

László Zsilinszky, Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina,
Columbia, SC 29208, e-mail: zsilinsz@math.sc.edu

SUPERPOROSITY IN A CLASS OF NON-NORMABLE SPACES

Abstract

Let \mathcal{M} stand for the space of all S -measurable real functions on the infinite σ -finite measure space (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) endowed with the (metrizable but non-normable) topology of convergence in measure on sets of finite measure. Some natural subsets (including the L_p -spaces) are proved to be sigma-superporous in \mathcal{M} . The possibility of finding non-sigma-porous meager sets in this non-normable setting is discussed.

1 Introduction

The concept of a porous set was introduced by Dolženko in [2]. Since then it has been thoroughly investigated and diversely generalized (see [14] or [11] for a survey). It is possible to define several notions concerning porosity also in metric spaces (see [14],[11]). It is known that in Banach spaces the ideal of meager sets is strictly wider than that of the σ -porous sets ([14]). It is true also in closed non-locally compact convex subsets of a separable Banach space ([1]). Recently it has been established in dense in itself completely metrizable spaces as well (cf. [16]).

The primary goal of the research presented in this paper is in the line of the above results, i.e. to compare σ -porous and meager sets, respectively in some non-normable spaces. Such an attempt was made in [12] where the space s of all real sequences endowed with the Fréchet metric

$$\rho_F(\{a_n\}_n, \{b_n\}_n) = \sum_n 2^{-n} \frac{|a_n - b_n|}{1 + |a_n - b_n|} \text{ where } \{a_n\}_n, \{b_n\}_n \in s$$

was scrutinized in this respect. This space is non-normable ([8, Exercise 276]) and it was shown in [12] e.g. that the set $\{\{a_n\}_n \in s; \sum_n \Phi(a_n) \text{ converges}\}$ is

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 28A20
Received by the editors June 18, 1996

σ -superporous in s for a residual family of functions Φ in the space of all real functions furnished with the uniform topology.

It is the purpose of this paper to carry on these investigations generalizing results of [12] for the space \mathcal{M} of all measurable functions on an infinite σ -finite measure space (X, S, μ) endowed with the (metrizable) topology of convergence in measure on sets of finite measure (see [4]). We will show that results quite analogous to those of exposed in [12] for s hold in this generality as well. For instance, the set $A(\Phi) = \{f \in \mathcal{M}; \int_X^* |\Phi \circ f| d\mu^* < +\infty\}$ is σ -superporous in \mathcal{M} for a broad class of functions $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where μ^* is the outer measure induced by μ and $\int_X^* h d\mu^*$ stands for the μ^* -upper integral of the function $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (see [3, Section 2.4]).

Further we show that $A(\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M})$ is σ -superporous in \mathcal{M} for every σ -very porous set $M \subset \mathbb{R}$ ($\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M}$ is the characteristic function of $\mathbb{R} \setminus M$) and that $A(\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M})$ is meager in \mathcal{M} if M is meager at some point of \mathbb{R} . In particular, $A(\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M})$ is meager in (s, ρ_F) if and only if M is meager at some point of \mathbb{R} . This could provide a method for relating meager non- σ -porous subsets of \mathbb{R} to meager non- σ -porous subsets of \mathcal{M} (resp. s) if the porosity of $A(\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M})$ in \mathcal{M} (resp. s) could be characterized in terms of $M \subset \mathbb{R}$.

It is worth noticing here that a more familiar metrization of \mathcal{M} by the metric

$$m(f, g) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0; \mu(\{x \in X; |f(x) - g(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}) < \varepsilon\} \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{M})$$

which coincides with the topology of convergence in measure on X (cf. [3, Section 2.3.8]), yields a setting where our considerations are not feasible even for continuous Φ 's. This question was studied in [17].

2 Preliminaries

In the sequel (X, S, μ) will be an infinite σ -finite measure space and μ^* the outer measure induced by μ . Without loss of generality we may suppose that $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$, where $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of pairwise disjoint, S -measurable sets such that $2 < \mu(X_n) < +\infty$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Denote by \mathcal{M} (resp. \mathcal{M}_n) the set of all S -measurable functions that are finite almost everywhere (abbr. a.e.) on X (on X_n). We will identify members of \mathcal{M} provided they equal a.e. on X . If the sequence $f_k \in \mathcal{M}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) converges in measure to $f \in \mathcal{M}$, write $f_k \xrightarrow{\mu} f$.

Denote by \mathcal{F}_m the space of all functions $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Phi \circ f \in \mathcal{M}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{M}$. It is known that \mathcal{F}_m contains the class of Borel-measurable functions. Observe that \mathcal{F}_m is a closed subspace of the complete metric space

(\mathcal{F}, d) , where $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ and

$$d(\Phi, \Psi) = \min\{1, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\Phi(t) - \Psi(t)|\} \quad (\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{F}).$$

Indeed, if a sequence $\Phi_n \in \mathcal{F}_m$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) d -converges to $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\Phi_n \circ f \in \mathcal{M}$ converges pointwise to $\Phi \circ f$ (for all $f \in \mathcal{M}$), thus $\Phi \circ f \in \mathcal{M}$ and consequently $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_m$. It follows that (\mathcal{F}_m, d) is a complete metric space.

For $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$A(\Phi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{M}; \int_X^* |\Phi \circ f| d\mu^* < +\infty \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$A_p(\Phi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{M}; \int_X^* |\Phi \circ f| d\mu^* \leq p \right\},$$

where $\int_X^* f d\mu^*$ is the upper integral of f with respect to μ^* (see [3, Section 2.4]).

For $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$\rho_n(f, g) = \int_{X_n} \frac{|f - g|}{1 + |f - g|} d\mu$$

$$\rho(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n \mu(X_n)} \rho_n(f, g).$$

For $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M \subset \mathbb{R}$ denote

$$\tilde{A}_{i,j}(M) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{M}; \mu^*(f^{-1}(M) \cap X_i) \geq \frac{\mu(X_i)}{j} \right\},$$

$$A_{i,j}(M) = \left\{ f|_{X_i}; f \in \tilde{A}_{i,j}(M) \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$A_{i,0}(M) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{M}_i; \mu^*(f^{-1}(M)) = \mu(X_i) \right\}.$$

It is not hard to see that ρ (resp. ρ_n) is a metric on \mathcal{M} (resp. \mathcal{M}_n). It can be shown similarly as for (s, ρ_F) that (\mathcal{M}, ρ) is non-normable (see [18]).

Convergence in measure implies ρ -convergence and the converse holds if and only if the underlying measure space is finite. More precisely we have:

Lemma 1. *Let $f_k, f \in \mathcal{M}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $f_k \xrightarrow{\rho} f$;
- (ii) $f_k \xrightarrow{\mu} f$ on every S -measurable set of finite measure;

(iii) $f_k|_{X_n} \xrightarrow{p} f|_{X_n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

PROOF. For (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) see [4, Theorem 3]. The equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) follows easily from [7, Theorem 14, p. 122]. \square

Remark 1. Observe that (\mathcal{M}_n, ρ_n) is a complete metric space for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the ρ_n -convergence of sequences from \mathcal{M}_n coincides with their convergence in measure on X_n ([5, Problem 42(4)]). Further the equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) in the previous lemma actually yields that (\mathcal{M}, ρ) and the Tychonoff product $\prod_n(\mathcal{M}_n, \rho_n)$ are homeomorphic.

Lemma 2. (cf. [4]) (\mathcal{M}, ρ) is a complete metric space.

Denote by $B_d(y, r)$ the open ball about $y \in Y$ with radius $r > 0$ in the metric space (Y, d) . By $B(x, r)$ we will denote the interval $(x - r, x + r)$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For $E \subset Y, y \in Y$ and $r > 0$ define

$$\gamma(y, r, E) = \sup\{r' > 0; \exists y' \in Y B_d(y', r') \subset B_d(y, r) \setminus E\}.$$

We say that E is *porous* (*very porous*) at y if

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\gamma(y, r, E)}{r} > 0 \quad (\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\gamma(y, r, E)}{r} > 0).$$

Further E is said to be *superporous* at $y \in Y$ (see [14], [15]), if $E \cup F$ is porous at y whenever $F \subset Y$ is porous at y .

A set $E \subset Y$ is said to be *globally very porous* if there exist constants $0 < a_E < 1$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that $\gamma(y, r, E) > a_E r$ for every $y \in E$ and $0 < r < r_0$ ([14]).

We say that E is *superporous* (*very porous*) if it is superporous (*very porous*) at each of its points, further E is σ -superporous (σ -very porous) if it is a countable union of superporous (*very porous*) sets. Superporosity was defined in [15] in connection with the \mathcal{I} -density topology of Wilczynski and others (cf. [13]).

Note that superporosity implies very porosity as observed in [15] (see [11, Corollary 8.15] as well) and σ -superporosity is equivalent to σ -very porosity which is further equivalent to σ -globally very porosity ([11, Corollary 8.17]).

We will denote by $\text{card} Y$ and $\mathcal{P}(Y)$ the cardinality and the power set, respectively of the set Y , further c will stand for the power of the continuum. Denote by $|I|$ the length of the interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

3 Main Results

Lemma 3. *Let $\{I_q; q \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an enumeration of the open intervals with rational endpoints. Let $\Phi_{pq} = p\chi_{I_q}$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A_p(\Phi_{pq})$ is superporous in (\mathcal{M}, ρ) for every $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$.*

PROOF. Choose $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote by t_q the midpoint of I_q . Let $f \in A_p(\Phi_{pq})$. Suppose that $F \subset \mathcal{M}$ is an arbitrary set porous at f . Then there exist sequences $r_n, r'_n > 0$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $\alpha > 0$ such that $\alpha r_n < r'_n < r_n < 2^{-n}$, further we get an $f_n \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$B_\rho(f_n, r'_n) \subset B_\rho(f, r_n) \setminus F. \tag{1}$$

Define $p_n = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N}; 2^{-k} < r'_n\} + 1$ and $\varepsilon_n = 2^{-p_n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$r'_n > \varepsilon_n \geq \frac{r'_n}{2}. \tag{2}$$

Denote $E_{n1} = X_{p_n} \cap f_0^{-1}((t_q - \frac{1}{8}|I_q|, t_q + \frac{1}{8}|I_q|))$ and $E_{n2} = X_{p_n} \setminus E_{n1}$ and define $g_n = f_n\chi_{X \setminus X_{p_n}} + t_q\chi_{E_{n2}} + (t_q + \frac{1}{4}|I_q|)\chi_{E_{n1}} \in \mathcal{M}$. It is clear that

$$|f_n(x) - g_n(x)| \geq \frac{1}{8}|I_q| \text{ for all } x \in X_{p_n}. \tag{3}$$

Since $\rho(f_n, g_n) = \frac{1}{2^{p_n}\mu(X_{p_n})} \int_{X_{p_n}} \frac{|f_n - g_n|}{1 + |f_n - g_n|} d\mu$, by the definition of ε_n, X_{p_n} and (3), respectively we get

$$\rho(f_n, g_n) < \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2}, \tag{4}$$

$$\rho(f_n, g_n) > \frac{|I_q|}{8 + |I_q|} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2}. \tag{4'}$$

Put $\delta_n = \frac{|I_q|}{16 + 2|I_q|} \rho(f_n, g_n)$ and pick an arbitrary $h_n \in B_\rho(g_n, \delta_n)$. Define

$$D_n = \left\{ x \in X_{p_n}; |h_n(x) - g_n(x)| < \frac{4\delta_n}{\varepsilon_n - 4\delta_n} \right\} \text{ and } D_{n0} = X_{p_n} \setminus D_n.$$

Observe that D_n is well-defined, since by (4) $\delta_n = \frac{|I_q|}{8 + |I_q|} \cdot \frac{\rho(f_n, g_n)}{2} < \frac{\varepsilon_n}{4}$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n > \rho(h_n, g_n) &\geq \frac{1}{2^{p_n}\mu(X_{p_n})} \int_{D_{n0}} \frac{|h_n - g_n|}{1 + |h_n - g_n|} d\mu \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2\mu(X_{p_n})} \int_{D_{n0}} \frac{4\delta_n}{\varepsilon_n} d\mu = \frac{2\delta_n\mu(D_{n0})}{\mu(X_{p_n})}, \end{aligned}$$

thus $\mu(D_{n0}) < \frac{1}{2}\mu(X_{p_n})$ hence $\mu(D_n) \geq \frac{1}{2}\mu(X_{p_n}) > 1$.

In view of (4) we get $|h_n(x) - g_n(x)| < \frac{4\delta_n}{\varepsilon_n - 4\delta_n} < \frac{1}{8}|I_q|$ for every $x \in D_n$, so $h_n(D_n) \subset (t_q - \frac{3}{8}|I_q|, t_q + \frac{3}{8}|I_q|)$ (see the definition of g_n). Then $\int_X^* |\Phi_{pq} \circ h_n| d\mu^* \geq \int_{D_n}^* |\Phi_{pq} \circ h_n| d\mu^* \geq p\mu(D_n) > p$, so

$$h_n \in \mathcal{M} \setminus A_p(\Phi_{pq}). \quad (5)$$

Using (4) we get $\varepsilon_n - \rho(f_n, g_n) > \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} > \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \cdot \frac{|I_q|}{8+|I_q|} > \delta_n$, therefore $B_\rho(g_n, \delta_n) \subset B_\rho(f_n, \varepsilon_n) \subset B_\rho(f_n, r'_n)$. Then in virtue of (5) and (1) there holds

$$B_\rho(g_n, \delta_n) \subset B_\rho(f_n, r'_n) \setminus A_p(\Phi_{pq}) \subset B_\rho(f, r_n) \setminus (F \cup A_p(\Phi_{pq})).$$

From (4') and (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(f, r_n, F \cup A_p(\Phi_{pq})) &\geq \delta_n \geq \left(\frac{|I_q|}{8+|I_q|}\right)^2 \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \geq \left(\frac{|I_q|}{8+|I_q|}\right)^2 \frac{r'_n}{4} \\ &> \left(\frac{|I_q|}{8+|I_q|}\right)^2 \frac{\alpha}{4} r_n, \end{aligned}$$

thus $\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\gamma(f, r, F \cup A_p(\Phi_{pq}))}{r} \geq \left(\frac{|I_q|}{8+|I_q|}\right)^2 \frac{\alpha}{4} > 0$, which proves the porosity of $F \cup A_p(\Phi_{pq})$ at f . \square

Theorem 1. Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$ be a function for which there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ such that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow t_0} |\Phi(t)| > 0. \quad (6)$$

Then $A(\Phi)$ is σ -superporous in (\mathcal{M}, ρ) .

PROOF. In view of (6) there exists $\beta > 0$ and a bounded open interval I such that

$$|\Phi(t)| \geq \beta \text{ for all } t \in I. \quad (7)$$

Let $\{J_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a partition of I consisting of open intervals. Choose an $f \in A(\Phi)$. Then by (7) we have

$$\beta \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(f^{-1}(J_k)) = \beta \mu(f^{-1}(I)) \leq \int_X^* |\Phi \circ f| d\mu^* < p$$

for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\mu(f^{-1}(J_k)) \leq 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence $\mu(f^{-1}(I_q)) \leq 1$ for some open interval $I_q \subset J_k$ with rational endpoints. Consequently,

$$\int_X^* |\Phi_{pq} \circ f| d\mu^* = p\mu(f^{-1}(I_q)) \leq p,$$

so $f \in A_p(\Phi_{pq})$, whence $A(\Phi) \subset \bigcup_{p,q \in \mathbb{N}} A_p(\Phi_{pq})$, which concludes the proof by Lemma 3. \square

As the following results show, there are also functions Φ , not necessarily satisfying (6), for which $A(\Phi)$ is still σ -superporous (cf. Theorem 2):

Lemma 4. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a globally very porous set. Then $\tilde{A}_{i,j}(M)$ is superporous in (\mathcal{M}, ρ) for each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.*

PROOF. According to the assumption on M there exist $0 < a_M < 1$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$\gamma(x, r, M) > a_M r \text{ for all } x \in M \cup (\mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{M}) \text{ and all } 0 < r < r_0. \tag{8}$$

Choose $f \in \tilde{A}_{ij}(M)$ and a set $F \subset \mathcal{M}$ which is porous at f . Then there exist $\alpha > 0$, sequences $r_n, r'_n > 0$ and $f_n \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $r_n \searrow 0, \alpha r_n < r'_n < r_n < 2^{-i+1} \cdot \frac{3r_0}{1+r_0}$ and

$$B(f_n, r'_n) \subset B(f, r_n) \setminus F. \tag{9}$$

It is not hard to find $b_{nk} \in \mathbb{R}$ ($1 \leq k \leq m_n$, where $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$) and a partition $\{D_{nk}; 1 \leq k \leq m_n\}$ of X_i such that for $g_{n0} = f_n \chi_{X \setminus X_i} + \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} b_{nk} \chi_{D_{nk}} \in \mathcal{M}$ there holds

$$\rho(f_n, g_{n0}) < \frac{r'_n}{4}. \tag{10}$$

We can actually choose $b_{nk} \in M \cup (\mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{M})$ for every $1 \leq k \leq m_n$.

Put $\eta_n = \frac{2^i r'_n}{6 - 2^i r'_n}$. Then $\eta_n < r_0$, so it follows from (8) that for each $1 \leq k \leq m_n$ there exists $b'_{nk} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r_{nk} > 0$ such that

$$a_M \eta_n \leq r_{nk} < \eta_n \text{ and } B(b'_{nk}, r_{nk}) \subset B(b_{nk}, \eta_n) \setminus M. \tag{11}$$

Define $g_n = g_{n0} \chi_{X \setminus X_i} + \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} b'_{nk} \chi_{D_{nk}} \in \mathcal{M}$. Then by (11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(g_{n0}, g_n) &\leq \frac{1}{2^i \mu(X_i)} \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \left(\int_{D_{nk}} |b_{nk} - b'_{nk}| d\mu \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^i \mu(X_i)} \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} |b_{nk} - b'_{nk}| \mu(D_{nk}) \leq \frac{1}{2^i \mu(X_i)} \eta_n \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \mu(D_{nk}) \\ &= \frac{r'_n}{6 - 2^i r'_n} \leq \frac{r'_n}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

thus in view of (10)

$$\rho(f_n, g_n) \leq \rho(f_n, g_{n0}) + \rho(g_{n0}, g_n) \leq \frac{r'_n}{2}. \tag{12}$$

We have $0 < a_M < 1 < 3j$, thus $\frac{r'_n}{2} > \frac{a_M r'_n}{6j}$. Then putting $\delta_n = \frac{a_M r'_n}{6j}$ we get by (12) that $r'_n - \rho(f_n, g_n) \geq \frac{r'_n}{2} > \delta_n$, so

$$B_\rho(g_n, \delta_n) \subset B_\rho(f_n, r'_n). \quad (13)$$

Choose $h \in \tilde{A}_{i,j}(M)$ arbitrarily. According to (11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(h, g_n) &\geq \frac{1}{2^i \mu(X_i)} \int_{h^{-1}(M) \cap X_i}^* \frac{|h - g_n|}{1 + |h - g_n|} d\mu^* \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^i \mu(X_i)} \mu^*(h^{-1}(M) \cap X_i) \cdot \frac{\min_{1 \leq k \leq m_n} r_{nk}}{1 + \min_{1 \leq k \leq m_n} r_{nk}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^i \mu(X_i)} \cdot \frac{\mu(X_i)}{j} \cdot \frac{a_M \eta_n}{1 + a_M \eta_n} > \frac{1}{2^i j} \cdot \frac{a_M \eta_n}{1 + \eta_n} = \delta_n. \end{aligned}$$

It means by (13) that $B_\rho(g_n, \delta_n) \subset B_\rho(f_n, r'_n) \setminus \tilde{A}_{i,j}(M)$. Then in virtue of (9) we get $B_\rho(g_n, \delta_n) \subset B_\rho(f, r_n) \setminus (F \cup \tilde{A}_{i,j}(M))$. Consequently

$$\gamma(f, r_n, F \cup \tilde{A}_{i,j}(M)) \geq \delta_n > \frac{a_M \alpha r_n}{6j},$$

which justifies the porosity of $F \cup \tilde{A}_{i,j}(M)$ at f . \square

Theorem 2. *Let M be a σ -very porous set. Then $A(\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M})$ is σ -superporous in (\mathcal{M}, ρ) .*

PROOF. We may already suppose that $M = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k$, where M_k is globally very porous and $a_{M_k} < 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Choose $f \in A(\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} +\infty &= \mu(X) - \int_X^* |\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M} \circ f| d\mu^* = \mu(X) - \mu^*(f^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus M)) \\ &\leq \mu^*(f^{-1}(M)) \leq \sum_{i,k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu^*(f^{-1}(M_k) \cap X_i), \end{aligned}$$

thus $\mu^*(f^{-1}(M_k) \cap X_i) > 0$ for some $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$. It suffices now to pick $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu^*(f^{-1}(M_k) \cap X_i) \geq \frac{\mu(X_i)}{j}$. Then clearly $f \in \tilde{A}_{i,j}(M_k)$, consequently

$$A(\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M}) \subset \bigcup_{i,j,k \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{A}_{i,j}(M_k),$$

which concludes the proof by Lemma 4. \square

Now we turn to characterizing the meagerness of $A(\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M})$ in (\mathcal{M}, ρ) in terms of properties of M . We will need the following

Lemma 5. *If M is meager at some point of \mathbb{R} , then $A_{i,j}(M)$ is meager at some point of (\mathcal{M}_i, ρ_i) for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.*

PROOF. In the sequel we will use that the topology induced by ρ_i on \mathcal{M}_i is equivalent with the topology of convergence in measure on X_i , i.e. with the topology induced by the metric $m_i = m|_{\mathcal{M}_i \times \mathcal{M}_i}$ (see [5, Problem 42(4)]).

Suppose that there exists an interval $U = B(t_0, r)$ ($t_0 \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0$) such that $U \cap M = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty M_k$ for some nowhere dense sets $M_k \subset \mathbb{R}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). Without loss of generality we may assume that $M_k \subset M_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $f_0 \equiv t_0$ on X_i and put $V = B_{m_i}(f_0, r)$.

We will show that $V \cap A_{i,j}(M_k)$ is nowhere dense in (\mathcal{M}_i, m_i) : take an open ball $B_{m_i}(f, \varepsilon)$ in \mathcal{M}_i . We may already suppose that $f \in V$ and f equals a simple function $\sum_{s=1}^m b_s \chi_{D_s}$ where $b_1, \dots, b_m \in U$ and D_1, \dots, D_m is a measurable partition of X_i .

Then the nowhere density of M_k in \mathbb{R} yields some $b'_s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \frac{\mu(X_i)}{j}$ such that

$$B(b'_s, \varepsilon_0) \subset B(b_s, \varepsilon) \setminus M_k \text{ for any } 1 \leq s \leq m. \tag{14}$$

Choose $g \in B_{m_i}(f_1, \varepsilon_0)$ where $f_1 = \sum_{s=1}^m b'_s \chi_{D_s}$. Then by (14)

$$g^{-1}(M_k) \subset \{x \in X_i; |f_1(x) - g(x)| \geq \varepsilon_0\}.$$

Therefore $\mu^*(g^{-1}(M_k)) \leq \varepsilon_0 < \frac{\mu(X_i)}{j}$, so $g \notin A_{i,j}(M_k)$. On the other hand $f_1 \in B_{m_i}(f, \varepsilon)$; thus,

$$\emptyset \neq B_{m_i}(f, \varepsilon) \cap B_{m_i}(f_1, \varepsilon_0) \subset B_{m_i}(f, \varepsilon) \setminus A_{i,j}(M_k),$$

which justifies the nowhere density of $V \cap A_{i,j}(M_k)$ in \mathcal{M}_i .

Finally, denote $V_0 = B_{m_i}(f_0, r_0)$ where $r_0 = \min\{r, \frac{1}{j}\}$. Pick $h \in A_{i,j}(M) \cap V_0$. Then $h^{-1}(M \setminus U) \subset \{x \in X_i; |h(x) - f_0(x)| \geq r_0\}$, so $\mu^*(h^{-1}(M \setminus U)) \leq r_0 \leq \frac{1}{j} < \frac{\mu(X_i)}{2j}$. Furthermore in view of the regularity of μ^* we get (cf. [3, Section 2.1.5(1)])

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(X_i)}{j} &\leq \mu^*(h^{-1}(M)) \leq \mu^*(h^{-1}(M \cap U)) + \mu^*(h^{-1}(M \setminus U)) \\ &< \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu^*(h^{-1}(M_k)) + \frac{\mu(X_i)}{2j}, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu^*(h^{-1}(M_k)) > \frac{\mu(X_i)}{2j}$, so $h \in A_{i,2j}(M_k) \cap V_0 \subset A_{i,2j}(M_k) \cap V$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore

$$A_{i,j}(M) \cap V_0 \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty A_{i,2j}(M_k) \cap V$$

which means that $A_{i,j}(M)$ is meager at f_0 in \mathcal{M}_i . □

Theorem 3. *If M is meager at some point of \mathbb{R} , then $A(\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M})$ is meager in (\mathcal{M}, ρ) .*

PROOF. Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r > 0$ be such that $B(t_0, r) \cap M$ is meager in \mathbb{R} . Let $V_i = B_{m_i}(f_0, r_0)$ where $f_0 \equiv t_0$ on X and $0 < r_0 = \min\{r, \frac{1}{2}\}$. Then by Lemma 5 $A_{i,2}(M) \cap V_i$ is meager in (\mathcal{M}_i, ρ_i) for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Choose $f \in A(\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M})$. Then $\mu^*(f^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus M)) < +\infty$ and by the regularity of μ^* there exists a μ^* -hull B of $f^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus M)$ (see [3, Section 2.1.4]). Consequently, $\mu(B \cap X_i) = \mu^*(f^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus M) \cap X_i) = \mu^*(X_i \setminus (X_i \cap f^{-1}(M)))$; thus,

$$+\infty > \mu^*(f^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus M)) = \mu(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(B \cap X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(X_i \setminus (X_i \cap f^{-1}(M))).$$

Then for all $i \geq m$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) we have

$$\frac{\mu(X_i)}{2} > 1 > \mu^*(X_i \setminus (X_i \cap f^{-1}(M))) \geq \mu(X_i) - \mu^*(X_i \cap f^{-1}(M)),$$

hence $f|_{X_i} \in A_{i,2}(M)$ for all $i \geq m$. Accordingly,

$$A(\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M}) \subset \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} P_m \text{ where } P_m = \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{M}_i \times \prod_{i=m}^{\infty} A_{i,2}(M) \text{ for each } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It suffices now to show by Remark 1 that P_m is meager in $P = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_i$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$: Let $\mathbf{U} = \prod_{i=1}^n U_i \times \prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_i$ be any basic open set of the product topology on P such that $n \geq m$. Denote by \mathbf{V} the open set $\prod_{i=1}^n U_i \times V_{n+1} \times \prod_{i=n+2}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_i \subset P$. Then $\mathbf{V} \subset \mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V} \cap P_m \subset \prod_{i=1}^n U_i \times (V_{n+1} \cap A_{n+1,2}(M)) \times \prod_{i=n+2}^{\infty} A_{i,2}(M)$, which is meager in P . It means by Theorem 1.7. in [6] that P_m is meager in P . □

Corollary 1. *$A(\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M})$ is meager in (s, ρ_F) if and only if M is meager at some point of \mathbb{R} .*

PROOF. The sufficiency follows from the previous theorem by putting $X = \mathbb{N}$, $S = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ and the counting measure on \mathbb{N} for μ .

Conversely, suppose that M is non-meager everywhere in \mathbb{R} . Then M with the relative topology is a dense Baire subspace of \mathbb{R} . Then the product $E = M^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a Baire space which is clearly dense in s ([6, Lemma 5.6]). Therefore E is non-meager in s and hence $A(\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M}) \supset E$ is non-meager in s . □

Remark 2. *In connection with the Corollary a question arises if a similar characterization of $A(\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus M})$ is possible also in \mathcal{M} . Mimicking the above proof and using Remark 1 it would be sufficient to prove that non-meagerness of M everywhere in \mathbb{R} implies non-meagerness of $A_{i,0}$ everywhere in \mathcal{M}_i for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, further that \mathcal{M}_i is separable for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. This last condition is needed for the theorem on product of Baire spaces ([6, Lemma 5.6].), thus we may consider the question only for separable measure spaces (X, S, μ) (see [5, §41]).*

It is not hard to show that this is really the case if each X_i is a finite disjoint sum of atoms, however in general the answer is not known to me.

Remark 3. *Another question here arises in connection with finding necessary conditions for σ -porosity of $A(\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus M})$ in \mathcal{M} (or at least in s). If we want to use some argument similar to that of in the Corollary, we would need some “porosity-Baire” product theorem as the mentioned result of Oxtoby ([9], [6]). This ultimately breaks down to proving a porosity version of the well-known Kuratowski-Ulam theorem on sections of nowhere dense subsets of the product space ([10, Theorem 15.1]). More precisely, the questions are as follows:*

(i) *If X and Y are separable metric spaces and E is a porous subset of $X \times Y$ with (say) the box metric, then are the x -sections E_x of E porous in Y except for a σ -porous set in X ?*

(ii) *Call a metric space Z p -Baire if every nonempty open subset of Z is non- σ -porous. Is the property of being separably p -Baire (countably) productive?*

The preceding theorems provide sufficient background for investigating the class

$$\mathcal{U} = \{\Phi \in \mathcal{F}; A(\Phi) \text{ is } \sigma\text{-superporous in } (\mathcal{M}, \rho)\}.$$

Theorem 4. *We have*

(i) $\text{card}(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}_m) = \text{card}\mathcal{U} = 2^c$

(ii) $\text{card}(\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{U}) = 2^c$ for (s, ρ_F) .

PROOF. (i) Every subset of the Cantor’s ternary set C is very porous therefore in view of Theorem 2 $\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus E} \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}_m$ for every $E \subset C$, further $\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus E} \neq \chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus E'}$ provided $E \neq E'$. Consequently $\text{card}(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}_m) \geq \text{card}\mathcal{P}(C) = 2^c$. Further clearly $\text{card}\mathcal{U} \leq \text{card}\mathcal{F} \leq \text{card}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}) = 2^c$.

(ii) If we restrict ourselves to (s, ρ_F) only, then $\chi_E \notin \mathcal{U}$ for each $E \subset C$ since $A(\chi_E) = s \setminus A(\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus E})$ and (s, ρ_F) is a nonmeager space by Lemma 2. Thus again $2^c = \text{card}\mathcal{P}(C) \leq \text{card}(\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{U}) \leq \text{card}\mathcal{F} \leq 2^c$. □

Further we have

Theorem 5. \mathcal{U} is residual in \mathcal{F} .

PROOF. See [12, Lemma 2] and our Theorem 1. \square

Remark 4. It is worth noticing that if we restrict our investigations onto \mathcal{F}_m only, then similar results hold. Actually, Lemma 3–4 and Theorem 1–2 hold without change, we need only to replace μ^* by μ and the upper integral by integral, respectively in the proofs.

We can also prove the analogue of Tóth's Theorem (Theorem 5) for \mathcal{F}_m :

Theorem 5' $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{F}_m$ is residual in (\mathcal{F}_m, d) .

PROOF. See Lemma 2 in [12]. The only difference is in proving the density of $\mathcal{U}_0 = \{\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_m; \Phi \text{ satisfies (6) for some } t_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}\}$ in (\mathcal{F}_m, d) , more precisely in proving that $\Psi = \Phi\chi_M + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M} \in \mathcal{F}_m$, where $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_m, \varepsilon > 0$ and $M = \{t \in \mathbb{R}; \text{ either } t \notin (0, 1) \text{ or } t \in (0, 1) \text{ and } |\Phi(t)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\}$.

To show this pick $f \in \mathcal{M}, c \in \mathbb{R}$ arbitrarily and observe that

$$(\Psi \circ f)^{-1}([c, +\infty)) = \begin{cases} \Phi \circ f)^{-1}([c, +\infty)), & \text{if } c > \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \\ (\Phi \circ f)^{-1}([c, +\infty)) \cup (f^{-1}((0, 1))) \\ \cap (\Phi \circ f)^{-1}((-\frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})), & \text{if } c \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \end{cases}$$

thus $\Psi \circ f \in \mathcal{M}$. \square

References

- [1] S. Agronsky and A. Bruckner, *Local compactness and porosity in metric spaces*, Real Analysis Exchange, **11** (1985-86), 365–379.
- [2] E. P. Dolženko, *Boundary properties of arbitrary functions*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., **31** (1967), 3–14 (in Russian).
- [3] H. Federer, *Geometric Measure Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1969.
- [4] J. I. Gribanov, *On metrization of a space of functions*, CMUC, **4** (1963), 43-46 (in Russian).
- [5] P. R. Halmos, *Measure Theory*, D. van Nostrand, Toronto-New York-London, 1950.
- [6] R. C. Haworth and R. A. McCoy, *Baire spaces*, Dissertationes Math., **141** (1977).

- [7] J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [8] A. A. Kirillov and A. D. Gvishiani, *Theorems and Problems in Functional Analysis*, Springer, New York, 1982.
- [9] J. C. Oxtoby, *Cartesian products of Baire spaces*, *Fund. Math.*, **49** (1961), 157–166.
- [10] J. C. Oxtoby, *Measure and Category*, Springer, New York, 1971.
- [11] D. L. Renfro, *A Study of Porous and σ -Porous Sets*, preprint.
- [12] J. Tóth and L. Zsilinszky, *On a typical property of functions*, *Math. Slovaca*, **45** (1995), 121–127.
- [13] W. Wilczyński, *A category analogue of the density topology, approximate continuity and the approximate derivative*, *Real Analysis Exchange*, **10** (1984-85), 241–265.
- [14] L. Zajíček, *Porosity and σ -porosity*, *Real Analysis Exchange*, **13** (1987-88), 314–350.
- [15] L. Zajíček, *Porosity, \mathcal{I} -density topology and abstract density topologies*, *Real Analysis Exchange*, **12** (1986-87), 313–326.
- [16] L. Zajíček, *Sigma-porous sets in topologically complete metric spaces*, preprint.
- [17] L. Zsilinszky, *On subspaces of measurable real functions*, *Portugaliae Math.*, **52** (1995), 125–130.
- [18] L. Zsilinszky, *On normability of a space of measurable real functions*, *Acta Math. et Inf.*, **2** (1995), 73–76.