

## ON QUADRATIC RECIPROCITY OVER FUNCTION FIELDS

KATHY D. MERRILL AND LYNNE H. WALLING

**A proof of quadratic reciprocity over function fields is given using the inversion formula of the theta function.**

Over the years, many authors have produced proofs of the law of quadratic reciprocity. In 1857, Dedekind [2] stated that quadratic reciprocity holds over function fields; this was later proved by Artin [1]. One of the simplest proofs over the rational numbers relies on the functional equation of the classical theta function (see, for example, [3]); this technique was later generalized by Hecke [4] to number fields. In this note we use an analogous technique to give a simple and direct proof of quadratic reciprocity over rational function fields. We thank David Grant for suggesting this application of Theorem 2.3 of [6].

The reader is referred to [5] for a more complete discussion of the history of the Law of Quadratic Reciprocity.

Let  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_p$  be a finite field with  $p$  elements; for the sake of clarity we assume  $p$  is an odd prime. Let  $T$  be an indeterminate, and set  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{F}[T]$ . Then for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{A}$  with  $\alpha$  irreducible, let

$$\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta \text{ is a (nonzero) quadratic residue modulo } \alpha, \\ -1 & \text{if } \beta \text{ is a (nonzero) quadratic nonresidue modulo } \alpha, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \text{ divides } \beta. \end{cases}$$

We will show that for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{A}$  distinct monic irreducible polynomials,

$$\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) & \text{if } \deg \alpha, \deg \beta \text{ are both odd,} \\ \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We require the following definitions.

Let  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{F}(T)$ ; let  $\mathbf{K}_\infty$  denote the completion of  $\mathbf{K}$  with respect to the “infinite” valuation  $|\cdot|_\infty$  given by  $|\alpha/\beta|_\infty = p^{\deg \alpha - \deg \beta}$  where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{A}$ . (We adopt the convention that  $\deg 0 = -\infty$ , and hence  $|0|_\infty = 0$ .) One easily sees that  $\mathbf{K}_\infty = \mathbf{F}\left(\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)\right)$ , formal Laurent series in  $\frac{1}{T}$ ; for  $x \in \mathbf{K}_\infty$ , we write  $x = \sum_{j=-\infty}^n x_j T^j$ . The “unit ball” or “ring of integers” in  $\mathbf{K}_\infty$  is

$\mathcal{O}_\infty = \{x \in \mathbf{K}_\infty : |x|_\infty \leq 1\} = \mathbf{F} \left[ \left[ \frac{1}{T} \right] \right]$ , formal Taylor series in  $\frac{1}{T}$ . Set  $G = PSL_2(\mathbf{K}_\infty)$ ; then the maximal compact subgroup of  $G$  (with respect to the standard topology induced on  $G$  by  $|\cdot|_\infty$ ) is  $PSL_2(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ . Thus we set

$$\mathbf{H} = PSL_2(\mathbf{K}_\infty) / PSL_2(\mathcal{O}_\infty).$$

We can view  $PSL_2(*)$  as a subgroup of  $PGL_2(*)$ ; so we consider a matrix of  $PSL_2(*)$  equivalent to every nonzero scalar multiple of the matrix. Then as shown in [6],

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : y = T^{2m}, m \in \mathbf{Z}, x \in T^{2m+1} \mathbf{A} \right\}$$

is a complete set of representatives for  $\mathbf{H}$ . For each  $z \equiv \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{H}$ , set

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbf{A}} \chi_{\mathcal{O}_\infty}((T\delta)^2 y) e\{(T\delta)^2 x\}$$

where  $e\{\gamma\} = e\left\{\sum_{j \geq N} \gamma_j T^j\right\} = \exp(2\pi i \gamma_1 / p)$  and  $\chi_{\mathcal{O}_\infty}$  is the characteristic function for  $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ .

As in the classical setting, we will connect this theta series to quadratic reciprocity through Gauss sums. Accordingly, for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{A}$  with  $\alpha$  irreducible and  $\alpha$  not dividing  $\beta$ , define the Gauss sum  $G_\alpha(\beta)$  to be  $G_\alpha(\beta) = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbf{A}/\alpha\mathbf{A}} e\{\beta\delta^2 T^2 / \alpha\}$ .

**Lemma 1.** For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{A}$  with  $\alpha$  irreducible and  $\alpha \nmid \beta$ ,  $\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) = \frac{G_\alpha(\beta)}{G_\alpha(1)}$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$G_\alpha(\beta) = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbf{A}/\alpha\mathbf{A}} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\delta}{\alpha}\right)\right) e\{\beta\delta T^2 / \alpha\} = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbf{A}} \left(\frac{\delta}{\alpha}\right) e\{\beta\delta T^2 / \alpha\}$$

and for  $\beta' \in \mathbf{A}$  such that  $\beta\beta' \equiv 1 \pmod{\alpha}$

$$= \sum_{\delta \in \mathbf{A}/\alpha\mathbf{A}} \left(\frac{\delta\beta'}{\alpha}\right) e\{\beta\delta\beta' T^2 / \alpha\} = \left(\frac{\beta'}{\alpha}\right) G_\alpha(1) = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) G_\alpha(1).$$

□

**Lemma 2.** For  $\alpha, \beta$  relatively prime irreducible polynomials,  $G_\alpha(\beta)G_\beta(\alpha) = G_{\alpha\beta}(1)$ .

*Proof.* Notice that the map  $(\delta + \alpha\beta\mathbf{A}, \gamma + \alpha\beta\mathbf{A}) \mapsto \delta + \gamma + \alpha\beta\mathbf{A}$  is an injective homomorphism from  $(\beta\mathbf{A}/\alpha\beta\mathbf{A}) \times (\alpha\mathbf{A}/\alpha\beta\mathbf{A})$  into  $\mathbf{A}/\alpha\beta\mathbf{A}$ ; since the cardinalities of the domain and the codomain are finite and equal, the map is an

isomorphism. Also notice that for  $\delta \in \beta\mathbf{A}$  and  $\gamma \in \alpha\mathbf{A}$ ,  $e\{(\delta + \gamma)^2 T^2 / \alpha\beta\} = e\{\delta^2 T^2 / \alpha\beta\} e\{\gamma^2 T^2 / \alpha\beta\}$ . Thus

$$G_{\alpha\beta}(1) = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbf{A}/\alpha\mathbf{A}} e\{(\beta\delta)^2 T^2 / \alpha\beta\} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbf{A}/\beta\mathbf{A}} e\{(\alpha\gamma)^2 T^2 / \alpha\beta\} = G_{\alpha}(\beta)G_{\beta}(\alpha).$$

□

Combining these two lemmata, we have that for  $\alpha, \beta$  relatively prime irreducible polynomials,  $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) = \frac{G_{\alpha\beta}(1)}{G_{\alpha}(1)G_{\beta}(1)}$ . Thus for formulate the law of Quadratic Reciprocity, we need only evaluate  $G_{\gamma}(1)$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbf{A}$ . This is the content of our final lemma.

**Lemma 3.** For any  $\gamma \in \mathbf{A}$ ,  $G_{\gamma}(1) = p^{\frac{d}{2}} \left(\frac{\gamma_d}{p}\right)^d \sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^d}$  where  $d = \deg \gamma$  and  $\gamma_d$  denotes the coefficient of  $T^d$  in  $\gamma$ .

*Proof.* First notice that by the Euclidean Algorithm,  $\{\delta \in \mathbf{A} : \deg \delta < d\}$  is a complete set of representatives for  $\mathbf{A}/\gamma\mathbf{A}$ . Thus

$$G_{\gamma}(1) = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbf{A}} \chi_{\mathcal{O}_{\infty}}((T\delta)^2 T^{-2d}) e\{(T\delta)^2 / \gamma\}.$$

Letting  $z = \begin{pmatrix} T^{-2d} & \frac{1}{\gamma} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , we see that  $G_{\gamma}(1) = \theta(z)$  where  $\theta(z)$  is as in [6]. By

the Inversion Formula, we have  $\theta(z) = p^{\frac{d}{2}} \left(\frac{\gamma_d}{p}\right)^d \sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^d} \theta\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right)$  where  $-\frac{1}{z} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} z \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\gamma \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Since the only  $\delta \in \mathbf{A}$  satisfying  $\chi_{\mathcal{O}_{\infty}}((T\delta)^2) = 1$  is  $\delta = 0$ ,  $\theta\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) = 1$ . □

These Lemmata easily imply the following

**Theorem.** Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be relatively prime irreducible polynomials of degrees  $d$  and  $d'$  respectively. Then

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) = \epsilon \left(\frac{\alpha_d}{p}\right)^{d'} \left(\frac{\beta_{d'}}{p}\right)^d \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)$$

where

$$\epsilon = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) & \text{if } d, d' \text{ are both odd,} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*In particular, when  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are distinct monic irreducible polynomials,*

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) & \text{if } d, d' \text{ are both odd,} \\ \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

### References

- [1] E. Artin, *Quadratische Körper im Gebiete der höheren Kongruenzen*, Math. Zeit., **19** (1924), 153-246.
- [2] R. Dedekind, *Abriss einer Theorie der höheren Congruenzen in Bezug auf einer reellen Primzahl-Modulus*, J. reine und angew. Math., **54** (1857), 1-26.
- [3] H. Dym and H.P. McKean, *Fourier Series and Integrals*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [4] E. Hecke, *Lectures on the Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1981.
- [5] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg-London-Paris-Tokyo-Hong Kong, 1990.
- [6] K.D. Merrill and L.H. Walling, *Sums of squares over function fields*, Duke Math. J., **71**(3) (1993), 665-684.

Received September 27, 1993 and revised March 25, 1994. The second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS 9103303.

COLORADO COLLEGE  
 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903  
*E-mail address:* merrill@cc.colorado.edu

AND

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO  
 BOULDER, CO 80309-0426  
*E-mail address:* walling@euclid.colorado.edu