

MAXIMAL CONNECTED HAUSDORFF SPACES

J. PELHAM THOMAS

A nowhere neighborhood nested space is one in which no point has a local base which is linearly ordered by set inclusion. An *MI* space is one in which every dense subset is open. In this paper we show that every Hausdorff topology without isolated points has a nowhere neighborhood nested refinement. We show that every maximal connected Hausdorff topology is *MI* and nowhere neighborhood nested, and that every connected, but not maximal connected, Hausdorff topology has a connected, but not maximal connected, nowhere neighborhood nested refinement. Every connected Hausdorff topology has a connected, *MI*, nowhere neighborhood nested refinement.

In [4] the author raised the question of the existence of non-trivial maximal connected Hausdorff spaces. The question remains open.

A topology \mathcal{F}' on a set X is said to be finer than, or to be a refinement of, a topology \mathcal{F} on X if $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}'$. It is said to be strictly finer than \mathcal{F} , if, in addition, we have $\mathcal{F} \neq \mathcal{F}'$. We say that (X, \mathcal{F}) (and by abuse of language, \mathcal{F}) is maximal connected, if (X, \mathcal{F}) is connected and whenever \mathcal{F}' is strictly finer than \mathcal{F} , (X, \mathcal{F}') is not connected. An *MI* space (see [2]) is one in which every dense subset is open.

The following result is in the authors thesis [5].

THEOREM 1. *Every maximal connected space is an MI space.*

An irresolvable space is one which does not have a dense subset whose complement is also dense. Anderson [1] has shown that every connected Hausdorff space has a connected irresolvable refinement. If in his proof of his Theorem 1, in the fourth paragraph, we simply choose D to be an R^* -dense set which is not R^* open, we will have proved

THEOREM 2. *Let τ be an infinite cardinal number. Let R be a connected topology for X with $\Delta(R) \geq \tau$, where $\Delta(R)$ denotes the dispersion character, or minimum cardinality of an open set of R . Then there exists a connected *MI* refinement R^* of R with $\Delta(R^*) \geq \tau$.*

DEFINITION 1. Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a topological space, $x \in X$. If there is a "local" base at x which is linearly ordered under set

inclusion ($V \leq W$ if $W \subset V$), we say \mathcal{T} is *neighborhood nested at* x . If \mathcal{T} is not neighborhood nested at any point of X , we say \mathcal{T} is *nowhere neighborhood nested*, abbreviated n.n.n.

LEMMA 1. *Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a Hausdorff space, $x \in X$. If there is a base $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ at x which is linearly ordered under set inclusion, then there is a base $\{W_k\}_{k \in K}$ at x which is well ordered under set inclusion and such that if $\delta, \sigma \in K$, $\delta < \sigma$, then $\text{Int}(W_\delta - W_\sigma) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof of Lemma 1. First recall that (1) every totally ordered set has a cofinal well ordered subset (2) every well ordered set has a cofinal subset which is order isomorphic with a regular cardinal A . Next, using A , one can easily construct sets with the desired properties.

COROLLARY. *If there is an ordered local base at x , x is adherent to a set S of isolated points (isolated in S).*

Proof. Choose one member of $\text{Int}(W_i - W_{i+1})$ for each i .

THEOREM 3. *Every Hausdorff topology without isolated points has an n.n.n. refinement. Every connected, Hausdorff topology has a connected n.n.n. refinement. Every connected, Hausdorff, but not n.n.n. topology has a connected n.n.n. refinement which is not maximal connected.*

Proof of Theorem 3. For a space (X, \mathcal{T}) , denote by \mathcal{T}' the topology on X which has as a base $\mathcal{T} \cup \{D \cap T \mid T \in \mathcal{T} \text{ and } \text{Int}_{(X, \mathcal{T})} D \text{ is dense in } (X, \mathcal{T})\}$. Then one can show that (X, \mathcal{T}) and (X, \mathcal{T}') have the same open-and-closed subsets by appealing to the following fact: if D is a dense subset of (X, \mathcal{T}) and $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$ with $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, then $U \cap V \cap D \neq \emptyset$. Using Lemma 1, (X, \mathcal{T}') , and, some (or all) nowhere dense subsets of (X, \mathcal{T}) , one obtains the statements of Theorem 3.

The following theorem is an immediate corollary to Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. *Every maximal connected Hausdorff topology is n.n.n.*

THEOREM 5. *Every Hausdorff connected topology \mathcal{T}_1 has a Hausdorff, connected, MI, n.n.n. refinement \mathcal{T}_2 .*

Proof. By Theorem 2, \mathcal{T}_1 has a connected Hausdorff MI refinement \mathcal{T}_2 . By Theorem 3, \mathcal{T}_2 has a connected, Hausdorff,

n.n.n. refinement \mathcal{S}_3 . It is easy to see that every refinement of an MI topology is MI . Thus, \mathcal{S}_3 meets the required conditions.

REFERENCES

1. D. R. Anderson, *On connected irresolvable Hausdorff spaces*, Proceedings of the American Math. Soc., **16**, No. 3 (1965), 463-466.
2. E. Hewitt, *A problem of set theoretic topology*, Duke Math. J., **10** (1943), 309-333.
3. K. Padmavally, *An example of a connected irresolvable Hausdorff space*, Duke Math. J., **20** (1953), 513-520.
4. J. Pelham Thomees, *Maximal connected topologies*, J. of Australian Math. Soc., **8**, Part 4, (1968), 700-705.
5. ———, *Maximal Topological Spaces* (Thesis), University of South Carolina, (1965).

Received December 16, 1974. Thanks are due to the referee for his helpful suggestions.

WESTERN CAROLINA UNIVERSITY

