

## ZERO SETS OF INTERPOLATING BLASCHKE PRODUCTS

KEIJI IZUCHI

For a function  $h$  in  $H^\infty$ ,  $Z(h)$  denotes the zero set of  $h$  in the maximal ideal space of  $H^\infty + C$ . It is well known that if  $q$  is an interpolating Blaschke product then  $Z(q)$  is an interpolation set for  $H^\infty$ . The purpose of this paper is to study the converse of the above result. Our theorem is: If a function  $h$  is in  $H^\infty$  and  $Z(h)$  is an interpolation set for  $H^\infty$ , then there is an interpolating Blaschke product  $q$  such that  $Z(q) = Z(h)$ . As applications, we will study that for a given interpolating Blaschke product  $q$ , which closed subsets of  $Z(q)$  are zero sets for some functions in  $H^\infty$ . We will also give a characterization of a pair of interpolating Blaschke products  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  such that  $Z(q_1) \cup Z(q_2)$  is an interpolation set for  $H^\infty$ .

Let  $H^\infty$  be the space of bounded analytic functions on the open unit disk  $D$  in the complex number plane. Identifying a function  $h$  in  $H^\infty$  with its boundary function,  $H^\infty$  becomes the (essentially) uniformly closed subalgebra of  $L^\infty$ , the space of bounded measurable functions on the unit circle  $\partial D$ . A uniformly closed subalgebra  $B$  between  $H^\infty$  and  $L^\infty$  is called a Douglas algebra. We denote by  $M(B)$  the maximal ideal space of  $B$ . Identifying a function  $h$  in  $B$  with its Gelfand transform, we regard  $h$  as a continuous function on  $M(B)$ . Sarason [10] proved that  $H^\infty + C$  is a Douglas algebra, where  $C$  is the space of continuous functions on  $\partial D$ , and  $M(H^\infty) = M(H^\infty + C) \cup D$ . For a function  $h$  in  $H^\infty$ , we denote by  $Z(h)$  the zero set in  $M(H^\infty + C)$  for  $h$ , that is,

$$Z(h) = \{x \in M(H^\infty + C); h(x) = 0\}.$$

For a subset  $E$  of  $M(H^\infty)$ , we denote by  $\text{cl}(E)$  the weak\*-closure of  $E$  in  $M(H^\infty)$ . A closed subset  $E$  of  $M(H^\infty)$  is called an interpolation set for  $H^\infty$  if the restriction of  $H^\infty$  on  $E$ ,  $H^\infty|_E$ , coincides with  $C(E)$ , the space of continuous functions on  $E$ . For points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $M(H^\infty)$ , we put

$$\rho(x, y) = \sup\{|f(x)|; f \in H^\infty, \|f\| \leq 1, f(y) = 0\}.$$

We note that if  $z$  and  $w$  are points in  $D$ ,  $\rho(z, w) = |z - w|/|1 - \bar{w}z|$ , which is called the pseudo-hyperbolic distance on  $D$ . For a point  $x$  in  $M(H^\infty)$ , we put

$$P(x) = \{y \in M(H^\infty); \rho(x, y) < 1\},$$

which is called a Gleason part containing  $x$ . If  $P(x) = \{x\}$ ,  $P(x)$  is called trivial. For a distinct sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $D$  satisfying  $\prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - |z_n|) < \infty$ ,

$$b(z) = \prod_{n=1}^\infty \left( \frac{-\bar{z}_n}{|z_n|} \frac{z - z_n}{1 - \bar{z}_n z} \right)$$

is called a Blaschke product with zeros  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . A sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $D$  is called an interpolating sequence if for every bounded sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  there exists a function  $h$  in  $H^\infty$  such that  $h(z_n) = a_n$  for every  $n$ . By Carleson's interpolation theorem [1], it is characterized by  $\inf_n \prod_{k: k \neq n} \rho(z_n, z_k) > 0$ . A Blaschke product is called interpolating if its zero sequence is interpolating.

It is well known that if  $q$  is an interpolating Blaschke product, then  $Z(q)$  is an interpolation set for  $H^\infty$  (see [6, p. 205]). Our problem in this paper is to study the converse of the above assertion.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $h$  be a function in  $H^\infty$  and let  $h = IO$  be an inner-outer factorization of  $h$ . If  $Z(h)$  is an interpolation set for  $H^\infty$ , then*

- (i)  $O$  is invertible in  $H^\infty$ , and
- (ii) there is an interpolating Blaschke product  $b$  such that  $Z(b) = Z(h)$  and  $I\bar{b} \in H^\infty$ .

We will give some applications of our theorem. The first question is; for a given interpolating Blaschke product,  $q$ , which closed subsets of  $Z(q)$  are zero sets for some functions in  $H^\infty$ . We will give the complete answer in Corollary 1. In [8], we proved that a union set of two interpolation sets of  $M(L^\infty)$  for  $H^\infty$  is also an interpolation set, but there are two interpolating Blaschke products  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  such that  $Z(q_1) \cup Z(q_2)$  is not an interpolation set. The second question is; for which pair of interpolating Blaschke products  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ ,  $Z(q_1) \cup Z(q_2)$  is an interpolation set for  $H^\infty$ . The answer will be given in Corollary 4.

To prove Theorem 1, we need some lemmas.

**LEMMA 1** [6, p. 205]. *If  $b$  is an interpolating Blaschke product with zeros  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , then  $Z(b) = \text{cl}(\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty) \setminus \{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $Z(b)$  is an interpolation set for  $H^\infty$ .*

The following lemma follows from Carleson's theorem [1].

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be disjoint interpolating sequences. Then  $\{z_n, w_n; n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is an interpolating sequence if and only if  $\inf_{n,m} \rho(z_n, w_m) > 0$ .*

The following lemma follows from [7, Theorem 6.2].

LEMMA 3. Let  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be sequences in  $D$  and  $\sigma$  be a positive constant with  $0 < \sigma < 1$ . If  $|z_n| \rightarrow 1$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) and  $\rho(z_n, w_n) < \sigma$  for every  $n$ , then for each point  $x$  in  $\text{cl}(\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty) \setminus \{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , there is a point  $y$  in  $\text{cl}(\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty) \setminus \{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $\rho(x, y) \leq \sigma$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Since  $Z(h)$  is an interpolation set for  $H^\infty$ , by the open mapping theorem there is a constant  $\sigma$ ,  $0 < \sigma < 1$ , such that if  $f \in C(Z(h))$  there is  $f_1 \in H^\infty$  with  $f_1 = f$  on  $Z(h)$  and  $\|f_1\| < \|f\|/\sigma$ . Then we have

$$(1) \quad \rho(x, y) > \sigma \quad \text{for every } x, y \in Z(h), x \neq y.$$

Consequently, there are no nontrivial Gleason part  $P$  such that  $Z(h) \supset P$ . By the proof of [5, Corollary 1],  $O$  is invertible in  $H^\infty$  and  $I$  is a finitely many product of interpolating Blaschke products  $b_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We note that the above proof depends deeply on Kerr-Lawson's lemmas in [9].

To prove (ii), it is sufficient to show the case  $I = b_1 b_2$  and  $Z(b_1) \neq Z(I)$ . Let  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be interpolating zero sequences of  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ . Let  $\{w_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a subsequence of  $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  whose pseudo-hyperbolic distances from  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  are less than  $\sigma$ , and put  $\{w_{2,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \setminus \{w_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . We denote by  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  the interpolating Blaschke products whose zero sequences are  $\{w_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{w_{2,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  respectively. By Lemma 2,  $b_1 q_2$  is an interpolating Blaschke product. By Lemma 1 and 3, for each point  $x$  in  $Z(q_1)$ , there is a point  $y$  in  $Z(b_1)$  such that  $\rho(x, y) \leq \sigma$ . Since  $Z(q_1) \cup Z(b_1) \subset Z(h)$ , by (1) we have  $Z(q_1) \subset Z(b_1)$ . Then we obtain

$$Z(h) = Z(I) = Z(b_1) \cup Z(q_1) \cup Z(q_2) = Z(b_1 q_2).$$

Thus  $b = b_1 q_2$  satisfies (ii).

Let  $q$  be a non-continuous interpolating Blaschke product. By Theorem 1, if  $h \in H^\infty$  satisfies  $Z(h) \subset Z(q)$ , then there is an interpolating Blaschke product  $b$  with  $Z(b) = Z(h)$  and  $h\bar{b} \in H^\infty$ . It only shows that the zero sequence of  $b$  can be found in the zero sequence of  $h$ . But the following corollary shows that there is an interpolating Blaschke product  $b_1$  such that  $Z(b_1) = Z(h)$  and  $q\bar{b}_1 \in H^\infty$ . This fact means that the zero sequence of  $b_1$  can be found in the zero sequence of  $q$ .

COROLLARY 1. Let  $q$  be an interpolating Blaschke product and let  $E$  be a closed subset of  $Z(q)$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i)  $E$  is an open-closed subset of  $Z(q)$ .

(ii) *There is an interpolating Blaschke product  $b$  with  $E = Z(b)$  and  $q\bar{b} \in H^\infty$ .*

(iii) *There is a function  $h$  in  $H^\infty$  with  $E = Z(h)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be an interpolating zero sequence of  $q$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose that  $E$  is an open-closed subset of  $Z(q)$ . Then there are disjoint open subsets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $M(H^\infty)$  such that  $U \supset E$  and  $V \supset Z(q) \setminus E$ . We may assume that  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset U \cup V$ . Let  $b$  be an interpolating Blaschke product with zeros  $U \cap \{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . Then  $q\bar{b} \in H^\infty$ . By Lemma 1, we get  $Z(b) \subset U \cap Z(q) = E$  and  $Z(q\bar{b}) \subset V$ . Thus we obtain

$$E = E \cap Z(q) = E \cap (Z(b) \cup Z(q\bar{b})) = E \cap Z(b) = Z(b).$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is trivial.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) By Lemma 1,  $Z(h)$  is an interpolation set for  $H^\infty$ . By Theorem 1, we may assume that  $h$  is an interpolating Blaschke product and  $Z(h) \subsetneq Z(q)$ . We note that  $Z(h) \neq Z(hq) = Z(q)$ . By the proof of Theorem 1 (we put  $b_1 = h$  and  $b_2 = q$ ), there are interpolating Blaschke products  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  such that  $q = q_1q_2$ ,  $hq_2$  is an interpolating Blaschke product and  $Z(hq) = Z(hq_2)$ . Since  $Z(h) \cap Z(q_2) = \emptyset$  and  $Z(h) \cup (q_2) = Z(hq) = Z(q)$ ,  $Z(h)$  is an open-closed subset of  $Z(q)$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $q$  be an interpolating Blaschke product. Then there exists  $h \in H^\infty$  such that  $Z(q) \cap Z(h) \neq Z(g)$  for every  $g \in H^\infty$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 1, it is sufficient to show the existence of  $h$  in  $H^\infty$  such that  $Z(q) \cap Z(h)$  is not open in  $Z(q)$ . Let  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be the zero sequence of  $q$ . Let  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of subsets of  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that

(2)  $E_n$  is an infinite subset,

(3)  $E_n \cap E_m = \emptyset$  if  $n \neq m$ , and

(4)  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n = \{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ .

Then there exists a function  $h$  in  $H^\infty$  such that

$$h = 1/n \text{ on } E_n \text{ for every } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

We obtain  $Z(q) \cap Z(h) \neq \emptyset$ . By (2), there exists  $x_n \in Z(q)$  such that  $h(x_n) = 1/n$ . Thus  $Z(q) \cap Z(h)$  is not an open subset of  $Z(q)$ .

The following corollary shows that the assertion of Corollary 2 is also true if  $Z(h)$  is replaced by  $M(B)$  for some Douglas algebra  $B$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $q$  be an interpolating Blaschke product. Then there is a Douglas algebra  $B$  such that  $Z(q) \cap M(B) \neq Z(g)$  for every  $g \in H^\infty$ .*

*Proof.* For a subset  $J$  of  $L^\infty$ , we denote by  $[J]$  the uniformly closed subalgebra generated by  $J$ . By [8, Proposition 6.3], there exists a maximal Douglas algebra  $B$  contained in  $[H^\infty, \bar{q}]$  properly. Then we have  $\bar{q} \notin B$ . So we get  $Z(q) \cap M(B) \neq \emptyset$ . We shall show that  $B$  satisfies our assertion. To show this, suppose not. By Corollary 1, there exists an interpolating Blaschke product  $b$  such that

$$(5) \quad \bar{q}b \in H^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad Z(b) = Z(q) \cap M(B).$$

Then we have  $\bar{b} \in [H^\infty, \bar{q}]$ . By [3, Theorem 1], there is an interpolating Blaschke product  $\psi$  such that

$$(6) \quad b\bar{\psi} \in H^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad H^\infty + C \subsetneq [H^\infty, \bar{\psi}] \subsetneq [H^\infty, \bar{b}] \subset [H^\infty, \bar{q}].$$

This implies that there exists  $x_0$  in  $M(H^\infty + C)$  such that

$$(7) \quad |\psi(x_0)| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad b(x_0) = 0.$$

By (5),  $q(x_0) = 0$  and  $x_0 \notin M([H^\infty, \bar{q}])$ . By (5) and (7), we have  $x_0 \in M(B)$  and  $x_0 \in M([B, \bar{\psi}])$ , consequently  $[H^\infty, \bar{q}] \neq [B, \bar{\psi}]$ . By (6), we get  $\bar{\psi} \in [H^\infty, \bar{q}]$ . Since  $B$  is maximal in  $[H^\infty, \bar{q}]$ , we get  $\bar{\psi} \in B$ . But by (5) and (6), we have

$$\emptyset \neq Z(\psi) \subset Z(b) \subset M(B),$$

so we obtain  $\bar{\psi} \notin B$ . This is a contradiction.

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  be interpolating Blaschke products. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $Z(q_1) \cup Z(q_2)$  is an interpolation set for  $H^\infty$ .
- (ii)  $Z(q_1) \cap Z(q_2)$  is an open-closed subset of  $Z(q_1)$ .
- (iii) There exists an interpolating Blaschke product  $q_3$  such that  $Z(q_3) = Z(q_1) \cap Z(q_2)$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) We put  $q = q_1q_2$ . By (i),  $Z(q) = Z(q_1) \cup Z(q_2)$  is an interpolation set for  $H^\infty$ . By Theorem 1, we may assume that  $q$  is an interpolating Blaschke product. By Corollary 1,  $Z(q_2)$  is an open-closed subset of  $Z(q_1) \cup Z(q_2)$ . Then  $Z(q_1) \cap Z(q_2)$  is an open-closed subset of  $Z(q_1)$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) follows from Corollary 1.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) By Corollary 1, (iii) implies that  $Z(q_1) \cap Z(q_2)$  and  $Z(q_1) \setminus Z(q_2)$  are open-closed subsets of  $Z(q_1)$ , and  $Z(q_2) \setminus Z(q_1)$  is an open-closed subset of  $Z(q_2)$ . Again by Corollary 1, there are interpolating

Blaschke products  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$  and  $b_3$  such that  $Z(b_1) = Z(q_1) \cap Z(q_2)$ ,  $Z(b_2) = Z(q_1) \setminus Z(q_2)$  and  $Z(b_3) = Z(q_2) \setminus Z(q_1)$ . By Lemmas 1, 2 and 3, we may assume that  $b_1 b_2 b_3$  is an interpolating Blaschke product. Consequently,  $Z(q_1) \cup Z(q_2) = Z(b_1 b_2 b_3)$  is an interpolation set for  $H^\infty$ .

The author would like to thank the referee and Y. Izuchi for shortening the proof of Theorem 1.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. Carleson, *An interpolation problem for bounded analytic functions*, Amer. J. Math., **80** (1958), 921–930.
- [2] K. Clancey and W. Cutrer, *Subalgebras of Douglas algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **40** (1973), 102–106.
- [3] T. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1969.
- [4] J. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [5] C. Guillory, K. Izuchi and D. Sarason, *Interpolating Blaschke products and division in Douglas algebras*, Proc. Royal Irish Acad., **84A** (1984), 1–7.
- [6] K. Hoffman, *Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1962.
- [7] ———, *Bounded analytic functions and Gleason parts*, Ann. of Math., **86** (1967), 74–111.
- [8] K. Izuchi and Y. Izuchi, *Annihilating measures for Douglas algebras*, Yokohama Math. J., **32** (1984), 135–151.
- [9] A. Kerr-Lawson, *Some lemmas on interpolating Blaschke products and a correction*, Canad. J. Math., **21** (1969), 531–534.
- [10] D. Sarason, *Function Theory on the Unit Circle*, Virginia Poly. Inst. and State Univ., Blacksburg, Virginia, 1978.

Received May 20, 1983 and in revised form July 20, 1984.

KANAGAWA UNIVERSITY  
YOKOHAMA 221, JAPAN