

## ON FUNCTIONS AND STRATIFIABLE $\mu$ -SPACES

TAKEMI MIZOKAMI

**It is shown that a space  $X$  is a stratifiable  $\mu$ -space if and only if  $X$  has a topology induced by the collection  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_n$  of  $[0, 1]$ -valued continuous functions of  $X$  such that each  $\Phi_n$  satisfies the conditions  $(\alpha)$ ,  $(\beta)$  and  $(\gamma)$  stated below.**

**1. Introduction.** Throughout, all spaces are assumed to be regular Hausdorff.  $N$  always denotes the positive integers. For a space  $X$ ,  $C(X, I)$  denotes the collection of all continuous functions  $f: X \rightarrow I = [0, 1]$ . For  $f \in C(X, I)$  we denote by  $\text{coz } f$  the cozero set of  $f$  in  $X$ . We are assumed to be familiar with the class of stratifiable spaces in the sense of [1]. For a stratifiable space  $X$ , every closed subset  $F$  of  $X$  has a stratification  $\{O_n(F) : n \in N\}$  in  $X$ . As is well-known, every stratifiable space  $X$  is monotonically normal, that is,  $X$  has a monotonically normal operator  $D(M, N)$  for each disjoint pair  $(M, N)$  of closed subsets of  $X$ .

J. Guthrie and M. Henry characterized metrizable spaces  $X$  in terms of collections of continuous functions with continuous sup and inf as follows: A space  $X$  is metrizable if and only if  $X$  has the weak topology induced by a  $\sigma$ -relatively complete collection  $\Phi \subset C(X, I)$ , that is,  $\Phi = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_n$ , where for each  $n$ , each subcollection of  $\Phi_n$  has both continuous sup and inf, [3]. On the other hand, C. R. Borges and G. Gruenhagen obtained the characterization of stratifiable spaces as follows: A space  $X$  is stratifiable if and only if for each open set  $U$  of  $X$  there exists  $f_U \in C(X, I)$  such that  $\text{coz } f_U = U$  and such that for each family  $\mathcal{U}$  of open subsets of  $X$ ,  $\text{sup}\{f_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \in C(X, I)$ , [2, Theorem 2.1]. In the discussion below, we also give a characterization of the class of stratifiable  $\mu$ -spaces in terms of collections of continuous functions with continuous sups with an additional condition. This is the main purpose of this paper.

In an earlier paper [6], the author introduced the notion of  $M$ -structures and studied the class  $\mathcal{M}$  of all stratifiable spaces having an  $M$ -structure. This class  $\mathcal{M}$  is shown to coincide with that of stratifiable  $\mu$ -spaces, [5]. The kernel of  $M$ -structures is the term " $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving in both sides". Therefore, first we state the definition. For the definition of  $M$ -structures, we refer the reader to [6].

Let  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H}$  be families of subsets of a space  $X$ . Then we call that  $\mathcal{U}$  is inside  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving at a point  $p \in X$  if for each  $\mathcal{U}_0 \subset \mathcal{U}$ ,  $p \in \bigcap \mathcal{U}_0$

implies  $p \in H \subset \bigcap \mathcal{U}_0$  for some  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ . We call that  $\mathcal{U}$  is *outside*  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving at  $p$  if for each  $\mathcal{U}_0 \subset \mathcal{U}$ ,  $p \in X - \bigcup \mathcal{U}_0$  implies  $p \in H \subset X - \bigcup \mathcal{U}_0$  for some  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  is both inside and outside  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving at  $p$ , then  $\mathcal{U}$  is called  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving in both sides at  $p$ .

**2. Continuous functions and stratifiable  $\mu$ -spaces.**

LEMMA 2.1. *For a stratifiable space  $X$ , the following are equivalent:*

(1)  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ .

(2) Every closed subset  $F$  of  $X$  has an open neighborhood base  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving in both sides at each point of  $X$  for some  $\sigma$ -discrete family  $\mathcal{H}$  of closed subsets of  $X$ .

(3) Every closed subset  $F$  of  $X$  has an open neighborhood base  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{U}$  is inside  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving at each point of  $X$  for some  $\sigma$ -discrete family  $\mathcal{H}$  of closed subsets of  $X$ .

(4) Every closed subset  $F$  of  $X$  has an open neighborhood base  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $X$  such that for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  there exists a sequence  $\{F_n(U) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  satisfying the following:

(a)  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(U)$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

(b) For each  $n$ ,  $\{F_n(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is a closure-preserving family in  $X$ .

(c) For each  $\mathcal{U}_0 \subset \mathcal{U}$ , if  $p \in \bigcap \mathcal{U}_0$ , then  $p \in \bigcap \{F_n(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}_0\}$  for some  $n$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) is given in [6]. (2)  $\rightarrow$  (3) is trivial. (3)  $\rightarrow$  (4): Let  $F$  be a closed subset of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H}$  be families given by (3). Write  $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$ , where each  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is a discrete family of closed subsets of  $X$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and each  $n$ , set

$$F_n(U) = \bigcup \left\{ H \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_i : H \subset U \right\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that  $\{F_n(U) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , satisfy the required conditions. (4)  $\rightarrow$  (1): Let  $F$  be a closed subset of  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be an open neighborhood base of  $F$  in  $X$  such that for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , there exists a sequence  $\{F_{\lambda n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  satisfying the conditions (a), (b) and (c) with  $F_n(U) = F_{\lambda n}$  and  $U = U_\lambda$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Define an equivalence relation  $R$  on  $X$  as follows: For  $x, y \in X$ ,  $xRy$  if and only if  $\Lambda(x) = \Lambda(y)$ , where  $\Lambda(x) = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : x \in U_\lambda\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the disjoint partition of  $X$  with respect to  $R$ .  $\mathcal{P}$  is written as follows:  $\mathcal{P} = \{P(\delta) : \delta \in \Delta\}$ , where for each  $\delta \in \Delta \subset 2^\Lambda$

$$P(\delta) = \bigcap \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \delta\} - \bigcup \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda - \delta\}.$$

For each  $n, k \in N$  and  $\delta \in \Delta$ , set

$$F(n, k, \delta) = \left[ \bigcap \{ F_{\lambda_n} : \lambda \in \delta \} - O_k \left( \bigcup \{ F_{\lambda_n} : \lambda \in \Lambda - \delta \} \right) \right] \\ \cap \left[ X - \bigcup \{ U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda - \delta \} \right].$$

Then we can show that

$$\mathcal{F}(n, k) = \{ F(n, k, \delta) : \delta \in \Delta \}$$

is a discrete family of closed subsets of  $X$ . To see it, let  $p$  be an arbitrary point and let  $\delta_0 = \{ \lambda \in \Lambda : p \in F_{\lambda_n} \}$ . Then, we easily see that if we define

$$N(p) = \left( X - \bigcup \{ F_{\lambda_n} : \lambda \in \Lambda - \delta_0 \} \right) \\ \cap O_k \left( \bigcap \{ F_{\lambda_n} : \lambda \in \delta_0 \} \right)$$

when  $\delta_0 \neq \emptyset$  and

$$N(p) = X - \bigcup \{ F_{\lambda_n} : \lambda \in \Lambda \}$$

when  $\delta_0 = \emptyset$ , then  $N(p)$  is an open neighborhood of  $p$  in  $X$  such that  $N(p) \cap F(n, k, \delta) = \emptyset$  for each  $\delta \in \Delta - \{ \delta_0 \}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{F}(n, k)$  is a discrete family in  $X$ . It is easily seen that each  $F(n, k, \delta)$  is closed in  $X$ . Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{F}(n, k) : n, k \in N \}.$$

To see that  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving in both sides at each point of  $X$ , it suffices to see that if  $p \in P(\delta)$ , then there exists  $F(n, k, \delta) \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $p \in F(n, k, \delta) \subset P(\delta)$ . But this is obvious from the construction of  $\mathcal{H}$ . This completes the proof.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *For a stratifiable space  $X$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ .
- (2)  $X$  has a base  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\sigma$ - $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving in both sides at each point of  $X$  for some  $\sigma$ -discrete family  $\mathcal{H}$  of closed subsets of  $X$ .
- (3)  $X$  has a base  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\sigma$ -inside  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving at each point of  $X$  for some  $\sigma$ -discrete family  $\mathcal{H}$  of closed subsets of  $X$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$  be a network of  $X$ , where each  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is a discrete family of closed subsets of  $X$ . For each  $n$ , let  $\{ U_H : H \in \mathcal{H}_n \}$  be a family of open subsets of  $X$  such that  $H \subset U_H$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}_n$  and  $\{ \overline{U_H} : H \in \mathcal{H}_n \}$  is discrete in  $X$ . For each  $H \in \mathcal{H}_n, n \in N$ , by [6, Lemma 3.3] there exists an open neighborhood base  $\mathcal{U}(H)$  of  $H$

such that  $\mathcal{U}(H)$  is  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ -preserving in both sides at each point of  $X$  for some  $\sigma$ -discrete family  $\mathcal{F}(H)$  of closed subsets of  $X$  and  $H \subset U \subset U_H$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}(H)$ . Set  $\mathcal{U}_n = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{U}(H) : H \in \mathcal{H}_n \}$  for each  $n$ . Then  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_n$  is a base for  $X$  and each  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -preserving in both sides at each point of  $X$ , where  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n \cup \mathcal{H}$  and

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{F}(H) / \overline{U_H} : H \in \mathcal{H}_n \}$$

for each  $n$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is a  $\sigma$ -discrete family of closed subsets of  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is also a  $\sigma$ -discrete family of closed subsets of  $X$ . This completes the proof of (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2). (2)  $\rightarrow$  (3) is trivial. (3)  $\rightarrow$  (1): By a routine check, we can show that every closed subset  $F$  of  $X$  has an open neighborhood base which is inside  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving at each point of  $X$  for some  $\sigma$ -discrete family  $\mathcal{H}$  of closed subsets of  $X$ . Then by Lemma 2.1(3),  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ . This completes the proof.

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a  $\sigma$ -discrete family of closed subsets of a stratifiable space  $X$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \{ U_\alpha : \alpha \in A \}$  a family of open subsets of  $X$  which is  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving in both sides at each point of  $X$ . Then there exists a collection  $\Phi = \{ \phi_\alpha : \alpha \in A \} \subset C(X, I)$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- ( $\alpha$ ) For each  $A_0 \subset A$ ,  $\sup \{ \phi_\alpha : \alpha \in A_0 \} \in C(X, I)$ .
- ( $\beta$ )  $U_\alpha = \text{coz } \phi_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ .
- ( $\gamma$ ) For each point  $p \in X$ ,  $\{ \phi_\alpha(p) : \alpha \in A \}$  is a finite set.

*Proof.* Write  $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$ , where each  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is a discrete family of closed subsets of  $X$ . Let  $Q_0$  be the set of all rational numbers of  $(0, 1]$ . For each  $\alpha \in A$ , set

$$\mathcal{H}(\alpha) = \{ H \in \mathcal{H} : H \subset X - U_\alpha \}.$$

Then obviously,  $\bigcup \mathcal{H}(\alpha) = X - U_\alpha$ . For each  $n$ , there exists a discrete family  $\{ \mathcal{U}_H : H \in \mathcal{H}_n \}$  of open subsets of  $X$  such that  $H \subset U_H$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}_n$ . Since  $X$  is a monotonically normal space,  $X$  has the operator  $D(M, N)$ . For each  $H \in \mathcal{H}_n$ ,  $n \in N$ , we choose a regular open set  $V_H$  of  $X$  such that

$$H \subset V_H \subset \overline{V_H} \subset U_H \cap D \left( H, \bigcup \left\{ H' \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_i : H' \cap H = \emptyset \right\} \right).$$

As a preliminary for the discussion below, we observe the following (1) by the same argument as in the proof of [7, Theorem 2, (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2)].

(1) If for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $G_H$  is a regular open set of  $X$  such that  $H \subset G_H \subset \overline{G_H} \subset V_H$ , then the families

$$\left\{ X - \bigcup \{ G_H : H \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha) \} : \alpha \in A \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ X - \bigcup \{ \overline{G_H} : H \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha) \} : \alpha \in A \right\}$$

are closure-preserving families of closed and open subsets of  $X$ , respectively.

For each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ , there exists a function  $f_H \in C(X, I)$  such that  $f_H^{-1}(0) = H$  and  $f_H^{-1}(1) = X - V_H$ . We write  $Q_0$  as  $Q_0 = \{q_1 = 1, q_2, \dots\}$ . By induction on  $n$ , we shall construct families  $\{V(H, q_n) : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(q_n)$ ,  $n \in N$ , of subsets of  $X$ . For  $n = 1$ , let  $V(H, q_1) = V_H$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ , and let

$$B(\alpha, q_1) = X - \bigcup \{ V(H, q_1) : H \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha) \}$$

for each  $\alpha \in A$ . Then by (1),  $\mathcal{B}(q_1) = \{B(\alpha, q_1) : \alpha \in A\}$  is a closure-preserving family of closed subsets of  $X$ . Let  $n \in N$  and assume that for each  $k \leq n$ , we have constructed families  $\mathcal{B}(q_k) = \{B(\alpha, q_k) : \alpha \in A\}$  and  $\{V(H, q_k) : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  satisfying the following:

(2)<sub>n</sub>  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathcal{B}(q_k)$  is a closure-preserving families of closed subsets of  $X$  and each  $B(\alpha, q_k) \in \mathcal{B}(q_k)$  is defined by

$$B(\alpha, q_k) = X - \bigcup \{ V(h, q_k) : H \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha) \}.$$

(3)<sub>n</sub> If  $q_k < q_{k'}$  with  $k, k' \leq n$ , then  $\overline{V(H, q_k)} \subset V(H, q_{k'})$  and  $B(\alpha, q_{k'}) \subset \text{Int } B(\alpha, q_k)$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\alpha \in A$ .

(4)<sub>n</sub> If  $q_t = \min\{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ , then  $V(H, q_t) \subset f_H^{-1}[0, q_t]$ .

To obtain  $\mathcal{B}(q_{n+1})$ , we define  $V(H, q_{n+1})$  and  $B(\alpha, q_{n+1})$  as follows:

(1) If  $q_{n+1} < q_k$  for each  $k \leq n$ , then we choose a regular open set  $(V(H, q_{n+1}))$  by

$$H \subset B(H, q_{n+1}) \subset \overline{V(H, q_{n+1})} \subset f_H^{-1}[0, q_{n+1}) \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^n V(H, q_k).$$

(2) Otherwise, we choose a regular open set  $V(H, q_{n+1})$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup \{ \overline{V(H, q_t)} : t \leq n \text{ and } q_t < q_{n+1} \} \subset V(H, q_{n+1}) \subset \overline{V(H, q_{n+1})} \\ \subset \bigcap \{ V(H, q_t) : t \leq n \text{ and } q_t > q_{n+1} \}. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $\alpha \in A$ , we define

$$B(\alpha, q_{n+1}) = X - \bigcup \{ V(H, q_{n+1}) : H \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha) \}$$

and also define the family  $\mathcal{B}(q_{n+1}) = \{B(\alpha, q_{n+1}) : \alpha \in A\}$ . By (1),  $\mathcal{B}(q_{n+1})$  is a closure-preserving family of closed subsets of  $X$ . Therefore, (2)<sub>n+1</sub> is satisfied. (4)<sub>n+1</sub> is trivial by the definition of  $V(H, q_{n+1})$  in (1).

To see  $(3)_{n+1}$ , let  $q_t < q_{n+1}$  for some  $t$  with  $t \leq n$ . Then by (2) we easily see

$$\begin{aligned} B(\alpha, q_{n+1}) &\subset X - \bigcup \{ \overline{V(H, q_t)} : H \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha) \} \\ &\subset X - \bigcup \{ V(H, q_t) : H \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha) \} = B(\alpha, q_t). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\overline{V(H, q_t)} \subset V_H$  in (2), by (1) the second set is open in  $X$ . This implies  $B(\alpha, q_{n+1}) \subset \text{Int } B(\alpha, q_t)$ . If  $q_t > q_{n+1}$  with  $t \leq n$ , then by (2) we have  $\overline{V(H, q_{n+1})} \cap B(\alpha, q_t) = \emptyset$ . This implies

$$B(\alpha, q_t) \subset X - \bigcup \{ \overline{V(H, q_{n+1})} : H \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha) \} \subset B(\alpha, q_{n+1}).$$

Again, the second set is open in  $X$  by (1). Hence we have  $B(\alpha, q_t) \subset \text{Int } B(\alpha, q_{n+1})$ . In this manner, we repeat the construction of a sequence  $\{ \mathcal{B}(q) : q \in Q_0 \}$  of families of subsets of  $X$ . Then, by induction the following are obvious:

(5) For each  $q \in Q_0$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(q) = \{ B(\alpha, q) : \alpha \in A \}$  is a closure-preserving family of closed subsets of  $X$ .

(6) If  $q, q' \in Q_0$  with  $q < q'$ , then for each  $\alpha \in A$   $B(\alpha, q') \subset \text{Int } B(\alpha, q)$ .

Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is inside  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving at each point and  $\bigcap \{ V(h, q) : q \in Q_0 \} = H$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ , by the method of the construction of  $V_H$  we get that

(7) For each  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $U_\alpha = \bigcup \{ B(\alpha, q) : q \in Q_0 \}$ .

Also, from the fact that  $\mathcal{U}$  is inside  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving at each point, we get that

(8) For  $A_0 \subset A$ , if  $p \in \bigcap \{ U_\alpha : \alpha \in A_0 \}$ , then there exist  $n \in N$  and  $H \in \mathcal{H}_n$  such that  $p \in H \subset \bigcap \{ U_\alpha : \alpha \in A_0 \}$  and  $H \cap V(H', q) = \emptyset$  for each  $q \in Q_0$  and each  $H' \in (\bigcup_{t=n}^\infty \mathcal{H}_t) \cap (\bigcup \{ \mathcal{H}(\alpha) : \alpha \in A_0 \})$ .

Now, for each  $\alpha \in A$  we define  $\phi_\alpha : X \rightarrow I$  by

$$(9) \quad \phi_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in B(\alpha, 1), \\ \inf \{ q \in Q_0 : x \notin B(\alpha, q) \}. \end{cases}$$

Then, as shown in the proof of [2, Theorem 2],  $\phi_\alpha \in C(X, I)$  and  $\text{coz } \phi_\alpha = U_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ , and  $(\alpha)$  is satisfied. The condition  $(\gamma)$  is easily obtained by (8). This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Under the hypothesis for Lemma 2.3, there exist a collection  $\Phi \subset C(X, I)$  and a  $\sigma$ -discrete family  $\mathcal{H}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $(\alpha)$ ,  $(\beta)$  and the following are satisfied:*

$(\gamma)'$  For each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $A_0 \subset A$ ,  $\inf \{ \phi_\alpha / H : \alpha \in A_0 \} \in C(H, I)$ .

*Proof.* In the proof above, without loss of generality we can assume  $H \cap U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $H \subset U_\alpha$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\alpha \in A$ . By the same method, we can construct  $\mathcal{B}(q) = \{B(\alpha, q) : \alpha \in A\}$ ,  $q \in Q_0$ , satisfying (5), (6), (7) and (8) above. If we define  $\Phi = \{\phi_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  by (9) above, then  $\Phi$  is shown to be the desired collection. In fact  $(\alpha)$  and  $(\beta)$  are obvious. By the similar argument to that of the proof of [7, Theorem 2, (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2)], we can observe that for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  and each  $q \in Q_0$ ,  $\{B(\alpha, q) : \alpha \in A\}/H$  is interior-preserving in the subspace  $H$ .

Now, we establish the following general assertion, from which  $(\gamma)'$  follows directly:

*Assertion.* Let  $\{B(\alpha, q) : \alpha \in A\}$  and  $\Phi = \{\phi_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  be the same as in the proof of Lemma 2.3. If for each  $q \in Q_0$ ,  $\{B(\alpha, q) : \alpha \in A\}$  is interior-preserving in  $X$ , then for each  $A_0 \subset A$ ,  $\text{inf}\{\phi_\alpha : \alpha \in A_0\} \in C(X, I)$ .

*Proof of the assertion.* Let  $t$  be an arbitrary number of  $[0, 1)$ . Since

$$(\text{inf}\{\phi_\alpha : \alpha \in A_0\})^{-1}[t, 1] = \bigcap \{\phi_\alpha^{-1}[t, 1] : \alpha \in A_0\}$$

is closed in  $X$ , it suffices to show that  $S = (\text{inf}\{\phi_\alpha : \alpha \in A_0\})^{-1}(t, 1]$  is open in  $X$ . Let  $p$  be an arbitrary point of  $S$ . Then

$$t < \text{inf}\{\phi_\alpha(p) : \alpha \in A_0\} = \delta \leq 1.$$

Take  $r$  and  $s \in Q_0$  such that  $t < r < s < \delta$ . Since for each  $\alpha \in A_0$ ,  $s < \delta \leq \phi_\alpha(p)$ ,  $p \in B(\alpha, s)$ . By (6) above,  $p \in \text{Int} B(\alpha, r)$  for each  $\alpha \in A_0$ . Therefore

$$N(p) = \bigcap \{\text{Int} B(\alpha, r) : \alpha \in A_0\}$$

is an open neighborhood of  $p$  in  $X$  because  $\{B(\alpha, r) : \alpha \in A\}$  is interior-preserving in  $X$ . Since  $N(p) \subset S$ ,  $S$  is open in  $X$ . This completes the proof.

**REMARK 2.5.** If we slightly modify the argument above, then we can establish the following: Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a  $\sigma$ -discrete family of closed subsets of a stratifiable space  $X$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  a family of open subsets of  $X$  which is  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving in both sides at each point of  $X$ . Then there exist a contraction  $\rho : X \rightarrow \hat{X}$  with  $\hat{X}$  metrizable and a collection  $\{f_\alpha : \hat{X} \rightarrow I : \alpha \in A\}$  of correspondences satisfying the following:

- (1) For each  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $\phi_\alpha = f_\alpha \rho \in C(X, I)$  and  $\text{coz } \phi_\alpha = U_\alpha$ .
- (2)  $\rho(\mathcal{H})$  is a  $\sigma$ -discrete family of closed subsets of  $X$ .
- (3) For each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  and each  $\alpha \in A$ ,

$$f_\alpha/\rho(H) \in C(\rho(H), I).$$

In fact, let  $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_i$ , where each  $\mathcal{H}_i$  is discrete in  $X$  and for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  and each  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $H \cap U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $H \subset U_\alpha$ . By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we can construct families  $\{V(H, q) : q \in Q_0, H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{B}(q) : q \in Q_0\}$  of subsets of  $X$ . Let  $\rho$  be a contraction of  $X$  onto a metrizable space  $\hat{X}$  satisfying the following:

(1)  $\rho(\mathcal{H})$  is a  $\sigma$ -discrete family of closed subsets of  $\hat{X}$ .

(2) For each  $q \in Q_0$  and each  $i$ ,  $\{\rho(V(H, q)) : H \in \mathcal{H}_i\}$  and  $\{\rho(V(H, q)) : H \in \mathcal{H}_i\}$  are discrete families of open and closed subsets of  $\hat{X}$ , respectively.

(3) For each  $q \in Q_0$ ,  $\rho(\mathcal{B}(q))$  is a closure-preserving family of closed subsets of  $\hat{X}$ .

For each  $\alpha \in A$ , we define a correspondence  $f_\alpha : \hat{X} \rightarrow I$  as follows:

$$f_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \rho(B(\alpha, 1)), \\ \inf\{q \in Q_0 : x \notin \rho(B(\alpha, q))\}. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see that  $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  and  $\rho : X \rightarrow \hat{X}$  satisfy the required conditions.

If we apply the essential argument of [4, Theorem 2.1] to this case, we can construct a one-to-one continuous mapping  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  with  $Y$  a stratifiable  $\sigma$ -metric space such that  $g(U_\alpha)$  is open in  $Y$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ . As a consequence, we reach to the coincidence theorem of the class  $\mathcal{M}$  with stratifiable  $\mu$ -spaces of [5].

**LEMMA 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be a stratifiable space and  $\Phi = \{\phi_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \subset C(X, I)$  satisfy the conditions  $(\alpha)$ ,  $(\beta)$  and  $(\gamma)$  above. Then there exists a  $\sigma$ -discrete family  $\mathcal{H}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\{\text{coz } \phi_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving in both sides at each point of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $\alpha \in A$  and each  $n$ , set  $F_{\alpha n} = \phi_\alpha^{-1}[1/n, 1]$ . Then obviously each  $F_{\alpha n}$  is closed in  $X$  and  $\text{coz } \phi_\alpha = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha n}$ . Moreover, for each  $n$   $\mathcal{F}_n = \{F_{\alpha n} : \alpha \in A\}$  is closure-preserving in  $X$ . To see it, let  $p \in X - \bigcup\{F_{\alpha n} : \alpha \in A_0\}$  for  $A_0 \subset A$ . This implies  $0 \leq \phi_\alpha(p) < 1/n$  for each  $\alpha \in A_0$ . By  $(\gamma)$   $\sup\{\phi_\alpha(p) : \alpha \in A_0\} < 1/n$ . Since  $\sup\{\phi_\alpha : \alpha \in A_0\}$  is continuous at  $p$ ,

$$N(p) = (\sup\{\phi_\alpha : \alpha \in A_0\})^{-1}[0, 1/n)$$

is an open neighborhood of  $p$  such that  $N(p) \cap F_{\lambda n} = \emptyset$  for each  $\alpha \in A_0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is closure-preserving in  $X$ . Assume

$$p \in \bigcap\{\text{coz } \phi_\alpha : \alpha \in A_0\} \quad \text{for } A_0 \subset A.$$

By  $(\gamma)$ , there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $1/n \leq \inf\{\phi_\alpha(p) : \alpha \in A_0\}$ . This implies  $p \in \bigcap\{F_{\alpha n} : \alpha \in A_0\}$ . By the same argument as in the proof of

(4)  $\rightarrow$  (1) in Lemma 2.1, we have a  $\sigma$ -discrete family  $\mathcal{H}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\{\text{coz } \phi_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving in both sides at each point in  $X$ . This completes the proof.

We state the main result.

**THEOREM 2.7.** *For a space  $X$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ , that is,  $X$  is a stratifiable  $\mu$ -space.
- (2)  $X$  has a topology induced by the collection  $\Phi = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_n \subset C(X, I)$  such that each  $\Phi_n$  satisfies  $(\alpha)$ ,  $(\beta)$  and  $(\gamma)$  of Lemma 2.3.

*Proof.* (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2): Let  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -discrete family  $\mathcal{H}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  and a base  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_n$ , where each  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving in both sides at each point of  $X$ . By Lemma 2.3, for each  $n$  there exists a collection  $\Phi_n \subset C(X, I)$  satisfying  $(\alpha)$ ,  $(\beta)$  and  $(\gamma)$ . Then  $\Phi = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_n$  is the desired collection. (2)  $\rightarrow$  (1): By the argument of [2, Theorem 2.1] and by  $(\alpha)$ ,  $X$  is a stratifiable space. By Lemma 2.5, for each  $n$  there exists a  $\sigma$ -discrete family  $\mathcal{H}_n$  of closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_n = \{\text{coz } \phi : \phi \in \Phi_n\}$  is  $\mathcal{H}_n$ -preserving in both sides at each point of  $X$ . Then it is easy to see that each  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is  $\mathcal{H}$ -preserving in both sides at each point of  $X$ , where  $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$  is also a  $\sigma$ -discrete family of closed subsets of  $X$ . This completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. R. Borges, *On stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **17** (1966), 1–16.
- [2] C. R. Borges and G. Gruenhage, *Sup-characterization of stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **105** (1983), 279–284.
- [3] J. Guthrie and M. Henry, *Metriization, paracompactness and real-valued functions*, Fund. Math., **95** (1977), 49–54.
- [4] M. Ito,  *$M_0$ -spaces are  $\mu$ -spaces*, Tsukuba J. Math., **8** (1984), 77–80.
- [5] H. J. K. Junnila and T. Mizokami, *Characterizations of stratifiable  $\mu$ -spaces*, Topology Appl., **21** (1985), 51–58.
- [6] T. Mizokami, *On  $M$ -structures*, Topology Appl., **17** (1984), 63–89.
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_, *On  $M$ -structures and strongly regularly stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **116** (1985), 131–141.

Received May 20, 1985 and in revised form December 10, 1985.

JOETSU UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION  
JOETSU, NIIGATA 943  
JAPAN

