

Zbigniew Duszyński, Pedagogical University, Institute of Mathematics,  
Chodkiewicza 30, 85-064 Bydgoszcz, Poland. e-mail:  
imath@wsp.bydgoszcz.pl

## AN OSCILLATION FUNCTION ON THE REAL LINE

### Abstract

By means of a certain well known family  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  fulfilling two conditions we introduce some topologies on  $\mathbb{R}$  (in Section 2 we consider the density topology). We observe that the family of the sets  $\Omega_f(y) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}; \omega_f(x) \geq y\}$  for an arbitrary bounded function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (where  $\omega_f(x)$  is a kind of  $\mathcal{B}$ -oscillation of  $f$ ) has three properties. Then we show that for each family  $\{\Omega(y)\}_{y \in [0,1]} \subset 2^{\mathbb{R}}$  having similar properties and in addition fulfilling conditions  $M_1$  and  $\mathcal{U}'$  (known from the literature) there is a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\Omega_f(y) = \Omega(y)$  for each  $y \in [0, 1]$ . In Section 2 we prove some analogous result for the density topology.<sup>1</sup>

Let  $\mathbb{R}$  denote the set of all real numbers.

### 1 $\mathcal{B}$ -Oscillation

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathcal{B}_0^+ \subset 2^{\mathbb{R}}$  be a nonempty family of sets fulfilling the following conditions:

- (1) if  $B \in \mathcal{B}_0^+$ , then for every  $t > 0$ ,  $B \cap (0, t) \in \mathcal{B}_0^+$ ,
- (2)  $B_1 \cup B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_0^+$  if and only if  $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_0^+$  or  $B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_0^+$ .

For every set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  put  $A + x = \{y \in \mathbb{R}; \exists a \in A (y = a + x)\}$  and  $-A := \{y \in \mathbb{R} : -y \in A\}$ .

Now we can define the family  $\mathcal{B}_0^-$  as

$$\mathcal{B}_0^- := \{B \subset \mathbb{R} : -B \in \mathcal{B}_0^+\}$$

---

Key Words: oscillation function, density topology  
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 26A15  
Received by the editors December 20, 1999

<sup>1</sup>This paper is continuation of author's investigation of oscillation function in RAE, vol 25(1) 1999/2000, pp. 489–492

and for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_x^+ &:= \{B \subset \mathbb{R} : (B - x) \in \mathcal{B}_0^+\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_x^- &:= \{B \subset \mathbb{R} : (-B - x) \in \mathcal{B}_0^+\}.\end{aligned}$$

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , put  $\mathcal{B}_x := \mathcal{B}_x^+ \cup \mathcal{B}_x^-$  and by  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the family of all subsets  $B$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that there exists  $x_B \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{x_B}$ .

**Definition 2.** (see [2]) We say that a family  $\mathcal{B}$  fulfills condition  $M_1$ , if for every  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and a set  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{x_0}^+$  and for every family of sets  $\{B_x\}_{x \in B}$  such that  $B_x \in \mathcal{B}_x$  ( $x \in B$ ), the set  $\bigcup_{x \in B} B_x$  belongs to the family  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}^+$ .

Assume that the family  $\mathcal{B}$  fulfills  $M_1$ . Let us define the operation “ $\dot{-}$ ” in the following way:  $\dot{A} := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : A \in \mathcal{B}_x\}$  for arbitrary  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ . It is now possible to consider the closure operation “ $\bar{\phantom{A}}$ ” for each subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ :  $\bar{A} := A \cup \dot{A}$ . In fact, it is not difficult to check that the operation “ $\bar{\phantom{A}}$ ” satisfies the Kuratowski’s axioms. Let  $\tau$  denotes the topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  generated by the operation “ $\bar{\phantom{A}}$ ”.

**Definition 3.** A number  $g$  is called a  $\mathcal{B}$ -limit number of a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at a point  $x_0$  if for every positive number  $\epsilon$

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}; |f(x) - g| < \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{B}_{x_0}.$$

By  $L(f, x)$  we denote the set of all  $\mathcal{B}$ -limit numbers of the function  $f$  at  $x$ .

It is known that for each bounded or locally bounded function  $f$  and every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists at least one  $\mathcal{B}$ -limit number of  $f$  at  $x$  but for every  $f$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  the set  $L(f, x)$  is closed in the usual Euclidean topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

For a bounded function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  let

$$\begin{aligned}m(f, x) &= \min \{L(f, x) \cup \{f(x)\}\}, \\ M(f, x) &= \max \{L(f, x) \cup \{f(x)\}\}.\end{aligned}$$

We say that a function  $f$  is upper  $\mathcal{B}$ -semicontinuous (lower  $\mathcal{B}$ -semicontinuous) at a point  $x_0$  if

$$M(f, x_0) \leq f(x_0), \quad (m(f, x_0) \geq f(x_0)).$$

From theorem 14 in [2] we infer the following characterization. For an arbitrary bounded function  $f$ , the function  $M(f, x)$  ( $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ) is upper  $\mathcal{B}$ -semicontinuous if and only if the family  $\mathcal{B}$  fulfills condition  $M_1$  and similarly: the function  $m(f, x)$  is lower  $\mathcal{B}$ -semicontinuous if and only if the family  $\mathcal{B}$  fulfills condition  $M_1$ .

By the symbol  $\omega_f(x)$  we denote the  $\mathcal{B}$ -oscillation of a bounded real function  $f$  at  $x$  defined as follows:

$$\omega_f(x) := M(f, x) - m(f, x).$$

Let us observe that for an arbitrary bounded function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is upper  $\mathcal{B}$ -semicontinuous at each point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and for each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x_0 \in E_a := \{x; f(x) < a\}$  there exists such a set  $V_{x_0} \in \tau$  (with  $x_0 \in V_{x_0}$ ) such that for any  $x \in V_{x_0}$ ,  $f(x) < a$ . Hence the set  $E_a$  is  $\tau$ -open. And conversely, if the set  $E_a$  is  $\tau$ -open for each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f$  is upper  $\mathcal{B}$ -semicontinuous in each point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore for a bounded function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the following properties are true:

- (1) The set  $\Omega_f(y) := \{x : \omega_f(x) \geq y\}$  is  $\tau$ -closed for each  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (2) If  $y_1 < y_2$ , then  $\Omega_f(y_2) \subset \Omega_f(y_1)$ .
- (3) The set  $\bigcup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} [\Omega_f(y) \times \{y\}]$  is  $\tau \times \tau_e$ -closed, where  $\tau_e$  denotes the Euclidean topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now let  $\{\Omega(y)\}_{0 \leq y \leq 1}$  be a nonempty family of nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that:

- ( $\alpha_1$ ) the set  $\Omega(y)$  is  $\tau$ -closed for each  $y \in [0, 1]$ ,
- ( $\alpha_2$ ) if  $y_1 < y_2$ , then  $\Omega(y_2) \subset \Omega(y_1)$ ,
- ( $\alpha_3$ ) the set  $\bigcup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} [\Omega(y) \times \{y\}]$  is  $\tau \times \tau_e$ -closed,
- ( $\alpha_4$ )  $\Omega(0) = \mathbb{R}$ .

For each  $y \in [0, 1]$  put  $\Omega(y) = A(y) \cup B(y)$ , where  $A(y) := \overset{\cdot}{\Omega}(y)$  and  $B(y) := \Omega(y) \setminus A(y)$ . Assume that the family  $\mathcal{B}$  fulfills the following condition  $\mathcal{U}'$  which is a particular case of the condition  $\mathcal{U}$  from [4].

Each subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  can be represented as a sum of such  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  that:

- (a)  $A_1 \subset A$ ,  $A_2 \subset A$ ,  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ ,
- (b)  $\overset{\cdot}{A}_1 = \overset{\cdot}{A}$ ,  $\overset{\cdot}{A}_2 = \overset{\cdot}{A}$ .

We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an arbitrary family fulfilling conditions  $M_1$  and  $\mathcal{U}'$ . Then for each family of subsets  $\{\Omega(y)\}_{0 \leq y \leq 1}$  of reals fulfilling conditions ( $\alpha_1$ ) - ( $\alpha_4$ ) there exists a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that for any  $0 \leq y \leq 1$  we have  $\Omega(y) = \Omega_f(y)$ .*

Let  $B_a$  stand for the set  $\{y \in [0, 1] : a \in B(y)\}$  and let  $F$  be the set of all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  for which  $B_a \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  be an arbitrarily chosen point and

$$y_0 := \max \left\{ p_Y \left[ \mathcal{P}(x_0) \cap \left( \bigcup_{0 \leq y \leq 1} (A(y) \times \{y\}) \right) \right] \right\},$$

where  $\mathcal{P}(x_0) := \{p \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} : p = (x_0, y)\}$  and  $p_Y$  is the projection to  $Y$  axis. The point  $x_0 \in A(y_0)$  because the set  $\bigcup_{0 \leq y \leq 1} (A(y) \times \{y\})$  is  $\tau \times \tau_e$ -closed. Conditions  $(\alpha_1)$  -  $(\alpha_4)$  and definition of  $y_0$  easily imply that there exists such  $h_0$  that

$$(x_0 - h_0, x_0 + h_0) \cap p_X \left[ \left( \bigcup_{y \in [0, 1]} (B(y) \times \{y\}) \right) \cap \left( \bigcup_{y'_0 \leq y} (\mathbb{R} \times \{y\}) \right) \right] \notin \mathcal{B},$$

where  $y'_0$  is an arbitrarily chosen number from  $(y_0, 1]$  and  $p_X$  is projection to the  $X$  axis.

To prove our theorem it is sufficient to define the function  $f$  by

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} \sup \{y \in [0, 1] : x \in \Omega(y)\} & \text{for } x \in A_1 \cup F, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (A_1 \cup F), \end{cases}$$

where the condition  $\mathcal{U}'$  was applied for  $A = \{x : \sup\{y : x \in A(y)\} > 0\}$ .

Prove the inclusion  $\Omega(y) \subset \Omega_f(y)$ . Let  $y_0$  be some number from  $(0, 1]$  and  $x \in \Omega(y_0)$ .

( $I_1$ ) Suppose  $x \notin F$  and  $y'_0 := \sup \{y \in [0, 1] : x \in A(y)\}$ . From  $(\alpha_3)$  and definition of  $f$  we obtain that  $x \in \Omega(y'_0)$  and  $y'_0 = \max [L(f, x) \cup \{f(x)\}] = f(x)$ . Since  $\omega_f(x) = y'_0$ , we infer that  $x \in \Omega_f(y'_0)$  and because  $y'_0 \geq y_0$ , then  $x \in \Omega_f(y_0)$ .

( $I_2$ ) If  $x \in F$ , then we have inequality  $y'_0 < f(x)$  and again from  $(\alpha_3)$  and definition of  $f$  it follows that  $x \in \Omega(f(x))$ . Since  $\omega_f(x) = f(x) > y_0$ , hence  $x \in \Omega_f(y_0)$ .

The proof of the converse inclusion is obvious.

## 2 Approximate Oscillation

In this section we find a necessary and sufficient condition for a family of sets to be the family of associated sets of approximate oscillation.

Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . The upper outer density of  $E$  at the point  $x_0$  is the number

$$\overline{D}_{x_0}(E) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|E \cap (x_0 - h, x_0 + h)|}{2h},$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes outer measure.

Let  $\mathcal{B}_x = \mathcal{U}_x$  be the family of all sets for which  $x$  is not a dispersion point (i. e.  $\overline{D}_x(E) > 0$ ). For  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{U}$  the number  $g$  is called an approximate limit number ( $\mathcal{U}$ -limit number). Let  $L_{\mathcal{U}}(f, x)$  denote the set of all  $\mathcal{U}$ -limit numbers of a function  $f$  at a point  $x$ .

For a bounded function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we write

$$m_{\mathcal{U}}(f, x) = \min \{L_{\mathcal{U}}(f, x) \cup \{f(x)\}\}, \quad M_{\mathcal{U}}(f, x) = \max \{L_{\mathcal{U}}(f, x) \cup \{f(x)\}\}.$$

We say that the function  $f$  is upper  $\mathcal{U}$ -semicontinuous (lower  $\mathcal{U}$ -semicontinuous) at a point  $x_0$  if  $M_{\mathcal{U}}(f, x_0) \leq f(x_0)$ , ( $m_{\mathcal{U}}(f, x_0) \geq f(x_0)$ ).

The  $\mathcal{U}$ -oscillation of a bounded function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is a function  $\omega_f(x) = M_{\mathcal{U}}(f, x) - m_{\mathcal{U}}(f, x)$ .

Let  $\tau_s$  denote the density topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  ([1], [5]) and  $\tau_e$  the natural topology. It is easy to see that for an arbitrary bounded function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is upper  $\mathcal{U}$ -semicontinuous at each point of  $\mathbb{R}$  and for each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x_0 \in E_a = \{x : f(x) < a\}$  there exists a set  $V_0 \in \tau_s$  ( $x_0 \in V_0$ ) such that  $f(x) < a$  for every  $x \in V_0$ . (It follows from the Lebesgue Density Theorem.) Hence the set  $E_a$  is  $\tau_s$ -open.

Conversely, if the set  $E_a$  is  $\tau_s$ -open for each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f$  is upper  $\mathcal{U}$ -semicontinuous at each point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is easy to see ([2], [3]), that the following facts hold for each bounded function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

- (1) The set  $\Omega_f(y) = \{x : \omega_f(x) \geq y\}$  is  $\tau_s$ -closed for each  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (2) If  $y_1 < y_2$ , then  $\Omega_f(y_2) \subset \Omega_f(y_1)$ .
- (3) The set  $\bigcup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} (\Omega_f(y) \times \{y\})$  is  $\tau_s \times \tau_e$ -closed on the plane  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

It follows from the Lebesgue Density Theorem that every nonempty  $\tau_s$ -closed set  $D$  can be represented as a sum of two disjoint subsets  $D_1, D_2$ , the first one consisting of all points of density of  $D$  and the second one satisfying  $|D_2| = 0$ .

Let  $\{\Omega(y)\}_{0 \leq y \leq 1}$  be a nonempty family of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that:

- ( $\alpha_1$ ) The set  $\Omega(y)$  is  $\tau_s$ -closed for each  $y \in [0, 1]$ ,
- ( $\alpha_2$ ) If  $y_1 < y_2$ , then  $\Omega(y_2) \subset \Omega(y_1)$ ,
- ( $\alpha_3$ ) The set  $\bigcup_{y \in [0, 1]} (\Omega(y) \times \{y\})$  is  $\tau_s \times \tau_e$ -closed.

$$(\alpha_4) \quad \Omega(0) = \mathbb{R} .$$

For each  $y \in [0, 1]$  let  $\Omega(y) = A(y) \cup B(y)$ , where  $A(y)$  is the set of all density points of  $\Omega(y)$  and  $B(y) = \Omega(y) \setminus A(y)$ .

The main result of this section is the following.

**Theorem 2.** *For every family  $\{\Omega(y)\}_{0 \leq y \leq 1}$  fulfilling conditions  $(\alpha_1) - (\alpha_4)$  there exists a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that for each  $0 \leq y \leq 1$  we have  $\Omega(y) = \Omega_f(y)$ .*

Notice, that if for some  $y' \in (0, 1]$ ,  $x \in A(y')$ , then  $x \in A(y)$  for every  $0 \leq y < y'$ . Similarly, if  $x \in B(y'')$ , for some  $y'' < y'$ , then  $x \in B(y)$  for each  $y'' < y < y'$ . For each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  define the set  $B_a$  by

$$B_a = \{y \in [0, 1] : a \in B(y)\} .$$

Let  $F$  be the set of all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , for which  $B_a$  is nondegenerate interval.

**Lemma 1.**

$$|F| = 0 .$$

PROOF OF LEMMA. Take an arbitrary point  $x_0 \in F$  and  $h > 0$ . Put

$$y_0 = \inf \left\{ y : ((x_0 - h, x_0 + h) \setminus A(y)) \times \{y\} \cap \bigcup_{a \in F} (\{a\} \times B_a) \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

and take the following sequence of sets.

$$W_1 = p_X \left\{ \left[ ((x_0 - h, x_0 + h) \setminus A(y_0 + \frac{1 - y_0}{2})) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \times \left\{ y_0 + \frac{1 - y_0}{2} \right\} \right] \cap \bigcup_{a \in F} (\{a\} \times B_a) \right\}$$

$$W_2 = p_X \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^2} \left[ ((x_0 - h, x_0 + h) \setminus A(y_0 + \frac{k(1 - y_0)}{2^2})) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \times \left\{ y_0 + \frac{k(1 - y_0)}{2^2} \right\} \right] \cap \bigcup_{a \in F} (\{a\} \times B_a) \right\}$$

.....

$$W_{2^n} = p_X \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^{2^n}} \left[ ((x_0 - h, x_0 + h) \setminus A(y_0 + \frac{k(1 - y_0)}{2^{2^n}})) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \times \left\{ y_0 + \frac{k(1 - y_0)}{2^{2^n}} \right\} \right] \cap \bigcup_{a \in F} (\{a\} \times B_a) \right\}$$

.....  
 It is easy to verify that

$$0 \leq |(x_0 - h, x_0 + h) \cap F| \leq |W_1| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |W_{2n}|.$$

From the Lebesgue theorem it is clear that

$$|(x_0 - h, x_0 + h) \cap F| = 0.$$

Since the number  $h > 0$  was chosen arbitrarily,  $\overline{D_{x_0}(F)} = 0$ . So  $F$  consists of upper outer dispersion points of  $F$ . Once again using the Lebesgue theorem we obtain that  $|F| = 0$ .  $\square$

To prove Theorem 2 let  $A = \{x : \sup\{y : x \in A(y)\} > 0\}$ . It is known ([6]) that  $A$  can be represented as a sum of two subsets  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  such that

(a)  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ ,

(b)  $|A_1| = |A|$ ,  $|A_2| = |A|$ .

Our function  $f$  can be now defined as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sup\{y \in [0, 1] : x \in \Omega(y)\} & \text{for } x \in A_1 \cup F, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (A_1 \cup F). \end{cases}$$

The rest of the proof follows the lines of the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

## References

- [1] C. Goffman, C. Neugebauer, T. Nishiura, *Density topology and approximate continuity*, Duke Math J., **28** (1961), 497–506.
- [2] J. M. Jędrzejewski, *O granicy i ciągłości uogólnionej*, Zeszyty Naukowe Uniwersytetu Łódzkiego, Seria II **52** (1973), 19–38.
- [3] J. M. Jędrzejewski, *On limit numbers of real functions*, Fund. Math., **LXXXIII** (1974), 269–281.
- [4] J. M. Jędrzejewski, W. Wilczyński, *O rodzinie zbiorów  $\mathcal{B}$ -granicznych*, Zeszyty Naukowe Uniwersytetu Łódzkiego, Seria II **52** (1973), 39–43 (in Polish).
- [5] J. C. Oxtoby, *Measure and category*, Springer-Verlag (1976).
- [6] W. Wilczyński, *On the family of sets of approximate limit numbers*, Fund. Math., **LXXV** (1972), 169–174.

