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#### Abstract

This paper contains two theorems which relate the maximal number of independent sections of a complex bundle over a manifold to the Chern classes of the bundle and certain functional cohomology operations. The main theoretical result of the paper is a formula which relates the obstruction to a lifting in a fibration and a functional cohomology operation.


1. Introduction. Let $M$ be a connected, closed, orientable, smooth manifold of dimension $2 n$. If $\omega$ is a complex $n$-plane bundle over $M$, the complex span of $\omega$ is the maximal number of cross-sections of $\omega$ which are linearly independent over the complex numbers. In this paper, we consider the following question: when is complex span $\omega \geqq q$ ? Hopf's theorem says that complex span $\omega>0$ if and only if $\omega$ has vanishing Euler class and the theorems of Thomas ([10] and [11]) give an effective answer in the case $q=2$. We study this problem in the cases $q=3,4$ and establish theorems which give necessary and sufficient conditions for complex span $\omega \geqq q$ in terms of the Chern classes of $\omega$ and certain functional cohomology operations. The Chern class of $\omega$ in $H^{2 i}(M ; \mathbf{Z})$ is denoted by $c_{t}(\omega)$. If $\delta_{p} P^{1}$ denotes the Steenrod $p$-power $P^{1}$ followed by the Bockstein associated with reduction $\bmod p, \delta_{p} P_{\omega}^{1}(c(i-$ $p+1)$ ) denotes a subset of a functional operation defined on the universal Chern class $c(i-p+1)$ and contained in $H^{2 i}(M ; \mathbf{Z})$. This subset will be described in the second section of this paper. If $p$ is a prime, $M$ is $j$-connected $\bmod p$ if $H_{l}\left(M ; \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)=0,1 \leqq i \leqq j$. In both theorems below, $M$ is 1 -connected $\bmod 2$ and 3.

Theorem 1. If $n$ is even, $n \geqq 6$, then complex span $\omega \geqq 3$ if and only if $c_{l}(\omega)=0, n-2 \leqq i \leqq n$, and $0 \in \delta S q_{\omega}^{2}(c(n-2))$.

Theorem 2. If $n$ is odd, $n \neq 1(\bmod 3), n \geqq 9$, and $M$ is 3 -connected $\bmod 2$, then complex span $\omega \geqq 4$ if and only if $c_{\imath}(\omega)=0, n-3 \leqq i \leqq n$, $0 \in \delta S q_{\omega}^{2}(c(n-3))$, and $0 \in \delta_{3} P_{\omega}^{1}(c(n-3))$.

In Theorem 1 , if $n \neq 0(\bmod 3)$, and $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, the connectedness hypothesis can be dropped. Thomas and Gilmore [11] show that if $M$ is 3 -connected, then for every $n$, complex span $\omega \geqq 3$ if and only if $c_{n-2}(\omega)=0$ and $c_{n}(\omega)=0$. Gilmore [2] proves theorems similar to Theorems 1 and 2 in which he assumes that $H_{2}(M ; \mathbf{Z})$ and $H_{4}(M ; \mathbf{Z})$,
respectively, have no elements of order 2. For the specified values of $n$, our theorems contain the results of Thomas and Gilmore, because the operation $\delta_{p} P_{\omega}^{1}(c(i-p+1))$ is a set of elements of order $p$ and hence must vanish if $H^{2 t}(M ; \mathbf{Z})$ has no $p$-torsion. If $M$ is an almost-complex manifold with almost-complex structure $\omega$, our theorems relate the complex span of $M$ to the Chern classes of $M$ and the functional operations.
2. Obstruction formulas. Let the map $f: M \rightarrow B U(n)$ classify $\omega$. It is clear that complex span $\omega \geqq q$ if and only if $f$ lifts to the total space of the fibration $W_{n, q} \rightarrow B U(n-q) \rightarrow B U(n)$ where $W_{n, q}$ is the Stiefel variety of complex $q$-frames in complex $n$-space. We will apply two obstruction formulas to this lifting problem. The first formula is due to Olum [8]. If $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ is a fibration with fiber $F, c$ in $H^{n-1}(F ; G)$ a class transgressing to $d$ in $H^{n}(B ; G), X$ a $C W$ complex and $f: X \rightarrow B$ a map such that the lifting obstruction $O^{n}(f)$ is nonvoid, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-c_{*} O^{n}(f)=f^{*} d \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{*}: H^{n}\left(X ; \pi_{n-1}(F)\right) \rightarrow H^{n}(X ; G)$ is induced by $c_{\#:}: \pi_{n-1}(F) \rightarrow G$, the composite of the Hurewicz homomorphism and evaluation.

The second formula is the main theoretical result of this paper. We assume that there is a class $a$ in $H^{n-2 p+1}(F ; \mathbf{Z})$ such that $\delta P^{1} a=0$ and $a$ is the only class transgressing to $b$, where $f^{*} b=0$ and $P^{1} b \equiv 0(\bmod$ integral classes in kernel $\pi^{*} \cap$ kernel $f^{*}$ ). Under these hypotheses, there are liftings $f_{a}: F \rightarrow K\left(\mathbf{Z}, n-2 p+1 ; \mathbf{Z}, n-1, \delta P^{1}\right)$ and $f_{b}: B$ $\rightarrow K\left(\mathbf{Z}, n-2 p+2 ; \mathbf{Z}, n, \delta P^{1}\right)$ of $a$ and $b$, where the range spaces are two-stage Postnikov systems induced by $\delta P^{1}$. In [3], we show that the set $\left\{f_{a \neq:}: \pi_{n-1}(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}\right\}$ is a congruence class modulo the image of the Hurewicz homomorphism and for every $[g]$ in $\pi_{n-1}(F), f_{a *}[g] \in \delta P_{g}^{1}(a)$, where $\delta P_{g}^{1}$ is the standard functional operation. (See [6], p. 157.) Therefore the induced homomorphism $f_{a}: H^{n}\left(X ; \pi_{n-1}(F)\right) \rightarrow H^{n}(X ; \mathbf{Z})$ can be effectively computed in some cases. In the proposition below, $\iota$ denotes the fundamental class of $K\left(\mathbf{Z}, n-2 p+2 ; \mathbf{Z}, n, \delta P^{1}\right)$ and we assume that $H^{n}(E ; \mathbf{Z})$ and $H^{n}(B ; \mathbf{Z})$ are torsion free.

Proposition 2.2. If $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ is a fibration satisfying the above hypotheses and $p$ is a prime such that $n \geqq 2(2 p-1)$, then we have containments

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a^{*}} O^{n}(f) \equiv \delta P_{f_{b}}^{1}(\iota) \equiv \delta P_{f}^{1}(b) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (2.3) shows that the obstruction is contained in the
operation $\delta P_{f}^{1}(b)$. A general result of this kind was obtained by Meyer. (See [5], §13.) It will be clear in the proof of (2.2) that the indeterminacy of $\delta P_{f_{b},}^{1}$ is image $\delta P^{1}$, and so (2.3) expesses the obstruction as an operation with smaller indeterminacy than the indeterminacy of $\delta P_{f}^{1}$, image $\left(\delta P^{1}+f^{*}\right)$. Proposition 2.2 will follow from the next lemma. In the proof of the lemma, the reduction $\bmod p$ of an integral class $\theta$ will be denoted by $\bar{\theta}$.

Lemma 2.4. The composite $f_{b} \pi$ is homotopically trivial.
Proof. Let $\quad K=K\left(\mathbf{Z}, n-2 p+2 ; \mathbf{Z}, n, \delta P^{1}\right)$. If $\quad n \geqq 2(2 p-1)$, $H^{n}(K ; \mathbf{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}$ modulo finite groups with a free generator $\theta$ such that $\theta_{\#}: \pi_{n}(K) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is multiplication by $p$ and $\bar{\theta}=P^{1} \iota$. These facts follow immediately from the long exact homotopy and Serre cohomology sequences for the fibration $K \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, n-2 p+2)$ and the Hurewicz theorem modulo finite groups. Since $\left(f_{b} \pi\right)^{*} \iota=\pi^{*} b=0$, because $b$ is in the image of the transgression, $O^{n}\left(f_{b} \pi, *\right)$ is nonvoid. It follows from the hypotheses that $f_{b}^{*} \bar{\theta} \equiv 0\left(\bmod\right.$ integral classes in kernel $\pi^{*} \cap$ kernel $f^{*}$ ) and from the properties of $\theta$ and (4.4) in [8] that $f_{b}^{*} \theta-f_{b}^{\prime *} \theta=$ $p O^{n}\left(f_{b}, f_{b}^{\prime}\right)$ for any two liftings of $b$. Therefore, after alteration by an $n$-cocycle, we may assume $f_{b}^{*} \theta \in$ kernel $\pi^{*} \cap$ kernel $f^{*}$ and so $\pi^{*} f_{b}^{*} \theta=$ $0=p O^{n}\left(f_{b} \pi, *\right)$, and this implies $f_{b} \pi$ is homotopically trivial since $H^{n}(E ; \mathbf{Z})$ has no torsion.

Lemma 2.4 implies the existence of a map of fibrations from the fibration $\pi$ into the path space fibration over $K$ with fiber $\Omega K=$ $K\left(\mathbf{Z}, n-2 p+1 ; \mathbf{Z}, n-1, \delta P^{1}\right)$. The map of fibers is a lifting of $a$, $f_{a}: F \rightarrow K\left(\mathbf{Z}, n-2 p+1 ; \mathbf{Z}, n-1, \delta P^{1}\right)$, because we are assuming that $a$ is the only class transgressing to $b$. The map $f_{b} f$ lifts to the path space if and only if it is homotopic to the constant map. We have taken care that $f_{b}^{*} \theta \in \operatorname{ker} f^{*}$ and so $\left(f_{b} f\right)^{*}$ has image zero in dimension $n$. Therefore the obstruction to a homotopy of $f_{b} f$ to point is precisely $\delta P_{f_{b} f}^{1}(\iota)(10.8$ [7]) and the indeterminacy of $\delta P_{f_{b} f}^{1}$ is image $\delta P^{1}$. Formula (2.3) now follows immediately from standard naturality properties of obstructions and functional operations ([6], p. 157).
3. The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. We begin with some general remarks. The group $H^{*}\left(W_{n, q} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is an exterior algebra with generators $a_{k}$ in $H^{2 k-1}\left(W_{n, q} ; \mathbf{Z}\right), n-q+1 \leqq k \leqq n([1]$, p. 444). The space $W_{n, q}$ is $2(n-q)$-connected and $\pi_{i}\left(W_{n, q}\right)$ is $\mathbf{Z}$ if $i$ is odd and a finite group if $i$ is even as long as $n$ is large, $n-q$ is odd, $2 \leqq q \leqq 4$, and $2(n-q)+1 \leqq i \leqq 2 n-1$. The necessary size of $n$ is indicated in the theorems. The group $\pi_{2(n-q)+2}\left(W_{n, q}\right)$ is zero and the other finite groups are 2 or 3 torsion groups in this range of dimensions, [2]. Since the

Hurewicz homomorphism $h: \pi_{i}\left(W_{n, q}\right) \rightarrow H_{t}\left(W_{n, q} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is a isomorphism modulo finite abelian groups if $i \leqq 4(n-q)$, it sends a generator in $\pi_{2 k-1}\left(W_{n, q}\right)$ into an integer $m_{k} \neq 0, n-q+1 \leqq k \leqq n$. These integers can be computed using an inductive argument based on the fibration $W_{n-1, q-1} \rightarrow W_{n, q} \rightarrow S^{2 n-1}$. In particular, $m_{n-q+1}=1, m_{n-q+2}=2$ and the prime divisors of the others are either 2 or 3, [4]. Since $a_{k}$ transgresses to $c(k)$, it follows from (2.1) that $-m_{k} O^{2 k}(f)=c_{k}(\omega)$. Thomas' theorem [10] follows from this formula and the first two values of $m_{k}$ : if $M$ is arbitrary and $n$ is odd, complex span $\omega \geqq 2$ if and only if $c_{i}(\omega)=0$, $n-1 \leqq i \leqq n$.

Consider the lifting obstruction $O^{2 k}(f)$, where $n-q+p \leqq k \leqq$ $n$. In this range of dimensions, $c(k-p+1)$ is the image of a unique class $a_{k-p+1}$ under transgression and if $c_{k}(\omega)=0, P^{1} c(k-p+1) \equiv 0(\bmod$ integral classes in kernel $\pi^{*} \cap$ kernel $f^{*}$ ), ([1], p. 429). Let $f^{\prime}: B U(n) \rightarrow K\left(\mathbf{Z}, 2(k-p+1) ; \mathbf{Z}, 2 k, \delta P^{1}\right)$ be a lifting of $c(k-p+1)$, $f_{k-p+1}: W_{n, q} \rightarrow K\left(\boldsymbol{Z}, 2 k-2 p+1 ; \mathbf{Z}, 2 k-1, \delta P^{1}\right)$ a lifting of $a_{k-p+1}$, and set $\delta P_{\omega}^{1}(c(k-p+1))=\delta P_{f^{\prime} f}^{1}(\iota)$. If [ $g$ ] in $\pi_{2 k-1}\left(W_{n, q}\right)$ is a generator, then $f_{k-p+1 *}[g] \in \delta P_{g}^{1}\left(a_{k-p+1}\right)$, [3]. Since $P^{1} a_{k-p+1}=\mu_{p}(k) a_{k}$, where $\mu_{p}(k) \equiv$ $k(\bmod p),([1], p .429)$, a direct computation of the operation $\delta P_{g}^{1}\left(a_{k-p+1}\right)$ yields the equation $f_{k-p+1 *}[g] \equiv \mu_{p}(k) p^{-1} m_{k}\left(\bmod m_{k}\right)$. If $c_{k}(\omega)=0$, then $m_{k} O^{2 k}(f)=0$ and the action of the homomorphisms $f_{k-p+1 *}$ on the obstruction is independent of the lifting. The next proposition follows immediately from the above remarks and Proposition 2.2. The assumption $p \leqq q$ forces the inequality of the proposition because we have $2 q \leqq n$ in our theorems.

Proposition 3.1. Let $p$ be a prime such that $p \leqq q$. If $c_{k}(\omega)=0$ and $m_{k} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{p}(k) p^{-1} m_{k} O^{2 k}(f) \equiv \delta P_{\omega}^{1}(c(k-p+1)) \equiv \delta P_{f}^{1}(c(k-p+1)) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 1 will be discussed later. In addition to the properties of $W_{n, 4}$ mentioned above, we will need the fact that the 3-component of $\pi_{2 n-4}\left(W_{n, 4}\right)$ is zero if $n \not \equiv 1(\bmod 3)[2]$ and some more precise information on the image of the Hurewicz homomorphism $h: \pi_{2 n-3}\left(W_{n, 4}\right) \rightarrow H_{2 n-3}\left(W_{n, 4} ; \mathbf{Z}\right), n$ odd and $n \geqq 9: m_{n-1} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and $m_{n-1} \not \equiv 0(\bmod 9)$ if $n \neq 1(\bmod 3)$, [4].

The conditions in Theorem 2 are clearly necessary. The hypothesis $c_{n-3}(\omega)=0$ implies that $O^{2 n-4}(f)$ is nonvoid and because $n$ is odd and $m_{n-2}=2$, Proposition 3.1 implies that $O^{2 n-4}(f)=\delta S q_{\omega}^{2}(c(n-3))$. This equation is an actual equality because the indeterminacy of $O^{2 n-4}(f)$ is image $\delta S q^{2}\left([10]\right.$, p. 191) which is the indeterminacy of $\delta S q_{\omega}^{2}(c(n-3))$. Therefore the hypothesis $0 \in \delta \operatorname{Sq}_{\omega}^{2}(c(n-3))$ is enough to imply that $O^{2 n-2}(f)$ is nonvoid since $n \neq 1(\bmod 3)$ means that $\pi_{2 n-4}\left(W_{n, 4}\right)$ has no

3-component and $M$ is 3 -connected $\bmod 2$. Since $c_{n-1}(\omega)=0$ and $m_{n-1} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, we have $\mu_{3}(n-1) 3^{-1} m_{n-1} O^{2 n-2}(f) \equiv \delta P_{\omega}^{1}(c(n-3))$, where $\mu_{3}(n-1) \neq 0$ because $n \neq 1(\bmod 3)$. The proof of Theorem 2 will be complete when we have shown that this equation is an actual equality, because the other obstructions vanish by connectivity, Poincaré duality, and $c_{n}(\omega)=0$.

To establish equality, we work with the equation in the form $f_{n-3 *} O^{2 n-2}(f) \equiv O^{2 n-2}\left(f^{\prime} f\right), \quad$ where $\quad f_{n-3}: W_{n, 4} \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, 2 n-7 ; \mathbf{Z}, 2 n-$ $\left.3, \delta P^{1}\right)$ and $f^{\prime}: B U(n) \rightarrow K\left(\mathbf{Z}, 2 n-6 ; \mathbf{Z}, 2 n-2, \delta P^{1}\right)$ are liftings of $a_{n-3}$ and $c(n-3)$, respectively. Let $h$ and $\bar{h}$ be $2 n-3$-liftings of $f^{\prime} f$ and $f$, respectively, and let $\left\{c^{2 n-2}(h)\right\}$ and $\left\{c^{2 n-2}(\bar{h})\right\}$ be the obstruction cohomology classes determined by these liftings. We assert that $h$ and $\bar{h}$ can be altered in such a way that the obstruction class of $h$ is unchanged and $f_{n-3 *}\left\{c^{2 n-2}(\bar{h})\right\}=\left\{c^{2 n-2}(h)\right\}$. The argument begins by altering $h$ by a $2 n-7$-cocycle $\nu$ such that $\{\nu\}=-3 O^{2 n-7}\left(f^{\prime} \bar{h}, h\right)$. The altered map $h_{\nu}$ extends to a $2 n-3$ lifting of $f^{\prime} f$ because of the homotopy of $K(\mathbf{Z}, 2 n-$ $\left.7 ; \mathbf{Z}, 2 n-3, \delta P^{1}\right)$ and $\left\{c^{2 n-2}(h)\right\}-\left\{c^{2 n-2}\left(h_{\nu}\right)\right\}=\delta P^{1} O^{2 n-7}\left(h, h_{\nu}\right)=\delta P^{1}\{\nu\}$, [9], so $\left\{c^{2 n-2}(h)\right\}=\left\{c^{2 n-2}\left(h_{\nu}\right)\right\}$ by our choice of $\nu$. Note that

$$
O^{2 n-7}\left(f^{\prime} \bar{h}, h_{\nu}\right)=O^{2 n-7}\left(f^{\prime} \bar{h}, h\right)+O^{2 n-7}\left(h, h_{\nu}\right)=-2 O^{2 n-7}\left(f^{\prime} \bar{h}, h\right) .
$$

The homomorphism $f_{n-3 \#}: \pi_{2 n-7}\left(W_{n, 4}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is the identity and so we may alter $\bar{h}$ by a $2 n-7$-cocycle $\alpha$ such that $f_{n-3 *}\{\alpha\}=\{\alpha\}=O^{2 n-7}\left(f^{\prime} \bar{h}, h_{\nu}\right)$. If $\bar{h}_{\alpha}$ is the altered map, $O^{2 n-7}\left(\bar{h}, \bar{h}_{\alpha}\right)=-2 O^{2 n-7}\left(f^{\prime} \bar{h}, h\right)$, and so $\left\{c^{2 n-4}(\bar{h})\right\}-\left\{c^{2 n-4}\left(\overline{h_{\alpha}}\right)\right\}=\delta S q^{2} O^{2 n-7}\left(\bar{h}, \bar{h}_{\alpha}\right)=0$. Therefore, $\bar{h}_{\alpha}$ extends to a $2 n-3$ lifting of $f$ because $\left\{c^{2 n-4}(\bar{h})\right\}=0$ and $M$ is 3-connected mod 2. We have

$$
O^{2 n-7}\left(f^{\prime} \bar{h}_{\alpha}, h_{\nu}\right)=O^{2 n-7}\left(f^{\prime} \overline{h_{\alpha}}, f^{\prime} \bar{h}\right)+O^{2 n-7}\left(f^{\prime} \bar{h}, h_{\nu}\right)=0
$$

because $O^{2 n-7}\left(\bar{h}_{\alpha}, \bar{h}\right)=-\{\alpha\}$, and so $f^{\prime} \bar{h}_{\alpha}$ is homotopic to $h_{\nu}$ in dimensions less than $2 n-3$ and the difference formula for cocycles yields $f_{n-3 *}\left\{c^{2 n-2}\left(\overline{h_{\alpha}}\right)\right\}=\left\{c^{2 n-2}\left(h_{\nu}\right)\right\}$. This completes the proof of equality and of Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 1 is exactly the same except that there is no obstruction of order 3. The remark about the special case $n \neq 0(\bmod 3), n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ follows from [2].

The problem of computing the operations $\delta P_{\omega}^{1}$ seems difficult. The following example shows that they are nontrivial invariants of the sectioning problem on the level of complexes ${ }^{1}$. Let $n$ be even, $n \geqq 6$, and let $p: E \rightarrow B U(n)$ be the first stage in the Postnikov system for the fibration $B U(n-3) \rightarrow B U(n)$ and let $p_{1}: E_{1} \rightarrow B U(n-2)$ be the first

[^0]stage in the system of the fibration $B U(n-3) \rightarrow B U(n-2)$. Let $X$ be the $2 n-1$-skeleton of $E_{1}$ and $\omega$ the bundle classified by the inclusion $B U(n-2) \rightarrow B U(n)$ composed with $p_{1}$. If $k$ in $H^{2 n-2}(E ; \mathbf{Z})$ is the $k$-invariant, then $2 k=p^{*} c(n-1)$ [10] and if $s: E_{1} \rightarrow E$ is the natural map, then $s^{*} k$ is in the image of the Bockstein mod 2. With these observations, it is easy to see that $\omega$ has the following properties: $c_{i}(\omega)=0, n-2 \leqq i \leqq n$, complex span $\omega \geqq 2$, but $0 \notin \delta S q_{\omega}^{2}(c(n-2))$ because $X$ does not lift to $B U(n-3)$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ I am grateful to the referee for this example.

