

REGULAR SEQUENCES AND LIFTING PROPERTY

M. HERRMANN AND R. SCHMIDT

Let A be a commutative noetherian ring, E a finite A -module and let M be an arbitrary A -module. Let $\varphi: E \rightarrow M$ be a homomorphism of A -modules.

In this note we prove in an elementary way that an M -sequence $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ being taken to lie in the (Jacobson-) radical $\text{rad}(A)$ of A , is also an E -sequence if $\underline{x}E$ is the contraction $\varphi^{-1}(\underline{x}M)$ of $\underline{x}M$ in E .

As a corollary of this lifting property we obtain very easily the so-called delocalization-lemma for regular sequences (also [2], Cor. 1 for local rings A and [4] Chap. I, §4). Then we exemplify that the condition $\varphi^{-1}(\underline{x}M) = \underline{x}E$ is not necessary for the statement of our theorem (see Example 3); otherwise it is easily seen that generally the theorem (especially Corollary 2) becomes false without any additional condition (see Examples 1 and 2).

Recall that a sequence x_1, \dots, x_n of elements of A is said to be (M -regular or) an M -sequence if, for each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, x_{i+1} is a non-zero-divisor on $M/(x_1, \dots, x_i)M$ and $M \neq (x_1, \dots, x_n)M$.

2. First we consider the case $n = 1$.

LEMMA. *The notations being as above. Let x be a M -regular element in the radical $\text{rad}(A)$ of A and suppose that*

$$(1) \quad \ker \varphi \subseteq xE^1.$$

Then x is an E -regular element too and φ is injective.

Proof. We put $F = \ker \varphi$. Clearly x is E/F -regular, hence $xE \cap F = xF$, hence $F = xF$ by (1). Therefore we get $F = 0$ by Nakayama's lemma, hence φ is injective and x is E -regular.

THEOREM. *Let E be a finite A -module, M an arbitrary A -module and $\varphi: E \rightarrow M$ a module-homomorphism. Let $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an M -sequence in $\text{rad}(A)$ and suppose that*

¹ We denote by xE or $\underline{x}E$ the product $(x)E$ or $(\underline{x})E$ respectively, where (x) or (\underline{x}) is the ideal generated by x or x_1, \dots, x_n respectively.

$$(2) \quad \varphi^{-1}(\underline{x}M) = \underline{x}E^1.$$

Then \underline{x} is an E -sequence and φ is injective.

Proof. (By induction on n): Note that $\ker \varphi \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\underline{x}M)$, hence the case $n = 1$ results from the lemma.

For $n > 1$ we put $\underline{x}' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, $E' = E/\underline{x}'E$, $M' = M/\underline{x}'M$ and $\varphi' = \varphi \otimes 1_{A/\underline{x}'A} : E' \rightarrow M'$. Then we have

$$\ker \varphi' = \varphi^{-1}(\underline{x}'M)/\underline{x}'E \cap \varphi^{-1}(\underline{x}'M) = \varphi^{-1}(\underline{x}'M)/\underline{x}'E \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\underline{x}M)/\underline{x}'E,$$

hence we get by (2):

$$\ker \varphi' \subseteq \underline{x}E/\underline{x}'E = x_n \cdot E'.$$

Since x_n is M' -regular we are in the situation of the lemma with x_n and $\varphi' : E' \rightarrow M'$ instead of x and φ . Therefore φ' is injective, i.e.

$$(3) \quad \varphi^{-1}(\underline{x}'M) = \underline{x}'E,$$

and x_n is an E' -regular element. The sequence \underline{x}' is M -regular by assumption, hence by (3) and by induction on n , \underline{x}' is E -regular and φ is injective. This concludes the proof.

COROLLARY 1.1 (*Delocalization Lemma, 1. form*): *Let A be a noetherian ring, E a finite A -module and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \text{rad}(A)$. Let $U \subset A$ be the set of nonzerodivisors modulo \underline{x} for E . Suppose that \underline{x} is an E_U -sequence. Then \underline{x} is an E -sequence.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : E \rightarrow E_U$ be the natural homomorphism. No element of U is zerodivisor for $E/\underline{x}E$, hence $\varphi^{-1}(\underline{x}E_U) = \underline{x}E$, proving the corollary.

It results from the following Corollary 1.2 that the conditions for \underline{x} in the two Corollaries 1.1 and 1.2 are equivalent.

COROLLARY 1.2 (*Delocalization Lemma, 2. form*): *Let E be a finitely generated module over a noetherian ring A and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \text{rad}(A)$. If \underline{x} is an E_η -sequence for all $\eta \in \text{Ass}(E/\underline{x}E)$, then \underline{x} is an E -sequence.*

Proof. Let $M = \bigoplus_{\eta \in \text{Ass}(E/\underline{x}E)} E_\eta$, for $\eta \in \text{Ass}(E/\underline{x}E)$, and φ the homomorphism $E \rightarrow M$ defined by $u \rightarrow \sum_{\eta} \varphi_\eta(u)$, where φ_η denotes the natural map $E \rightarrow E_\eta$. [Note that $\text{Ass}(E/\underline{x}E)$ is a finite set.]

Since \underline{x} is an $E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -sequence for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(E/\underline{x}E)$, it must be an M -sequence too. We want to apply our theorem to finish the proof. For that we show that $\varphi^{-1}(\underline{x}M) = \underline{x}E$:

Since E is finitely generated, the submodule $\underline{x}E$ has an irredundant primary decomposition $\underline{x}E = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_r$, corresponding to the ideals $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Ass}(E/\underline{x}E)$. Localizing $\underline{x}E$ by any ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(E/\underline{x}E)$ we obtain [5]:

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}((Q_i)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \begin{cases} Q_i & \text{if } \mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \\ E & \text{if } \mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}, \end{cases}$$

hence $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(\underline{x}E_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \underline{x}E$.

On the other hand we have $\underline{x}M = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} \underline{x}E_{\mathfrak{p}}$, hence $\varphi^{-1}(\underline{x}M) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(\underline{x}E_{\mathfrak{p}})$. This concludes the proof of the corollary.

3. Now let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a ring-homomorphism. If \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are ideals in A and B respectively we define as usual \mathfrak{a}^e to be the extension $f(\mathfrak{a})B$ of \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b}^c to be the contraction $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})$ of \mathfrak{b} .

COROLLARY 2. *Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of noetherian rings. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal generated by elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \text{rad}(A)$. Suppose that $f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)$ form a B -sequence and suppose that $\mathfrak{a}^{ec} = \mathfrak{a}$. Then x_1, \dots, x_n is an A -sequence.*

Proof. Regard B as an A -module relatively to f . We consider the module-homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ given by $\varphi(a) = f(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Then, by assumption all conditions of the theorem are fulfilled, proving the corollary.

REMARKS. (i) The proof of Corollary 2 shows that the delocalization Lemma 1.1 or 1.2 respectively can be formulated for rings too.

(ii) If $f: A \rightarrow B$ is faithfully flat then any sequence $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \text{rad}(A)$ is A -regular $\Leftrightarrow f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)$ is B -regular. This well-known statement (s. [4] or [3]) is an easy consequence of Corollary 2: f is faithfully flat says that f is flat and the induced map ${}^a f: \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ is surjective. But if f is flat then the last condition is equivalent to $\mathfrak{a}^{ec} = \mathfrak{a}$ (s. [1], p. 45), where \mathfrak{a} is generated by x_1, \dots, x_n . Hence Corollary 2 works for \Leftarrow ; the other direction is trivial.

(iii) Let B be a surjectively-free A -algebra [i.e. $A = \sum_{\psi} \psi(B)$, where ψ runs over $\text{Hom}_A(B, A)$]. Then for any ideal \mathfrak{a} of A one has

$$\mathfrak{a}^{ec} = \mathfrak{a}B \cap A = \mathfrak{a},$$

and the induced map $\text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ is surjective; see [5], (5, E), p. 37.

4. We are indebted to L. Badescu for pointing to the following

EXAMPLE 1. Consider the ring

$$R = \{f \in k[x, y] \mid f(1, 0) = f(-1, 0)\} \subset k[x, y],$$

where k denotes say the field of complex numbers. Then R is the finitely generated subring $k[x - x^3, x^2, xy, y]$ of $k[x, y]$; clearly $k[x, y]$ is integrally dependent on R and with the same quotient field. Therefore $Y := \text{Spec } R$ is not normal. Write X for the normal affine variety $\text{Spec}(k[x, y]) \cong k^2$. Let the inclusion of R in $k[x, y]$ define the proper morphism

$$\pi: X \rightarrow Y.$$

Then if x_1, x_2 are the points $(1, 0)$ and $(-1, 0) \in k^2$, we have $\pi(x_1) = \pi(x_2) =: y_0$, and

$$\text{res } \pi: X - \pi^{-1}\{y_0\} \rightarrow Y - \{y_0\}$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, Y is normal at all points except y_0 [this is also clear by the connectedness theorem, because $\pi^{-1}\{y_0\}$ is not connected]. To be more in detail, take

$$v_1 = 1 - x^2, v_2 = xy, v_3 = y, v_4 = x - x^3.$$

Then

$R =$

$$k[v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4]/(v_4v_3 - v_2v_1; v_2^2 - v_3^2 + v_1v_3^2; v_4^2 + v_1^3 - v_1^2; v_1v_2 - v_2v_4 - v_1^2v_3).$$

So Y can be regarded as an affine surface in k^4 , which is nonsingular in codimension 1, but not normal in the origin (corresponds to the point $y_0 \in \text{Spec } R = Y$). Therefore $0_{Y, y_0}$ is not a Cohen Macaulay-ring by the criterion of normality, [3], 5.8.6.

We fix the notations:

$$A = 0_{Y, y_0} = R_{\mathfrak{y}} \quad \text{with } \mathfrak{y} = (x - 1, y) \cap R = (x + 1, y) \cap R;$$

$$B = 0_{X, x_1} = k[x, y]_{\mathfrak{x}} \quad \text{with } \mathfrak{x} = (x - 1; y);$$

$f: A \rightarrow B$ the corresponding local homomorphism; $a_1 = (x - 1)(x + 1)$, $a_2 = y$ in A and $f(a_1) = (x - 1)(x + 1)$, $f(a_2) = y$ regarded as being in B . Then B is a regular local ring, and

$f(a_1), f(a_2)$ generate its maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_B . Since $\text{depth } A \cong \dim A = 2$ the sequence a_1, a_2 will not be A -regular. And indeed we have $\mathfrak{a}^e = \mathfrak{m}_B$ and $\mathfrak{a}^{ec} \mathfrak{m}_A \neq \mathfrak{a}$.

EXAMPLE 2. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a one-dimensional local noetherian ring which is not a Cohen Macaulay-ring. Let $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ be a parameter of A and η a minimal prime over-ideal of zero in A . Take $B = A/\eta$. Then by assumptions $f(x)$ is B -regular, but x is not A -regular. And we have $\mathfrak{a}^{ec} \neq \mathfrak{a}$ (otherwise η would be zero).

EXAMPLE 3. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a local Cohen Macaulay-ring of dimension 1 which is not regular. Then the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} can be generated in this way:

$$\mathfrak{m} = xA + m_1A + \cdots + m_rA,$$

where x denotes an A -regular element.

Let \mathfrak{a} be the ideal generated by x and $B = A[\mathfrak{m}_i/x] \subset A_x$. Since x is A -regular the natural homomorphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ is injective, and clearly x is B -regular.

But now we have $\mathfrak{a}^e = xB = \mathfrak{m}B$, hence $\mathfrak{a}^{ec} = \mathfrak{m}B \cap A = \mathfrak{m}$, hence $\mathfrak{a}^{ec} \neq \mathfrak{a}$ because A is not regular.

This example shows that condition (2) is not necessary for the statement of the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah and I. G. MacDonald, *Introduction to Commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley, London, 1969.
2. D. Eisenbud, M. Herrmann and W. Vogel, *Remarks on regular sequences*, Nagoya Math. J., **67** (1977), 177-180.
3. A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique*, I.H.E.S. Publ. Math. Nr. 24, Paris, 1965.
4. M. Herrmann, R. Schmidt and W. Vogel, *Normale Flachheit, charakteristische Funktionen und Cohen Macaulay-Strukturen-Teubner Texte* Leipzig 1977.
5. H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1970.

Received April 20, 1977.

HUMBOLDT UNIVERSITY
DDR-108 BERLIN

