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Abstract. The Kantorovich inequality says that if $A$ is a positive operator on $\boldsymbol{H}$ such that $0<m \leq A \leq M$ for some $M \geq m>0$, then

$$
(A x, x)\left(A^{-1} x, x\right) \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m}
$$

for all unit vectors $x \in H$. We generalize it by the use of a family of power means, which gives us a parameterization of the Kantorovich inequality. Moreover we give a parameteriation of the Pólya-Szegö inequality.

1. Introduction. Let $a, g$ and $h$ be the arithmetic, geometric and harmonic mean respectively. It is known that these means are unified by the family of power means $\left\{m_{r} ;-1 \leq r \leq 1\right\}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha m_{r} \beta=\left(\frac{\alpha^{r}+\beta^{r}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \quad \text { for } \alpha, \beta>0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen that $m_{1}=a, m_{0}=g$ and $m_{-1}=h$. The family of power means plays an interesting role, e.g., $[1,3,5,7]$. We refer to [6] for the theory of operator means.

Now Kantorovich established the following inequality in his study on applications of functional analysis to numerical analysis, cf. [2] : If $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $0<m \leq a_{k} \leq M$ for some $m$ and $M$, then

$$
\sum_{k} a_{k} x_{k}^{2} \sum_{k} \frac{1}{a_{k}} x_{k}^{2} \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m}\left(\sum_{k} x_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

holds for all $x=\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ in $l^{2}(\mathbb{N})$.
If we define the diagonal operator $A$ by $A=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{k}\right)$, then we have

$$
(A x, x)\left(A^{-1} x, x\right) \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m}\|x\|^{4} \quad \text { for } x \in l^{2}(\mathbb{N})
$$

if $0<m \leq A \leq M$. As a matter of fact, the following inequality is proved by Greub and Rheinboldt [2], which we call the Kantorovich inequality.

[^0]The Kantorovich inequality. If $A$ is a positive operator on a Hilbert space $H$ such that $0<m \leq A \leq M$ for some $M \geq m>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A x, x)\left(A^{-1} x, x\right) \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all unit vectors $x \in H$.

From the mean theoretic view, the Kantorovich inequality (2) is seen as follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A x, x) m_{0}\left(A^{-1} x, x\right) \leq \frac{M+m}{2 \sqrt{M m}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all unit vectors $x \in H$.
In this note, we give a parameterization of the Kantorovich inequality by the use of power means which includes (3) as the case $r=0$. In the proof, the convexity of the function $t^{-1}$ on ( $0, \infty$ ) is effective. Moreover we parameterise the Polya-Szegö inequality [ 2 ; Theorem 2] which is equivalent to the Kantorovich inequality.
2. Parameterized Kantorovich inequality. The Kantorovich inequality has the following parameterization by power means.
Theorem 1. Let $A$ be a positive operator on a Hilbert space $H$ such that $0<m \leq A \leq M$ for some $M \geq m>0$. Then, for power means $m_{r}(-1 \leq r \leq 1)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (A x, x) m_{r}\left(A^{-1} x, x\right) \\
& \leq \begin{cases}2^{-\frac{1}{r}}\left(M^{r}+M^{-r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} & \text { if } M^{1-2 r} \leq m \\
2^{-\frac{1}{r}}(M+m)\left(1+(M m)^{\frac{r}{r-1}}\right)^{\frac{1-r}{r}} & \text { if } m^{2} \leq(M m)^{\frac{1}{1-r}} \leq M^{2} \\
2^{-\frac{1}{r}}\left(m^{r}+m^{-r}\right)^{\frac{2}{r}} & \text { if } M \leq m^{1-2 r}\end{cases} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

for all unit vectors $x \in H$. The bound is optimal.
Remark. In the case $r=0$, i.e., $m_{0}$ is the geometric mean, the right hand side in the above (4) is regarded as the limit by taking $r \rightarrow 0$; namely

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} 2^{-\frac{1}{r}}(M+m)\left(1+(M m)^{\frac{r}{r-1}}\right)^{\frac{1-r}{r}}=\frac{M+m}{2 \sqrt{M m}}
$$

It is clear that the second case in (4) only happens and so it is the Kantorovich inequality (3). On the other hand, if $r=1$, i.e., $m_{1}=a$, then the second case happens if and only if $M m=1$. Therefore we have

$$
(A x, x) a\left(A^{-1} x, x\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{m+\frac{1}{m}, M+\frac{1}{M}\right\} .
$$

for all unit vectors $x \in H$. As a matter of fact, we can directly compate it. Finally, if $r=-1$, i,e., $m_{-1}=h$, then the mixed type iequality (4) happens;

$$
(A x, x) h\left(A^{-1} x, x\right) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
2\left(M+M^{-1}\right)^{-1} & \text { if } & M^{3} \leq m \\
\frac{2(M+m)}{(1+\sqrt{M m})^{2}} & \text { if } & m^{4} \leq M m \leq M^{4} \\
2\left(m+m^{-1}\right)^{-1} & \text { if } & M \leq m^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all unit vectors $x \in H$.
Now the computational part of the proof is concentrated to the following lemma. For this, we prepare the functions $f_{r}$ on $[0, M+m]$ for $-1 \leq r \leq 1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{r}(t) & =t m_{r} g(t) \\
& =\frac{1}{M m} 2^{-\frac{1}{r}}\left((M m t)^{r}+(M+m-t)^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
g(t)=\frac{M+m-t}{M m}
$$

Lemma. Let $f_{r}$ be as in above and put $\alpha_{r}=\frac{M+m}{1+(M m)^{\prime} /(r-1)}$. Then

$$
\max _{m \leq t \leq M} f_{r}(t)= \begin{cases}f_{r}(M) & \text { if } M^{1-2 r} \leq m \\ f_{r}\left(\alpha_{r}\right) & \text { if } m^{2} \leq(M m)^{\frac{1}{r-r}} \leq M^{2} \\ f_{r}(m) & \text { if } M \leq m^{1-2 r} .\end{cases}
$$

Incidentally,

$$
\max f_{1}(t)=\max \left\{f_{1}(m), f_{1}(M)\right\}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
f_{r}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{M m} 2^{-\frac{1}{r}}\left((M m t)^{r}+(M+m-t)^{r}\right)^{\frac{1-r}{r}}\left((M m)^{r} t^{r-1}-(M+m-t)^{r-1}\right)
$$

it follows that $f_{r}^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $0 \leq t<\alpha_{r}, f_{r}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{r}\right)=0$ and $f_{r}^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $\alpha_{r}<t \leq M+m$. Therefore we have

$$
\max f_{r}(t)= \begin{cases}f_{r}(M) & \text { if } M<\alpha_{r} \\ f_{r}\left(\alpha_{r}\right) & \text { if } m \leq \alpha_{r} \leq M \\ f_{r}(m) & \text { if } \alpha_{r}<m\end{cases}
$$

Finally we remark that $m \leq \alpha_{r} \leq M$ if and only if $m^{2} \leq(M m)^{\frac{1}{1-r}} \leq M^{2}$. Actually the former is rephrased that

$$
M(M m)^{\frac{r}{r-1}} \geq m \quad \text { and } \quad M \geq m(M m)^{\frac{r}{r-1}}
$$

or equivalently

$$
M^{2}(M m)^{\frac{1}{1-r}} \geq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad 1 \geq m^{2}(M m)^{\frac{1}{1-r}}
$$

Furthermore it is equivalent to the desired inequality. In addition, the other cases are easily checked.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $A=\int t d E_{t}$ be the spectral decomposition of $A$. Then, for a fixed unit vector $x \in H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A x, x) m_{r}\left(A^{-1} x, x\right) & =\int t d\left(E_{t} x, x\right) m_{r} \int t^{-1} d\left(E_{t} x, x\right) \\
& \leq t_{0} m_{r} g\left(t_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $t_{0} \in[m, M]$ because the function $t^{-1}$ is convex and $g$ is the straight line through the points ( $m, m^{-1}$ ) and ( $M, M^{-1}$ ). Recalling that $f_{r}(t)=t m_{r} g(t)$, we have the required inequality (4) by combining with Lemma.

The following theorem is another direct generalization of the Kantorovich inequality as $r=1 / 2$, which is pointed out by the referee.
Theorem 2. Let $A$ be a positive operator on a Hilbert space $H$ such that $0<m \leq A \leq M$ for some $M \geq m>0$ and $0<r<1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (A x, x)^{r}\left(A^{-1} x, x\right)^{1-r} \\
& \leq \begin{cases}m^{2 r-1} & \text { if } 0<r<\frac{m}{M+m} \\
(M+m)(M m)^{r-1} r^{r}(1-r)^{1-r} & \text { if } \frac{m}{M+m} \leq r \leq \frac{M}{M+m} \\
M^{2 r-1} & \text { if } \frac{M}{M+m} \leq r<1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all anit vectors $x \in H$,. The bound is optimal.
The proof of Theorem 2 can be done similarly to that of Theorem 1 by putting $f_{r}(t)=$ $t^{r} g(t)^{1-r}$.
3. Parameterized Pólya-Szegö inequality. The Kantorovich inequality is equivalent to the following inequality [ 2 ; Theorem 2]. Since it is an operator version of an inequality due to Pólya and Szegö, we may call it the Pólya-Szegö inequality.
The Pólya-Szegö inequality. Let $A$ and $B$ be commating positive operators on $H$ such that
(5)

$$
0<m_{1} \leq A \leq M_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad 0<m_{2} \leq B \leq M_{2} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{2} x, x\right)\left(B^{2} x, x\right) \leq \frac{\left(M_{1} M_{2}+m_{1} m_{2}\right)^{2}}{4 M_{1} M_{2} m_{1} m_{2}}(A x, B x)^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in H$.

The Polya-Szegö inequality will be parameterized as well as the $K$ antorovich one. In the below, we suppose that $A$ and $B$ satisfy the condition (5) for some $m_{i}$ and $M_{i}(i=1,2)$. For the sake of convenience, we put the constant $K_{r}$ for $-1 \leq r \leq 1$;

$$
K_{r}= \begin{cases}2^{-\frac{1}{r}}\left(\left(\frac{M_{1}}{m_{2}}\right)^{r}+\left(\frac{m_{2}}{M_{1}}\right)^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} & \text { if } M_{1}^{1-2 r} M_{2} \leq m_{1} m_{2}^{1-2 r} \\ 2^{-\frac{1}{r}} \frac{M_{1} M_{2}+m_{1} m_{2}}{M_{2} m_{2}}\left(1+\left(\frac{M_{1} m_{1}}{M_{2} m_{2}}\right)^{r-r}\right)^{\frac{1-r}{r}} \\ & \text { if } m_{1} m_{2} \leq M_{2} m_{2}\left(\frac{M_{1} m_{1}}{M_{2} m_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2(1-r}} \leq M_{1} M_{2} \\ 2^{-\frac{1}{r}\left(\left(\frac{m_{1}}{M_{2}}\right)^{r}+\left(\frac{M_{2}}{m_{1}}\right)^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \quad \text { if } M_{1} M_{2}^{1-2 r} \leq m_{1}^{1-2 r} m_{2} .\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 3. Let $A$ and $B$ be commuting positive operators satisfying (5). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{2} x, x\right) m_{r}\left(B^{2} x, x\right) \leq K_{r}(A x, B x)^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in H$.
Proof. The proof is quite similar to [2; Theorem 2]. We pat $C=A B^{-1} ; m=\frac{m_{1}}{M_{2}}$ and $M=\frac{M_{1}}{m_{2}}$. Then we have $0<m \leq C \leq M$. Hence Theorem 1 implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(C x, x) m_{r}\left(C^{-1} x, x\right)}{\|x\|^{4}} \\
& \leq \begin{cases}2^{-\frac{1}{r}}\left(M^{r}+M^{-r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} & \text { if } M^{1-2 r} \leq m \\
2^{-\frac{1}{r}}(M+m)\left(1+(M m)^{\frac{r}{r-1}}\right)^{\frac{1-r}{r}} & \text { if } m^{2} \leq(M m)^{\frac{1}{1-r}} \leq M^{2} \\
2^{-\frac{1}{r}}\left(m^{r}+m^{-r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} & \text { if } M \leq m^{1-2 r}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in H$. It is easily checked that the right hand side of the above is just $K_{r}$, and the left hand side becomes

$$
\frac{\left(A^{2} x, x\right) m_{r}\left(B^{2} x, x\right)}{(A x, B x)^{2}}
$$

by replacing $x$ to $(A B)^{\frac{1}{2}} x$, which completes the proof.
Remark. Theorem 3 is implied by Theorem 1, as seen in the proof of it. Conversely Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2. In fact, for a given $C$ with $0<m \leq C \leq M$, we take

$$
A=C^{\frac{1}{2}}, B=C^{-\frac{1}{2}} ; m_{1}=m^{\frac{1}{2}}, M_{1}=M^{\frac{1}{2}}, m_{2}=M^{-\frac{1}{2}}, M_{2}=m^{-\frac{1}{2}},
$$

and apply it to Theorem 3.
Finally we consider a noncommotative generalization of the Polya-Szegö inequality and Theorem 3.

Theorem 4. Let $A$ and $B$ be positive operators satisfying (5). Then

$$
\left\|B^{-\frac{1}{2}} A B^{\frac{1}{2}} x\right\|\|B x\| \leq \frac{M_{1} M_{2}+m_{1} m_{2}}{2 \sqrt{M_{1} M_{2} m_{1} m_{2}}}\left\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} x\right\|^{2}
$$

for all $x \in H$.
Proof. We pat $C=A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{-1} A^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<m=\frac{m_{1}}{M_{2}} \leq C \leq M=\frac{M_{1}}{m_{2}} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Kantorovich inequality implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(C x, x)\left(C^{-1} x, x\right) \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m}\|x\|^{4} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in H$. If we replace $x$ in (9) by $A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} x$ and $M, m$ by $M_{i}, m_{i}(i=1,2)$, then the desired inequality is obtained.

Theorem 5. Let $A$ and $B$ be positive operators satisfying (5). Then

$$
\left\|B^{-\frac{1}{2}} A B^{\frac{1}{2}} x\right\|^{2} m_{r}\|B x\|^{2} \leq K_{r}\left\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} x\right\|^{4}
$$

for all $x \in H$.
Proof. We also put $C=A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{-1} A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and so we have (8). Hence it follows from Theorem 1 that

$$
(C x, x) m_{r}\left(C^{-1} x, x\right) \leq K_{r}\|x\|^{4}
$$

for all $x \in H$. Replacing $x$ in the above by $A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} x$ and $M, m$ by $M_{i}, m_{i}(i=1,2)$, we have the desired inequality, as in the proof of Theorem 3.
4. A concluding remark. Generalizations of the Kantorovich inequality are discussed by several anthors, for which we refer to [8] and [4]. Though the former is somewhat complicated, the latter is simple as follows :
Theorem K. (Kijima) Let $A$ and $B$ be positive operators satisfying (5). Then

$$
M_{1} m_{1}\left(A^{-1} x, x\right)(B y, y)+M_{2} m_{2}(A x, x)\left(B^{-1} y, y\right) \leq M_{1} M_{2}+m_{1} m_{2}
$$

for all unit vectors $x, y \in H$.
The proof of Theorem $K$ is reduced to the following elementary inequality : If $0<m_{1} \leq$ $a \leq M_{1}$ and $0<m_{2} \leq b \leq M_{2}$, then

$$
\frac{M_{2} a}{m_{1} b}+\frac{M_{1} b}{m_{2} a} \leq 1+\frac{M_{1} M_{2}}{m_{1} m_{2}}
$$

He also gave a path of results whose starting point is Theorem $K$ and final one is the Pólya-Szegö inequality.
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