

Bounded orthogonal systems and the $\Lambda(p)$ -set problem

by

J. BOURGAIN

*Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques
Bures-sur-Yvette, France*

0. Introduction

Let G be a compact Abelian group and Γ the dual group of G . For $p > 2$, a subset Λ of Γ is called a $\Lambda(p)$ -set, provided $L^p_\Lambda(G) = L^2_\Lambda(G)$. Here $L^p_\Lambda \equiv L^p_\Lambda(G)$ denotes the closure in $L^p(G)$ of the characters belonging to Λ and considered as functions on G . The reader will find an introduction to the subject in W. Rudin's 1960 paper [Ru] and the book of Lòpez and Ross [L-R].

The main problem in this area is to construct $\Lambda(p)$ -sets which are not $\Lambda(r)$ for some $r > p$. This has so far only been done for p an even integer. In this case, the L^p -norm may be expressed in an algebraic way and the solution is of an arithmetic or combinatorial nature. In this paper, we consider the range $2 < p < \infty$. Our approach is the point of view of general uniformly bounded orthogonal systems and no further properties of characters are exploited. The main result is the following fact.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ be a sequence of n mutually orthogonal functions, uniformly bounded by 1 (i.e., $\|\varphi_i\|_\infty \leq 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$). Let $2 < p < \infty$. There is a subset S of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, $|S| > n^{2/p}$ satisfying*

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in S} a_i \varphi_i \right\|_p \leq C(p) \left(\sum_{i \in S} |a_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad (0.1)$$

for all scalar sequences (a_i) . Here $C(p)$ is a constant only dependent on p . In fact, (0.1) holds for a generic set S of size $[n^{2/p}]$.

Observe that the size $n^{2/p}$ is optimal. Indeed, if one considers for instance a finite Cantor group $G = \{1, -1\}^k$ and let $\Phi = G^*$, the space $L^p_\Phi(G)$ is a Hilbertian subspace of

$L^p(G) \cong \ell_n^p$, $n=2^k$, as soon as (0.1) is fulfilled. According to the results of [B-D-G-J-N] (cf. [F-L-M]), the largest possible dimension for such subspaces is $n^{2/p}$ (up to a constant). Previous observation shows the relation of Theorem 1 above to Dvoretzky's theorem on Hilbertian sections of convex bodies (see again [F-L-M] for more details).

An immediate corollary of Theorem 1 is the following.

THEOREM 2. *For $2 < p < \infty$, there is a $\Lambda(p)$ -subset of \mathbf{Z} which is not a $\Lambda(r)$ -set for any $r > p$.*

Let us point out that the situation for $p < 2$ is different in this aspect. It was proved by Bachelis and Ebenstein ([B-E], based on earlier results of Rosenthal [Ro]) that for every set $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{Z}$

$$\{p \in]1, 2[; L_\Lambda^1 = L_\Lambda^p\}$$

is an open interval.

To deduce Theorem 2 from Theorem 1, consider for each $k=1, 2, \dots$ a set $S_k \subset [2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}]$ satisfying

$$|S_k| = [4^{k/p}] \tag{0.2}$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in S_k} a_j e^{2\pi i j x} \right\|_{L^p(\Gamma)} \leq C \left(\sum_{j \in S_k} |a_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{0.3}$$

just applying Theorem 1 to the system $\Phi = \{e^{2\pi i n x} | 2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}\}$. Put $\Lambda = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty S_k$. It follows from the Littlewood-Paley theory (cf. [St], for instance)

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \Lambda} a_j e^{2\pi i j x} \right\|_p \sim \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \left\| \sum_{j \in S_k} a_j e^{2\pi i j x} \right\|_p^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \tag{0.4}$$

which for $p \geq 2$ is bounded by

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \left\| \sum_{j \in S_k} a_j e^{2\pi i j x} \right\|_p^2 \right)^{1/2} \sim \left(\sum |a_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

invoking (0.3).

On the other hand, since by (0.2)

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in S_k} e^{2\pi i j x} \right\|_r \geq \left(\int_{-2^{-k/10}}^{2^{-k/10}} \left| \sum_{j \in S_k} e^{2\pi i j x} \right|^r dx \right)^{1/r} > c 2^{-k/r} |S_k| \sim 2^{k(1/p-1/r)} |S_k|^{1/2}$$

Λ is not a $\Lambda(r)$ -set for any $r > p$.

Our approach will first cover the range $p \in]2, 4[$. At some points the cases $2 < p \leq 3$ and $3 < p < 4$ will be distinguished, because of different behavior of the function $|x|^{p-2}$. In the last section, we show how to proceed for $p \geq 4$. Clearly, Theorem 1 need only be proven in the real context.

The letter C will be used for different constants, possibly depending on p . The rest of the paper is devoted to proving Theorem 1 and is organized as follows:

Section 1: A probabilistic inequality

Section 2: An entropy estimate

Section 3: Decoupling inequalities

Section 4: End of the proof ($p < 4$)

Section 5: End of the proof ($p \geq 4$)

Section 6: Further comments

The exposition is completely self-contained.

1. A probabilistic inequality

For $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, denote $|x| = (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)^{1/2}$. If $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ and $t > 0$, denote $N_2(\mathcal{E}, t)$ the metrical entropy number with respect to the l^2 -distance, i.e., the minimum number of l^2 -balls of radius t needed to cover \mathcal{E} .

LEMMA 1. Let \mathcal{E} be a subset of \mathbf{R}_+^n and $B = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{E}} |x|$. Let $0 < \delta < 1$ and $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^n$ independent 0, 1-valued random variables (=selectors) of mean $\delta = \int \xi_i(\omega) d\omega$. Let $1 \leq m \leq n$. Then

$$\left\| \sup_{x \in \mathcal{E}, |A| \leq m} \left[\sum_{i \in A} \xi_i(\omega) x_i \right] \right\|_{L^{q_0}(\omega)} \leq C \left[\delta m + \frac{q_0}{\log 1/\delta} \right]^{1/2} B + \left(\log \frac{1}{\delta} \right)^{-1/2} \int_0^B [\log N_2(\mathcal{E}, t)]^{1/2} dt. \quad (1.1)$$

In the proof of Lemma 1, we use the following.

LEMMA 2. If the (ξ_i) are as above, then for $q \geq 1$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i(\omega) \right\|_{L^q(\omega)} \leq C \delta l + C \frac{q}{\log(2+q/\delta l)}. \quad (1.2)$$

Proof. It is clearly no restriction to assume $q > 2\delta l$. Write (assuming q an integer)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i \right\|_q^q &= \int \left[\sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i(\omega) \right]^q d\omega \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} \delta^k (1-\delta)^{l-k} k^q \leq C \sum_{k=1}^l \left(\frac{\delta l}{k} \right)^k k^q \end{aligned}$$

which may be evaluated by

$$q^q \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\delta l}{\alpha q} \right)^{\alpha q} \alpha^q d\alpha < \left[\frac{C \cdot q}{\log(q/\delta l)} \right]^q.$$

This implies (1.2).

Proof of Lemma 1. By considering appropriate nets in \mathcal{E} (taking the entropy information into account), there is a representation of the elements of $x \in \mathcal{E}$ as sums

$$x = \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2^k \leq B}} 2^k y(k) \quad (1.3)$$

where $y(k)$ are vectors taken in a set \mathcal{F}_k of vectors y , $|y| \leq 1$ and where

$$\log |\mathcal{F}_k| \leq C \log N(\mathcal{E}, 2^{k-2}). \quad (1.4)$$

Hence, from (1.3)

$$(1.1) \leq \sum_{2^k \leq B} 2^k \left\| \sup_{y \in \mathcal{F}_k, |A| \leq m} \left[\sum_{i \in A} \xi_i(\omega) |y_i| \right] \right\|_{q_0}. \quad (1.5)$$

Evaluating the individual terms of (1.5), we show that for $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$\left\| \sup_{y \in \mathcal{F}, |A| \leq m} \left(\sum_{i \in A} \xi_i(\omega) y_i \right) \right\|_{q_0} \leq C \sqrt{\delta m} + C \left(\log \frac{1}{\delta} \right)^{-1/2} [q_0 + \log |\mathcal{F}|]^{1/2}. \quad (1.6)$$

Substitution of (1.4) and summing over k , $2^k \leq B$, easily implies (1.1).

Define

$$\varrho_1 = \delta^{1/2} m^{-1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho_2 = \left(\log \frac{1}{\delta} \right)^{1/2} q^{-1/2} \quad \text{where} \quad q = q_0 + \log |\mathcal{F}|. \quad (1.7)$$

Writing, since $|y| \leq 1$, for $|A| \leq m$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i \in A} \xi_i(\omega) y_i &\leq \sum_{y_i \geq \varrho_2} y_i + \sum_{i \in A, y_i \leq \varrho_1} y_i + \sum_{\varrho_1 < y_i < \varrho_2} \xi_i(\omega) y_i \leq \varrho_2^{-1} + m\varrho_1 \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\varrho_1 < y_i < \varrho_2} \xi_i(\omega) y_i \sup_{y \in \mathcal{F}, |A| \leq m} \left(\sum_{i \in A} \xi_i(\omega) y_i \right) \\
 &\leq \varrho_2^{-1} + m\varrho_1 + \sup_{y \in \mathcal{F}} \left(\sum_{\varrho_1 < y_i < \varrho_2} \xi_i(\omega) y_i \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

it follows that (1.6) is bounded by

$$\varrho_2^{-1} + m\varrho_1 + \sup_{|y| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{\varrho_1 < y_i < \varrho_2} \xi_i(\omega) y_i \right\|_{L^q(d\omega)}. \quad (1.8)$$

(If $\varrho_1 \geq \varrho_2$, drop the last term.)

By considering level-sets and inequality (1.2), the last term of (1.8) may be estimated

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\substack{l \text{ dyadic} \\ \varrho_1^{-2} > l > \varrho_2^{-2}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i(\omega) \right\|_q &\leq C\delta\varrho_1^{-1} + Cq \sum_{\substack{l \text{ dyadic} \\ l > \varrho_2^{-2}}} \left[l^{1/2} \log \left(2 + \frac{q}{\delta l} \right) \right]^{-1} \\
 &\sim \delta\varrho_1^{-1} + q\varrho_2 \int_1^\infty t^{-3/2} \left[\log \left(2 + \frac{\log 1/\delta}{\delta t} \right) \right]^{-1} dt \\
 &\sim \delta\varrho_1^{-1} + q\varrho_2 \left(\log \frac{1}{\delta} \right)^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

using the definition of ϱ_2 .

Hence

$$(1.6) \leq m\varrho_1 + C\delta\varrho_1^{-1} + q\varrho_2(\log 1/\delta)^{-1} + \varrho_2^{-1} < C\sqrt{\delta m} + C(\log 1/\delta)^{-1/2}(q_0 + \log |\mathcal{F}|)^{1/2},$$

completing the proof.

2. An entropy estimate

In a later application of inequality (1.1), the entropy numbers $N_2(\mathcal{E}, t)$ will be related to entropy numbers $N_q(\mathcal{P}, t)$ for certain sets of functions \mathcal{P} , considered as a subset of the corresponding L^q -space. More precisely, we will make use of the following

LEMMA 3. *Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthogonal system of functions uniformly bounded by 1, $m \leq n$ and $2 \leq q < \infty$. Define*

$$\mathcal{P}_m = \left\{ \sum_{i \in A} a_i \varphi_i \mid |a| \leq 1 \text{ and } |A| \leq m \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} \log N_q(\mathcal{P}_m, t) \leq Cm \left(\log \left(\frac{n}{m} + 1 \right) \right) t^{-\nu} & \text{if } t > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{cases} \log N_q(\mathcal{P}_m, t) \leq Cm \left(\log \left(\frac{n}{m} + 1 \right) \right) \log \frac{1}{t} & \text{if } 0 < t \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where $C=C_q$ and $\nu=\nu(q)>2$.

Remarks. (1) It suffices to prove (2.2) replacing $t^{-\nu}$ by $t^{-2} \log t$. Indeed, let $q < r$, $1/q = (1-\theta)/2 + \theta/r$. One has in particular for each pair of elements $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_m$, by Hölder's inequality

$$\|f-g\|_q \leq \|f-g\|_2^{1-\theta} \|f-g\|_r^\theta \leq 2 \|f-g\|_r^\theta.$$

Hence, for $t > 1$

$$\log N_q(\mathcal{P}_m, t) \leq \log N_r \left(\mathcal{P}_m, \left(\frac{t}{2} \right)^{1/\theta} \right) \leq Cm \left(\log \left(1 + \frac{n}{m} \right) \right) t^{-2/\theta} \log t,$$

where $t^{-2/\theta} \log t < t^{-\nu}$ for some $\nu > 2$.

(2) It follows from the results of [B-L-M] (section 4) that for $t > 1$

$$\log N_q(\mathcal{P}_m, t) \leq \log N_q(\mathcal{P}_n, t) \leq cqt^{-2}n. \quad (2.4)$$

This estimate turns out to be too crude for our purpose.

(3) Once (2.2) is obtained, it follows for $t < \frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \log N_q(\mathcal{P}_m, t) &\leq \log \binom{n}{m} + \sup_{|A| \leq m} \log N_q \left(\left\{ \sum_{i \in A} a_i \varphi_i \mid |a| \leq 1 \right\}, t \right) \\ &\leq Cm \log \left(1 + \frac{n}{m} \right) + Cm \log \frac{1}{t} + \sup_{|A| \leq m} \log N_q \left(\left\{ \sum_{i \in A} a_i \varphi_i \mid |a| \leq 1 \right\}, 1 \right) \\ &\leq C \left[\log \left(1 + \frac{n}{m} \right) + \log \frac{1}{t} \right] m \end{aligned}$$

implying (2.3).

Thus it remains to verify (2.2) with $\nu=2$.

LEMMA 3'. With the notations of Lemma 3, for $2 < t < \sqrt{m}$

$$\log N_q(\mathcal{P}_m, t) \leq Cm \log \left(1 + \frac{n}{m} \right) t^{-2} \log t. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. Let $t \sim 2^{k/2}$. Fix a function $f = \sum_{i \in A} a_i \varphi_i$, $|a_i| = 1$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_A a_i \varphi_i &= \sum_A a_i \varepsilon_i^1 \varphi_i + \sum_A a_i (1 - \varepsilon_i^1) \varphi_i \\ &= \sum_A a_i \varepsilon_i^1 \varphi_i + \sum_A a_i (1 - \varepsilon_i^1) \varepsilon_i^2 \varphi_i + \sum_A a_i (1 - \varepsilon_i^1) (1 - \varepsilon_i^2) \varphi_i \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= \sum_A a_i \varepsilon_i^1 \varphi_i + \sum_A a_i (1 - \varepsilon_i^1) \varepsilon_i^2 \varphi_i + \dots + \sum_A a_i (1 - \varepsilon_i^1) \dots (1 - \varepsilon_i^{k-1}) \varepsilon_i^k \varphi_i \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

$$+ \sum_A a_i (1 - \varepsilon_i^1) \dots (1 - \varepsilon_i^k) \varphi_i \quad (2.7)$$

where $(\varepsilon_i^j)_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k}$ are ± 1 , signs to be specified.

Denoting (2.6) by $\Phi(\varepsilon, u)$, it follows from Khintchine's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int \|\Phi(\varepsilon, u)\|_{L^q(du)} d\varepsilon &\leq \sum_{l \leq k} \int \left\| \sum a_i (1 - \varepsilon_i^1) \dots (1 - \varepsilon_i^{l-1}) \varepsilon_i^l \varphi_i(u) \right\|_{L^q(du \otimes d\varepsilon^l)} d\varepsilon^1 \dots d\varepsilon^{l-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{l \leq k} \sqrt{q} \int \left[\sum a_i^2 (1 - \varepsilon_i^1)^2 \dots (1 - \varepsilon_i^{l-1})^2 \right]^{1/2} d\varepsilon^1 \dots d\varepsilon^{l-1} \\ &< \sqrt{q} \sum_{l \leq k} 2^{l/2} < ct. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Also, denoting

$$A_\varepsilon = \{i \in A \mid \varepsilon_i^1 = \dots = \varepsilon_i^k = -1\}$$

$$|A_\varepsilon| = 2^{-k} \sum_{i \in A} (1 - \varepsilon_i^1) \dots (1 - \varepsilon_i^k)$$

and hence

$$\int |A_\varepsilon| d\varepsilon = 2^{-k} m < \frac{m}{t^2}. \quad (2.9)$$

Moreover,

$$\int \left[\sum_A a_i^2 (1 - \varepsilon_i^1)^2 \dots (1 - \varepsilon_i^k)^2 \right]^{1/2} d\varepsilon \leq 2^{k/2} \sim t. \quad (2.10)$$

Inequalities (2.8), (2.9), (2.10) permit to find a choice of signs ε_i^j such that

$$\varphi = \sum_A a_i(1-\varepsilon_i^1)\dots(1-\varepsilon_i^k)\varphi_i$$

satisfies the conditions

$$\left\| \left(\sum_A a_i \varphi_i \right) - \varphi \right\|_q \leq ct \tag{2.11}$$

$$\varphi \in ct \mathcal{P}_{[m/t^2]}. \tag{2.12}$$

It is now easily seen that

$$\log N_q(\mathcal{P}_m, ct) \leq \log \binom{n}{[m/t^2]} + \sup_{|I|=[m/t^2]} N_q \left(\left\{ \sum_{i \in I} a_i \varphi_i \mid |a| \leq 1 \right\}, 1 \right) \tag{2.13}$$

$$\leq \frac{m}{t^2} \log \frac{nt}{m} + c_q \frac{m}{t^2}. \tag{2.14}$$

The evaluation of the second term in (2.13) may be done from the results of [B-L-M], section 4 (cf. Remark (2) above) or, alternatively, using the method of support-reduction described above and yielding (2.13).

This concludes the proof of Lemma 3' and hence of Lemma 3.

Remark. When defining the t -entropy number of the set \mathcal{P} , we do not require a priori the centers of the covering balls of radius t to belong to \mathcal{P} . This can however always be achieved, doubling the radius of the balls to $2t$. Observe that in proving (2.13), the initial centers of the balls do not belong to \mathcal{P}_m and have to be substituted (to make Remark (1) on the improvement of the exponent $t^{-2} \log t \rightarrow t^{-\nu}$ applicable).

3. Decoupling inequalities

The first step in our probabilistic approach is a decoupling procedure which will be performed in this section.

The next lemma is formulated for 3 factors but easily generalizes.

LEMMA 4. Consider for $\alpha=1, 2, 3$ real valued functions ϕ_α , $\alpha=1, 2, 3$ on \mathbf{R} , satisfying

$$|\phi_\alpha(x)| \leq C(1+|x|)^{p_\alpha} \tag{3.1}$$

$$|\phi_\alpha(x) - \phi_\alpha(y)| \leq C(1+|x|+|y|)^{p_\alpha-\delta} |x-y|^\delta \tag{3.2}$$

where $p_\alpha > 0$, $\delta > 0$.

Let $x=(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$, $y=(y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$, $z=(z_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be scalar sequences with $|x|, |y|, |z| \leq 1$ and $\{\eta_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ independent 0, 1-valued random variables of respective mean

$$\int \eta_i(t) dt = \frac{1}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \int \zeta_i(t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n). \quad (3.3)$$

Define the disjoint sets

$$R_1^i = \{1 \leq i \leq n \mid \eta_i(t) = 1\}, \quad R_2^i = \{1 \leq i \leq n \mid \eta_i = 0, \zeta_i = 1\}, \quad R_3^i = \{1 \leq i \leq n \mid \eta_i = 0, \zeta_i = 0\}.$$

Then

$$\left| \int \phi_1 \left(\sum_{i \in R_1^i} x_i \right) \phi_2 \left(\sum_{R_2^i} y_i \right) \phi_3 \left(\sum_{R_3^i} z_i \right) dt - \phi_1 \left(\frac{1}{3} \sum x_i \right) \phi_2 \left(\frac{1}{3} \sum y_i \right) \phi_3 \left(\frac{1}{3} \sum z_i \right) \right| \leq C \left(1 + \left| \sum x_i \right| + \left| \sum y_i \right| + \left| \sum z_i \right| \right)^{p-\delta} \quad (3.4)$$

where $p=p_1+p_2+p_3$.

Proof. The argument is straightforward. Write by (3.2)

$$\left| \phi_1 \left(\frac{1}{3} \sum x_i \right) - \phi_1 \left(\sum_{i \in R_1^i} x_i \right) \right| \leq C \left[1 + \left| \sum x_i \right| + \left| \sum \left(\eta_i - \frac{1}{3} \right) x_i \right| \right]^{p_1-\delta} \left| \sum \left(\eta_i - \frac{1}{3} \right) x_i \right|^\delta$$

and the analogues with ϕ_1 replaced by ϕ_2 (resp. ϕ_3), p_1 by p_2 (resp. p_3), x by y (resp. z) and $\eta_i \equiv \eta_i^1$ by $\eta_i^2 \equiv (1-\eta_i)\zeta_i$ (resp. $\eta_i^3 \equiv (1-\eta_i)(1-\zeta_i)$). Observe that by construction

$$\int \eta_i^1(t) dt = \int \eta_i^2(t) dt = \int \eta_i^3(t) dt = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Hence, by (3.1), the left member of (3.4) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & C \left[1 + \left| \sum x_i \right| + \left| \sum y_i \right| + \left| \sum z_i \right| + \left| \sum \left(\eta_i^1 - \frac{1}{3} \right) x_i \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| \sum \left(\eta_i^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right) y_i \right| + \left| \sum \left(\eta_i^3 - \frac{1}{3} \right) z_i \right| \right]^{p-\delta} \\ & \quad \times \left(\left| \sum \left(\eta_i^1 - \frac{1}{3} \right) x_i \right| + \left| \sum \left(\eta_i^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right) y_i \right| + \left| \sum \left(\eta_i^3 - \frac{1}{3} \right) z_i \right| \right)^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\sum (\eta_i^1 - \frac{1}{3}) x_i\|_{L^p(dt)} \leq C|x| < c$ etc. ..., (3.4) easily follows.

Remark. In what follows, Lemma 4 will be applied for functions ϕ_α being one of the following

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= x && (p = 1, \delta = 1) \\ \phi(x) &= |x|^\sigma \text{ or } \phi(x) = (1+|x|)^\sigma; && 0 < \sigma < 1 \quad (p = \sigma, \delta = \sigma) \\ \phi(x) &= |x|^\sigma; && \sigma \geq 1 \quad (p = \sigma, \delta = 1). \end{aligned}$$

We will use the following scalar inequalities.

LEMMA 5. *Let $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$. Then*

$$|x+y|^p \leq |x+y|^2|y|^{p-2} + (1+|x|)^p + 2x(1+|x|)^{p-2}y + (1+|x|)^{p-2}y^2 \quad (2 < p \leq 3) \quad (3.5)$$

$$|x+y|^p \leq |x+y|^{p-2}x^2 + C(|x|+|y|)^{p-3}|y|^3 + 2x|x|^{p-2}y + (2p-3)|x|^{p-2}y^2 \quad (3 < p). \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. For (3.5), write

$$|x+y|^p \leq (x+y)^2(|x|^{p-2} + |y|^{p-2}) \leq (x+y)^2|y|^{p-2} + (x+y)^2(1+|x|)^{p-2}.$$

For (3.6), write

$$|x+y|^p = |x+y|^{p-2}x^2 + |x+y|^{p-2}(2xy+y^2) \quad (3.7)$$

and use the inequality

$$||x+y|^{p-2} - |x|^{p-2} - (p-2)|x|^{p-4}xy| \leq C(|x|+|y|)^{p-4}y^2$$

to replace the second term of (3.7).

Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a 1-bounded orthogonal system of functions and define for $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ the number

$$K_S = \sup_{|a| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{i \in S} a_i \varphi_i \right\|_p. \quad (3.8)$$

Case $2 < p \leq 3$.

Choose $0 < \gamma < 1$ satisfying

$$(1-\gamma^2)^{(p-2)/2} + \gamma^p < 1. \quad (3.9)$$

Fix $\bar{a} = (a_i)_{i \in S}$, $|\bar{a}| = 1$. Choose $\varkappa(\bar{a}) > 0$ and subsets $I = I_{\bar{a}}, J = J_{\bar{a}} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ satisfying

$$\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus (I \cup J) \text{ is at most 1 point} \quad (*)$$

$$\min_{i \in I} |a_i| \geq \varkappa \geq \max_{i \in J} |a_i| \quad (3.10)$$

$$\sum_{i \in I} a_i^2 < \gamma^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i \in J} a_i^2 < 1 - \gamma^2. \quad (3.11)$$

Apply then (3.5) pointwise, letting

$$x(u) = \sum_I a_i \varphi_i(u) \quad \text{and} \quad y(u) = \sum_J a_i \varphi_i(u).$$

Integration in u and using Hölder's inequality and the definition of K_S then yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int |x(u) + y(u)|^p du &\leq \|x + y\|_p^2 \|y\|_p^{p-2} + \|1 + |x|\|_p^2 + 2|\langle y, x(1 + |x|)^{p-2} \rangle| + |\langle y, y(1 + |x|)^{p-2} \rangle| \\ &\leq K_S^p \left(\sum_J a_i^2 \right)^{(p-2)/2} + K_S^p \left(\sum_I a_i^2 \right)^{p/2} + CK_S^{p-1} \\ &\quad + 2|\langle y, x(1 + |x|)^{p-2} \rangle| + |\langle y, y(1 + |x|)^{p-2} \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.11), (3.9), (*)

$$\begin{aligned} K_S^p &\leq [(1 - \gamma^2)^{(p-2)/2} + \gamma^p] K_S^p + CK_S^{p-1} + \sup[2|\langle y, x(1 + |x|)^{p-2} \rangle| + |\langle y, y(1 + |x|)^{p-2} \rangle|] \\ K_S^p &\leq C \sup[|\langle y, x(1 + |x|)^{p-2} \rangle| + |\langle y, y(1 + |x|)^{p-2} \rangle|] + CK_S^{p-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where the supremum is taken over all vectors $x = \sum_{i \in I \cap S} a_i \varphi_i$, $y = \sum_S b_i \varphi_i$ with $|a_i|, |b_i| \leq 1$ and $\max |b_i| \leq |I|^{-1/2}$.

Next use Lemma 4. Let R_t^1, R_t^2, R_t^3 be as in Lemma 4. We have pointwise

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| y(u)x(u)(1 + |x(u)|)^{p-2} - 3^p \int \left[\sum_{S \cap R_t^1} b_i \varphi_i(u) \right] \left[\sum_{I \cap S \cap R_t^2} a_i \varphi_i(u) \right] \left(1 + \left| \sum_{I \cap S \cap R_t^3} a_i \varphi_i(u) \right| \right)^{p-2} dt \right| \\ &\leq C(1 + |x(u)| + |y(u)|)^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we considered $\phi_1(x) = x$, $\phi_2(x) = x$, $\phi_3(x) = (1 + |x|)^{p-2}$.

Hence, integrating in u

$$\sup |\langle y, x(1 + |x|)^{p-2} \rangle| \leq C \int \sup \left| \left\langle \sum_{S \cap R_t^1} b_i \varphi_i, \left(\sum_{I \cap S \cap R_t^2} a_i \varphi_i \right) \left(1 + \left| \sum_{I \cap S \cap R_t^3} a_i \varphi_i \right| \right)^{p-2} \right\rangle \right| dt + C. \quad (3.13)$$

Again, the supremum is taken over sets $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $|\bar{a}| \leq 1$, $|\bar{b}| \leq 1$ and \bar{b} satisfying $\max |b_i| \leq |I|^{-1/2}$.

Replace similarly $\langle y, y(1+|x|)^{p-2} \rangle$, letting again $\phi_1(x)=\phi_2(x)=x$, $\phi_3(x)=(1+|x|)^{p-2}$. One gets now

$$\sup |\langle y, y(1+|x|)^{p-2} \rangle| \leq C \int \sup \left| \left\langle \sum_{S \cap R_i^1} b_i \varphi_i, \left(\sum_{S \cap R_i^2} b_i \varphi_i \right) \left(1 + \left| \sum_{I \cap S \cap R_i^3} a_i \varphi_i \right| \right)^{p-2} \right\rangle \right| dt + C. \tag{3.14}$$

Collecting estimates, it follows that

$$K_S^p \leq \text{first term (3.13)} + \text{first term (3.14)} + CK_S^{p-1}. \tag{3.15}$$

Case $3 < p$.

Choose now $0 < \gamma < 1$ such that

$$(1-\gamma^2) + C\gamma^3 < 1 \tag{3.16}$$

where C relates to the constant in (3.6). Take I, J satisfying (3.10) and now

$$\sum_{i \in I} a_i^2 < 1 - \gamma^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i \in J} a_i^2 < \gamma^2. \tag{3.17}$$

Let $x(u), y(u)$ be defined as above. Integrating (3.6), one gets

$$\int |x(u) + y(u)|^p du \leq K_S^p \left(\sum_I a_i^2 \right) + CK_S^p \left(\sum_J a_i^2 \right)^{3/2} + 2|\langle y, x|x|^{p-2} \rangle| + (2p-3)|\langle y, y|x|^{p-2} \rangle|$$

and, by (*)

$$K_S^p \leq C \sup [|\langle y, x|x|^{p-2} \rangle| + |\langle y, y|x|^{p-2} \rangle|] + CK_S^{p-1} \tag{3.18}$$

where the supremum is taken the same way as in (3.12).

Applying Lemma 4 with functions $\phi(x)=x$, $\phi(x)=|x|^{p-2}$, we now get

$$K_S^p \leq CK_S^{p-1} + C \int \sup \left| \left\langle \sum_{S \cap R_i^1} b_i \varphi_i, \left(\sum_{I \cap S \cap R_i^2} a_i \varphi_i \right) \left| \sum_{I \cap S \cap R_i^3} a_i \varphi_i \right|^{p-2} \right\rangle \right| dt \tag{3.19}$$

$$+ C \int \sup \left| \left\langle \sum_{S \cap R_i^1} b_i \varphi_i, \left(\sum_{S \cap R_i^2} a_i \varphi_i \right) \left| \sum_{I \cap S \cap R_i^3} a_i \varphi_i \right|^{p-2} \right\rangle \right| dt \tag{3.20}$$

the supremum being taken over sets $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $|\bar{a}|, |\bar{b}| \leq 1$ and \bar{b} satisfying

$$\max |b_i| \leq |I|^{-1/2}.$$

Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be independent 0,1-valued random variables (selectors) of mean $\delta = \int \xi_i(\omega) d\omega$ satisfying

$$\delta n = n^{2p} \quad (3.21)$$

and consider the random set

$$S_\omega = \{i = 1, \dots, n \mid \xi_i(\omega) = 1\}$$

which has expected size $\sim n^{2p}$. Denote

$$K(\omega) = K_{S_\omega}.$$

We only consider the case $2 < p \leq 3$. The case $3 < p$ is identical at this stage and left to the reader (we will point out however how the argument has to be modified to deal with $p \geq 4$).

From (3.13), (3.14), (3.15), it clearly follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int K(\omega)^p d\omega \leq C \int K(\omega)^{p-1} d\omega \\ & + \int \sup_{(*)} \left| \left\langle \sum \xi_i(\omega_1) a_i \varphi_i, \left(\sum \xi_i(\omega_2) b_i \varphi_i \right) \left(1 + \left| \sum \xi_i(\omega_3) c_i \varphi_i \right| \right)^{p-2} \right\rangle \right| d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3 \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

$$+ \int \sup_{(**)} \left| \left\langle \sum \xi_i(\omega_1) a_i \varphi_i, \left(\sum \xi_i(\omega_2) b_i \varphi_i \right) \left(1 + \left| \sum \xi_i(\omega_3) c_i \varphi_i \right| \right)^{p-2} \right\rangle \right| d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3 \quad (3.23)$$

where

$$\sup_{(*)} \text{refers to vectors } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}; |\bar{a}|, |\bar{b}|, |\bar{c}| \leq 1 \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_i| \leq (|\text{supp } \bar{b}| + |\text{supp } \bar{c}|)^{-1/2}$$

$$\sup_{(**)} \text{refers to vectors } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}; |\bar{a}|, |\bar{b}|, |\bar{c}| \leq 1 \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (|a_i|, |b_i|) \leq |\text{supp } \bar{c}|^{-1/2}.$$

We denote here $\text{supp } \bar{a} = \{i = 1, \dots, n \mid a_i \neq 0\}$ and always assume its size $|\text{supp } \bar{a}| \leq n_0 \equiv n^{2p} = \delta n$. In order to obtain (3.22), (3.23), we performed a decoupling on the variable ω to independent variables $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$, using the disjointness of the sets R_t^1, R_t^2, R_t^3 appearing in the scalar products in (3.13), (3.14), for individual t .

Define $q_0 = \log n$ and for $1 \leq m \leq n_0$, let

$$\Pi_m = \{ \bar{a} = (a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mid |\bar{a}| \leq 1 \text{ and } |\text{supp } \bar{a}| \leq m \}.$$

Hence, with this notation

$$\mathcal{P}_m = \left\{ \sum a_i \varphi_i \mid \bar{a} \in \Pi_m \right\}.$$

Estimate (3.22) by

$$\int \left\{ \sup_{m_1 < n_0} \left\| \sup_{\substack{|a| \leq 1, \max |a_i| \leq m_1^{-1/2} \\ b, c \in \Pi_{m_1}}} | \langle f_{\bar{a}, \omega_1}, f_{\bar{b}, \omega_2} (1 + |f_{\bar{c}, \omega_3}|)^{p-2} \rangle | \right\| \right\|_{L^{q_0}(d\omega_1)} \Bigg\} d\omega_2 d\omega_3$$

denoting

$$f_{\bar{a}, \omega} = \sum \xi_i(\omega) a_i \varphi_i.$$

Splitting \bar{a} in level sets, one gets further by triangle inequality

$$\int d\omega_2 d\omega_3 \left\{ \sup_{m_1 < n_0} \sum_{\substack{n_0 > m > m_1 \\ m \text{ diadic}}} \left\| \sup_{|A| \leq m; \bar{b}, \bar{c} \in \Pi_{m_1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i \in A} \xi_i(\omega_1) | \langle \varphi_i, f_{\bar{b}, \omega_2} (1 + |f_{\bar{c}, \omega_3}|)^{p-2} \rangle | \right\| \right\|_{L^{q_0}(d\omega_1)} \Bigg\}. \tag{3.24}$$

Evaluating (3.23), we proceed less crudely and write a representation

$$\bar{a} = \sum_{m_3 < 2^l < n_0} \lambda_l \bar{a}(l), \quad \bar{b} = \sum_{m_3 < 2^l < n_0} \mu_l \bar{b}(l) \quad (m_3 = |\text{supp } \bar{c}|)$$

where

$$\sum \lambda_l^2 \leq 1, \quad \sum \mu_l^2 \leq 1 \tag{3.25}$$

$$|\text{supp } \bar{a}(l)| \leq 2^l, \quad |\text{supp } \bar{b}(l)| \leq 2^l \tag{3.26}$$

$$|a_i(l)| \leq 2^{-l/2}, \quad |b_i(l)| \leq 2^{-l/2} \tag{3.27}$$

decomposing in level sets (the existence of such representations is easily seen by considering a decreasing rearrangement).

Coming back to (3.23), estimate the scalar product

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f_{\bar{a}, \omega_1}, f_{\bar{b}, \omega_2} (1 + |f_{\bar{c}, \omega_3}|)^{p-2} \rangle| &\leq \sum_{m_3 < 2^l, 2^{l'} < n_0} \lambda_l \mu_{l'} |\langle f_{\bar{a}(l), \omega_1}, f_{\bar{b}(l'), \omega_2} (1 + |f_{\bar{c}, \omega_3}|)^{p-2} \rangle| \quad (\text{by 3.25}) \\ &\leq \sum_{d \geq 0} \sup_{(l, l') \in \mathcal{L}_{m_3, d}} |\langle f_{\bar{a}(l), \omega_1}, f_{\bar{b}(l'), \omega_2} (1 + |f_{\bar{c}, \omega_3}|)^{p-2} \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

denoting for convenience $\mathcal{L}_{m, d} = \{(l, l') \mid m < 2^l, 2^{l'} < n_0 \text{ and } |l - l'| = d\}$.

The following estimate for (3.23) may be written from the preceding

$$\int \left\{ \sup_{m_3 < n_0} \sum_{d > 0} \left[\sup_{m_1, m_2 | n_0 > m_1 \geq 2^d m_2, m_2 \geq m_3} \|\bar{K}_{m_1, m_2, m_3}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)\|_{L^{q_0(d\omega_1)}} \right] \right\} d\omega_2 d\omega_3 \quad (3.28)$$

where

$$\bar{K}_{m_1, m_2, m_3}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) = \sup_{|A| \leq m_1} \sup_{b \in \Pi_{m_2}} \sup_{c \in \Pi_{m_3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_1}} \sum_{i \in A} \xi_i(\omega_1) |\langle \varphi_i, f_{\bar{b}, \omega_2} (1 + |f_{\bar{c}, \omega_3}|)^{p-2} \rangle|$$

and $f_{\bar{b}, \omega}$ is defined as above.

We will prove the following estimate in the next section

$$\|\bar{K}_{m_1, m_2, m_3}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)\|_{L^{q_0(d\omega_1)}} \leq C \left\{ \delta m_3^{p/2-1} + \frac{m_2 + m_3}{m_1} \right\}^{1/2} (1 + K(\omega_2) + K(\omega_3))^{p-\sigma} \quad (3.29)$$

for some $\sigma > 0$.

Substitution of (3.29) in (3.24) gives the estimate, since $\delta = n_0/n$, $n_0 = n^{2/p}$

$$\sup_{m_1 < n_0} \left[\sum_{\substack{n_0 > m > m_1 \\ m \text{ diadic}}} \left(\delta m_1^{p/2-1} + \frac{m_1}{m} \right)^{1/2} \right] \cdot \|K(\omega)\|_p^{p-\sigma} \leq C [1 + (\delta n_0^{p/2-1})^{1/2}] \|K(\omega)\|_p^{p-\sigma} < C \|K(\omega)\|_p^{p-\sigma}. \quad (3.30)$$

Substitution of (3.29) in (3.28) gives

$$\sup_{m_3 < n_0} \sum_{0 < d < \log(n_0/m_3)} [(\delta m_3^{p/2-1})^{1/2} + 2^{-d/2}] \cdot \|K(\omega)\|_p^{p-\sigma} \leq C \|K(\omega)\|_p^{p-\sigma}. \quad (3.31)$$

Collecting estimates (3.22), (3.23), (3.24), (3.28), (3.30), (3.31) gives

$$\|K(\omega)\|_p^p \leq C \|K(\omega)\|_p^{p-1} + C \|K(\omega)\|_p^{p-\sigma} \Rightarrow \|K(\omega)\|_p \leq C.$$

From the definition of $K(\omega)$, this means that a random set $S_\omega \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $|S_\omega| = n^{2p}$, satisfies generically (0.1).

4. End of the proof ($2 < p \leq 3$ and, similarly, $3 < p < 4$)

Let again $2 < p \leq 3$. It remains to show (3.29). The argument is based on the results of the first two sections of this paper. With ω_2, ω_3 fixed, denote briefly

$$g_b = f_{b, \omega_2}; \quad h_c = f_{c, \omega_3}.$$

Evaluate (3.29) by Lemma 1, taking $m = m_1$ and

$$\mathcal{E} = \{(|\langle \varphi_i, g_b(1 + |h_c|)^{p-2} \rangle|)_{i=1}^n \mid \bar{b} \in \Pi_{m_2}, \bar{c} \in \Pi_{m_3}\}.$$

Since $q_0 = \log n \sim \log 1/\delta$, (1.1) yields the bound

$$C[\delta^{1/2} + m_1^{-1/2}]B + cm_1^{-1/2}(\log n)^{-1/2} \int_0^B [\log N_2(\mathcal{E}, t)]^{1/2} dt \tag{4.1}$$

where $B = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{E}} |x|$.

It follows from Bessel's inequality that

$$\left(\sum |\langle \varphi_i, g_b(1 + |h_c|)^{p-2} \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \|g_b(1 + |h_c|)^{p-2}\|_2$$

which by Hölder's inequality is further bounded by

$$\|g_b\|_p \|1 + |h_c|\|_{2p}^{p-2} \leq \|g_b\|_p (1 + \|h_c\|_p)^{p/2-1} (1 + \|h_c\|_\infty)^{p/2-1} \leq K(\omega_2) K(\omega_3)^{p/2-1} m_3^{\frac{1}{2}(p/2-1)}. \tag{4.2}$$

Similarly, there is the distance computation

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left| |\langle \varphi_i, g_b(1 + |h_c|)^{p-2} \rangle| - |\langle \varphi_i, g_{b'}(1 + |h_{c'}|)^{p-2} \rangle| \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \|g_b(1 + |h_c|)^{p-2} - g_{b'}(1 + |h_{c'}|)^{p-2}\|_2. \tag{4.3}$$

Using the inequality ($p \leq 3$)

$$|(1 + |x|)^{p-2} - (1 + |y|)^{p-2}| \leq (p-2) |x-y|$$

it follows

$$|g_b(1 + |h_c|)^{p-2} - g_{b'}(1 + |h_{c'}|)^{p-2}| \leq |g_b - g_{b'}|(1 + |h_c|)^{p-2} + |g_{b'}| |h_c - h_{c'}|$$

hence, for $q=2p/(4-p)$, $r=2p/(p-2)$

$$(4.3) \leq \|g_b - g_{b'}\|_q (1 + \|h_c\|_p)^{p-2} + \|g_{b'}\|_p \|h_c - h_{c'}\|_r \\ \leq K(\omega_3)^{p-2} \|g_b - g_{b'}\|_q + K(\omega_2) \|h_c - h_{c'}\|_r.$$

Therefore

$$\log N_2(\mathcal{E}, t) \leq \log N_q\left(\mathcal{P}_{m_2}, \frac{t}{2} K(\omega_3)^{2-p}\right) + \log N_r\left(\mathcal{P}_{m_3}, \frac{t}{2} K(\omega_2)^{-1}\right). \quad (4.4)$$

Substitution of (4.2), (4.4) in (4.1) yields

$$C[\delta^{1/2} + m_1^{-1/2}] m_3^{\frac{1}{2}(p/2-1)} K(\omega_2) K(\omega_3)^{p/2-1} \\ + cm_1^{-1/2} (\log n)^{-1/2} K(\omega_3)^{p-2} \int_0^\infty [\log N_q(\mathcal{P}_{m_2}, t)]^{1/2} dt \\ + cm_1^{-1/2} (\log n)^{-1/2} K(\omega_2) \int_0^\infty [\log N_r(\mathcal{P}_{m_3}, t)]^{1/2} dt. \quad (4.5)$$

Since $q, r < \infty$ for $2 < p < 4$, application of the entropy estimates (2.2), (2.3) yields the following bound on (4.5),

$$C \left[\delta m_3^{p/2-1} + \frac{m_3}{m_1} \right]^{1/2} K(\omega_2) K(\omega_3)^{p/2-1} + C \left(\frac{m_2}{m_1} \right)^{1/2} K(\omega_3)^{p-2} + C \left(\frac{m_3}{m_1} \right)^{1/2} K(\omega_2).$$

This proves (3.29), with $\sigma = p/2$.

5. End of the proof ($p \geq 4$)

In this section we show how to handle the case $p \geq 4$. If $p \geq 4$, a use of Bessel's inequality in evaluating the distance (cf. (4.3)) is inappropriate, since the resulting exponent $2(p-2) \geq p$, in this case. One proceeds in a different way and generates the random set S , $|S| \sim n^{2/p}$ in several steps.

Assume $p/2 < p_1 < p$ and $n^{2/p} = \delta' n^{2/p_1}$. Assume also the statement of Theorem 1 is verified for the exponent p_1 . One may generate a random set S of size $n^{2/p}$ by considering S as a subset of a random set $S_1 \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ of size $|S_1| = n_1 \sim n^{2/p_1}$ and satisfying, by hypothesis, the inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in S_1} a_i \varphi_i \right\|_{p_1} \leq C|\bar{a}|. \quad (5.1)$$

Thus one considers a 1-bounded sequence $\{\varphi_i | 1 \leq i \leq n_1\}$ fulfilling (5.1) and its random subsets S of size $[\delta' n_1]$. We have to establish the analogue of inequality (3.29)

$$\|\bar{K}_{m_1, m_2, m_3}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)\|_{L^{q_0}(d\omega_1)} \leq C \left\{ \delta' m_3^{p/p_1-1} + \frac{m_2+m_3}{m_1} \right\}^{1/2} (1+K(\omega_2)+K(\omega_3))^{p-\sigma}. \quad (5.2)$$

One may then repeat the calculation of section 3 leading to inequality (3.31), using the fact that $\delta' n_0^{p/p_1-1} = O(1)$, where $n_0 = n^{2/p}$. To establish (5.2), one proceeds as in the previous section, except in evaluating B and the distance, where the use of Bessel's inequality is replaced by (5.1) and duality. Thus

$$\left(\sum |\langle \varphi_i, g_b | h_c |^{p-2} \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|g_b | h_c |^{p-2}\|_{p_1'} \leq CK(\omega_2) K(\omega_3)^{p/p_1-1} m_3^{\frac{1}{2}(p/p_1-1)}. \quad (5.3)$$

Similarly, from (5.1) and Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left| |\langle \varphi_i, g_b | h_c |^{p-2} \rangle| - |\langle \varphi_i, g_{b'} | h_c |^{p-2} \rangle| \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C \|g_b | h_c |^{p-2} - g_{b'} | h_c |^{p-2}\|_{p_1'} \\ & \leq C \|g_b - g_{b'}\|_q (\|h_c\|_p^{p-2} + \|h_c\|_p^{p-2}) + \|h_c - h_{c'}\|_q (\|h_c\|_p^{p-3} + \|h_{c'}\|_p^{p-3}) \|g_{b'}\|_p \\ & \leq C \|g_b - g_{b'}\|_q (\|h_c\|_p + \|h_{c'}\|_p)^{p-2} + C \|h_c - h_{c'}\|_q (\|h_c\|_p + \|h_{c'}\|_p + \|g_{b'}\|_p)^{p-2} \\ & \leq C [K(\omega_2) + K(\omega_3)]^{p-2} (\|g_b - g_{b'}\|_q + \|h_c - h_{c'}\|_q) \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where $q = pp_1' / (p - p_1'(p-2))$ (notice that $p_1'(p-2) < p$).

Hence, similarly as in the previous section, the entropy-integral will contribute for

$$C \left(\frac{m_2+m_3}{m_1} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\log n}{\log 1/\delta} \right)^{1/2} [K(\omega_2) + K(\omega_3)]^{p-2}. \quad (5.5)$$

(5.3), (5.5) and the fact that $p/p_1 - 1 < 1$ (cf. (4.1)) imply (5.2) with $p - \sigma = \max(p/p_1, p-2)$.

6. Further comments

(1) The hypothesis of uniform boundedness of the system Φ in Theorem 1 is essential. Weakening this assumption, the following statement may be shown:

Let $2 < p < q < \infty$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ an orthogonal (or 1-Hilbertian) system of n functions satisfying $\|\varphi_j\|_q \leq 1$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$). Then (0.1) holds for a random set $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ of size $|S| \sim n^\alpha$, $\alpha = (1/p - 1/q) / (1/2 - 1/q)$. The proof uses the same techniques as developed above

in this paper. A special case of the result (p even, $q < 2p - 2$) was obtained in [A], where also its optimality (as an existence result) is observed.

(2) The probabilistic techniques used in this paper to solve the $\Lambda(p)$ problem have some applications to Garsia's conjecture (see [G]) on the rearrangement of finite orthogonal systems.

The following result is obtained in [B]:

Let $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ be an orthogonal system satisfying $\|\varphi_j\|_\infty \leq 1$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$). Then, there is a rearrangement $\pi \in \text{Sym}(n)$ satisfying

$$\left\| \max_{m \leq n} \left| \sum_1^m a_j \varphi_{\pi(j)} \right| \right\|_2 \leq c(\log \log n) \left(\sum_1^n |a_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (1.7)$$

The permutation π is chosen at random in the symmetric group $\text{Sym}(n)$. The estimate (7.1) is the best one may reach by a purely probabilistic approach.

References

- [A] AGAEV, I., Lacunary subsets of orthonormal sets. *Anal. Math.*, 2 (1985), 283–301.
- [B] BOURGAIN, J., On Kolmogorov's rearrangement problem for orthogonal systems and Garsia's conjecture. To appear in *GAFSA Seminar*, ed. by J. Lindenstrauss and V. Milman, 1988. Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics.
- [B-D-G-J-N] BENNETT, G., DOR, L., GOODMAN, V., JOHNSON, W. B. & NEWMAN, C., On uncomplemented subspaces of L_p , $1 < p < 2$. *Israel J. Math.*, 26 (1977), 178–187.
- [B-E] BACHELIS, G. F. & EBENSTEIN, S. E., On $\Lambda(p)$ -sets. *Pacific J. Math.*, 54 (1974), 35–38.
- [B-L-M] BOURGAIN, J., LINDENSTRAUSS, J. & MILMAN, V., Approximation of zonoids by zonotopes. *Acta Math.*, 162 (1989), 73–141.
- [F-L-M] FIGIEL, T., LINDENSTRAUSS, J. & MILMAN, V., Almost spherical sections of convex bodies. *Acta Math.*, 139 (1977), 53–94.
- [G] GARSIA, A., *Topics in Almost Everywhere Convergence*. Lectures in Advanced Mathematics. Markham Publ. Co., Chicago, 1970.
- [L-R] LÒPEZ, J. M. & ROSS, K. A., *Sidon Sets*. New York, Marcel Dekker, 1975.
- [Ro] ROSENTHAL, H. P., On subspaces of L^p . *Ann. of Math.*, 97 (1973), 344–373.
- [Ru] RUDIN, W., Trigonometric series with gaps. *J. Math. Mech.*, 9 (1960), 203–227.
- [St] STEIN, E., *Topics in Harmonic Analysis Related to the Littlewood-Paley Theory*. Princeton UP, 1970.

Received August 12, 1988