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#### Abstract

A lower bound, better than those previously known, is given for the volume of a 3 -dimensional body of constant width 1. Bounds are also given in the case of $n$-dimensional bodies of constant width $1, n \geqq 4$. Short proofs of the known sharp bounds for such bodies in the Euclidean and Minkowskian planes are given using properties of mixed areas. An application is made to a measure of outer symmetry of sets of constant width in 2 and 3 dimensions.


Let $K$ be a convex body in $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $E_{n}$. For each point $u$ on the unit sphere $S$ centered at the origin, let $b(u)$ be the distance between the two parallel supporting hyperplanes of $K$ orthogonal to the direction. The function $b(u)$ is the "width function" of $K$. If $b(u)$ is constant on $S$, then we say $K$ is a body of constant width.

If $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are convex bodies, then $K_{1}+K_{2}$ is the "Minkowski sum" or "vector sum" of $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ [5, p. 79]. The following useful theorem is well-known.

Theorem 1. A convex body $K$ has constant width $b$ if and only if $K+(-K)$ is a spherical ball of radius $b$.

In the case of $E_{2}$, a number of special properties of sets of constant width are known-for example, the following theorem of Pàl (see [5, p. 127]).

Theorem 2. Any plane convex body $B$ of constant width admits a circumscribed regular hexagon $H$.

We shall be concerned with the following type of result, due to Blaschke and Lebesgue (see [1], [3], [4], [5, p. 128], [9]).

Theorem 3. Any plane convex body $B$ of constant width 1 has area not less than $(\pi-\sqrt{3}) / 2$, the area of a Reuleaux triangle of width 1.

The following short proof of Theorem 3 will set the stage for some later arguments.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $A(K)$ denote the area of $K$. The "mixed area" of the plane convex bodies $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}, A\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)$, can be
defined by the fundamental relation [5, p. 48],

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)=A\left(K_{1}\right)+2 A\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)+A\left(K_{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mixed area is monotonic in each argument [5, p. 86]. That is, if $K_{1} \subset K_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(K_{1}, K\right) \leqq A\left(K_{2}, K\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (1), setting $K_{1}=K_{2}=K$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(K, K)=A(K) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $H$ be a regular hexagon circumscribed about $B$ (Theorem 2). Assume the center of $H$ is the origin, so $H=-H$. Then, using (2) and (3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(B,-B) \leqq A(H,-H)=A(H, H)=A(H) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (4), (1), and Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi & =A(B+(-B))=2 A(B)+2 A(B,-B) \\
& \leqq 2 A(B)+2 A(H)=2 A(B)+\sqrt{3} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

from which the theorem follows.
It has long been conjectured that in $E_{3}$ any convex body of constant width 1 has volume at least that of a certain "tetrahedron of constant width" $T$ (see [12, p. 81] for the construction of $T$ ). A computation of the volume of $T$ leads to the conjecture,

Conjecture 1. Any 3-dimensional convex body of constant width 1 has volume not less than

$$
\frac{2 \pi}{3}-\frac{\pi \sqrt{3}}{4} \cos ^{-1}(1 / 3) \approx .42
$$

In $\S 2$ we shall prove that if $B_{3}$ is a 3 -dimensional body of constant width 1 , with volume $V\left(B_{3}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(B_{3}\right) \geqq \beta=\frac{\pi}{3}(3 \sqrt{6}-7) \approx .365 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our proof of (6) will depend upon the following theorem of Blaschke [2].
Theorem 4. If a 3-dimensional convex body of constant width $b$ has volume $V$ and surface area $S$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 V=b S-\frac{2 \pi}{3} b^{3} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (7) that Conjecture 1 is equivalent to:

Conjecture 1'. Any 3-dimensional convex body of constant width 1 has surface area not less than

$$
2 \pi-\frac{\pi \sqrt{3}}{2} \cos ^{-1}(1 / 3)
$$

Conjecture 1 can be transformed into still another form using the concept of "mixed surface area." Let $S(K)$ denote the surface area of $K$. If $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are 3 -dimensional convex bodies, then the surface area of $K_{1}+K_{2}$ can be written in the form

$$
S\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)=S\left(K_{1}\right)+2 S\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)+S\left(K_{2}\right)
$$

where $S\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)$ is the mixed surface area. Thus, if $K$ has constant width $1,4 \pi=S(K+(-K))=2 S(K)+2 S(K,-K)$. Hence Conjectures 1 and $1^{\prime}$ are equivalent to:

Conjecture $1^{\prime \prime}$. Any 3-dimensional convex body of constant width 1 has mixed surface area not greater than

$$
\frac{\pi \sqrt{3}}{2} \cos ^{-1}(1 / 3)
$$

Firey [6] has proved that the volume $V$ of an $n$-dimensional convex body of constant width 1 satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \geqq \frac{\pi-\sqrt{3}}{n!}, n \geqq 2 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In § 2 we give the generally better lower bound,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \geqq \lambda \omega_{n} \prod_{k=3}^{n}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{k}{2 k+2}}\right), n \geqq 3 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{n}$ is the volume of the unit ball in $E_{n}$, and

$$
\lambda=\frac{\pi-\sqrt{3}}{2 \pi}
$$

Let $C$ be a centrally symmetric convex body centered at the origin in $E_{n}$. Then $C$ is the unit sphere for a Minkowskian geometry. We say that a body $K$ has "constant width relative to $C$ " if $K+(-K)$ is homothetic to $C$. In particular, one says that $K$ and $C$ are "equivalent in width" in case $K+(-K)=2 C$, since the condition implies that $K$ and $C$ have the same width function. When $C$ is the ordinary unit sphere we obtain the ordinary sets of constant width. Results about
plane sets of relative constant width analogous to Theorem 2 and 3 are known (see [8], [10], and [11]). In § 3 we give a proof of the analogue of Theorem 3 in the Minkowski plane, using the same method as in our proof of Theorem 3.

Section 4 is devoted to some results on measures of outer symmetry for sets of constant width.
2. Proof of (6). Let $B_{3}$ be a 3-dimensional convex body of constant width 1. Then the inscribed sphere of $B_{3}$ has radius $\geqq$ $1-\sqrt{3 / 8}$ (see [5, p. 125]). Assume that the center of the inscribed sphere is the origin. If $p(u)$ is the supporting function of $B_{3}$, then we have $p(u) \geqq 1-\sqrt{3 / 8}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 V\left(B_{3}\right)=\int_{B_{3}} p(u) d S(u) \geqq(1-\sqrt{3 / 8}) S\left(B_{3}\right), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S\left(B_{3}\right)$ is the surface area of $B_{3}$. Using Theorem 4 in (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 V\left(B_{3}\right) \geqq(1-\sqrt{3 / 8})\left(2 V\left(B_{3}\right)+\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (6) follows upon solving (11) for $V\left(B_{3}\right)$. This completes the proof.
Proof of (9). Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=\inf V(K) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $K$ ranges over all bodies of constant width 1 in $E_{n}$, and $V(K)$ is the volume of $K$. The Blaschke selection principle implies that there exist bodies of constant width 1 having volume $\lambda_{n}$. Let $B$ be such a body, and let $p(u)$ be the support function of $B$ with the center of its inscribed sphere as origin. Then, by [5, p. 125],

$$
p(u) \geqq 1-\sqrt{\frac{n}{2 n+2}} .
$$

Denoting the area element of $B$ by $d S(u)$, we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \lambda_{n}=n V(B)=\int_{B} p(u) d S(u) \geqq\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{n}{2 n+2}}\right) S(B) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S(B)$ is the surface area of $B$. If we denote by $B_{u}$ the projection of $B$ onto a hyperplane orthogonal to $u$, then (see [5, p. 89])

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(B)=\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int V\left(B_{u}\right) d u \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V\left(B_{u}\right)$ is the $(n-1)$-dimensional volume of $B_{u}$ and the integration is over the surface of the unit sphere in $E_{n}$. Since $B_{u}$ is an ( $n-1$ )-dimensional body of constant width 1 , we have by (12) that $V\left(B_{u}\right) \geqq \lambda_{n-1}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(B) \geqq \frac{n \omega_{n} \lambda_{n-1}}{\omega_{n-1}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combined with (13), this yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n} \geqq\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{n}{2 n+2}}\right) \frac{\omega_{n}}{\omega_{n-1}} \lambda_{n-1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which (9) follows. This completes the proof.
3. In this section, $C$ is a centrally symmetric plane convex body centered at the origin 0 . $C$ admits an inscribed affine regular hexagon $H$ (i.e., the affine image of a regular hexagon) having a side parallel to any specified direction [10]. Let the vertices of $H$ be labelled $P_{1}, P_{2}, \cdots, P_{6}$ on the boundary of $C$ traversed in the positive direction. A "relative Reuleaux triangle" is obtained by attaching arcs $P_{1} P_{2}, P_{3} P_{4}$, and $P_{5} P_{6}$ of the boundary of $C$ to the respective sides $P_{1} P_{2}, P_{2} 0,0 P_{1}$ of the triangle $0 P_{1} P_{2}$. With $H$ as above, a centrally symmetric hexagon circumscribed about $C$ and touching $C$ at $P_{i}, 1 \leqq i \leqq 6$, is called a "C-hexagon." In fact, any hexagon homothetic to such a hexagon will be called a $C$-hexagon. Note that it case $C$ is a circle, any $C$ hexagon is just a regular hexagon. One then sees that the following theorem from [10] is a Minkowskian geometry analogue of Theorem 2.

Theorem 2'. Let $K$ be equivalent in width to $C$. Then $K$ admits a circumscribed C-hexagon.

Let $H$ be a $C$-hexagon circumscribed about $C$. Let $H^{\prime}$ be the corresponding affine regular hexagon inscribed in $C$ with its vertices on $H$. Then we shall show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(H) \leqq 4 / 3 A\left(H^{\prime}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows from the following general lemma.
Lemma 1. Let $H^{\prime}$ be an affine regular hexagon inscribed in a centrally symmetric plane convex body $K$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(K) \leqq 4 / 3 A\left(H^{\prime}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By considering the support lines of $K$ through the vertices of $H^{\prime}$, one sees that it suffices to prove (18) for $K$ a centrally


Figure 1.
symmetric hexagon $H$. Since the problem is affine invariant, one may even assume $H^{\prime}$ is a regular hexagon, although this does not really simplify matters. In Figure $1, P_{1}^{\prime}, P_{2}^{\prime}, P_{3}^{\prime}, P_{4}^{\prime}$ are consecutive vertices of $H^{\prime}$, and $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ are vertices of $H . \quad A D$ is drawn parallel to $P_{4}^{\prime} P_{3}$, which is parallel to $P_{1}^{\prime} P_{1}$ (the degenerate cases, where $P_{3}=P_{4}^{\prime}$ or $P_{1}=P_{1}^{\prime}$ are easily disposed of and will not be dwelt upon here). $B$ is the intersection of $P_{1} P_{2}$ with $A D$, and $C$ is the intersection of $P_{3} P_{2}$ with $A D$. Triangle $P_{4}^{\prime} P_{3} P_{3}^{\prime}$ is congruent to $P_{3}^{\prime} C A$, and $P_{1}^{\prime} P_{1} P_{2}^{\prime}$ is congruent to $P_{2}^{\prime} B A$. Hence the area of the pentagon $P_{1}^{\prime} P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} P_{4}^{\prime}$ is not greater than the area of triangle $P_{1}^{\prime} A P_{4}^{\prime}$, so the area of $H$ is not greater than twice the area of $P_{1}^{\prime} A P_{4}^{\prime}$, which is precisely $4 / 3 A\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3'. Any plane convex body $K$ which is equivalent in width to $C$ has area not less than that of some relative Reuleaux triangle equivalent in width to $C$.

Proof. It is easy to check that the area of any relative Reuleaux triangle $T$ equivalent in width to $C$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(T)=2 A(C)-4 / 3 A(H) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is the affine regular hexagon inscribed in $C$ on which the construction of $T$ is based. Let $H^{\prime}$ be a $C$-hexagon circumscribed about $K$ (Theorem $2^{\prime}$ ), let $H^{\prime \prime}$ be the translate of $H^{\prime}$ circumscribed about $C$, and let $H$ be the corresponding affine regular hexagon inscribed in $C$ with its vertices on $H^{\prime \prime}$. Let the center of $H^{\prime}$ be at the origin (which can be assumed by translating $K$ ) so $H^{\prime}=-H^{\prime}$. Then,
proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3, and using (17), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
4 A(C) & =A(K+(-K))=2 A(K)+2 A(K,-K) \\
& \leqq 2 A(K)+2 A\left(H^{\prime},-H^{\prime}\right)=2 A(K)+2 A\left(H^{\prime}\right)  \tag{20}\\
& =2 A(K)+2 A\left(H^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqq 2 A(K)+8 / 3 A(H)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(K) \geqq 2 A(C)-4 / 3 A(H)=A(T) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof.
To prove that a relative Reuleaux triangle is the only body equivalent in width to $C$ with minimum area requires a little more argument. A sketch of the proof is as follows. If $K$ is such a body of minimum area, then equality must hold throughout (20). This means that $A(K,-K)=A\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ for a $C$-hexagon $H^{\prime}$ circumscribed about $K$. It follows that $A(-K, K)=A\left(H^{\prime}, K\right)$. If we let $p_{1}(\theta), p_{2}(\theta)$ be the support functions of $K$ and $H^{\prime}$ respectively, with origin at the center of $H^{\prime}$, and let $s_{1}$ denote arclength along $K$, the last equation implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int p_{1}(\theta+\pi) d s_{1}=\int p_{1}(\theta) d s_{1} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (22) implies that $K$ must pass through 3 alternate vertices of $H^{\prime}$, from which readily follows the fact that $K$ is a relative Reuleaux triangle.
4. For any $n$-dimensional convex body $K$ we define a "coefficient of outer symmetry," $\mu(K)$, as follows. Let $S$ be a centrally symmetric convex body of minimum volume containing $K$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(K)=\frac{V(K)}{V(S)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\mu(K) \leqq 1$, and $\mu(K)=1$ if and only if $K$ is centrally symmetric. Sharp lower bounds for $\mu(K)$ are not known for $n \geqq 3$; however, it is known that $\mu(K) \geqq 1 / 2$ if $K$ is 2 -dimensional, with equality holding if and only if $K$ is a triangle. A standing conjecture is that in $E_{n}, n \geqq 3, \mu(K) \geqq \mu(T)$, where $T$ is a simplex.

Theorem 5. Let $B$ be a plane convex body of constant width 1. Then $\mu(B) \geqq \mu(R)$, where $R$ is a Reuleaux triangle, and equality holds only if $B$ is a Reuleaux triangle.

Proof. Let $H$ be a regular hexagon circumscribed about $B$.

Then, using Theorem 3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(B) \geqq \frac{A(B)}{A(H)} \geqq \frac{A(R)}{A(H)}=\frac{\pi-\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{3}}=.81 \cdots \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is a Reuleaux triangle of width 1 . On the other hand, any centrally symmetric convex set $S$ containing $R$ must also contain an equilateral triangle $T$ of side 1 and thus has area $\geqq 2 A(T)=A(H)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A(R)}{A(H)}=\mu(R) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality can hold in (24) only if $A(B)=A(R)$, which happens only if $B$ is a Reuleaux triangle (see end of $\S 3$ ). This completes the proof.

It is known that any set $K$ of constant width in $E_{3}$ admits a regular circumscribed octahedron $J$ (see [7]). Suppose $K$ has constant width 1, and let $S$ be a centrally symmetric set of minimum volume containing $K$. Then, using (6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(K)=\frac{V(K)}{V(S)} \geqq \frac{\beta}{V(J)}=\frac{2 \beta}{\sqrt{3}} \approx .42 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly one can obtain crude lower bounds, in this same fashion, in terms of $\lambda_{n}$ and the volume of some centrally symmetric "covering body" $J_{n}$ (one could, for example, use for $J_{n}$ a sphere of radius $\sqrt{n /(2 n+2))}$.
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