

Research Article

A Fixed Point Approach to the Stability of a Cauchy-Jensen Functional Equation

Jae-Hyeong Bae¹ and Won-Gil Park²

¹ Graduate School of Education, Kyung Hee University, Yongin 446-701, Republic of Korea

² Department of Mathematics Education, College of Education, Mokwon University, Daejeon 302-729, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Won-Gil Park, wgpark@mokwon.ac.kr

Received 16 February 2012; Revised 6 April 2012; Accepted 20 April 2012

Academic Editor: Krzysztof Cieplinski

Copyright © 2012 J.-H. Bae and W.-G. Park. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We find out the general solution of a generalized Cauchy-Jensen functional equation and prove its stability. In fact, we investigate the existence of a Cauchy-Jensen mapping related to the generalized Cauchy-Jensen functional equation and prove its uniqueness. In the last section of this paper, we treat a fixed point approach to the stability of the Cauchy-Jensen functional equation.

1. Introduction

In 1940, Ulam [1] gave a wide-range talk before the Mathematics Club of the University of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of important unsolved problems. Among those was the question concerning the stability of homomorphisms.

Let G_1 be a group and let G_2 be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a function $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(xy), h(x)h(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there is a homomorphism $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with $d(h(x), H(x)) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in G_1$?

The case of approximately additive mappings was solved by Hyers [2] under the assumption that G_1 and G_2 are Banach spaces. In 1978, Rassias [3] gave a generalization of Hyers's result. Many authors investigated solutions or stability of various functional equations (see [4–7]).

Let X be a set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a generalized metric on X if d satisfies

- (1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (3) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Note that the only substantial difference of the generalized metric from the metric is that the range of generalized metric includes the infinity.

In this paper, let X and Y be two real vector spaces.

Definition 1.1. A mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *Cauchy-Jensen mapping* if f satisfies the system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + y, z) &= f(x, z) + f(y, z), \\ 2f\left(x, \frac{y + z}{2}\right) &= f(x, y) + f(x, z). \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

When $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$, the function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x, y) := axy + bx$ is a solution of (1.1).

For a mappings $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$, consider the functional equation:

$$nf\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n y_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_i, y_j), \quad (1.2)$$

where n is a fixed integer greater than 1. In 2006, the authors [8] solved the functional equation:

$$2f\left(x + y, \frac{z + w}{2}\right) = f(x, z) + f(x, w) + f(y, z) + f(y, w), \quad (1.3)$$

which is a special case of (1.2) for $n = 2$.

In this paper, we find out the general solution and we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1.2).

2. General Solution of (1.2)

The following lemma is a well-known fact (see, e.g., [6]).

Lemma 2.1. *A mapping $g : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies Jensen's functional equation:*

$$2g\left(\frac{y + z}{2}\right) = g(y) + g(z) \quad (2.1)$$

for all $y, z \in X$ if and only if it satisfies the generalized Jensen's functional equation:

$$ng\left(\frac{y_1 + \cdots + y_n}{n}\right) = g(y_1) + \cdots + g(y_n) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$.

Theorem 2.2. *A mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (1.1) if and only if it satisfies (1.2).*

Proof. If f satisfies (1.1), then we get

$$nf \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \right) = n \sum_{i=1}^n f \left(x_i, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \right), \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$. Hence, we obtain that f satisfies (1.2) by Lemma 2.1.

Conversely, assume that f satisfies (1.2). Letting $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$ and $y_1 = \dots = y_n = z$ in (1.2), we get $f(0, z) = 0$ for all $z \in X$. Putting $x_1 = x, x_2 = y, x_3 = \dots = x_n = 0$, and $y_1 = \dots = y_n = z$ in (1.2), we have

$$f(x + y, z) = f(x, z) + f(y, z) \quad (2.4)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Setting $x_1 = x$ and $x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$ in (1.2), we obtain that

$$nf \left(x, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n f(x, y_j) \quad (2.5)$$

for all $x, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$. By Lemma 2.1, we see that

$$2f \left(x, \frac{y+z}{2} \right) = f(x, y) + f(x, z), \quad (2.6)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. □

3. Stability of (1.3) Using the Alternative of Fixed Point

In this section, let Y be a real Banach space. We investigate the stability of functional equation (1.3) using the alternative of fixed point. Before proceeding the proof, we will state the theorem which is the alternative of fixed point.

Theorem 3.1 (The alternative of fixed point [9]). *Suppose that one is given a complete generalized metric space (Ω, d) and a strictly contractive mapping $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ with Lipschitz constant L . Then, for each given $x \in \Omega$, either*

$$d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = \infty \quad \forall n \geq 0, \quad (3.1)$$

Or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

- (i) $d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) < \infty$ for all $n \geq n_0$;
- (ii) the sequence $(T^n x)$ is convergent to a fixed point y^* of T ;
- (iii) y^* is the unique fixed point of T in the set $\Delta = \{y \in \Omega \mid d(T^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$;
- (iv) $d(y, y^*) \leq 1/(1-L)d(y, Ty)$ for all $y \in \Delta$.

From now on, let Ω be the set of all mappings $g : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $g(0, 0) = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\varphi : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. Consider the generalized metric d on Ω given by

$$d(g, h) = d_\varphi(g, h) := \inf S_\varphi(g, h), \quad (3.2)$$

where $S_\varphi(g, h) := \{K \in [0, \infty] \mid \|g(x, y) - h(x, y)\| \leq K\varphi(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X\}$ for all $g, h \in \Omega$. Then, (Ω, d) is complete.

Proof. Let $\{g_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in (Ω, d) . Then, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists N such that $d(g_n, g_k) < \varepsilon$ if $n, k \geq N$. Let $n, k \geq N$. Since $d(g_n, g_k) = \inf S_\varphi(g_n, g_k) < \varepsilon$, there exists $K \in [0, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$\|g_n(x, y) - g_k(x, y)\| \leq K\varphi(x, y) \leq \varepsilon\varphi(x, y) \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So, for each $x, y \in X$, $\{g_n(x, y)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y . Since Y is complete, for each $x, y \in X$, there exists $g(x, y) \in Y$ such that $g_n(x, y) \rightarrow g(x, y)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So $g(0, 0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(0, 0) = 0$. Thus, we have $g \in \Omega$. Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.3), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} n \geq N &\implies \|g_n(x, y) - g(x, y)\| \leq \varepsilon\varphi(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X \\ &\implies \varepsilon \in S_\varphi(g_n, g) \\ &\implies d(g_n, g) = \inf S_\varphi(g_n, g) \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Hence, $g_n \rightarrow g \in \Omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. □

Using an idea of Cădariu and Radu (see [10] and also [4] where applications of different fixed point theorems to the theory of the Hyers-Ulam stability can be found), we will prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of (1.3).

Theorem 3.3. Let $L \in (0, 1)$ and φ satisfy

$$\varphi(x, y, z, w) \leq 6L\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{3}, \frac{w}{3}\right) \quad (3.5)$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. Suppose that a mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ fulfils $f(0, 0) = 0$ and the functional inequality:

$$\left\| 2f\left(x + y, \frac{z + w}{2}\right) - f(x, z) - f(x, w) - f(y, z) - f(y, w) \right\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z, w) \quad (3.6)$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. Then, there exists a unique mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (1.3) such that

$$\|f(x, y) - F(x, y)\| \leq \frac{L}{1-L}\varphi(x, y), \quad (3.7)$$

where $\varphi : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, y) &:= \varphi(x, x, y, -y) + 2\varphi(x, x, -y, y) + \varphi(x, x, y, y) + \varphi(x, x, -y, 3y) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x, x, 3y, 3y) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. By a similar method to the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [11], we have the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} (\|6f(x, y) - f(2x, 3y)\|) &\leq \varphi(x, x, y, -y) + 2\varphi(x, x, -y, y) \\ &\quad + \varphi(x, x, y, y) + \varphi(x, x, -y, 3y) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x, x, 3y, 3y) \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By (3.5), we get

$$\|6f(x, y) - f(2x, 3y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \leq 6L\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{3}\right) \quad (3.10)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Consider the generalized metric d on Ω given by

$$d(g, h) = d_\varphi(g, h) := \inf S_\varphi(g, h) \quad (3.11)$$

for all $g, h \in \Omega$. Then, we obtain

$$d(f, Tf) \leq L < \infty. \quad (3.12)$$

By Lemma 3.2, the generalized metric space (Ω, d) is complete. Now, we define a mapping $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ by

$$Tg(x, y) := \frac{1}{6}g(2x, 3y) \quad (3.13)$$

for all $g \in \Omega$ and all $x, y \in X$. Observe that, for all $g, h \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} K' &\in S_\varphi(g, h), \quad K' < K \\ &\implies \|g(x, y) - h(x, y)\| \leq K'\varphi(x, y) \leq K\varphi(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X \\ &\implies K \in S_\varphi(g, h). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Let $g, h \in \Omega$, $K \in [0, \infty]$ and $d(g, h) < K$. Then, there is a $K' \in S_\psi(g, h)$ such that $K' < K$. By the above observation, we gain $K \in S_\psi(g, h)$. So, we get $\|g(x, y) - h(x, y)\| \leq K\psi(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Thus, we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{6}g(2x, 3y) - \frac{1}{6}h(2x, 3y) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{6}K\psi(2x, 3y) \quad (3.15)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By (3.5), we obtain that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{6}g(2x, 3y) - \frac{1}{6}h(2x, 3y) \right\| \leq LK\psi(x, y) \quad (3.16)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Hence, $d(Tg, Th) \leq LK$. Therefore, we obtain that

$$d(Tg, Th) \leq Ld(g, h) \quad (3.17)$$

for all $g, h \in \Omega$, that is, T is a strictly contractive mapping of Ω with Lipschitz constant L . Applying the alternative of fixed point, we see that there exists a fixed point F of T in Ω such that

$$F(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{6^n} f(2^n x, 3^n y) \quad (3.18)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing x, y, z, w by $2^n x, 2^n y, 3^n z, 3^n w$ in (3.6), respectively, and dividing by 4^n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(x + y, z - w) + F(x - y, z + w) - 2F(x, z) - 2F(y, w)\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{6^n} \|f(2^n(x + y), 3^n(z - w)) + f(2^n(x - y), 3^n(z + w)) \\ &\quad - 2f(2^n x, 3^n z) - 2f(2^n y, 3^n w)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{6^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 3^n z, 3^n w) \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. By (3.5), the mapping F satisfies (1.3). By (3.5) and (3.10), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^n f(x, y) - T^{n+1} f(x, y)\| &= \frac{1}{6^n} \left\| f(2^n x, 3^n y) - \frac{1}{6} f(2^{n+1} x, 3^{n+1} y) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{L}{6^n} \varphi(2^{n-1} x, 3^{n-1} y) \leq \dots \leq \frac{L}{6^n} (6L)^{n-1} \varphi(x, y) \\ &= \frac{L^n}{6} \varphi(x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that is, $d(T^n f, T^{n+1} f) \leq L^n/6 < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the fixed point alternative, there exists a natural number n_0 such that the mapping F is the unique fixed point of T in the set $\Delta = \{g \in \Omega \mid d(T^{n_0} f, g) < \infty\}$. So, we have $d(T^{n_0} f, F) < \infty$. Since

$$d(f, T^{n_0} f) \leq d(f, Tf) + d(Tf, T^2 f) + \dots + d(T^{n_0-1} f, T^{n_0} f) < \infty, \quad (3.21)$$

we get $f \in \Delta$. Thus, we have $d(f, F) \leq d(f, T^{n_0} f) + d(T^{n_0} f, F) < \infty$. Hence, we obtain

$$\|f(x, y) - F(x, y)\| \leq K\psi(x, y) \quad (3.22)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and a $K \in [0, \infty)$. Again, using the fixed point alternative, we have

$$d(f, F) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, Tf). \quad (3.23)$$

By (3.12), we may conclude that

$$d(f, F) \leq \frac{L}{1-L}, \quad (3.24)$$

which implies inequality (3.7). □

Theorem 3.4. $L \in (0, 1)$ and φ satisfy

$$\varphi(x, y, z, w) \leq \frac{L}{6} \varphi(2x, 2y, 3z, 3w) \quad (3.25)$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. Suppose that a mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ fulfils $f(0, 0) = 0$ and the functional inequality (3.6). Then, there exists a unique mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (1.3) such that

$$\|f(x, y) - F(x, y)\| \leq \frac{1}{1-L} \psi(x, y), \quad (3.26)$$

where $\psi : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, y) := & \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{3}, -\frac{y}{3}\right) + 2\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, -\frac{y}{3}, \frac{y}{3}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{3}, \frac{y}{3}\right) \\ & + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, -\frac{y}{3}, y\right) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, y, y\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. By a similar method to the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [11], we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|6f(x, y) - f(2x, 3y)\| &\leq \varphi(x, x, y, -y) \\ &\quad + 2\varphi(x, x, -y, y) + \varphi(x, x, y, y) + \varphi(x, x, -y, 3y) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x, x, 3y, 3y) \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So, we get

$$\left\| f(x, y) - 6f\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{3}\right) \right\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \quad (3.29)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Consider the generalized metric d on Ω given by

$$d(g, h) = d_\varphi(g, h) := \inf S_\varphi(g, h) \quad (3.30)$$

for all $g, h \in \Omega$. Then, we obtain

$$d(f, Tf) \leq 1 < \infty. \quad (3.31)$$

By Lemma 3.2, the generalized metric space (Ω, d) is complete. Now, we define a mapping $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ by

$$Tg(x, y) := 6g\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{3}\right) \quad (3.32)$$

for all $g \in \Omega$ and all $x, y \in X$. By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [11], T is a strictly contractive mapping of Ω with Lipschitz constant L . Applying the alternative of fixed point, we see that there exists a fixed point F of T in Ω such that

$$F(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 6^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{3^n}\right) \quad (3.33)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing x, y, z, w by $x/2^n, y/2^n, z/3^n, w/3^n$ in (3.6), respectively, and multiplying by 6^n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|F(x + y, z - w) + F(x - y, z + w) - 2F(x, z) - 2F(y, w)\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 6^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x + y}{2^n}, \frac{z - w}{3^n}\right) + f\left(\frac{x - y}{2^n}, \frac{z + w}{3^n}\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{z}{3^n}\right) - 2f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{w}{3^n}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 6^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{3^n}, \frac{w}{3^n}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. By (3.25), the mapping F satisfies (1.3). By (3.25), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T^n f(x, y) - T^{n+1} f(x, y)\| \\ &= 6^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{3^n}\right) - 6f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{y}{3^{n+1}}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq 6^n \psi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{3^n}\right) \leq 6^{n-1} L \psi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}, \frac{y}{3^{n-1}}\right) \leq 6^{n-2} L^2 \psi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-2}}, \frac{y}{3^{n-2}}\right) \leq \dots \leq L^n \psi(x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that is, $d(T^n f, T^{n+1} f) \leq L^n < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [11], we have

$$d(f, F) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, Tf). \quad (3.36)$$

By (3.31), we may conclude that

$$d(f, F) \leq \frac{1}{1-L}, \quad (3.37)$$

which implies inequality (3.26). □

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (Grant no. 2012003499).

References

- [1] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Wiley, New York, NY, USA, 1960.
- [2] D. H. Hyers, "On the stability of the linear functional equation," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 27, pp. 222–224, 1941.
- [3] T. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 297–300, 1978.
- [4] K. Ciepliński, "Applications of fixed point theorems to the Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations—a survey," *Annals of Functional Analysis*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 151–164, 2012.
- [5] S.-M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 48 of *Springer Optimization and Its Applications*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2011.
- [6] M. Kuczma, *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities*, Birkhäuser, Basle, Switzerland, 2nd edition, 2009.
- [7] P. K. Sahoo and P. Kannappan, *Introduction to Functional Equations*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, USA, 2011.
- [8] W.-G. Park and J.-H. Bae, "On a Cauchy-Jensen functional equation and its stability," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 323, no. 1, pp. 634–643, 2006.
- [9] B. Margolis and J. B. Diaz, "A fixed point theorem of the alternative, for contractions on a generalized complete metric space," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 74, pp. 305–309, 1968.

- [10] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, "Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 4, no. 1, article 4, 2003.
- [11] J.-H. Bae and W.-G. Park, "Stability of a Cauchy-Jensen functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 151547, 9 pages, 2010.