

TRANSLATION INVARIANT MEASURE OVER SEPARABLE
HILBERT SPACE AND OTHER
TRANSLATION SPACES

F. H. BROWNELL

1. **Introduction.** We consider the problem of defining a nontrivial, translation-invariant Borel measure over real separable Hilbert space. As noted by Loewner [4], this is not possible; but instead of relinquishing as he does the real number system for a non-Archimedean ordered field for the values of a "measure," we shall consider several topological subspaces of Hilbert space arising frequently in analysis. These are locally compact; and using either the Kolmogoroff stochastic processes construction [2], or else following the Haar measure construction [1] or [5], we can get a nontrivial, essentially translation-invariant Borel measure. However, since the special subspaces considered are not groups under translation, and do not even contain a group germ, the usual Haar measure construction must be modified in a special fashion, and the precise translation invariance obtained is somewhat restrictive. Actually we carry through this modified Haar measure construction for the more general situation of a locally compact translation space, which is defined as an appropriate subspace of an Abelian topological group. The results are collected in a summary at the end.

2. **Formulation of the problem.** Let

$$\mathcal{l}_2 = \left\{ x = \{x_n\} \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n)^2 < +\infty, x_n \text{ real} \right\},$$

the square summable real sequences and thus the real separable Hilbert space prototype. Since \mathcal{l}_2 is a subset of R_∞ , the countably infinite Cartesian product of the real line $(-\infty, \infty)$, we have available on \mathcal{l}_2 as well as the \mathcal{l}_2 norm metric topology also the product topology defined relatively from R_∞ . Under these two topologies we shall consider the \mathcal{l}_2 -subsets

$$X = \{x \in \mathcal{l}_2 \mid |x_n| \leq h(n) \text{ for all } n\},$$

Received August 4, 1951.

Pacific J. Math. 3 (1953), 531-553

$$Y = \{x \in \ell_2 \mid \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} |x_j|^2 \leq f(n) \text{ for all } n\},$$

where $f(n)$ and $h(n)$ are specified functions defined over the integers $n \geq 1$ with values real or $+\infty$ having $h(n) > 0$ and $f(n) \geq f(n+1) > 0$.

Let $Z = X$ or Y ; we want to define the Borel class of subsets of Z . The open intervals of Z are defined relatively from the elementary open intervals of R_∞ , and so we can define \mathfrak{B}_1 as the σ -algebra of subsets of Z generated by the open intervals, \mathfrak{B}_2 as that generated by the product-topology open sets, \mathfrak{B}_3 by the metric spheres, and \mathfrak{B}_4 by the metricly open sets. Actually $\mathfrak{B}_1 = \mathfrak{B}_2 = \mathfrak{B}_3 = \mathfrak{B}_4$, and will be denoted by \mathfrak{B} and called the class of Borel subsets of Z . To see this we note first by using the rationals that R_∞ and hence Z has a countable basis of open intervals, so $\mathfrak{B}_1 = \mathfrak{B}_2$. Similarly $\mathfrak{B}_3 = \mathfrak{B}_4$, since ℓ_2 and hence Z is a separable metric space and thus has a countable basis of spheres. Since any product-topology open set is clearly open metricly, $\mathfrak{B}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_4$. Now it is easy to see that any closed sphere

$$S = \{x \in Z \mid \|x - y\| \leq \rho\}$$

is actually closed in the product topology. Since any open sphere is a countable union of closed ones, $\mathfrak{B}_3 \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_2$. Thus $\mathfrak{B}_3 = \mathfrak{B}_4$ makes $\mathfrak{B}_1 = \mathfrak{B}_2 = \mathfrak{B}_3 = \mathfrak{B}_4$, as desired.

Define

$$[A + u] = \{x \in R_\infty \mid (x - u) \in A\}$$

for $u \in R_\infty$ and for any subset A of R_∞ . We note that $u \in Z$ and $A \subseteq Z$ do not always make $[A + u] \subseteq Z$ if $Z \neq \ell_2$. However, if $A \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $u \in R_\infty$ then $[A + u] \cap Z \in \mathfrak{B}$. For

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{A \mid [A + u] \cap Z \in \mathfrak{B}\}$$

is easily seen to be a σ -algebra containing the intervals of Z , so $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$, which gives the result.

Our problem is to find a Borel measure ϕ , that is, a nonnegative extended real set function defined and countably additive over \mathfrak{B} , which is nontrivial (Condition I) and translation-invariant (Condition II or II') according to a specified topology.

CONDITION I. $\phi(Z) > 0$ and $\phi(V) < +\infty$ for some nonempty V open in the specified topology;

CONDITION II. $\phi([A + u]) = \phi(A)$ if $A \in \mathfrak{B}$, $u \in \ell_2$, and $[A + u] \subseteq Z$;

CONDITION II'. a) $\phi([A + u]) = \phi(A)$ if $A \in \mathfrak{B}$, $u \in \ell_2$, $A \subseteq V$, where V and $[V + u]$ are both open subsets of Z .

b) $\phi([A + u] \cap Z) \leq \phi(A)$ if $u \in \ell_2$ and both A and $[A + u] \cap Z$ are open subsets of Z .

Condition II clearly implies II', and hence is a stronger requirement.

3. **Negative results.** We shall start with a few preliminary lemmas. First define

$$S(Z, x, \rho) = \{y \in Z \mid \|x - y\| < \rho\},$$

the ρ -radius open Z -sphere about x .

LEMMA 1. *For any real $r > 0$ there exists no nonnegative, finitely additive set function ϕ over the Borel subsets of*

$$Z = Y = \overline{S(\ell_2, 0, r)},$$

satisfying II', (or thus II also), under the metric topology such that

$$0 < \phi(S(\ell_2, 0, \rho)) < +\infty \text{ for } 0 < \rho \leq r.$$

Proof. Let

$${}_p x = \{{}_p x_j\} \in S(\ell_2, 0, r)$$

by defining ${}_p x_j = 0$ if $j \neq p$ and ${}_p x_p = r/2$ for integer $p \geq 1$. Let

$$V_p = S\left(\ell_2, {}_p x, \frac{1}{4}r\right),$$

so that $V_p \subseteq S(\ell_2, 0, r)$; and $V_p \cap V_q = \emptyset$ for $p \neq q$ follows from

$$\|y - y'\| \geq \|{}_p x - {}_q x\| - 2\left(\frac{1}{4}r\right) = \frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{2}r > 0$$

for $y \in V_p$ and $y' \in V_q$. But II' under the metric topology makes

$$\phi(V_p) = \phi\left(S\left(\ell_2, 0, \frac{1}{4}r\right)\right) = b$$

with $0 < b < +\infty$. Thus

$$S(\mathcal{L}_2, 0, r) \supset \bigcup_{p=1}^N V_p,$$

and finite additivity of ϕ yields the contradiction

$$0 < Nb = \sum_{p=1}^N \phi(V_p) \leq \phi(S(\mathcal{L}_2, 0, r)) < +\infty$$

for arbitrary integer N . Thus such ϕ cannot exist.

LEMMA 2. *If*

$$0 < \inf_{n \geq 1} h(n) \text{ for } Z = X,$$

or if

$$0 < \inf_{n \geq 1} f(n) \text{ for } Z = Y,$$

then for any $x \in Z$ and $\rho > 0$ there exists some $z \in Z$ and $\rho' > 0$ such that $S(\mathcal{L}_2, z, \rho') \subseteq S(Z, x, \rho)$.

Proof. For the given $x \in Z$ choose some $N \geq 1$ so that

$$\sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} (x_j)^2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{3}\rho\right)^2,$$

possible since $x \in \mathcal{L}_2$. Define

$$y' = (y_1, \dots, y_N) = P(y) \in E_N$$

as the projection of \mathcal{L}_2 onto Euclidean N space E_N . Clearly $P(Z)$ is a convex set with a nonvoid interior in E_N including the origin; so we can find an interior point z' on the line-segment from $x' = P(x)$ to the origin so that

$$\sum_{n=1}^N (z_n - x_n)^2 < \left(\frac{1}{3}\rho\right)^2.$$

Define $z \in \mathcal{L}_2$ so that $z' = P(z)$ by taking $z_n = 0$ for $n \geq N + 1$. Thus

$$\|x - z\| = \left[\sum_{n=1}^N (z_n - x_n)^2 + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} x_n^2 \right]^{1/2} < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \rho.$$

Let

$$b_0 = \inf_{n \geq 1} h(n) > 0 \text{ for } Z = X,$$

or

$$b_0 = \left[\inf_{n \geq 1} f(n) \right]^{1/2} > 0 \text{ for } Y.$$

Now if $Z = X$, by choosing $\rho'' > 0$ so that $\rho'' < b_0$ and

$$S(E_N, z', \rho'') \subseteq P(Z),$$

as we may since $z' \in \text{int } P(Z)$, we get

$$S(\mathcal{L}_2, z, \rho'') \subseteq Z.$$

If $Z = Y$, then $z' \in \text{int } P(Z)$ makes

$$\sum_{j=n}^N (z_j)^2 < f(n)$$

for $1 \leq n \leq N$, so here we choose $0 < \rho'' < b_0$ and

$$\rho'' < \min_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left([f(n)]^{1/2} - \left[\sum_{j=n}^N (z_j)^2 \right]^{1/2} \right).$$

Thus

$$\left[\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} (y_j)^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \|y - z\| + \left[\sum_{j=n}^N (z_j)^2 \right]^{1/2} < f(n) \text{ for } 1 \leq n \leq N,$$

and

$$\left[\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} (y_j)^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \|y - z\| < b_0 \leq f(n) \text{ for } n \geq N + 1,$$

makes $S(\mathcal{L}_2, z, \rho'') \subseteq Y = Z$.

Thus

$$\rho' = \min\left(\rho'', \frac{3 - \sqrt{2}}{3}\rho\right) > 0$$

yields

$$S(\mathcal{L}_2, z, \rho') \subseteq Z \cap S(\mathcal{L}_2, x, \rho) = S(Z, x, \rho)$$

as desired, since

$$\|y - z\| < \frac{3 - \sqrt{2}}{3}\rho$$

makes

$$\|x - y\| \leq \|y - z\| + \|x - z\| < \rho$$

because $\|x - z\| < (\sqrt{2}/3)\rho$.

THEOREM 3. *If*

$$0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(n) \text{ with } Z = X,$$

or if

$$0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) \text{ with } Z = Y,$$

then there exists no Borel measure ϕ on such Z which is nontrivial (I) and translation-invariant (II') under the norm-metric topology.

Proof. Set

$$b_0 = \inf_{n \geq 1} h(n) \text{ if } Z = X,$$

or

$$b_0 = \left[\inf_{n \geq 1} f(n) \right]^{1/2} \text{ if } Z = Y;$$

thus clearly $b_0 > 0$ is required by hypothesis. Obviously

$$S(Z, 0, \rho) = S(\mathcal{l}_2, 0, \rho)$$

for $0 < \rho \leq b_0$, so the metricly open set

$$S(Z, x, \rho) = [S(\mathcal{l}_2, 0, \rho) + x] \cap Z = [S(Z, 0, \rho) + x] \cap Z$$

for such ρ . Hence if ϕ exists, then $\phi(S(Z, x, \rho)) \leq \phi(S(Z, 0, \rho))$ by Condition II' b) for $x \in \mathcal{l}_2, 0 < \rho \leq b_0$.

Now set

$$b_1 = \inf \{ \text{all } \rho > 0 \text{ such that } \phi(S(Z, 0, \rho)) > 0 \},$$

so $\phi(S(Z, 0, \rho)) > 0$ for $\rho > b_1$, and $= 0$ for $0 < \rho < b_1$ if $b_1 > 0$. Actually $b_1 = 0$. For if not set $\delta = (\min b_0, b_1/2)$; then Z , being separable, is a countable union of spheres of radius $\rho \leq \delta$. But such spheres have

$$\phi(S(Z, x, \rho)) \leq \phi(S(Z, 0, \rho)) = 0,$$

implying $\phi(Z) = 0$ by countable additivity, which contradicts Condition I. Thus $b_1 = 0$ and $\phi(S(Z, 0, \rho)) > 0$ for all $\rho > 0$.

We want to show that $\phi(S(Z, 0, r)) < +\infty$ for some $r > 0$. By Condition I under the metric topology and Lemma 2 it is clear that there exists some $r > 0$ and $z \in Z$ such that

$$S(\mathcal{l}_2, z, r) \subseteq Z \text{ and } \phi(S(\mathcal{l}_2, z, r)) < +\infty.$$

Since $S(\mathcal{l}_2, z, r) \subseteq Z$, it is easily seen for either $X = Z$ or $Y = Z$ that we must have $r \leq b_0$, and hence

$$Z \supseteq S(\mathcal{l}_2, 0, r) = S(Z, 0, r).$$

Thus $[S(Z, 0, r) + z] = S(\mathcal{l}_2, z, r)$, an open subset of Z , so Condition II' a) makes

$$\phi(S(Z, 0, r)) = \phi(S(\mathcal{l}_2, z, r)) < +\infty.$$

Thus

$$0 < \phi(S(Z, 0, \rho)) < +\infty$$

with $S(Z, 0, \rho) = S(\mathcal{l}_2, 0, \rho)$ for $0 < \rho \leq r$ for some $r, 0 < r < b_0$, which is impossible by Lemma 1. Thus the stated ϕ cannot exist.

We also easily get the following considerably weaker result for the product topology.

THEOREM 4. *If $\{n \mid h(n) = +\infty\}$ is an infinite set, then there exists no Borel measure ϕ on X which is nontrivial (I) and translation-invariant (II') under the product topology.*

Proof. Let V be any nonempty open interval of X . It is clear that by translating along each of the finite set of coordinates given in the definition of the interval V , we can find a finite or countable set of ${}_p x \in \ell_2$ such that

$$[V + {}_p x] \subseteq X \text{ and } X = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} [V + {}_p x].$$

Also Condition II'a) makes $\phi(V + {}_p x) = \phi(V)$ if ϕ exists. Thus $\phi(X) > 0$ for nontriviality yields by countable additivity $\phi(V) > 0$ for any open interval $V \neq \emptyset$.

Now Condition I under the product topology implies that some open interval $V_0 \neq \emptyset$ has $\phi(V_0) < +\infty$, so $0 < \phi(V_0) < +\infty$. Since V_0 is defined in terms of only a finite number of coordinates, and $\{n \mid h(n) = +\infty\}$ is infinite, there must exist some p so that $x \in V_0$ imposes no restriction on the p th coordinate of x . Let

$$W_0 = \{y \in V_0 \mid |y_p| < 1\},$$

a nonvoid open X interval, so $\phi(W_0) > 0$. Let ${}_0 z_j = 0$ if $j \neq p$, ${}_0 z_p = 1$, so clearly $\{[W_0 + m {}_0 z]\}$ form a disjoint union of sets $\subseteq V_0$ for different integer m , with

$$\phi([W_0 + m {}_0 z]) = \phi(W_0)$$

by Condition II'a). Thus

$$+\infty = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \phi(W_0) = \phi\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} [W_0 + m {}_0 z]\right) \leq \phi(V_0) < +\infty,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus ϕ cannot exist.

We remark that $\ell_2 = X$ by taking $h(n) \equiv +\infty$, so Theorems 3 and 4 show that there exists no Borel measure ϕ on ℓ_2 which is nontrivial and translation-invariant under either the norm metric or product topologies.

4. Positive results via Kolmogoroff. We want to give conditions under which an invariant measure does exist on X or Y , getting a converse of Theorem 3. For X we shall use the construction of Kolmogoroff [2, p. 27] of a probability measure P over real product spaces, in our case R_∞ . Here we need a family Q of real set functions, each member Q_{n_1, \dots, n_k} being nonnegative and countably additive over the intervals of E_k , with coordinates indexed n_1, \dots, n_k , and having $Q_{n_1, \dots, n_k}(E_k) = 1$. The family Q is assumed to satisfy Kolmogoroff's two consistency conditions:

$$Q_{n_1, \dots, n_k}(-\infty, +\infty; a_2, b_2; \dots; a_k, b_k) = Q_{n_2, \dots, n_k}(a_2, b_2; \dots; a_k, b_k),$$

$$Q_{n_1, \dots, n_k}(a_1, b_1; \dots; a_k, b_k) = Q_{n'_1, \dots, n'_k}(a'_1, b'_1; \dots; a'_k, b'_k),$$

where $n'_i = n_j$, $a'_i = a_j$, $b'_i = b_j$ for n'_1, \dots, n'_k a reordering of n_1, \dots, n_k . The resulting P has $P(I) = Q(\tilde{I})$ if the interval I is the cylinder set by n_1, \dots, n_k of the interval \tilde{I} of E_k , P being the Borel-Hopf extension [1, p. 54] of Q from the intervals to the Borel sets.

THEOREM 5. *If*

$$\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} [h(n)]^2 < +\infty$$

for some finite N , then for X the product and metric topologies coincide, X being locally compact; there exists a Borel measure ϕ which is nontrivial (I) and translation-invariant (II) on X ; and such a measure is unique up to constant factors.

Proof. The stated condition on $h(n)$ makes the equivalence of the topologies over X obvious, as well as local compactness. Let X' , \mathcal{L}'_2 , and R'_∞ be defined like X , \mathcal{L}_2 , and R_∞ , except only with coordinates of $n \geq N + 1$, so clearly

$$X = A_N \times X',$$

where A_N is an interval of E_N . Construct the Borel measure P^* on R'_∞ by the Kolmogoroff construction from

$$Q_{n_1, \dots, n_k}(a_1, b_1; \dots; a_k, b_k) = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2h(n_j)} E(n_j, a_j, b_j),$$

where $E(n, a, b)$ is the length, possibly zero, of the interval of intersection of $[-h(n), h(n)]$ and $[a, b]$. This Q -function family has $Q_{n_1, \dots, n_k}(E_k) = 1$, has Q countably additive since it is a multiple of k dimensional Lebesgue measure, and satisfies Kolmogoroff's consistency conditions as needed.

Let

$$V_p = \{x \in R'_\infty \mid |x_p| > h(p)\}$$

open in R'_∞ ; clearly

$$P^*(V_p) = Q(\tilde{V}_p) = \frac{1}{2h(p)} [E(p, -\infty, -h(p)) + E(p, h(p), +\infty)] = 0.$$

Now

$$X' = \{x \in \mathcal{L}'_2 \mid |x_n| \leq h(n) \text{ for } n \geq N+1\};$$

and the given condition on $h(n)$ makes it possible to replace \mathcal{L}'_2 by R'_∞ in this formula, so that

$$X' = R'_\infty - \bigcup_{p=N+1}^{\infty} V_p,$$

which is in the Borel family \mathfrak{B}^* of R'_∞ . Thus $P^*(X') = P^*(R'_\infty) = 1$ follows from $P^*(V_p) = 0$, and X' is thick in R'_∞ (see [1, p. 74]). Hence $P(A \cap X') = P^*(A)$ defines P uniquely over sets $A \cap X'$, $A \in \mathfrak{B}^*$, which form the Borel family \mathfrak{B} of X' , so P is a Borel probability measure on X' with $P(I \cap X') = Q(\tilde{I})$.

Of μ_N is N -dimensional Lebesgue measure, $\phi = \mu_N \times P$ is a Borel measure on $A_N \times X' = X$. Also

$$\phi(X) = \mu_N(A_N) > 0,$$

and we obtain

$$\phi(B \times X') = \mu_N(B) < +\infty$$

for open bounded E_N intervals $B \subseteq A_N$ by using $P(X') = 1$, and thus ϕ is non-trivial (I) on X .

We want to show ϕ to be translation-invariant (II) on X . If W is any X -interval, then $W = X \cap I$ with I an R_∞ -interval, and if $u \in \mathcal{L}_2$, set

$$B_p = \{x \in R_\infty \mid |x_p| \leq h(p)\},$$

$$C_n = I \cap \left(\bigcap_{p=1}^n [B_p - u] \right) \cap X,$$

and

$$D_n = [I + u] \cap X \cap \left(\bigcap_{p=1}^n [B_p + u] \right),$$

so that

$$\phi(W \cap [X - u]) = \phi(I \cap [X - u] \cap X) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(C_n)$$

and

$$\phi([W + u] \cap X) = \phi([I + u] \cap X \cap [X + u]) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(D_n).$$

Now the first n coordinate edges of D_n are those of C_n translated by the corresponding u coordinates. Thus taking $n >$ the greatest of the finite number of coordinate indices involved in I , from $\phi = \mu_N \times P$ and $P(X' \cap J) = Q(\tilde{J})$ we get $\phi(C_n) = \phi(D_n)$, both being the product of a normalization factor and the first n coordinate edge lengths. Thus we have

$$\phi(W \cap [X - u]) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(C_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(D_n) = \phi([W + u] \cap X),$$

as desired.

Now let $[A + u] \subseteq X$ be given for some Borel subset A of X . If $\{W_i\}$ is a countable disjoint X -interval family covering A , then also

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_i (W_i \cap [X - u]) \subseteq \bigcup_i W_i.$$

Since

$$\phi(A) = \inf_{A \subseteq \bigcup_i W_i} [\sum_i \phi(W_i)]$$

as the unique Borel-Hopf extension [1, p.54] of ϕ from the intervals to the Borel sets, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(A) &= \inf_{A \subseteq \bigcup_i W_i} (\sum_i \phi(W_i \cap [X - u])) \\ &= \inf_{A \subseteq \bigcup_i W_i} (\sum_i \phi([W_i + u] \cap X)) \geq \phi([A + u]) \end{aligned}$$

from

$$\phi(W_i \cap [X - u]) = \phi([W_i + u] \cap X).$$

Thus $\phi(A) \geq \phi([A + u])$, and symmetrically $\phi([A + u]) \geq \phi(A)$, so that $\phi(A) = \phi([A + u])$ for Condition II of translation-invariance.

Finally for the uniqueness of ϕ it is easy to see by division of intervals into large numbers of equal subintervals that any nontrivial, translation-invariant ψ will have $\psi(I)$, I being an interval of X , proportional to the length of each of the edges of I . By our definition of μ_N and Q , this makes $\psi(I) = K\phi(I)$, with $0 < K < +\infty$ and K independent of I . The extension to all Borel sets thus gives $\psi(A) = K\phi(A)$, $A \in \mathfrak{B}$, as desired.

5. Haar measure and translation spaces. For the space Y our positive result is a complete converse of Theorem 3. We shall get the result by considering a considerably more general situation. Let the Hausdorff space R be an Abelian topological group, and as before define

$$[A + u] = \{x \in R \mid (x - u) \in A\}$$

under R -group addition for $A \subseteq R$ and $u \in R$. Consider a fixed closed subset Z of R , which becomes a Hausdorff space under the relative topology from R , but not in general a group under R -group addition. Such a space containing the zero of R is said to be a translation space if it satisfies the following condition:

i) If V is any open subset of Z containing zero, then Z is covered by the open interiors in Z of the sets of the collection $\{Z \cap [V + u] \mid u \in R\}$.

LEMMA 6. X is a translation space for $R = \ell_2$ under the metric topology.

Proof. Let V be the given neighborhood of zero, so that we have some small $\rho > 0$ with $S(Z, 0, \rho) \subseteq V$. Then for any given $z \in Z = X$ we will find

$u \in Z$ and $\rho' > 0$ so that

$$S(Z, z, \rho') \subseteq Z \cap [S(Z, 0, \rho) + u] \subseteq Z \cap [V + u],$$

which makes $z \in \text{int}(Z \cap [V + u])$ for Condition i). First since the given $z \in \ell_2$, we can find finite N so that

$$\left(\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} z_n^2 \right)^{1/2} < \frac{1}{2} \rho,$$

and then define $u \in Z = X$ by $u_n = z_n$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$ and $u_n = 0$ for $n > N$. Then set

$$\rho' = \min \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho, h(n) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, N \right) > 0,$$

so any $x \in S(Z, z, \rho')$ has

$$\|x - u\| \leq \|x - z\| + \|z - u\| < \rho' + \frac{1}{2} \rho \leq \rho.$$

Any such x also has

$$|x_n - u_n| = |x_n - z_n| < \rho' \leq h(n)$$

for $1 \leq n \leq N$, and

$$|x_n - u_n| = |x_n| \leq h(n)$$

for $n > N$, so that $x \in [S(Z, 0, \rho) + u]$. Thus

$$S(Z, z, \rho') \subseteq Z \cap [S(Z, 0, \rho) + u],$$

as desired.

LEMMA 7. Y is a translation space for $R = \ell_2$ under the metric topology.

Proof. If V is the given neighborhood of zero in $Z = Y$, we can find $\rho > 0$ with $\rho^2 < f(1)$ and $S(Z, 0, \rho) \subseteq V$. Now either $\rho^2 \leq f(n)$ for all n , or else by the definition of Y there is a unique finite N with

$$f(N) \geq \rho^2 > f(N + 1).$$

In the first case for the given $z \in Z$ we take $u = z$, and since now $S(Y, 0, \rho) = S(\ell_2, 0, \rho)$ by $\rho^2 \leq f(n)$, we have

$$S(Z, z, \rho) = Z \cap [S(\ell_2, 0, \rho) + u] \subseteq Z \cap [V + u]$$

for $z \in \text{int}(Z \cap [V + u])$ as desired for Condition i).

In the second case for the given $z \in Z = Y$ we define $u \in Z$ by $u_n = z_n$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$, and $u_n = 0$ for $n > N$. In this case also we have

$$S(Z, u, \rho) = Z \cap [S(Z, 0, \rho) + u].$$

For the left side clearly includes the right side, while if $y \in S(Z, u, \rho)$, then for $1 \leq n \leq N$ we have

$$\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} (y_j - u_j)^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (y_j - u_j)^2 < \rho^2 \leq f(n).$$

For $n > N$ we have

$$\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} (y_j - u_j)^2 = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} y_j^2 \leq f(n),$$

so that

$$y \in Z \cap [S(Z, 0, \rho) + u],$$

and hence

$$S(Z, u, \rho) \subseteq Z \cap [S(Z, 0, \rho) + u]$$

for equality. Finally since $z \in S(Z, u, \rho)$ by

$$\|z - u\| = \left(\sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} z_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{f(N+1)} < \rho,$$

we have

$$z \in S(Z, u, \rho) \subseteq Z \cap [V + u],$$

so that

$$z \in \text{int}(Z \cap [V + u]),$$

$S(Z, u, \rho)$ being open, for Condition i).

Thus X and Y are special translation spaces, so the result we shall obtain for translation spaces applies to them. For the general translation space Z we define the Borel class \mathfrak{B} as the σ -algebra generated by the open subsets of Z , given by the relative topology from R . For a Borel measure ϕ defined over \mathfrak{B} we note that Condition I of nontriviality and II' of translation-invariance still make perfect sense in this more general context, if $u \in \mathcal{L}_2$ in II' is replaced by $u \in R$. We shall now establish that a locally compact translation space does possess something like a Haar measure, that is a nontrivial, translation-invariant, regular Borel measure. First we need a few more lemmas.

LEMMA 8. *If $V \subseteq W$ are both open subsets of the translation space Z and if $[W + u] \cap Z$ is open in Z for some $u \in R$, then so also is $[V + u] \cap Z$.*

Proof. Since Z is a translation space, it is closed in R , so $Z - W$ and $Z - V$ are both closed in R as well as in Z . Since open and closed subsets of the topological group R remain such under translation, $B = [(Z - W) + u] \cap Z$ and $C = [(Z - V) + u] \cap Z$ are both closed in R , and hence in Z . Defining $A = (R - [Z + u]) \cap Z$, we have

$$A \cup B = Z - ([W + u] \cap Z),$$

known closed in Z , so that $\overline{A - A} \subseteq B$ must follow. We obtain $B \subseteq C$ from $V \subseteq W$, and this makes $\overline{A - A} \subseteq C$; thus $Z - ([V + u] \cap Z) = A \cup C$ is closed in Z , or $[V + u] \cap Z$ is open, as desired.

Let $[B + C] = \{x + y \mid x \in B \text{ and } y \in C\}$ and $B^- = \{x \mid -x \in B\}$ for the following lemma.

LEMMA 9. *If the translation space Z has compact subsets B and C with $B \cap C = \phi$, then there exists some Z -neighborhood V of zero so that*

$$[B + V^-] \cap [C + V^-] = \phi.$$

Moreover, both $[V + z] \cap B \neq \phi$ and $[V + z] \cap C \neq \phi$ are not simultaneously possible for any $z \in R$.

Proof. Since B and C are compact subsets of Z , they are also such of the topological group R . Thus there exists an R -neighborhood W of zero so that

$$[B + W^-] \cap [C + W^-] = \phi.$$

Hence $V = Z \cap W$, so $V^- \subseteq W^-$, gives the first result. If $[V + z] \cap B \neq \phi$ and $[V + z] \cap C \neq \phi$, then $z \in [B + V^-] \cap [C + V^-] = \phi$, a contradiction, which gives the last.

Following Halmos [1, p. 252], if B and C are subsets of the translation space Z , we let $(C : B)$ denote the least cardinal (thus \aleph_0 or an integer ≥ 0) of sets P of $z \in R$ such that

$$C \subseteq \bigcup_{z \in P} [B + z].$$

LEMMA 10. *If C is a compact subset of the translation space Z and V is an open Z -subset containing zero, then $(C : V) < +\infty$.*

Proof. By Condition i) we have

$$C \subseteq \bigcup_{u \in R} \text{int} (Z \cap [V + u]),$$

an open covering of compact C . Thus there exists a finite set A of such u with

$$C \subseteq \bigcup_{u \in A} \text{int} (Z \cap [V + u]) \subseteq \bigcup_{u \in A} [V + u],$$

and hence

$$(C : V) \leq (\text{card } A) < +\infty.$$

This lemma is the only place where Condition i) is used to get our following main result on the existence of a Haar measure.

THEOREM 11. *If Z is a locally compact translation space, then there exists a regular Borel measure ϕ on Z which is nontrivial (I) and translation-invariant (II').*

Proof. Since Z is locally compact, it possesses a neighborhood V_1 of zero such that \bar{V}_1 is compact, so $0 < (\bar{V}_1 : V) < +\infty$ for any other Z -neighborhood V of zero, by Lemma 10. Also clearly

$$(C : V) \leq (C : \bar{V}_1) (\bar{V}_1 : V) \leq (C : V_1) (\bar{V}_1 : V),$$

so we may define

$$\lambda_v(C) = (\overline{V}_1 : V)^{-1} (C : V)$$

and have

$$0 \leq \lambda_v(C) \leq (C : V_1) < +\infty$$

for any compact subset C of Z and any Z -neighborhood V of zero. Following Halmos [1, pp. 254-256], we construct a content λ from λ_v . Let Ω be the Cartesian product of the bounded closed intervals $[0, (C : V_1)]$ over all compact subsets C of Z ; Ω is compact by Tychonoff's theorem, and each $\lambda_v \in \Omega$. Setting

$$\Lambda(V) = \{ \lambda_w \mid W \subseteq V, W \text{ a } Z\text{-neighborhood of zero} \},$$

we see that Ω contains by compactness some $\lambda \in \bigcap_v \overline{\Lambda(V)}$, the intersection being over all Z -neighborhoods V of zero. As in [1], this function $\lambda(C)$ defined over compact Z -subsets C is a content; that is, for subsets B, C , and D compact we have

$$0 \leq \lambda(C) \leq \lambda(B) < +\infty$$

if $C \subseteq B$, and

$$\lambda(C \cup D) \leq \lambda(C) + \lambda(D)$$

with equality if $C \cap D = \emptyset$ by use of Lemma 9. Also $\lambda(\overline{V}_1) = 1$ since $\lambda_v(\overline{V}_1) = 1$ for any V . For translation invariance we note that if $[C + z] \subseteq Z$ for a compact Z -subset C and $z \in R$, then $[C + z]$ is also compact, since translation by z is a homeomorphism of R onto R ; $([C + z] : V) = (C : V)$, obviously; and thus $\lambda_v([C + z]) = \lambda_v(C)$ for any neighborhood V makes $\lambda([C + z]) = \lambda(C)$.

Let W be any subset of Z , define the inner content

$$\lambda_*(W) = \sup \lambda(C)$$

over compact $C \subseteq W$, and for any subset E define

$$\phi(E) = \inf \lambda_*(W)$$

over open Z subsets $W \supseteq E$. Restricting ϕ to \mathfrak{B} , we see that ϕ is a regular Borel measure on Z ; ϕ is nontrivial (I) by

$$\phi(Z) \geq \phi(\overline{V}_1) \geq \lambda(\overline{V}_1) = 1 \text{ and } \phi(V_1) \leq \lambda(\overline{V}_1) = 1,$$

(see [1, 53 C and E, p. 234]).

It remains only to show that ϕ is translation-invariant (II'). First

$$\lambda_*([W + z]) = \lambda_*(W)$$

for $z \in R$ and any Z -subset W having $[W + z] \subseteq Z$. For then compact $C \subseteq W$ has $[C + z] \subseteq Z$ and compact, so $\lambda([C + z]) = \lambda(C)$ and thus $\lambda_*([W + z]) \geq \lambda_*(W)$. The opposite inequality follows symmetrically to give the result, since any compact $C' \subseteq [W + z]$ has $C = [C' - z]$ compact with

$$C \subseteq W \subseteq Z \text{ and } \lambda(C) = \lambda(C').$$

Now if V is an open Z -subset then $\phi(V) = \lambda_*(V)$ since λ_* is monotone. Thus If V and $[V + u] \cap Z$ are both open in Z , and $u \in R$, then $W \subseteq V$ and $[W + u] = [V + u] \cap Z$, where $W = ([V + u] \cap Z) - u$ so that

$$\phi([V + u] \cap Z) = \lambda_*([W + u]) = \lambda_*(W) \leq \lambda_*(V) = \phi(V)$$

for part b) of Condition II' .

For part a), assume $A \in \mathfrak{B}$, $u \in R$, and $A \subseteq V_0$, where V_0 and $[V_0 + u]$ are both open Z -subsets. Then for any open Z -subset $V \supseteq A$, Lemma 8 with $V' = V \cap V_0$ and $W' = V_0$ both open makes $[V \cap V_0 + u]$ open also, and we note that

$$[A + u] \subseteq [V \cap V_0 + u] \subseteq [V_0 + u] \subseteq Z.$$

Hence

$$\lambda_*([V \cap V_0 + u]) = \lambda_*(V \cap V_0)$$

makes

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(A) &= \inf_{\text{open } V \supseteq A} \lambda_*(V) = \inf_{\text{open } V \supseteq A} \lambda_*(V \cap V_0) \\ &= \inf_{\text{open } V \supseteq A} \lambda_*([V \cap V_0 + u]) \geq \inf_{\text{open } W \supseteq [A+u]} \lambda_*(W) = \phi([A + u]). \end{aligned}$$

Symmetrically, $\phi([A + u]) \geq \phi(A)$ gives $\phi([A + u]) = \phi(A)$ for our result.

Presumably results similar to Theorem 11 are true for similar subspaces of non-Abelian topological groups. We have considered only the Abelian case for simplicity and because the interesting examples in analysis are Abelian.

COROLLARY 12. *If*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = 0,$$

then the space Y is locally compact under coincident metric and product topologies, and Y possesses a regular Borel measure nontrivial (I) and translation invariant (II') under this topology.

Proof. The coincidence of the topologies and local compactness of Y is trivial from $f(n) \downarrow 0$; and Lemma 7 and Theorem 11 give the rest.

6. Another translation space example. In addition to X and Y , we want to give another example of a translation space, still with $R = \ell_2$. Let

$$Z_1 = \left\{ x \in \ell_2 \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2r} (x_n)^2 \leq M \right\}$$

for some fixed real $r > 0$ and $M > 0$, so that clearly Z_1 is actually compact. Such a space would arise by using Fourier analysis on L_2 -function-spaces in which the r th derivative was subjected to a fixed bound in norm. We shall now show that Z_1 is a translation space, though our proof seems unnecessarily long.

LEMMA 13. *If $u \in Z_1$ has $u_n = 0$ for $n > N$ for some finite N , and*

$$\rho N^r \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N n^{2r} (u_n)^2 \right\}^{1/2}$$

for some $\rho > 0$, then

$$Z_1 \cap [S(Z_1, 0, \rho) + u] = S(Z_1, u, \rho)$$

open in Z_1 .

Proof. We only need to show that

$$S(Z_1, u, \rho) \subseteq Z_1 \cap [S(Z_1, 0, \rho) + u],$$

the opposite inclusion being obvious. Consider any $z \in S(Z_1, u, \rho)$; we need only show $(z - u) \in Z_1$. Here $\|z - u\| < \rho$, so

$$\sum_{n=1}^N n^{2r} (z_n - u_n)^2 < N^{2r} \rho^2,$$

and thus from

$$\rho N^r \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N n^{2r} (u_n)^2 \right\}^{1/2}$$

we obtain, by Minkowski's inequality,

$$0 < \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N n^{2r} (u_n)^2 \right\}^{1/2} - \rho N^r < \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N n^{2r} (z_n)^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Thus $u_n = 0$ for $n > N$ and $z \in Z_1$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2r} (z_n - u_n)^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^N n^{2r} (z_n - u_n)^2 + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} n^{2r} (z_n)^2 \\ &< \rho^2 N^{2r} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2r} (z_n)^2 - \sum_{n=1}^N n^{2r} (z_n)^2 \\ &< \rho^2 N^{2r} + M - \left(\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N n^{2r} (u_n)^2 \right\}^{1/2} - \rho N^r \right)^2 \\ &= M - \left(\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N n^{2r} (u_n)^2 \right\}^{1/2} - 2\rho N^r \right) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N n^{2r} (u_n)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2r} (z_n - u_n)^2 < M,$$

so $(z - u) \in Z_1$ as desired.

THEOREM 14. Z_1 satisfies Condition i), and hence is a compact translation space possessing a Haar measure in the sense of Theorem 11.

Proof. We merely need to verify Condition i) for Z_1 . Thus given any open Z_1 -subset V containing zero and any $z \in Z_1$, we shall find some $u \in Z_1$ and $\rho > 0$ so that $S(Z_1, 0, \rho) \subseteq V$ and

$$z \in Z_1 \cap [S(Z_1, 0, \rho) + u] = S(Z_1, u, \rho)$$

open in Z_1 , which makes $z \in \text{int} (Z_1 \cap [V + u])$, as desired. Here we need consider only $z \neq 0$, since $u = 0$ makes $0 \in V = \text{int} (Z_1 \cap [V + u])$ for the result if $z = 0$. Since $z \neq 0$, we may choose N sufficiently large so that

$$\beta = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2r} (z_n)^2 \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} n^{2r} (z_n)^2 \right)$$

has $0 \leq \beta < 1/5$, and so that

$$\frac{\sqrt{M}}{2N^r} < \rho_1$$

for some ρ_1 such that $S(Z_1, 0, \rho_1) \subseteq V$. Let

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2N^r} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N N^{2r} (z_n)^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

so

$$\rho \leq \frac{\sqrt{M}}{2N^r} < \rho_1 \quad \text{and} \quad S(Z_1, 0, \rho) \subseteq V.$$

Define $u \in Z_1$ by $u_n = z_n$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$ and $u_n = 0$ for $n > N$. By Lemma 13, we have

$$Z_1 \cap [S(Z_1, 0, \rho) + u] = S(Z_1, u, \rho)$$

open in Z_1 . Finally to complete the proof we have $z \in S(Z_1, u, \rho)$, for

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - u\|^2 &= \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (z_n)^2 \leq \frac{1}{N^{2r}} \left(\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} n^{2r} (z_n)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{N^{2r}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2r} (z_n)^2 \right) < \frac{1}{N^{2r}} \left(\frac{1-\beta}{4} \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2r} (z_n)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2N^r)^2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N n^{2r} (z_n)^2 \right) = \rho^2, \end{aligned}$$

or $\|z - u\| < \rho$, as desired, since $\beta < (1 - \beta)/4$ from $0 \leq \beta < 1/5$.

7. Summary of results. We have discussed here the translation spaces

$$X = \{x \in \ell_2 \mid |x_n| \leq h(n)\}$$

and

$$Y = \{x \in \ell_2 \mid \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} x_j^2 \leq f(n)\},$$

and also

$$Z_1 = \{x \in \ell_2 \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2r} (x_n)^2 \leq M\}$$

in § 6, all being subspaces of real separable Hilbert space. For X under the metric topology we have found (Theorem 3) that there exists no nontrivial, translation-invariant (II or II') Borel measure if

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(n) > 0;$$

under the product topology we have the same conclusion if $h(n) = +\infty$ infinitely often (Theorem 4). If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [h(n)]^2 < +\infty,$$

which is equivalent to local compactness, then under the metric topology X has a nontrivial, translation-invariant (II) Borel measure which is unique up to constant factors (Theorem 5). For Y under the metric topology

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = 0,$$

or thus $f(n) \downarrow 0$, is equivalent to local compactness, and necessary and sufficient for the existence of a nontrivial, translation-invariant (II') Borel measure (Theorem 3 and Corollary 12). Also we found (Theorem 12) that any locally compact translation space possesses a nontrivial, translation-invariant (II') Borel measure; thus so does Z_1 (Theorem 14).

It is clear from the foregoing results that local compactness is in general

the crucial condition for the existence of a nontrivial, translation-invariant Borel measure. This is well known for topological groups [5, p. 144], and conjectured for spaces with a group germ (a neighborhood of zero in which group addition is always possible). However, it is to be noted that neither X nor Y , when locally compact, nor Z_1 has a group germ. Thus our results seem to be new, and the concept of a translation-space a fruitful one. In fact the idea of a group germ cannot lead to anything here; for it is not difficult to see that any convex metric subspace of ℓ_2 , which is locally compact and contains a group germ under ℓ_2 -vector-addition, must be finite dimensional, hence a subspace of E_N and thus trivial. In connection with local compactness it should be noted that our results are not complete for X ; here if $\sum^\infty [h(n)]^2 = +\infty$ the space is not locally compact under the metric topology and presumably no nontrivial, invariant Borel measure exists. We could only show this if

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(n) > 0,$$

which assumes more.

The construction of an invariant measure on subspaces of real separable Hilbert space suggests an attempt to carry over vector analysis from E_N . In particular, in a later paper the author investigates the relationship between ℓ_2 -vector-differentiation [6, p. 72] and Fourier transforms over X . Here X is a modification of Jessen's torus space [3] and can be made into a group, so standard Fourier theory applies [7 or 5].

REFERENCES

1. P. R. Halmos, *Measure theory*, van Nostrand, New York, 1950.
2. N. A. Kolmogoroff, *Grundbegriffe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung*, Ergebnisse der Math., vol. 2, 1933.
3. B. Jessen, *The theory of integration in a space of an infinite number of dimensions*, Acta Math. 63 (1934), 249-323.
4. K. Loewner, *Goundzüge einer Inhaltslehre in Hilbertschen Raume*, Ann. of Math. 40 (1939), 816-833.
5. A. Weil, *L'integration dans les groupes topologiques*, Actualites Sc. and Ind., Paris, 1940.
6. E. Hille, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, New York, 1948.
7. R. Godement & H. Cartan, *Dualité et analyse harmonique*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 64 (1947), 79-99.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
SEATTLE 5, WASHINGTON

