) = \rho'(t)\frac{a(t)(b(t)z'(t))'}{b(t)z'(t)} + \rho(t)\frac{\left(a(t)(b(t)z'(t))'\right)'}{b(t)z'(t)} - \rho(t)\frac{a(t)(b(t)z'(t))'(b(t)z'(t))'}{(b(t)z'(t))^2}. \quad (2.8)$$

It follows from (E), (2.3), and (2.4) that

$$\omega'(t) \leq \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)}\omega(t) - \rho(t)q(t)(1-p(\tau(t)))\frac{z(\tau(t))}{b(t)z'(t)} - \frac{\omega^2(t)}{\rho(t)a(t)}, \quad (2.9)$$

that is,

$$\omega'(t) \leq \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)}\omega(t) - \rho(t)q(t)(1-p(\tau(t)))\frac{z(\tau(t))}{b(\tau(t))z'(\tau(t))}\frac{b(\tau(t))z'(\tau(t))}{b(t)z'(t)} - \frac{\omega^2(t)}{\rho(t)a(t)}, \quad (2.10)$$

which follows from (2.6) and (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}\omega'(t) &\leq \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)}\omega(t) - \rho(t)q(t)(1-p(\tau(t)))\frac{\int_{t_2}^{\tau(t)}\left(\int_{t_1}^{s}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u/b(s)\right)\mathrm{d}s}{\int_{t_1}^{\tau(t)}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u}\frac{\int_{t_1}^{\tau(t)}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u}{\int_{t_1}^{t}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u} - \frac{\omega^2(t)}{\rho(t)a(t)} \\ &= \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)}\omega(t) - \rho(t)q(t)(1-p(\tau(t)))\frac{\int_{t_2}^{\tau(t)}\left(\int_{t_1}^{s}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u/b(s)\right)\mathrm{d}s}{\int_{t_1}^{t}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u} - \frac{\omega^2(t)}{\rho(t)a(t)}.\end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Hence, we have

$$\omega'(t) \leq -\rho(t)q(t)(1-p(\tau(t)))\frac{\int_{t_2}^{\tau(t)}\left(\int_{t_1}^{s}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u/b(s)\right)\mathrm{d}s}{\int_{t_1}^{t}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u} + \frac{a(t)\left(\rho'(t)\right)^2}{4\rho(t)}. \quad (2.12)$$

Integrating the last inequality from $t_3(> t_2)$ to t, we get

$$\int_{t_3}^{t}\left(\rho(s)q(s)(1-p(\tau(s)))\frac{\int_{t_2}^{\tau(s)}\left(\int_{t_1}^{v}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u/b(v)\right)\mathrm{d}v}{\int_{t_1}^{s}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u} - \frac{a(s)\left(\rho'(s)\right)^2}{4\rho(s)}\right)\mathrm{d}s \leq \omega(t_3), \quad (2.13)$$

which contradicts (2.1).

Assume that case (2) holds. Using the similar proof of [23, Lemma 2], we can get $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$ due to condition (2.2). This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that* (1.5) *holds,* $0 \leq p(t) \leq p_1 < 1$*. Further, assume that for some function* $\rho \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$*, for all sufficiently large* $t_1 \geq t_0$ *and for* $t_3 > t_2 > t_1$*, one has* (2.1) *and* (2.2)*. If*

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_2}^{t} \left(\delta(s) q(s) (1 - p(\tau(s))) \int_{t_1}^{\tau(s)} \frac{dv}{b(v)} - \frac{1}{4\delta(s) a(s)} \right) ds = \infty, \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$\delta(t) := \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)} ds, \tag{2.15}$$

then (E) is almost oscillatory.

Proof. Assume that x is a positive solution of (E). Based on the condition (1.5), there exist three possible cases (1), (2) (as those of Theorem 2.1), and

(3) $z(t) > 0$, $z'(t) > 0$, $(b(t)z'(\mathrm{t}))' < 0, [a(t)(b(t)z'(t))']' < 0$, for $t \geq t_1$, t_1 is large enough.

Assume that case (1) and case (2) hold, respectively. We can obtain the conclusion of Theorem 2.2 by applying the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Assume that case (3) holds. From $[a(t)(b(t)z'(t))']' < 0$, $a(t)(b(t)z'(t))'$ is decreasing. Thus, we get

$$a(s)\left(b(s)z'(s)\right)' \leq a(t)\left(b(t)z'(t)\right)', \quad s \geq t \geq t_1. \tag{2.16}$$

Dividing the above inequality by $a(s)$ and integrating it from t to l, we obtain

$$b(l)z'(l) \leq b(t)z'(t) + a(t)\left(b(t)z'(t)\right)' \int_{t}^{l} \frac{ds}{a(s)}. \tag{2.17}$$

Letting $l \to \infty$, we have

$$0 \leq b(t)z'(t) + a(t)\left(b(t)z'(t)\right)' \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{a(s)}, \tag{2.18}$$

that is,

$$-\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{a(s)} \frac{a(t)(b(t)z'(t))'}{b(t)z'(t)} \leq 1. \tag{2.19}$$

Define function ϕ by

$$\phi(t) := \frac{a(t)(b(t)z'(t))'}{b(t)z'(t)}, \quad t \geq t_1. \tag{2.20}$$

Then, $\phi(t) < 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. Hence, by (2.19) and (2.20), we get

$$-\delta(t)\phi(t) \leq 1. \tag{2.21}$$

Differentiating (2.20), we obtain

$$\phi'(t) = \frac{\left(a(t)(b(t)z'(t))'\right)'}{b(t)z'(t)} - \frac{a(t)(b(t)z'(t))'(b(t)z'(t))'}{(b(t)z'(t))^2}. \tag{2.22}$$

Using $z'(t) > 0$, we have (2.4). From (E) and (2.4), we have

$$\phi'(t) \leq -q(t)\left(1 - p(\tau(t))\right)\frac{z(\tau(t))}{b(t)z'(t)} - \frac{a(t)(b(t)z'(t))'(b(t)z'(t))'}{(b(t)z'(t))^2}. \tag{2.23}$$

In view of (3), we see that

$$z(t) \geq b(t)\int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{b(s)} z'(t). \tag{2.24}$$

Hence,

$$\left(\frac{z(t)}{\int_{t_1}^{t}(\mathrm{d}s/b(s))}\right)' \leq 0, \tag{2.25}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{z(\tau(t))}{z(t)} \geq \frac{\int_{t_1}^{\tau(t)}(\mathrm{d}s/b(s))}{\int_{t_1}^{t}(\mathrm{d}s/b(s))}. \tag{2.26}$$

By (2.20) and (2.23), (2.24), and (2.26), we obtain

$$\phi'(t) \leq -q(t)\left(1 - p(\tau(t))\right)\int_{t_1}^{\tau(t)} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{b(s)} - \frac{\phi^2(t)}{a(t)}. \tag{2.27}$$

Multiplying the last inequality by $\delta(t)$ and integrating it from $t_2(> t_1)$ to t, we have

$$\phi(t)\delta(t) - \phi(t_2)\delta(t_2) + \int_{t_2}^{t} \delta(s)q(s)\left(1 - p(\tau(s))\right)\int_{t_1}^{\tau(s)} \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{b(v)}\mathrm{d}s + \int_{t_2}^{t} \frac{\phi^2(s)\delta(s)}{a(s)}\mathrm{d}s + \int_{t_2}^{t} \frac{\phi(s)}{a(s)}\mathrm{d}s \leq 0, \tag{2.28}$$

which follows that

$$\int_{t_2}^{t}\left(\delta(s)q(s)(1-p(\tau(s)))\int_{t_1}^{\tau(s)}\frac{dv}{b(v)}-\frac{1}{4\delta(s)a(s)}\right)ds \le 1+\phi(t_2)\delta(t_2) \tag{2.29}$$

due to (2.21), which contradicts (2.14). This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that* (1.6) *holds,* $0 \le p(t) \le p_1 < 1$*. Further, assume that for some function* $\rho \in C^1([t_0,\infty),(0,\infty))$*, for all sufficiently large* $t_1 \ge t_0$ *and for* $t_3 > t_2 > t_1$*, one has* (2.1), (2.2), *and* (2.14). *If*

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{b(v)}\int_{t_1}^{v}\frac{1}{a(u)}\int_{t_1}^{u}\eta(s)q(s)\xi(\tau(s))ds\,du\,dv=\infty, \tag{2.30}$$

where

$$\eta(t):=1-p(\tau(t))\frac{\xi(\sigma(\tau(t)))}{\xi(\tau(t))}>0, \qquad \xi(t):=\int_{t}^{\infty}\frac{1}{b(s)}ds, \tag{2.31}$$

then (E) *is almost oscillatory.*

Proof. Assume that x is a positive solution of (E). Based on the condition (1.6), there exist four possible cases (1), (2), and (3) (as those of Theorem 2.2), and

(4) $z(t) > 0$, $z'(t) < 0$, $(b(t)z'(t))' < 0$, $[a(t)(b(t)z'(t))']' < 0$, for $t \ge t_1$, t_1 is large enough.

Assume that case (1), case (2), and case (3) hold, respectively. We can obtain the conclusion of Theorem 2.3 by using the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Assume that case (4) holds. Since $(b(t)z'(t))' < 0$, we get

$$z'(s) \le \frac{b(t)z'(t)}{b(s)}, \quad s \ge t, \tag{2.32}$$

which implies that

$$z(t) \ge -\xi(t)b(t)z'(t) \ge L\xi(t) \tag{2.33}$$

for some constant $L > 0$. By (2.33), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{z(t)}{\xi(t)}\right)' \ge 0. \tag{2.34}$$

Using (2.34), we see that

$$x(t)=z(t)-p(t)x(\sigma(t)) \ge z(t)-p(t)z(\sigma(t)) \ge \left(1-p(t)\frac{\xi(\sigma(t))}{\xi(t)}\right)z(t). \tag{2.35}$$

From (E), (2.33), and (2.35), we have

$$\left[a(t)\left(b(t)z'(t)\right)'\right]' + Lq(t)\left(1 - p(\tau(t))\frac{\xi(\sigma(\tau(t)))}{\xi(\tau(t))}\right)\xi(\tau(t)) \le 0. \tag{2.36}$$

Integrating the last inequality from t_1 to t, we get

$$a(t)\left(b(t)z'(t)\right)' + L\int_{t_1}^{t} q(s)\left(1 - p(\tau(s))\frac{\xi(\sigma(\tau(s)))}{\xi(\tau(s))}\right)\xi(\tau(s))\mathrm{d}s \le 0. \tag{2.37}$$

Integrating again, we have

$$b(t)z'(t) + L\int_{t_1}^{t}\frac{1}{a(u)}\int_{t_1}^{u} q(s)\left(1 - p(\tau(s))\frac{\xi(\sigma(\tau(s)))}{\xi(\tau(s))}\right)\xi(\tau(s))\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}u \le 0. \tag{2.38}$$

Integrating again, we obtain

$$z(t_1) \ge L\int_{t_1}^{t}\frac{1}{b(v)}\int_{t_1}^{v}\frac{1}{a(u)}\int_{t_1}^{u} q(s)\left(1 - p(\tau(s))\frac{\xi(\sigma(\tau(s)))}{\xi(\tau(s))}\right)\xi(\tau(s))\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}v + z(t), \tag{2.39}$$

which contradicts (2.30). This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that* (1.6) *holds,* $0 \le p(t) \le p_1 < 1$. *Further, assume that for some function* $\rho \in C^1([t_0,\infty),(0,\infty))$, *for all sufficiently large* $t_1 \ge t_0$ *and for* $t_3 > t_2 > t_1$, *one has* (2.1), (2.2) *and* (2.14). *If*

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{b(v)}\int_{t_1}^{v}\frac{1}{a(u)}\int_{t_1}^{u} q(s)\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}v = \infty, \tag{2.40}$$

then (E) is almost oscillatory.

Proof. Assume that x is a positive solution of (E). Based on the condition (1.6), there exist four possible cases (1), (2), (3), and (4) (as those of Theorem 2.3).

Assume that case (1), case (2), and case (3) hold, respectively. We can obtain the conclusion of Theorem 2.4 by using the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Assume that case (4) holds. Then, $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = l \ge 0$($l$ is finite). Assume that $l > 0$. Then, from the proof of [23, Lemma 2], we see that there exists a constant $k > 0$ such that

$$x(t) \ge kl. \tag{2.41}$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.3 and hence is omitted. □

3. Examples

In this section, we will present some examples to illustrate the main results.

Example 3.1. Consider the third-order neutral delay differential equation

$$\left(t\left(x(t)+p_1x\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)''\right)'+\frac{\lambda}{t^2}x(t)=0,\quad \lambda>0,\ t\geq 1, \tag{3.1}$$

where $p_1\in[0,1)$.

Let $\rho(t)=t$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that every solution x of (3.1) is either oscillatory or $\lim_{t\to\infty}x(t)=0$, if $\lambda>1/(4k(1-p_1))$ for some $k\in(1/4,1)$.

Note that (3.1) is almost oscillatory, if $\lambda>2/(1-p_1)$ due to [23, Corollary 3].

Example 3.2. Consider the third-order neutral delay differential equation

$$\left(\frac{1}{t}\left(t^{1/2}\left(x(t)+\frac{1}{2}x(t-\pi)\right)'\right)'\right)'+\left(\frac{t^{-1/2}}{2}+\frac{3}{8}t^{-5/2}\right)x\left(t-\frac{7\pi}{2}\right)=0, \tag{3.2}$$

$t\geq 1$.

Let $\rho(t)=1$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that every solution x of (3.2) is almost oscillatory. One such solution is $x(t)=\sin t$.

Example 3.3. Consider the third-order neutral delay differential equation

$$\left(t^{4/3}\left(x(t)+p_1x\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)''\right)'+\frac{\lambda}{t^{5/3}}x(t)=0,\quad \lambda>0,\ t\geq 1, \tag{3.3}$$

where $p_1\in[0,1)$.

Let $\rho(t)=1$. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that every solution x of (3.3) is either oscillatory or $\lim_{t\to\infty}x(t)=0$, if $\lambda>1/(36k(1-p_1))$ for some $k\in(1/4,1)$.

Note that [22, Theorem 1] cannot be applied to (3.3) when $p_1=0$.

Example 3.4. Consider the third-order neutral delay differential equation

$$\left(t^2\left(t^2\left(x(t)+\frac{1}{3}x\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)'\right)'\right)'+\lambda t^2x(t)=0,\quad \lambda>0, t\geq 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Let $\rho(t)=1$. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that every solution x of (3.4) is either oscillatory or $\lim_{t\to\infty}x(t)=0$, if $\lambda>0$.

4. Remarks

Remark 4.1. In [3], Agarwal et al. established a well-known result; see [4, Lemma 6.1]. Using [4, Lemma 6.1] and defining the function ω as in Theorem 2.1 with $\rho(t) = 1$, we can replace condition (2.1) with

$$\left(a(t)y'(t)\right)' + q(t)\left(1 - p(\tau(t))\right)\frac{\int_{t_2}^{\tau(t)}\left(\int_{t_1}^{s}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u/b(s)\right)\mathrm{d}s}{\int_{t_1}^{t}(1/a(u))\mathrm{d}u}y(t) = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

that is oscillatory. Similarly, we can replace condition (2.14) by

$$\left(a(t)y'(t)\right)' + q(t)\left(1 - p(\tau(t))\right)\int_{t_1}^{\tau(t)}\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{b(s)}y(t) = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

that is oscillatory.

Remark 4.2. The results for (E) can be extended to the nonlinear differential equations.

Remark 4.3. It is interesting to find a method to study (E) for the case when

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{a(t)}\mathrm{d}t = \infty, \qquad \int_{t_0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{b(t)}\mathrm{d}t < \infty. \tag{4.3}$$

Remark 4.4. It is interesting to find other methods to present some sufficient conditions which guarantee that every solution of (E) is oscillatory.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referees and Professor P. J. Y. Wong for the comments which helped to improve the paper. This research is supported by NNSF of PR China (Grant no. 61034007, 60874016, and 50977054). The second author would like to express his gratitude to Professors Ravi P. Agarwal and Martin Bohner for their selfless guidance.

References

[1] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace, and D. O'Regan, *Oscillation Theory for Difference and Functional Differential Equations*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2000.

[2] R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, and W.-T. Li, *Nonoscillation and Oscillation: Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, vol. 267 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 2004.

[3] R. P. Agarwal, S.-L. Shieh, and C.-C. Yeh, "Oscillation criteria for second-order retarded differential equations," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 1–11, 1997.

[4] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace, and D. O'Regan, "The oscillation of certain higher-order functional differential equations," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 37, no. 7-8, pp. 705–728, 2003.

[5] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace, and D. O'Regan, "Oscillation criteria for certain nth order differential equations with deviating arguments," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 262, no. 2, pp. 601–622, 2001.

[6] R. P. Agarwal, M. F. Aktas, and A. Tiryaki, “On oscillation criteria for third order nonlinear delay differential equations,” *Archivum Mathematicum*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 2009.

[7] L. H. Erbe, Q. Kong, and B. G. Zhang, *Oscillation Theory for Functional-Differential Equations*, vol. 190 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 1995.

[8] L. Erbe, “Existence of oscillatory solutions and asymptotic behavior for a class of third order linear differential equations,” *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 369–385, 1976.

[9] P. Hartman and A. Wintner, “Linear differential and difference equations with monotone solutions,” *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 75, pp. 731–743, 1953.

[10] M. Hanan, “Oscillation criteria for third-order linear differential equations,” *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 11, pp. 919–944, 1961.

[11] J. Džurina, “Asymptotic properties of the third order delay differential equations,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 33–39, 1996.

[12] J. Džurina and R. Kotorová, “Properties of the third order trinomial differential equations with delay argument,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 71, no. 5-6, pp. 1995–2002, 2009.

[13] J. R. Graef, R. Savithri, and E. Thandapani, “Oscillatory properties of third order neutral delay differential equations,” *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems A*, pp. 342–350, 2003.

[14] T. S. Hassan, “Oscillation of third order nonlinear delay dynamic equations on time scales,” *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 49, no. 7-8, pp. 1573–1586, 2009.

[15] T. Li, Z. Han, S. Sun, and Y. Zhao, “Oscillation results for third order nonlinear delay dynamic equations on time scales,” *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 639–648, 2011.

[16] T. Li and E. Thandapani, “Oscillation of solutions to odd-order nonlinear neutral functional differential equations,” *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, p. No. 23, 12, 2011.

[17] E. Thandapani and T. Li, “On the oscillation of third-order quasi-linear neutral functional differential equations,” *Archivum Mathematicum*, vol. 47, pp. 181–199, 2011.

[18] C. Zhang, T. Li, B. Sun, and E. Thandapani, “On the oscillation of higher-order half-linear delay differential equations,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 24, no. 9, pp. 1618–1621, 2011.

[19] R. P. Agarwal, B. Baculíková, J. Džurina, and T. Li, “Oscillation of third-order nonlinear functional differential equations with mixed arguments,” to appear in *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*.

[20] B. Baculíková, E. M. Elabbasy, S. H. Saker, and J. Džurina, “Oscillation criteria for third-order nonlinear differential equations,” *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 201–220, 2008.

[21] B. Baculíková and J. Džurina, “Oscillation of third-order nonlinear differential equations,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 466–470, 2011.

[22] B. Baculíková and J. Džurina, “Oscillation of third-order functional differential equations,” *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, vol. 43, pp. 1–10, 2010.

[23] B. Baculíková and J. Džurina, “Oscillation of third-order neutral differential equations,” *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 52, no. 1-2, pp. 215–226, 2010.

[24] B. Baculíková and J. Džurina, “On the asymptotic behavior of a class of third order nonlinear neutral differential equations,” *Central European Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 1091–1103, 2010.

[25] T. Candan and R. S. Dahiya, “Oscillation of third order functional differential equations with delay,” in *Proceedings of the Fifth Mississippi State Conference on Differential Equations and Computational Simulations (Mississippi State, MS, 2001)*, vol. 10 of *Electron. J. Differ. Equ. Conf.*, pp. 79–88, Southwest Texas State Univ., San Marcos, Tex, USA, 2003.

[26] T. Candan and R. S. Dahiya, “Functional differential equations of third order,” *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Conference*, vol. 12, pp. 47–56, 2005.

[27] A. Tiryaki and M. F. Aktaş, “Oscillation criteria of a certain class of third order nonlinear delay differential equations with damping,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 325, no. 1, pp. 54–68, 2007.

[28] S. R. Grace, R. P. Agarwal, R. Pavani, and E. Thandapani, “On the oscillation of certain third order nonlinear functional differential equations,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 202, no. 1, pp. 102–112, 2008.

[29] S. H. Saker, “Oscillation criteria of third-order nonlinear delay differential equations,” *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 433–450, 2006.

[30] S. H. Saker and J. Džurina, “On the oscillation of certain class of third-order nonlinear delay differential equations,” *Mathematica Bohemica*, vol. 135, no. 3, pp. 225–237, 2010.