# Notes on minimal normal compactifications of $\mathbf{C}^{2} / G$ <br> Hideo KOJIMA 

## 0 Introduction

Throughout the present article, we work over the field of complex numbers.
Definition 0.1 Let $S$ be a normal affine surface and let $(X, C)$ be a pair of a normal compact analytic surface $X$ and a compact (analytic) curve $C$ on $X$.
(1) We call the pair $(X, C)$ a minimal normal compactification of $S$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $X$ is smooth along $C$.
(ii) Any singular point of $C$ is an ordinary double point.
(iii) $X \backslash C$ is biholomorphic to $S$.
(iv) For any (-1)-curve $E \subset C$, we have $(E \cdot C-E) \geq 3$.
(2) Assume that $(X, C)$ is a minimal normal compactification of $S$. Then $(X, C)$ is said to be algebraic if $X$ is algebraic, $C$ is an algebraic subvariety of $X$ and $X \backslash C$ is isomorphic to $S$ as an algebraic variety.

For some smooth affine surfaces, their minimal normal compacitifications have been studied by several authors. In [10], Morrow gave a list of all minimal normal compactifications of the complex affine plane $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ by using a result of Ramanujam [12]. Ueda [14] and Suzuki [13] studied compactifications of $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^{*}$ and ( $\left.\mathbf{C}^{*}\right)^{2}$, where $\mathbf{C}^{*}=\mathbf{C} \backslash\{0\}$. In particular, Suzuki [13] gave a list of all minimal normal compactifications of $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^{*}$ and $\left(\mathbf{C}^{*}\right)^{2}$.

Recently, Abe, Furushima and Yamasaki [1] studied minimal normal compactifications of $S=\mathbf{C}^{2} / G$, where $G$ is a small non-trivial finite subgroup of $G L(2, \mathbf{C})$, by using the theory of the cluster sets of holomorphic mappings due to Nishino and Suzuki [11]. They gave a rough classification of the weighted dual graphs of the boundary divisors of the minimal normal compactifications of $S$. In most cases, the singularity type of the unique singular point of $S$ determines the weighted dual graph of the boundary divisor. However, in the case where the singular point of $S$
is cyclic or is of type $D$ (for the definition, see [8, p. 91]), they did not determine the weighted dual graph of the boundary divisor.

In this note, we shall give some results on minimal normal compactifications of $\mathbf{C}^{2} / G$, where $G$ is a finite subgroup of $G L(2, \mathbf{C})$. In $\S 2$, we give a characterization of $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ as a homology plane (cf. Theorem 2.1). In $\S 3$, we give a complete list of the dual graphs of the boundary divisors of the minimal normal compactifications of $\mathbf{C}^{2} / G$ in the case where $G$ is non-trivial and non-cyclic (cf. Theorem 3.3).

By a $(-n)$-curve ( $n \geq 1$ ) we mean a smooth complete rational curve with selfintersection number $-n$. A reduced effective divisor $D$ on a smooth surface is called an $S N C$-divisor (resp. an $N C$-divisor) if $D$ has only simple normal crossings (resp. normal crossings). Let $f: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ be a birational morphism between smooth algebraic surfaces $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ and let $D_{i}(i=1,2)$ be a divisor on $V_{i}$. Then we denote the direct image of $D_{1}$ on $V_{2}$ (resp. the total transform of $D_{2}$ on $V_{1}$, the proper transform of $D_{2}$ on $V_{1}$ ) by $f_{*}\left(D_{1}\right)$ (resp. $f^{*}\left(D_{2}\right), f^{\prime}\left(D_{2}\right)$ ).

## 1 Preliminaries

In this section, we prove some preliminary results which are used in $\S \S 2$ and 3.
Definition 1.1 Let $\left(V_{1}, D_{1}\right)$ and $\left(V_{2}, D_{2}\right)$ be (minimal) normal algebraic compactifications of a normal affine surface $S$. Then we say that $\left(V_{1}, D_{1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(V_{2}, D_{2}\right)$ if there exists an isomorphism $\varphi: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ such that $\varphi\left(D_{1}\right) \subset D_{2}$ and $\left.\varphi\right|_{D_{1}}: D_{1} \rightarrow D_{2}$ is an isomorphism.

Let $S$ be a normal affine surface and ( $V, D$ ) a minimal normal algebraic compactification of $S$. In Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3, we retain this situation.

Lemma 1.2 Assume that the following two conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied:
(i) For any irreducible component $E$ of $D$ such that $E \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$ and $\left(E^{2}\right) \geq-1$, we have $(E \cdot D-E) \geq 3$.
(ii) For any irreducible component $F$ of $D$ such that $F$ is a rational curve with one node and $\left(F^{2}\right) \geq 3$, we have $(F \cdot D-F) \geq 1$.

Then the pair $(V, D)$ is the unique minimal normal algebraic compactification of $S$, up to isomorphisms.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $S$ has another minimal normal algebraic compactification ( $V^{\prime}, D^{\prime}$ ) which is not isomorphic to $(V, D)$. Then there exists a birational map $f: V \cdots \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ such that $\left.f\right|_{V-D}: S \rightarrow S$ is an isomorphism. We have a composite of blowing-ups $g: W \rightarrow V$ such that $h=f \circ g: W \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ becomes a birational morphism. Since ( $V, D$ ) and ( $V^{\prime}, D^{\prime}$ ) are minimal normal algebraic compactifications of $S, f$ cannot be a morphism. So, $g \neq \mathrm{id}$. We may assume that $g$ is the shortest among such birational morphisms.

Put $\tilde{D}:=g^{*}(D)_{\text {red }}$. Then $\tilde{D}$ is an NC-divisor and the birational morphism $h$ begins with the contraction of a $(-1)$-curve $E^{\prime} \subset \tilde{D}$. Since $D^{\prime}=h_{*}(\tilde{D})$ is an NCdivisor, $\left(E^{\prime} \cdot \tilde{D}-E^{\prime}\right) \leq 2$. Put $E:=g_{*}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$. By the assumption on $g, E$ is not a zero divisor. Further, since $D$ is an NC-divisor, either $E \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$ or $E$ is a rational curve with one node as singularities. If $E \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$, then $\left(E^{2}\right) \geq-1$ and

$$
(E \cdot D-E) \leq\left(E^{\prime} \cdot \tilde{D}-E^{\prime}\right) \leq 2
$$

which contradicts the condition (i). If $E$ is a rational curve with one node, then $\left(E^{2}\right) \geq 3$. If $(E \cdot D-E) \geq 1$, then the contraction of $E^{\prime}$ makes the direct image $h_{*}(\tilde{D})=D^{\prime}$ a non NC-divisor. So, $(E \cdot D-E)=0$, which contradicts the condition (ii).

Lemma 1.3 Assume that $\kappa(V) \geq 0$, where $\kappa(V)$ denotes the Kodaira dimension of a smooth model of $V$. Then $(V, D)$ is the unique minimal normal algebraic compactification of $S$, up to isomorphisms.

Proof. Let $\tilde{V}$ be a smooth model of $V$. Since $\kappa(\tilde{V})=\kappa(V) \geq 0, \tilde{V}$ has the unique minimal model, up to isomorphisms. Since $V$ is smooth along $D$, we know that $D$ contains no smooth rational curves $\ell$ with $\left(\ell^{2}\right) \geq 0$ and no rational curves $F$ with one nodes and with $\left(F^{2}\right) \geq 3$. Hence the assertion follows from Lemma 1.2. Here we note that $D$ has no ( -1 )-curves $E$ with $(E \cdot D-E) \leq 2$ because $(V, D)$ is a minimal normal algebraic compactification of $S$.

Definition 1.4 Let $S$ be a normal affine surface and let $\pi: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be a resolution of singularities of $S$. We define the logarithmic Kodaira dimension $\bar{\kappa}(S)$ by $\bar{\kappa}(S)=$ $\bar{\kappa}(\tilde{S})$, where $\bar{\kappa}(\tilde{S})$ denotes the logarithmic Kodaira dimension of $\tilde{S}$ (cf. [6]).

Lemma 1.5 Let $V$ be a smooth projective rational surface and $D$ an irreducible rational curve with one node and with $\left(D^{2}\right) \geq 3$. Then $\bar{\kappa}(V \backslash D) \leq 1$.

Proof. We may assume that $V \backslash D$ contains no (-1)-curves.
Let $P$ be the node on $D$. Let $\pi: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be the blowing-up with the center at $P$ and let $E$ be the exceptional curve. Put $\tilde{D}:=\pi^{\prime}(D)+E$. Then $\tilde{D}$ is an SNC-divisor. If $\left(D^{2}\right) \geq 4$, then $\left(\pi^{\prime}(D)^{2}\right) \geq 0$. Since $\pi^{\prime}(D) \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$ and $\left(\pi^{\prime}(D) \cdot E\right)=2$, we can easily see that $\bar{\kappa}(V-D)=\bar{\kappa}(\tilde{V}-\tilde{D}) \leq 1$ (cf. [6]).

We treat the case where $\left(D^{2}\right)=3$ (then $\left.\left(\pi^{\prime}(D)^{2}\right)=-1\right)$. Suppose that $\bar{\kappa}(V-D)=$ $\bar{\kappa}(\tilde{V}-\tilde{D})=2$. Assume first that $\tilde{D}+K_{\tilde{V}}$ is not nef. By using the theory of Zariski decomposition (cf. [6]), we obtain an irreducible curve $F \operatorname{such}$ that $\left(F \cdot \tilde{D}+K_{\tilde{V}}\right)<0$ and $\left(F^{2}\right)<0$. By the assumption that $V \backslash D$ contains no ( -1 )-curves, we know that $F$ is a $(-1)$-curve with $(F \cdot \tilde{D})=1$. Let $f: \tilde{V} \rightarrow W$ be the contraction of $F$ and put $f_{*}(\tilde{D})=D_{1}^{\prime}+D_{2}^{\prime}$. Then $D_{1}^{\prime}+D_{2}^{\prime}$ is an SNC-divisor, $\left(D_{1}^{\prime} \cdot D_{2}^{\prime}\right)=2$ and one of $D_{1}^{\prime}$ and $D_{2}^{\prime}$ has self-intersection number zero. So $\bar{\kappa}\left(W-\left(D_{1}^{\prime}+D_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\bar{\kappa}(\tilde{V}-\tilde{D}) \leq 1$, which is a contradiction. Assume next that $\tilde{D}+K_{\tilde{V}}$ is nef. Noting that $\left(\tilde{D} \cdot \tilde{D}+K_{\tilde{V}}\right)=0$
and $\tilde{D}+K_{\tilde{V}}$ is nef and big, we know that $\left(\tilde{D}^{2}\right)<0$ by the Hodge index theorem. This is a contradiction because $\left(\tilde{D}^{2}\right)=2$.

Proposition 1.6 Any normal affine surface $S$ with $\bar{\kappa}(S)=2$ (cf. Definition 1.4) has a unique minimal normal algebraic compactification, up to isomorphisms.
$\underset{\tilde{V}}{\text { Proof. Let }}(V, D)$ be a minimal normal algebraic compactification of $S$ and $f$ : $\tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ the minimal resolution of $V$. We may identify the divisor $D$ on $V$ with the divisor $f^{\prime}(D)=f^{-1}(D)$ on $\tilde{V}$. If $\kappa(\tilde{V}) \geq 0$, then Lemma 1.3 implies that $(V, D)$ is the unique minimal normal algebraic compactification of $S$, up to isomorphisms. Hence we may assume that $\kappa(\tilde{V})=-\infty$.

To prove Proposition 1.6, it suffices to show that the pair ( $V, D$ ) satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) in Lemma 1.2 if $\bar{\kappa}(S)(=\bar{\kappa}(\tilde{V} \backslash D))=2$. Suppose first that $D$ has an irreducible component $E$ such that $E \cong \mathbf{P}^{1},\left(E^{2}\right) \geq-1$ and $(E \cdot D-E) \leq 2$. Then $\left(E^{2}\right) \geq 0$ by the minimality of the pair $(V, D)$. The hypothesis $(E \cdot D-E) \leq 2$ then implies that $\bar{\kappa}(S) \leq 1$, which is a contradiction. Hence the condition (i) in Lemma 1.2 is satisfied. Suppose next that $D$ is an irreducible rational curve with one node and $\left(D^{2}\right) \geq 3$. It is then clear that $\tilde{V}$ is a rational surface. We infer from Lemma 1.5 that $\bar{\kappa}(S) \leq 1$, which is a contradiction. Hence, the condition (ii) in Lemma 1.2 also is satisfied.

## 2 A characterization of the affine plane

A smooth affine surface $S$ is called a homology plane if $H_{i}(S, \mathbf{Z})=(0)$ for any integer $i>0$. There are some characterizations of $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ as a homology plane. A homology plane $S$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(1) $\bar{\kappa}(S)=-\infty$.
(2) $S$ contains at least two topologically contractible algebraic curves.

For more details, see [8, Chapter $3, \S 4]$.
By using Lemma 1.2 and the results in [5], we obtain the following result.
Theorem 2.1 Let $S$ be a homology plane. Then $S \cong \mathbf{C}^{2}$ if and only if $S$ has at least two non-isomorphic minimal normal algebraic compactifications.

Proof. The "only if" part is clear. To prove the "if" part, it suffices to show that $\bar{\kappa}(S)=-\infty$, that is, if $\bar{\kappa}(S) \geq 0$ then $S$ has a unique minimal normal algebraic compactification, up to isomorphisms.

Assume that $\bar{\kappa}(S) \geq 0$. Then $\bar{\kappa}(S) \geq 1$ by [4, §8] (see also [8, Theorem 4.7.1 (p. 244)]). If $\bar{\kappa}(S)=2$, then it follows from Proposition 1.6 that $S$ has a unique
minimal normal algebraic compacitification, up to isomorphisms. So we may assume that $\bar{\kappa}(S)=1$.

By [5, Theorems 3 and 4], there exists a $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-fibration $\varphi: S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ onto $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ such that every fiber of $\varphi$ is irreducible. By using the arguments as in [5, §3], we can find a pair $(V, D)$ of a smooth projective surface $V$ and an SNC-divisor $D$ on $V$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $V \backslash D$ is isomorphic to $S$.
(ii) There exists a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-fibration $\Phi: V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ such that $\left.\Phi\right|_{S}=\varphi$.
(iii) For any (-1)-curve $E \subset D$ in a fiber of $\Phi$, we have $(E \cdot D-E) \geq 3$.

By [5, Lemma 3.2], $\varphi$ is untwisted, that is, $D$ has exactly two irreducible components $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ which are not contained in any fiber of $\Phi$. By [ 9 , Lemma 2.10 (3)], $\varphi$ has exactly one fiber $f_{1}$ with $\left(f_{1}\right)_{\text {red }} \cong \mathbf{A}^{1}$. Let $F_{1}$ be the fiber of $\Phi$ containing $f_{1}$. Then, by the condition (iii), we know that a fiber $F$ of $\Phi$ different from $F_{1}$ is reducible if and only if the scheme-theoretic fiber $\left.F\right|_{S}$ of $\varphi$ is singular.

Since $\bar{\kappa}(S)=1$ and the $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-fibration $\varphi$ is untwisted, we know that $\varphi$ has at least three singular fibers. Indeed, if not, then $S$ contains $\left(\mathbf{C}^{*}\right)^{2}$ as a Zariski open subset. Then $1=\bar{\kappa}(S) \leq \bar{\kappa}\left(\left(\mathbf{C}^{*}\right)^{2}\right)=0$, which is a contradiction. We can easily see that $\left(D_{i} \cdot D-D_{i}\right) \geq 3$ for $i=1,2$. By the condition (iii), $(V, D)$ is a minimal normal algebraic compactification of $S$ and satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) in Lemma 1.2. Hence, by Lemma $1.2,(V, D)$ is the unique minimal normal algebraic compacitification of $S$, up to isomorphisms.

For any homology plane $S=\operatorname{Spec} A$, the coordinate ring $A$ is factorial and $A^{*}=\mathbf{C}^{*}$ (cf. [5], [9]). By Example 2.2 below, we know that Theorem 2.1 cannot be true in the case where $S=\operatorname{Spec} A$ is a smooth affine surface such that $A$ is factorial and $A^{*}=\mathbf{C}^{*}$.

Example 2.2 Let $\ell_{0}, \ell_{1}, \ell_{2}$ be non-concurrent three lines on $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ and let $P_{1} \in \ell_{1} \backslash$ ( $\ell_{0} \cup \ell_{2}$ ) and $P_{2} \in \ell_{2} \backslash\left(\ell_{0} \cup \ell_{1}\right)$ be two points. Let $\sigma: V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ be the blowing-up with centers $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. Put $D=\ell_{0}^{\prime}+\ell_{1}^{\prime}+\ell_{2}^{\prime}$, where $\ell_{i}^{\prime}:=\sigma^{\prime}\left(\ell_{i}\right)(i=0,1,2)$, and $S:=V-D$. Then $S$ is a smooth affine surface such that $A=\Gamma\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{s}\right)$ is factorial and $A^{*}=\mathbf{C}^{*}$ (cf. [5, Theorem 2]). The pair ( $V, D$ ) is a minimal normal algebraic compacitification of $S$. Put $Q:=\ell_{1}^{\prime} \cap \ell_{2}^{\prime}$. Let $\sigma_{1}: V_{1} \rightarrow V$ be the blowing-up at $Q$ and let $\mu: V_{1} \rightarrow W$ be the contraction of $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}\left(\ell_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}\left(\ell_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Then the pair $\left(W, D_{W}\right)$ ( $D_{W}=\mu_{*}\left(\sigma_{1}^{-1}(D)\right)$ ) is a minimal normal algebraic compactification of $S$ and is not isomorphic to ( $V, D$ )

## 3 Compactifications of $\mathbf{C}^{2} / G$

In this section, we study minimal normal compactifications of $\mathbf{C}^{2} / G$.
We give some notions on weighted graphs. As for the notions on weighted graphs, the reader may consult [4].

Definition 3.1 Let $A$ be a graph and $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}$ the vertices of $A$. Then $A$ is a twig if $A$ is a connected linear graph together with a total ordering $v_{1}>v_{2}>\cdots>v_{r}$ among its vertices such that $v_{j}$ and $v_{j-1}$ are connected by a segment for each $j$ $(2 \leq j \leq r)$. Such a twig is denoted by $\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right]$, where $a_{j}$ is the weight of $v_{j}$. A twig $A$ is said to be admissible if $a_{j} \leq-2$ for every $j$. For an admissible twig $A$, we denoted the determinant of $A$ by $d(A)$ (cf. [4, (3.3)]).

Definition 3.2 Let $A=\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right]$ be an admissible twig. Then the twig $\left[a_{r}, a_{r-1}, \ldots, a_{1}\right]$ is called the transposal of $A$ and denoted by ${ }^{t} A$. We define also $\bar{A}=\left[a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}\right]$ and $\underline{A}={ }^{t}\left({ }^{\bar{t}} A\right)=\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right]$. If $r=1$, we put $\bar{A}=\underline{A}=\emptyset$ (the empty set). We call $e(A)=d(\bar{A}) / d(A)$ the inductance of $A$. By [4, Corollary (3.8)], $e$ defines a one-to-one correspondence from the set of all admissible twigs to the set of rational numbers in the interval ( 0,1 ). Hence there exists uniquely an admissible twig $A^{*}$ whose inductance is equal to $1-e\left({ }^{t} A\right)$. We call the admissible twig $A^{*}$ the adjoint of $A$.

Now, let $G$ be a small non-cyclic finite subgroup of $G L(2, \mathbf{C})$ and let $S=\mathbf{C}^{2} / G$ be the geometric quotient surface. Let $\pi: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the minimal resolution of the unique singular point of $S$ and $E$ the reduced exceptional divisor of $\pi$. By [3], $E$ is an SNC-divisor and each component of $E$ is a rational curve. Moreover, the weighted dual graph of $E$ looks like that of Figure 1 , where $b \geq 2$ and the subgraph $A_{(i)}:=\left[-a_{1}^{(i)},-a_{2}^{(i)}, \ldots,-a_{r_{i}}^{(i)}\right](i=1,2,3)$ is an admissible twig and $\left\{d\left(A_{(1)}\right), d\left(A_{(2)}\right), d\left(A_{(3)}\right)\right\}$ is one of the following Platonic triplets: $\{2,2, n\}(n \geq 2)$, $\{2,3,3\},\{2,3,4\}$ and $\{2,3,5\}$.


Figure 1
Now, we state the main result of this section.
Theorem 3.3 With the same notation and assumptions as above, let $(X, C)$ be a minimal normal compactification of $S$. Then we have:
(1) $(X, C)$ is algebraic.
(2) $C$ is an $S N C$-divisor, each component of $C$ is a rational curve and the weighted dual graph of $C$ looks like that of Figure 2, where the subgraph $B_{(i)}:=$ $\left[-b_{1}^{(i)},-b_{2}^{(i)}, \ldots,-b_{s_{i}}^{(i)}\right](i=1,2,3)$ is the adjoint of ${ }^{t} A_{(i)}$.


Figure 2

Remark 3.4 The assertion (1) and the assertion (2) except for the case $\left\{d\left(A_{1}\right), d\left(A_{2}\right), d\left(A_{3}\right)\right\}=\{2,2, n\}(n \geq 2)$ of Theorem 3.3 are proved by Abe-Furushima-Yamasaki [1].

Now, we prove Theorem 3.3. Let $P$ be the unique singular point on $S=\mathbf{C}^{2} / G$ and put $T=S \backslash\{P\}(=\tilde{S} \backslash E)$. Then [7, Theorem 2(2)] implies that $T$ has a structure of Platonic $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-fiber space with respect to the $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-action induced by the $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-action on $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ via the center of $G L(2, \mathbf{C})$. More precisely, there exists a surjective morphism $f: T \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ from $T$ onto $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ such that the following four conditions are satisfied:
(1) General fibers of $f$ are isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}^{*}=\mathbf{C} \backslash\{0\}$.
(2) The generic fiber of $f$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{C}(t)}^{1} \backslash\{0\}$, where $\mathbf{C}(t)$ is the rational function field of one variable $t$.
(3) Every fiber of $f$ is irreducible.
(4) $f$ has exactly three singular fibers $\Delta_{i}=\mu_{i} \Gamma_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ with $\Gamma_{i} \cong \mathbf{C}^{*}$, where $\left\{\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}\right\}=\{2,2, n\}(n \geq 2),\{2,3,3\},\{2,3,4\}$ or $\{2,3,5\}$.
(5) $f$ has a normal completion $\bar{f}: \bar{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ (i.e., $\left.\pi\right|_{S}=f$ and $\bar{T}$ is a smooth projective surface such that $T$ is a Zarisiki open subset of $\bar{T}$ and $\bar{T} \backslash T$ is an NC-divisor) such that:
(i) There exist two sections $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ of $\bar{f}$ such that $S_{0}, S_{1} \subset \bar{T} \backslash T, S_{0} \cap S_{1}=$ $\emptyset$, and other irreducible components of $\bar{T} \backslash T$ are contained in fibers of $\bar{f}$.
(ii) Every fiber of $\bar{f}$ has a linear chain as its (weighted) dual graph.

As seen from $[7, \S 3]$, we know that $\bar{T} \backslash T$ has two connected components.
Now, let $\tilde{f}: \tilde{S} \cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be a rational map such that $\left.\tilde{f}\right|_{T}=f$. We prove that:

Claim. We may assume that $\tilde{f}$ is a morphism. Moreover, $\tilde{f}$ is a $\mathbf{A}^{1}$-fibration onto $\mathbf{P}^{1}, E$ has the unique component $E_{0}$ which is a section of $\tilde{f}$ and each component of $E-E_{0}$ is contained in a fiber of $\tilde{f}$.
Proof. $\underset{\tilde{V}}{\text { By the condition (5) as above, we have birational morphisms } \tilde{g}: \tilde{V} \rightarrow \tilde{S}}$ and $\tilde{h}: \tilde{V} \rightarrow \bar{T}$ such that $\tilde{g}$ is a composite of blowing-ups on $E,\left.\tilde{g}\right|_{\tilde{S} \backslash E}=\operatorname{id}_{T}$ and $\tilde{h}$ is a contraction of curves in $\tilde{g}^{-1}(E)$ smoothly. Since $E$ has no irreducible rational curves with self-intersecion number $\geq-1$, we may assume that $\tilde{g}=$ id $\tilde{\tilde{S}}$. Hence $\tilde{h}: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \bar{T}$ gives rise to an embedding of $\tilde{S}$ into $\bar{T}$. Hence, we may assume that $\tilde{f}=\left.\bar{f}\right|_{\tilde{s}}$. Moreover, since $\bar{T} \backslash T$ has two connected components $T_{0}$ and $T_{1}$, we may assume that $E=T_{0}$ and $S_{0} \subset E$. Thus, we know that $\tilde{f}$ is a $\mathbf{A}^{1}$-fibraion, that $E$ has a unique component $E_{0}$ which is a section of $\tilde{f}$ and that each component of $E-E_{0}$ is contained in a fiber of $\tilde{f}$.

By the claim as above, we know that $\tilde{S}$ contains a Zarisiki open subset isomorphic to $\mathbf{A}^{1} \times C_{0}$, where $C_{0}$ is a smooth affine rational curve. Then [4, Theorem (9.6)] implies that every analytic compactification of $\mathbf{A}^{1} \times C_{0}$ is algebraic. Since $\mathbf{A}^{1} \times C_{0}$ is a Zariski open subset of $\tilde{S}$ and $S$ has at most rational singularity, every analytic compactification of $S$ is algebraic by [2, Theorem (2.3)]. This proves the assertion (1) of Theorem 3.3.

Let $T_{0}$ and $T_{1}$ be the connected components of $\bar{T} \backslash T$, where we assume that $S_{i} \subset T_{i}$. Put $U=T_{0}+T_{1}$. By the condition (5) as above, we may assume further that $(E \cdot U-E) \geq 3$ for any ( -1 )-curve $E \subset U$. Then, a fiber $F$ of $\bar{f}$ is reducible if and only if $\left.F\right|_{T}$ is a multiple fiber of $f$. We note that every reducible fiber of $\bar{f}$ contains a unique ( -1 )-curve. By virtue of [4, Proposition (4.7)], the dual graph of a reducible fiber of $\bar{f}$ looks like that of Figure 3, where the subgraph $A:=\left[-a_{1},-a_{2}, \ldots,-a_{r}\right]$ is an admissible rational rod and $\left[-b_{1},-b_{2}, \ldots,-b_{s}\right]$ the adjoint of $A$.


Figure 3
We can easily see that $\left(S_{1}^{2}\right)=-\left(S_{0}^{2}\right)-3$. So, we may assume that $\left(S_{0}^{2}\right) \leq-2$. As seen from the proof of the claim as above, the weighted dual graph of $T_{0}$ is the same as that of $E$. The weighted dual graph of $T_{1}$ then looks like that of Figure 2.

Thus, we obtain an algebraic compactification $(V, D)$ of $S=\mathbf{C}^{2} / G$ such that $D$ is an SNC-divisor, each irreducible component of $D$ is a rational curve and the weighted dual graph of $D$ looks like that of Figure 2. By Lemma 1.2 and the assertion (1) of Theorem 3.3, $(V, D)$ is the unique minimal normal compactification of $S$, up to isomorphisms. This proves the assertion (2) of Theorem 3.3.

The proof of Theorem 3.3 is thus completed.
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