

## Research Article

# Normal and Osculating Planes of $\Delta$ -Regular Curves

**Sibel Paşalı Atmaca**

*Matematik Bölümü, Fen-Edebiyat Fakültesi, Muğla Üniversitesi, Muğla, 48000, Turkey*

Correspondence should be addressed to Sibel Paşalı Atmaca, sibelpasali2002@yahoo.com

Received 19 March 2010; Accepted 28 June 2010

Academic Editor: Ferhan M. Atici

Copyright © 2010 Sibel Paşalı Atmaca. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We present the normal and osculating planes of the curves parameterized by a compact subinterval of a time scale.

## 1. Introduction

Concept of calculus on time scales (or measure chains) was initiated by Hilger and Aulbach [1, 2] in order to unify discrete and continuous analyses. This theory is appealing because it provides a useful tool for modeling dynamical processes. Since a time-scale is a closed subset of the reals [3], curves may have scattered points in multidimensional time scale spaces. Therefore,  $\Delta$ -differentiation plays a major role in investigation of curves parameterized by an arbitrary time scale.

The results in this paper were motivated by geometric interpretation of the results presented in [4].

In this paper, we consider planes whose normal is  $\Delta$ -differentiable vector that is each component of the vector is  $\Delta$ -differentiable (i.e., normal planes) and which contain first and second order  $\Delta$ -differentiable vectors (i.e., osculating planes). In this study we present the normal and osculating planes of the curves parameterized by a compact subinterval of a time scale. Since we need vector valued functions to study  $\Delta$ -differentiable vectors of curves, we first define the concept of vector valued functions on time scales in Section 2. In [5] Guseinov and Özyılmaz introduced the tangent line for  $\Delta$ -regular curves in 3-dimensional time scales; then in [4] Bohner and Guseinov obtained the equation of such tangent line. The tangent line can also be studied in the concept of partial  $\Delta$ -differentiation. In Section 3, we obtain the equations of tangent vectors of planar curves by using partial  $\Delta$ -differentiation. Then we derive the equation of the normal plane for a  $\Delta$ -regular curve. In Section 4, we present the basic theorem to construct osculating plane of a curve and obtain the equation of this plane by using first- and-second order  $\Delta$ -derivatives.

We refer the reader to resources such as [3, 4, 6, 7] and [8, 9] for more detailed discussions on the calculus of time scales and on the differential geometry of curves, respectively.

## 2. Vector-Valued Functions on Time Scales

Let  $n$  be fixed. Let  $\mathbb{T}_i$  denote a time scale for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let us set

$$\Lambda^n = \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{T}_n = \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) : t_i \in \mathbb{T}_i \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}. \quad (2.1)$$

We call  $\Lambda^n$  an  $n$ -dimensional time scale.  $\Lambda^n$  is also a complete metric space with

$$d(x, y) = \left( \sum_i^n |x_i - y_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } x, y \in \Lambda^n. \quad (2.2)$$

Let a time-scale parameter  $t$  vary in an interval  $[a, b]$ . If to each value  $t \in [a, b]$  we assign a vector  $r(t)$ , then we say that a vector-valued function  $r(t)$  with argument  $t \in [a, b]$  is given. Assume that coordinates  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are fixed; then the representation of vector-valued function  $r(t)$  is equivalent to the representation of scalar functions  $x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t)$ ; that is,  $r(t) = \{x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)\}$ .

*Definition 2.1.* A vector  $r_0$  is called the limit of the vector-valued function  $r(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow t_0$  if the length of the vector  $r(t) - r(t_0)$  tends to zero as  $t \rightarrow t_0$ . Here we write

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} r(t) = r(t_0). \quad (2.3)$$

It is clear that the vector-valued function  $r(t)$  has a limit if and only if each one of the functions  $x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)$  has a limit as  $t \rightarrow t_0$ .

*Definition 2.2.*  $\Delta$ -Derivative of a vector-valued function can be obtained by  $\Delta$ -differentiating components  $x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)$  of  $r(t)$ ; that is,

$$r^\Delta(t) = \{x_1^\Delta(t), \dots, x_n^\Delta(t)\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Precisely, for the  $\Delta$ -derivative  $r^\Delta(t)$  of the vector-valued function  $r(t)$ , we call the limit

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{r(\sigma(t)) - r(s)}{\sigma(t) - s}. \quad (2.5)$$

If this limit exists, then  $r(t)$  is called  $\Delta$ -differentiable.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $r_1(t)$  and  $r_2(t)$  be vector-valued functions. Then*

- (i)  $(r_1(t) + r_2(t))^\Delta = r_1^\Delta(t) + r_2^\Delta(t),$
- (ii)  $(r_1 r_2)^\Delta = r_1^\Delta r_2 + r_1^\sigma r_2^\Delta.$

The  $\Delta$ -differentiation of the inner products and vector products of vector-valued functions, is computed by the consecutive differentiation of the cofactors.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $r_1(t)$  and  $r_2(t)$  be vector-valued functions, let  $\times$  be Euclidean vector product,  $\cdot$  and let Euclidean inner product. Then*

- (i)  $(r_1 \cdot r_2)^\Delta = r_1^\Delta \cdot r_2 + r_1^\sigma \cdot r_2^\Delta,$
- (ii)  $(r_1 \times r_2)^\Delta = r_1^\Delta \times r_2 + r_1^\sigma \times r_2^\Delta = r_1^\Delta \times r_2^\sigma + r_1 \times r_2^\Delta.$

*Definition 2.5* (Taylor’s expansion for vector-valued functions). Assume that  $n$  times  $\Delta$ -derivative of the vector-valued function  $r(t)$  exist and are  $rd$ -continuous, then we can write Taylor’s expansions for the components;  $x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &= h_0(t, t_0)x_1(t_0) + h_1(t, t_0)x_1^\Delta(t_0) + h_2(t, t_0) x_1^{\Delta^2}(t_0) + \dots + o_1(g_n(t, t_0)) \\ &\vdots \\ x_n(t) &= h_0(t, t_0) x_n(t_0) + h_1(t, t_0)x_n^\Delta(t_0) + h_2(t, t_0)x_n^{\Delta^2}(t_0) + \dots + o_n(g_n(t, t_0)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where  $h_0(r, s) \equiv 1, h_{k+1}(r, s) = \int_s^r h_k(\tau, s) \Delta\tau$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and

$$g_n(t, t_0) = \int_{t_0}^{\rho^{n-1}(t)} h_{n-1}(t, \sigma(\tau))x_i^{\Delta^n}(\tau) \Delta\tau \tag{2.7}$$

for  $i = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

This system of three equations can be written as

$$r(t) = h_0(t, t_0)r(t_0) + h_1(t, t_0)r^\Delta(t_0) + h_2(t, t_0)r^{\Delta^2}(t_0) + \dots + o(g_n(t, t_0)), \tag{2.8}$$

where  $o(g_n(t, t_0))$  denotes a vector whose length is an infinitesimal since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} g_n(t, t_0) = 0$ .

*Remark 2.6.* There exists one essential difference between Taylor’s expansions of vector-valued function and scalar function. If we consider Taylor’s expansion for a scalar function  $f(t)$ , then we have

$$o(g_n(t, t_0)) = f^{\Delta^{k+1}}(\xi)h_{k+1}(t, t_0), \tag{2.9}$$

where  $\xi$  is a point between  $\rho^{n-1}(t)$  and  $t_0$ . For a vector-valued function we cannot write similar formula for the corresponding infinitesimal vector, because in general for different components of the vector  $o(g_n(t, t_0))$  the corresponding points  $\xi$  are different. However, it is more important to note that the length of the vector  $o(g_n(t, t_0))$  is an infinitesimal with respect to  $g_n(t, t_0)$ .

### 3. Tangent Line to a Curve

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a time scale.

*Definition 3.1.* A  $\Delta$ -regular curve  $\Gamma$  is defined as a mapping

$$x = f_1(t), \quad y = f_2(t), \quad z = f_3(t), \quad t \in [a, b] \quad (3.1)$$

of the segment  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{T}$ ,  $a < b$ , to the space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , where  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  are real-valued functions defined on  $[a, b]$  and  $\Delta$ -differentiable on  $[a, b]^\kappa$  with  $rd$ -continuous  $\Delta$ -derivatives and

$$\left|f_1^\Delta(t)\right|^2 + \left|f_2^\Delta(t)\right|^2 + \left|f_3^\Delta(t)\right|^2 \neq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

*Definition 3.2.* A line  $\mathcal{L}_0$  passing through the point  $P_0$  is called the delta tangent line to the curve  $\Gamma$  at the point  $P_0$  if the following held.

- (i)  $\mathcal{L}_0$  passes also through the point  $P_0^\sigma = (x(\sigma(t_0)), y(\sigma(t_0)), z(\sigma(t_0)))$ .
- (ii) If  $P_0$  is not an isolated point of the curve  $\Gamma$ , then

$$\lim_{\substack{P \rightarrow P_0 \\ P \neq P_0}} \frac{d(P, \mathcal{L}_0)}{d(P, P_0)}, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $P$  is the moving point of the curve  $\Gamma$ ,  $d(P, \mathcal{L}_0)$  is the distance from the point  $P$  to the line  $\mathcal{L}_0$ , and  $d(P, P_0)$  is the distance from the point  $P$  to the point  $P_0^\sigma$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** For any point  $P_0$  of the curve  $\Gamma$  there exists the tangent to  $\Gamma$  at  $P_0$  and the directing vector of the tangent is  $\Delta$ -differential of its position vector function  $r^\Delta(t^0)$ , where  $r(t^0) = P_0$  for  $t^0 \in \mathbb{T}$ .

*Proof.* This theorem can be proven as in [5], Theorem 3.3. □

Let three functions  $x : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $y : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $z : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given. Let us set  $x(\mathbb{T}) := \mathbb{T}_1$ ,  $y(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{T}_2$ , and  $z(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{T}_3$ . We will assume that  $\mathbb{T}_1$ ,  $\mathbb{T}_2$ , and  $\mathbb{T}_3$  are time scales. Denote by  $\sigma_1 \Delta_1, \sigma_2 \Delta_2, \sigma_3 \Delta_3$  the forward jump operators and delta operators for  $\mathbb{T}_1, \mathbb{T}_2$ , and  $\mathbb{T}_3$ , respectively.

Under the above assumptions, let functions  $\phi : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given.

Consider a space curve given by two equations.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x, y, z) &= 0, \\ \varphi(x, y, z) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

If  $x = x(t)$ ,  $y = y(t)$ ,  $z = z(t)$  is the position vector of the considered curve, then, substituting these three functions into (3.4), we obtain two equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x(t), y(t), z(t)) &= 0, \\ \varphi(x(t), y(t), z(t)) &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

If the functions  $\phi$  and  $\varphi$  are  $\sigma_1$ -completely differentiable, then,  $\Delta$ -differentiation of these two equalities leads

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\phi}{\Delta_1x} x^\Delta + \frac{\partial\phi^{\sigma_1}}{\Delta_2y} y^\Delta + \frac{\partial\phi^{\sigma_1}}{\Delta_3z} z^\Delta &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial\varphi}{\Delta_1x} x^\Delta + \frac{\partial\varphi^{\sigma_1}}{\Delta_2y} y^\Delta + \frac{\partial\varphi^{\sigma_1}}{\Delta_3z} z^\Delta &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

If  $\phi$  and  $\varphi$  are  $\sigma_2$ -completely differentiable, then  $\Delta$ -differentiation of (3.5) leads us to obtain the following two equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\phi^{\sigma_2}}{\Delta_1x} x^\Delta + \frac{\partial\phi}{\Delta_2y} y^\Delta + \frac{\partial\phi^{\sigma_2}}{\Delta_3z} z^\Delta &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial\varphi^{\sigma_2}}{\Delta_1x} x^\Delta + \frac{\partial\varphi}{\Delta_2y} y^\Delta + \frac{\partial\varphi^{\sigma_2}}{\Delta_3z} z^\Delta &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

If  $\phi$  and  $\varphi$  are  $\sigma_3$ -completely differentiable, then  $\Delta$ -differentiation of (3.5) leads us to obtain the following two equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\phi^{\sigma_3}}{\Delta_1x} x^\Delta + \frac{\partial\phi^{\sigma_3}}{\Delta_2y} y^\Delta + \frac{\partial\phi}{\Delta_3z} z^\Delta &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial\varphi^{\sigma_3}}{\Delta_1x} x^\Delta + \frac{\partial\varphi^{\sigma_3}}{\Delta_2y} y^\Delta + \frac{\partial\varphi}{\Delta_3z} z^\Delta &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

Other combinations of  $\sigma_i$ -completely differentiability of  $\phi$  and  $\varphi$  can be shown similarly. The components  $\{x^\Delta, y^\Delta, z^\Delta\}$  of the tangent vector satisfy the system consisting of two equations: (3.6), (3.7), and (3.8).

Assume that  $\phi$  is  $\sigma_1$ -completely differentiable planar curve given by the equations  $\phi(x, y) = 0$ ,  $z = 0$  satisfying the condition  $(\partial\phi/\Delta_1x)^2 + (\partial\phi^{\sigma_1}/\Delta_2y)^2 \neq 0$ ; then the components of the tangent vector  $r^\Delta = \{x^\Delta, y^\Delta\}$  are the solution of the linear equation

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\Delta_1x} x^\Delta + \frac{\partial\phi^{\sigma_1}}{\Delta_2y} y^\Delta = 0.\tag{3.9}$$

Therefore,  $\{x^\Delta, y^\Delta\} = \mu\{-\partial\phi^{\sigma_1}/\Delta_2 y, \partial\phi/\Delta_1 x\}$ , and the equation of tangent is

$$\frac{\tilde{x} - x_0}{-\partial\phi(\sigma_1(x_0), y_0)/\Delta_2 y} = \frac{\tilde{y} - y_0}{\partial\phi(x_0, y_0)/\Delta_1 x}. \quad (3.10)$$

If planar curve  $\phi$  is  $\sigma_2$ -completely differentiable, then equation of tangent plane becomes

$$\frac{(\tilde{x} - x_0)}{-\partial\phi(x_0, y_0)/\Delta_2 y} = \frac{(\tilde{y} - y_0)}{\partial\phi(x_0, \sigma_2(y_0))/\Delta_1 x}. \quad (3.11)$$

*Definition 3.4.* Let  $\Gamma$  be a smooth and completely differentiable space curve. The plane passing through points  $P_0 \in \Gamma$  and orthogonal to the vector tangent to  $\Gamma$  at  $P_0$  is called the plane normal to  $\Gamma$  at  $P_0$ .

Denote by  $\hat{r}$  the position vector of the normal plane. Since this plane is orthogonal to the vector  $r^\Delta$  and contains the point with position vector  $\hat{r} - r(t_0)$ , the equation of the normal plane is

$$(\hat{r} - r(t_0)) \cdot r^\Delta(t_0) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

The vectors orthogonal to the tangent are called the vectors normal to  $\Gamma$ .

#### 4. Osculating Plane of a Curve

Let  $P_0$  be a point of a curve  $\Gamma$ . Take two points  $Q_1, Q_2 \in \Gamma$  situated right side of  $P_0^\sigma$ . If the points  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  tend to  $P_0^\sigma$ , then the limit position of the plane containing  $P_0, P_0^\sigma, Q_1, Q_2$  is called the osculating plane of  $\Gamma$  at the point  $P_0$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $\Delta$ -regular curve represented as  $r = r(t)$ . Assume that the vectors  $r^\Delta$  and  $r^{\Delta^2}$  are not collinear at point  $P_0$ . Then there exists the osculating plane of  $\Gamma$  at  $P_0$  and it is spanned by the vectors  $r^\Delta$  and  $r^{\Delta^2}$ .

*Proof.* If  $P_0 = P_0^\sigma$ , that is,  $P_0$  is right-dense point of  $\Gamma$ , then this theorem can be proven as in differential geometry concept.

Let  $P_0$  be a right-scattered point of  $\Gamma$ . Then, the positions vector of  $\xrightarrow{P_0 Q_1}$  and  $\xrightarrow{P_0 Q_2}$  are  $a_1 = r(t_0 + \tau_1) - r(t_0)$  and  $a_2 = r(t_0 + \tau_2) - r(t_0)$ , respectively. That is, these vectors, if linearly independent, span the plane  $E$ .

This plane is also spanned by the vectors  $v^{(i)} = a_i/\tau_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  or by the vectors

$$v^{(1)}, \quad w = \frac{2(v^{(2)} - v^{(1)})}{\tau_2 - \tau_1}. \quad (4.1)$$

By the means of Taylor's formula, we have

$$r(t_0 + \tau_i) = h_0(t, t_0)r(t_0) + h_1(t, t_0)r^\Delta(t_0) + h_2(t, t_0)r^{\Delta^2}(t_0) + o(g_2(t_0)). \quad (4.2)$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v^{(1)} &= r^\Delta(t_0) + \frac{\tau_1}{2} r^{\Delta^2}(t_0) + o(\tau_1), \\ w &= r^{\Delta^2}(t_0) + o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Consequently, if  $\tau_i \rightarrow 0$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , then  $v^{(1)} \rightarrow r^\Delta(t_0)$  and  $w \rightarrow r^{\Delta^2}(t_0)$ .

These vectors, if linearly independent, determine the limiting position of the plane  $E$  passing through the points  $P_0, P_0^\sigma, Q_1, Q_2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *If the vectors  $r^\Delta(t_0)$  and  $r^{\Delta^2}(t_0)$  are collinear, then the limit position of considering plane is not determined. For instance, take a straight line*

$$r(t) = a + bt, \tag{4.4}$$

where  $a, b$  are constant vectors and  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ . Then

$$r^\Delta(t_0) = b, \quad r^{\Delta^2}(t_0) = 0, \tag{4.5}$$

so the osculating plane of the straight line is not determined uniquely. If  $r^\Delta(t)$  and  $r^{\Delta^2}(t)$  are collinear, then the corresponding point of  $\Gamma$  is called the straightening point of  $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *The osculating plane of a planar curve coincides with the plane containing this curve.*

*Proof.* Let us consider the Taylor expansion of the position vector  $r(t)$  at the neighborhood of  $P_0$ :

$$r(t_0 + \tau) = h_0(t, t_0)r(t_0) + h_1(t, t_0)r^\Delta(t_0) + h_2(t, t_0)r^{\Delta^2}(t_0) + o(g_2(t, t_0)). \tag{4.6}$$

The curve  $\bar{\Gamma}$ , determined by the expansion,

$$\bar{r} = h_0(t, t_0)r(t_0) + h_1(t, t_0)r^\Delta(t_0) + h_2(t, t_0)r^{\Delta^2}(t_0) \tag{4.7}$$

is situated in the osculating plane of  $\Gamma$  at  $P_0$ ; the difference between the position vectors of  $\Gamma$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}$  is a sufficiently small vector

$$r(t_0 + \tau) - \bar{r}(\tau) = o(g_2(t, t_0)). \tag{4.8}$$

Hence a sufficiently small neighborhood of  $P_0$  on the space curve  $\Gamma$  is near to the planar curve  $\bar{\Gamma}$  situated in the osculating plane of  $\Gamma$  at  $P_0$ .  $\square$

Now let us write the equation of the osculating plane of  $\Gamma$  at  $P_0$ . Let  $\hat{r}$  be the position vector of the osculating plane. Since  $r^\Delta$  and  $r^{\Delta^2}$  span the osculating plane, the vector product  $r^\Delta \times r^{\Delta^2}$  is orthogonal to the osculating plane. The vector  $\hat{r} - r(t_0)$  belongs to the osculating plane; therefore, the inner product of these vectors is equal to zero:

$$(\hat{r} - r(t_0)) \cdot (r^\Delta \times r^{\Delta^2}) = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

With respect to coordinate functions, this equation has the following form:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \hat{x} - x(t_0) & x^\Delta & x^{\Delta^2} \\ \hat{y} - y(t_0) & y^\Delta & y^{\Delta^2} \\ \hat{z} - z(t_0) & z^\Delta & z^{\Delta^2} \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (4.10)$$

## References

- [1] S. Hilger, "Analysis on measure chains—a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 18, no. 1-2, pp. 18–56, 1990.
- [2] B. Aulbach and S. Hilger, "Linear dynamic processes with inhomogeneous time scale," in *Nonlinear Dynamics and Quantum Dynamical Systems (Gaussig, 1990)*, vol. 59 of *Math. Res.*, pp. 9–20, Akademie, Berlin, Germany, 1990.
- [3] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2001.
- [4] M. Bohner and G. Sh. Guseinov, "Partial differentiation on time scales," *Dynamic Systems and Applications*, vol. 13, no. 3-4, pp. 351–379, 2004.
- [5] G. Sh. Guseinov and E. Özyilmaz, "Tangent lines of generalized regular curves parametrized by time scales," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 553–562, 2001.
- [6] F. M. Atici and G. Sh. Guseinov, "On Green's functions and positive solutions for boundary value problems on time scales," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 141, no. 1-2, pp. 75–99, 2002.
- [7] M. Bohner and G. Sh. Guseinov, "Line integrals and Green's formula on time scales," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 326, no. 2, pp. 1124–1141, 2007.
- [8] E. Kreyszig, *Differential Geometry*, Dover, New York, NY, USA, 1991.
- [9] R. S. Millman and G. D. Parker, *Elements of Differential Geometry*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA, 1977.