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#### Abstract

An expression for the $p$-determinant of the quotient of two differential elliptic operators with boundary conditions is given in terms of the boundary values of their solutions. Applications to physical examples are considered.


## 1. Introduction

An expression for the Fredholm determinant of the quotient of two elliptic operators defined on a closed manifold with boundary in terms of pseudodifferential operators defined on the boundary was given by Forman in [5]. In this paper, we aim to establish an analogous expression for the so called $p$-determinant of the quotient of the operators holding even in the case where it has not Fredholm determinant. This case is usually found in Quantum Physics where the $p$-determinant can be taken as a regularization technique for divergent determinants [9]. In order to describe it, let us recall some definitions.

A compact operator $A$ defined on a Hilbert space $H$ is an element of the $p^{\text {th }}$ Schatten class $\mathscr{F}_{p}$, for $p \geq 1$ an integer, if $|A|^{p}$ is a trace class operator, i.e. if

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(|A|^{p}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_{j}^{p}(A)<\infty
$$

where $\mu_{j}(A)$, the singular values of $A$, are the eigenvalues of $|A|=\sqrt{A^{*} A}$. In particular $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{2}$ are the ideals of trace class and Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $H$. If $I$ denotes the identity operator on $H$, the Fredholm determinant, $\operatorname{det}_{1}(I-A)$, is defined as $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\lambda_{j}\right)$, where $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{j}$ denotes the proper values of $A$ when $A$ is a trace class operator. The $p$-determinant of $I-A$ is defined, for $A \in \mathscr{F}_{p}$, as $[6,4,9]$ :

$$
\operatorname{det}_{p}(I-A)=\operatorname{det}_{1}\left\{I-(I-A) \exp \left[A+\frac{A^{2}}{2}+\ldots+\frac{A^{p-1}}{p-1}\right]\right\}
$$

or, equivalently [9]:

$$
\operatorname{det}_{p}(I-A)=\operatorname{det}_{1}\left(I-R_{p}(A)\right)
$$

where

$$
R_{p}(A)=(I-A) \exp \left[A+\frac{A^{2}}{2}+\ldots+\frac{A^{p-1}}{p-1}\right]
$$

Note that $R_{p}(z)$ is an entire function on the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$ such that the expansion of its logarithm is obtained leaving out the first $(p-1)$-powers of the expansion of $\ln (1-z)$. It is easy to show that if $A \in \mathscr{T}_{p}$ then $R_{p}(A) \in \mathscr{T}_{1}$ [9]. We will be interested in differential operators of order $m>0$ defined from the $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ sections of a complex vector bundle ( $E, M, \pi_{E}$ ) to the ones of $\left(F, M, \pi_{F}\right)$, both bundles with fiber of dimension $k$, where $M$ is a $n$-dimensional compact manifold with boundary $X$. We will also be interested in pseudodifferential operators of order $s$ defined from the $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ sections of the complex vector bundle ( $E_{\mid X}, X, \pi_{E}$ ) to the ones of a vector bundle $\left(G, X, \pi_{G}\right)$ over $X$. We assume that the full symbols of these pseudo-differential operators have asymptotic expansions in homogeneous functions of the cotangent $\xi$-variables for $|\xi|>1$. This class of operators will be denoted by $I_{h}^{s}(X)$.

A $k \times k$ matrix $L$ of differential (respectively pseudodifferential) operators of order $m$ defined on $M$ (respectively on $X$ ) is (uniformly) elliptic in $M$ (respectively in $X$ ) if it has a principal symbol $\sigma_{0}(L)$ satisfying

$$
\left|\operatorname{det} \sigma_{0}(L)\right| \geq C|\xi|^{m k}, \quad \text { when } \quad|\xi|>N
$$

for some positive constants $C$ and $N$.
In the case that $L$ is a matrix of differential operators of order $m$, a (non-necessarily orthogonal) projection onto the set of modified Cauchy of $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ functions belonging to the kernel of $L$ is given by the Calderon's projector $Q$ ( $[2,7]$ ). This is a $k m \times k m$ matrix of pseudodifferential operators in the class $I_{h}^{0}(X)$ and its principal symbol $q$ depends only on $\sigma_{0}(L)$. It will be assumed that the $k m \times k m$ matrix $q$ has constant rank $r$. (This is always true for $n \geq 3$, see [2].)

A $r \times k m$ matrix $B$ of pseudodifferential operators belonging to $I_{h}^{0}(X)$,

$$
B: \underbrace{\mathscr{B}^{\infty}(X, E) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, E)}_{m \text {-times }} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \tilde{G})
$$

where $\tilde{G}$ is an $r$-dimensional vector bundle over $X$, with principal symbol $b$, is an elliptic boundary condition for the operator $L$ if the matrix $b q$ has constant rank equal to $r$ [2]. For such $L$ and $B$ the boundary problem $L_{B}=(L, B)$ is said to be elliptic. Actually, $L_{B}$ is the closed unbounded operator on $\mathscr{C}^{2}(M)$, obtained as the closure of $L$ acting on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ sections of $E$ satisfying the boundary condition $B$ on $X[5,8]$. By $L_{B}^{-1}$ we mean the bounded operator which is the inverse of $L_{B}$, when it exists, and in this case we say that the problem $L_{B}$ is invertible.

We denote by $T$ the linear map which gives the Cauchy data values

$$
\begin{gathered}
T: \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(M, E) \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, E) \oplus \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, E) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, E)}_{m \text {-times }} \\
u(x)=u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \mapsto T u(x)=\left(u\left(x^{\prime}\right), \partial_{\nu} u\left(x^{\prime}\right), \ldots, \partial_{\nu}^{m-1} u\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\nu$ is the unitary outward normal vector to the boundary $X$. For $x$ in a patch of $M$ with no empty intersection with $X$ we write $x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \in M$ with $x^{\prime} \in X$ and $x_{n}$ the $X$-normal coordinate.

The unique linear function

$$
G(x, y): M \times M \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(F, E)
$$

satisfying
(i) $L(G(x, y))=\delta(x, y), \delta(x, y)$ is the Dirac delta function; and
(ii) $T(G(x, y)) \in \operatorname{Ker}(B)$, (i.e. the Cauchy data values of $G(x, y)$ as function of $x$ belongs to the kernel of the boundary operator $B$ );
is called the Green's function for the boundary problem $L_{B}=(L, B)$ and it is the kernel function of the inverse $L_{B}^{-1}$.

When no confusion arises, $G(x, y)$ will be written as $L_{B}^{-1}(x, y)$.
Another linear map we shall consider is the Poisson's map

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{B}: \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \tilde{G}) & \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(M, E) \\
h & \mapsto P_{B}(h)=f
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f$ satisfies $L f=0$ on $M$ and $B T f=h$ on $X$, when the data function $h$ belongs to $\operatorname{Im}(B)$.

For an elliptic problem $L_{B}=(L, B)$ the Poisson's map is an isomorphism between $\operatorname{Im}(B)$ and $\operatorname{Ker}(L)$, and verifies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B T P_{\left.B\right|_{\operatorname{Im}(B)}}=I_{\left.\right|_{\operatorname{Im}(B)}} \quad \text { and } \quad P_{B} B T_{\mid \operatorname{Ker}(L)}=I_{\mid \mathrm{Ker}(L)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For two boundary elliptic operators $A$ and $B$ a bijection $\Phi_{A B}$ from $\operatorname{Im}(B)$ onto $\operatorname{Im}(A)$ was defined in [5] as $\Phi_{A B}=A T P_{B}$.

According to (1) we obtain that $T P_{B}$ is a right inverse of $B$ and belongs to $I_{h}^{0}(X)$. The operators $T P_{B} B$ and $T P_{A} A$ also belong to $I_{h}^{0}(X)$ [7], just as $\Phi_{A B}$.

Our main result is the following:
Theorem 1. Let be $G$ an open subset of the complex plane. Let $\left\{L_{z}\right\}_{z \in G}$ be an analytic family in the $\mathscr{L}^{2}(M)$-norm of matrices of elliptic differential operators of order $m>0$, with the same principal symbol for all $z$, and defined from the $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ sections of a complex vector bundle $\left(E, M, \pi_{E}\right)$ to the ones of $\left(F, M, \pi_{F}\right)$, both with $k$-dimensional fibers. Let $z(t):[0,1] \rightarrow G$ be a differentiable path in $G$, and write $L_{t}=L_{z(t)}$.

If $A$ and $B$ are two boundary conditions such that $L_{t A}$ and $L_{t B}$ are elliptic invertible boundary problems for all $t \in[0,1]$, then for each $t \in[0,1]$ the pseudodifferential operator $L_{t B}^{-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B}$ has finite $n$-determinant and

$$
\operatorname{det}_{n}\left(L_{t B}^{-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B}\right)=\operatorname{det}_{n}\left(\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}\right)
$$

In particular, $I-L_{t B}^{-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B} \in \mathscr{T}_{n}$.
Remark. If we drop the hypothesis about the independence of the principal symbol on the parameter $t$ we cannot assert that $\left(I-L_{t B}^{-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B}\right)^{p}$ is a trace class operator for $p=n$; but the equality remains valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}_{p}\left(L_{t B}^{-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B}\right)=\operatorname{det}_{p}\left(\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a value of $p$ such that the l.h.s. is finite.
In particular, when $I-L_{t B}^{-1} L_{0 B}$ and $I-L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{t A}$ are trace class operators, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}_{1}\left(L_{0 B} L_{t B}^{-1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}_{1}\left(L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1}\right) & =\operatorname{det}_{1}\left(L_{0 B} L_{t B}^{-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}_{1}\left(L_{t B}^{-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}_{1}\left(\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as it was proved in [5] under additional hypothesis.

Now, let us consider the case of a fixed operator $L$ and elliptic boundary conditions $A_{t}$ and $B_{t}$ depending on $t$. Let us assume that $A_{t}=A \mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1}$ and $B_{t}=B \mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1}$ with $A$ and $B$ two fixed elliptic conditions for $L$, and $\mathscr{U}_{t}$ is locally a $m \times m$ block matrix
$\mathscr{U}_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}u_{t \mid X} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \binom{1}{0} \partial_{\nu} u_{t \mid X} & \binom{1}{1} u_{t \mid X} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \binom{2}{0} \partial_{\nu}^{2} u_{t \mid X} & \binom{2}{1} \partial_{\nu} u_{t \mid X} & \binom{2}{2} u_{t \mid X} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \binom{m-1}{0} \partial_{\nu}^{m-1} u_{t \mid X} & \binom{m-1}{1} \partial_{\nu}^{m-2} u_{t \mid X} & \binom{m-1}{2} \partial_{\nu}^{m-3} u_{t \mid X} & \cdots & \binom{m-1}{m-1} u_{t \mid X}\end{array}\right)$
for $u_{t}$ a global section of the bundle $\operatorname{Iso}(E, E)$. (We have denoted by $u_{t \mid X}$ the restriction of the section $u_{t}$ to the boundary $X$.) Note that the inverse matrix of $\mathscr{U}_{t}$ is given by

$$
\mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
{\left[u_{t}^{-1}\right]_{X}} & 0 & \cdots & 0  \tag{3b}\\
\binom{1}{0}\left[\partial_{\nu} u_{t}^{-1}\right]_{X} & \binom{1}{1}\left[u_{t}^{-1}\right]_{X} & \cdots & 0 \\
\binom{2}{0}\left[\partial_{\nu}^{2} u_{t}^{-1}\right]_{X} & \binom{2}{1}\left[\partial_{\nu} u_{t}^{-1}\right]_{X} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\binom{m-1}{0}\left[\partial_{\nu}^{m-1} u_{t}^{-1}\right]_{X}\binom{m-1}{1}\left[\partial_{\nu}^{m-2} u_{t}^{-1}\right]_{X} & \cdots\binom{m-1}{m-1}\left[u_{t}^{-1}\right]_{X}
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is straightforward to see that $\mathscr{U}_{t}$ and $\mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1}$ belong to $I_{h}^{0}(X)$. We also have $\mathscr{U}_{t} T=T u_{t}$ and $\mathscr{C}_{t}^{-1} T=T u_{t}^{-1}$.

Without loss of generality we may suppose that $u_{0}=\mathrm{id}$, and then $\mathscr{C}_{0}=\mathrm{id}$. Thus the elliptic problem

$$
\begin{cases}L f=0 & \text { in } M  \tag{4a}\\ A \mathscr{C}_{t}^{-1} T f=h & \text { in } X\end{cases}
$$

is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L u_{t} g=0 \quad \text { in } M  \tag{4b}\\
A T g=h \quad \text { in } X
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the dependence on the parameter $t$ has been transferred to the new operator $L_{t}=L u_{t}$. In this context, we write $L_{t A}=\left(L u_{t}\right)_{A}$ and $L_{t A}^{-1}=\left(L u_{t}\right)_{A}^{-1}=$ $u_{t}^{-1} \cdot L_{A \mathscr{\mathscr { U } _ { t } ^ { - 1 }}}^{-1}$. We have:

Theorem 2. Let $L$ be a $k \times k$-matrix of elliptic invertible differential operators of order $m>0$ which are defined from the $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ sections of a complex vector bundle $\left(E, M, \pi_{E}\right)$ to the ones of $\left(F, M, \pi_{F}\right)$ both with $k$-dimensional fibers.

Let be $\left\{A_{z}\right\}$ and $\left\{B_{z}\right\}$ two families of elliptic boundary conditions for $L$, considered as elliptic pseudodifferential operators in $I_{h}^{0}(X)$, analytically depending on the parameter $z$ with $z$ belonging to an open subset $G$ of the complex plane and let $z(t):[0,1] \rightarrow G$ a differentiable path in $G$.

Furthermore, let us suppose that there exists a family $\mathscr{C b}_{x}$ of smooth sections of the bundle $\operatorname{Iso}(E, E)$, analytically depeding on $z$, with $u_{0}=\mathrm{id}$ satisfying $A_{z}=A \mathscr{U}_{z}^{-1}$ and $B_{z}=B \mathscr{U}_{z}^{-1}$ for each $z \in G$, with $\mathscr{C}_{z}$ defined from $u_{z}$ as in (3a), and $A$ and
$B$ two fixed elliptic boundary conditions for L. Let us put $u_{t}=u_{z(t)}, u_{t}^{-1}=u_{z(t)}^{-1}$, $\mathscr{U}_{t}=\mathscr{U}_{z(t)}$ and $\mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1}=\mathscr{U}_{z(t)}^{-1}$.

If
(i) the action by $u_{t}$ preserves principal symbol of each element of the Calderon's projection operator $Q$ for the operator $L$, or else
(ii) the principal symbols of $A$ and $B$ are the same, then

$$
\operatorname{det}_{n}\left\{u_{t}^{-1} L_{B \mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1}}^{-1} L_{A \mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1}} u_{t} L_{A}^{-1} L_{B}\right\}=\operatorname{det}_{n}\left(\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}\right),
$$

where $\Phi_{t A B}=A \mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1} T P_{B \mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1}}$.
Remark. Note that hypothesis (i) is fulfilled if, for instance, this transformation commutes or anticommutes with the principal symbol of $L$ or $Q$.

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are given in Sect. 2. Applications to physical examples are presented in Sect. 3. Technical lemmas about the regularity of the $p$-determinant are included in the appendix.

## 2. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

We begin by proving the following lemma which gives an easy estimate for $p$.
Lemma 3. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, the operator $I-\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}$ belongs to $I_{h}^{-1}(X)$ and $\left(I-\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}\right)^{n}$ is trace class.
Proof. Let $a, b$, and $q$ be the principal symbols of the zero order pseudodifferential operators $A, B$ and $Q$ defined over $X$. (We drop the parameter $t$ because we are going to consider each member $L_{t}$ of the family separately.) The ellipticity condition for the problems $(L, A)$ and $(L, B)$ means that the principal symbols of $A Q$ and $B Q$, $a q$ and $b q$, are $r \times k m$ matrices with maximum rank $r$ [2]. We claim that the $r \times r$ matrices $a q q^{*} a^{*}, b q q^{*} b^{*}$, and $a q q^{*} b^{*}$ are invertible. Indeed, for the first two the proof is trivial. For the last one, note that $r=\operatorname{rank}(q)=\operatorname{rank}(b q)$, implies that $b$ is injective on the $\operatorname{Im}(q)$, and so $\operatorname{Ker}(q)=\operatorname{Ker}(b q)$. Analogously, $\operatorname{Ker}(q)=\operatorname{Ker}(a q)$. From this we have $\operatorname{Im}\left(q^{*} a^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(q^{*} b^{*}\right)$ and, finally, $\operatorname{Im}\left(a q q^{*} b^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(a q q^{*} a^{*}\right)$. This proves that $\operatorname{rank}\left(a q q^{*} b^{*}\right)=r$. Then $A Q Q^{*} B^{*}$ and $B Q Q^{*} B^{*}$ are zero order elliptic pseudodifferential operators on $X$. Each of them admits a right inverse because of the finite dimension of their kernels [2]. These right inverses will be denoted by $\left(A Q Q^{*} B^{*}\right)^{-1}$ and $\left(B Q Q^{*} B^{*}\right)^{-1}$. Their principal symbols are $\left(a q q^{*} b^{*}\right)^{-1}$ and $\left(b q q^{*} b^{*}\right)^{-1}$ respectively.

Now, let us define

$$
S_{A}=Q Q^{*} B^{*}\left(A Q Q^{*} B^{*}\right)^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad S_{B}=Q Q^{*} B^{*}\left(B Q Q^{*} B^{*}\right)^{-1}
$$

They satisfy:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
B S_{B}=I, & Q S_{B}=S_{B} \\
A S_{A}=I, & Q S_{A}=S_{A}
\end{array}
$$

Since $S_{A}$ and $T P_{A}$ are right inverses of $A$, with $T$ the Cauchy data operator and $P_{A}$ the Poisson's one, and because the matrix $a$ is bijective on $\operatorname{Im}(q)$, it turns out that $T P_{A}=S_{A}+R_{A}$, where $R_{A}$ is an integral operator with infinitely differentiable kernel function [7]. Then, $\sigma_{0}\left(T P_{A}\right)=\sigma_{0}\left(S_{A}\right)$. Analogously we have $\sigma_{0}\left(T P_{B}\right)=\sigma_{0}\left(S_{B}\right)$ for the boundary condition $B$.

Note that for every $t$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{0}\left(\Phi_{t A B}^{-1}\right) & =\left(\sigma_{0}\left(\Phi_{t A B}\right)\right)^{-1}=\left(\sigma_{0}\left(A T P_{t B}\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(\sigma_{0}(A) \sigma_{0}\left(T P_{t B}\right)\right)^{-1}=\left(a . \sigma_{0}\left(S_{t B}\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(a q_{t} q_{t}^{*} b^{*}\left(b q_{t} q_{t}^{*} b^{*}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1}=b q_{t} q_{t}^{*} b^{*}\left(a q_{t} q_{t}^{*} b^{*}\right)^{-1} \\
& =b . \sigma_{0}\left(S_{t A}\right)=\sigma_{0}(B) \sigma_{0}\left(T P_{t A}\right) \\
& =\sigma_{0}\left(B T P_{t A}\right)=\sigma_{0}\left(\Phi_{t B A}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the operator $\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}$ is pseudodifferential, belongs to $I_{h}^{0}(X)$ and its principal symbol is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{0}\left(\Phi_{t A B}\right) & =\sigma_{0}\left(\Phi_{0 B A}\right) \sigma_{0}\left(\Phi_{t A B}\right) \\
& =b q_{0} q_{0}^{*} b^{*}\left(a q_{0} q_{0}^{*} b^{*}\right)^{-1} a q_{t} q_{t}^{*} b^{*}\left(b q_{t} q_{t}^{*} b^{*}\right)^{-1}=\mathrm{id}
\end{aligned}
$$

since we are assuming that $\sigma_{0}\left(L_{t}\right)=\sigma_{0}\left(L_{0}\right)$, what implies that $q_{t}=\sigma_{0}\left(Q_{t}\right)=$ $\sigma_{0}\left(Q_{0}\right)=q_{0}[2,7]$. Consequently the principal symbol of $I-\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}$ is the null matrix and so $I-\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B} \in I_{h}^{-1}(X)$. For $\operatorname{dim}(X)=n-1$, we conclude that

$$
I-\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B} \in \mathscr{T}_{n}
$$

and $\operatorname{det}_{n}\left(\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}\right)$ is well defined. Q.E.D.
We recall two relations established in [5], that we will use below:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} P_{t B} & =-L_{t B}^{-1} \frac{d}{d t}\left(L_{t}\right) P_{t B}  \tag{5.a}\\
P_{t A} A T L_{t B}^{-1} & =L_{t B}^{-1}-L_{t A}^{-1} . \tag{5.b}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 4. For any positive integer $r$ we have:

$$
\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right)\left(I-L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B} L_{t B}^{-1}\right)^{r}=\left(I-P_{t B} B T P_{0 A} A T\right)^{r}\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right)
$$

Proof. It will be enough to prove the case $r=1$. For $r>1$ the proof will follow by induction on $r$.

Since, from (5.b),

$$
A T\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right)=A T\left(-L_{t B}^{-1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad B T\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right)=B T\left(L_{t A}^{-1}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right)\left(I-L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B} L_{t B}^{-1}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right)-\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right)\left(L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B} L_{t B}^{-1}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right)-P_{t B} B T L_{t A}^{-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B} L_{t B}^{-1} \\
& \quad=\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right)-P_{t B} B T L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B} L_{t B}^{-1} \\
& \quad=\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right)-P_{t B} B T\left(L_{0 A}^{-1}-L_{0 B}^{-1}\right) L_{0 B} L_{t B}^{-1} \\
& \quad=\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right)-P_{t B} B T P_{0 A} A T\left(-L_{0 B}^{-1}\right) L_{0 B} L_{t B}^{-1} \\
& \quad=\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right)-P_{t B} B T P_{0 A} A T\left(-L_{t B}^{-1}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right)-P_{t B} B T P_{0 A} A T\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(I-P_{t B} B T P_{0 A} A T\right)\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right) . \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we are ready to give the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

Proof of Theorem 1. We know from Lemma 3 that each member of the analytic family $I-\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}, t \in[0,1]$, is a pseudodifferential operator in the class $I_{h}^{-1}(X)$. (Recall that $X=\partial M$ is a ( $n-1$ )-dimensional compact manifold without boundary.) From (5.a), (5.b) and Lemma A. 6 in the appendix, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} & \ln \operatorname{det}_{n}\left(\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}\right) \\
& =-\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(I-\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}\right)^{n-1}\left(\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}\right)^{-1} \partial_{t}\left(I-\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}\right)_{\mid \operatorname{Im}(B)}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(I-\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}\right)^{n-1} \Phi_{t A B}^{-1} \Phi_{0 A B} \Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \partial_{t}\left(\Phi_{t A B}\right)_{\mid \operatorname{Im}(B)}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(I-B T P_{0 A} A T P_{t B}\right)^{n-1} B T P_{t A} A T \partial_{t}\left(P_{t B}\right)_{\mid \operatorname{Im}(B)}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(I-B T P_{0 A} A T P_{t B}\right)^{n-1} B T P_{t A} A T\left(-L_{t B}^{-1} \cdot \partial_{t}\left(L_{t}\right) \cdot P_{t B}\right)_{\mid \operatorname{Im}(B)}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(I-B T P_{0 A} A T P_{t B}\right)^{n-1} B T\left(P_{t A} A T\left(-L_{t B}^{-1}\right)\right) \cdot \partial_{t}\left(L_{t}\right) \cdot P_{t B \mid \operatorname{Im}(B)}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(I-B T P_{0 A} A T P_{t B}\right)^{n-1} B T\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right) \cdot \partial_{t}\left(L_{t}\right) \cdot P_{t B \mid \operatorname{Im}(B)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $P_{t B}$ is an isomorphism betwee $\operatorname{Im}(B)$ and $\operatorname{Ker}\left(L_{t}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t} \ln \operatorname{det}_{n}\left(\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}\right) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{Tr}\left\{P_{t B}\left(I-B T P_{0 A} A T P_{t B}\right)^{n-1} B T\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right) \cdot \partial_{t}\left(L_{t}\right)_{\mid \operatorname{Ker}\left(L_{t}\right)}\right\} \\
& \quad=\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(I-P_{t B} B T P_{0 A} A T\right)^{n-1} P_{t B} B T\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right) \cdot \partial_{t}\left(L_{t}\right)_{\mid \operatorname{Ker}\left(L_{t}\right)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (5.b) and the definition of Green's function, $P_{t B} B T\left(L_{t B}^{-1}\right)=0$ and so,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{t} \ln \operatorname{det}_{n}\left(\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}\right) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(I-P_{t B} B T P_{0 A} A T\right)^{n-1}\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right) \cdot \partial_{t}\left(L_{t}\right)_{\mid \operatorname{Ker}\left(L_{t}\right)}\right\} \\
& \quad=\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right)\left(I-L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B} L_{t B}^{-1}\right)^{n-1} \cdot \partial_{t}\left(L_{t}\right)_{\mid \operatorname{Ker}\left(L_{t}\right)}\right\} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} \ln & \operatorname{det}_{n}\left(L_{t B}^{-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B}\right) \\
= & -\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(I-L_{t B}^{-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B}\right)^{n-1} L_{0 B}^{-1} L_{0 A} L_{t A}^{-1} L_{t B}\right. \\
& \left.\times \partial_{t}\left(I-L_{t B}^{-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B}\right)_{\mid \operatorname{Ker}\left(L_{t}\right)}\right\} \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(I-L_{t B}^{-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B}\right)^{n-1} L_{0 B}^{-1} L_{0 A} L_{t A}^{-1} L_{t B}\right. \\
& \left.\times\left[-L_{t B}^{-1} \cdot \partial_{t}\left(L_{t}\right) L_{t B}^{-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B}+L_{t B}^{-1} \cdot \partial_{t}\left(L_{t}\right) L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B}\right]_{\mid \operatorname{Ker}\left(L_{t}\right)}\right\} \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(I-L_{t B}^{-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B}\right)^{n-1} L_{0 B}^{-1} L_{0 A} L_{t A}^{-1} L_{t B} L_{t B}^{-1} \cdot \partial_{t}\left(L_{t}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left[L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right] L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B \mid \operatorname{Ker}\left(L_{1}\right)}\right\} \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left[L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right] L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B}\left(I-L_{t B}^{-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B}\right)^{n-1}\right. \\
& \left.\times L_{0 B}^{-1} L_{0 A} L_{t A}^{-1} \cdot \partial_{t}\left(L_{t}\right)_{\mid \operatorname{Ker}\left(L_{t}\right)}\right\} \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left[L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right]\left(I-L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B} L_{t B}^{-1}\right)^{n-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1}\right. \\
& \left.\times L_{0 B} L_{0 B}^{-1} L_{0 A} L_{t A}^{-1} \cdot \partial_{t}\left(L_{t}\right)_{\mid \operatorname{Ker}\left(L_{t}\right)}\right\} \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left[L_{t A}^{-1}-L_{t B}^{-1}\right]\left(I-L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B} L_{t B}^{-1}\right)^{n-1} \partial_{t}\left(L_{t}\right)_{\mid \operatorname{Ker}\left(L_{t}\right)}\right\} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

From (6) and (7), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \ln \operatorname{det}_{n}\left(L_{t B}^{-1} L_{t A} L_{0 A}^{-1} L_{0 B}\right)=\partial_{t} \ln _{\operatorname{det}_{n}}\left(\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} F_{t A B}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in[0,1]$. In particular the l.h.s. of (8) is finite.
By integrating from 0 to $t$ and taking exponentials, we get the theorem. Q.E.D.
The following lemma will be used for the proof of Theorem 2.
Lemma 5. Let $q$ and $q_{t}$ be the principal symbols of the Calderón's projectors $Q$ and $Q_{t}$ for the operators $L$ and $L_{t}=L u_{t}$, respectively. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2 we have $\left(q_{t}\right)_{h l}=u_{t}^{-1} q_{h l} u_{t}$, for all $h, l=1,2, \ldots, m$.

Proof. As in the introduction, let us consider the family of the operators $L_{t}=L u_{t}$, where $u_{t}$ is the nonsingular multiplicative operator. Its principal symbol is the matrix $u_{t}$.

Recall that the principal symbol of $L_{t}$ is given by $\sigma_{0}\left(L_{t}\right)=\sigma_{0}\left(L u_{t}\right)=$ $\sigma_{0}(L) \sigma_{0}\left(u_{t}\right)=\sigma_{0}(L) u_{t}$.

In each local chart $(\mathscr{O}, \varphi)$, the principal symbol $q_{t}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}, \xi^{\prime}, \xi_{n}\right)$ can be computed by means of the expansion of the principal symbol of $L_{t}$ in powers of the conormal variable $\xi_{n}$ :

$$
\sigma_{0}\left(L_{t}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}, \xi^{\prime}, \xi_{n}\right)=\sigma_{0}(L)\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}, \xi^{\prime}, \xi_{n}\right) u_{t}=\sum_{j=0}^{m} \sigma_{m-j}(t)\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}, \xi^{\prime}\right) \cdot \xi_{n}^{j}
$$

where each $\sigma_{m-j}(t)\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{m-j}(0)\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}, \xi^{\prime}\right) u_{t}$ and $\sigma_{0}(L)\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}, \xi^{\prime}, \xi_{n}\right)=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{m} \sigma_{m-j}(0)\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}, \xi^{\prime}\right) \cdot \xi_{n}^{j}$. The symbol $q_{t}$ is an $m \times m$ block matrix, each of one is a $k \times k$ matrix given by (see $[2,7]$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(q_{t}\right)_{h l}= & \frac{i}{2 \pi} \int_{\Gamma}\left(\sigma_{0}\left(L_{t}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}, \xi^{\prime}, \xi_{n}\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& \times \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sigma_{m-\jmath}(t)\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}, \xi^{\prime}\right) \cdot \xi_{n}^{j-l+h-1} \cdot\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{l-h} d \xi_{n} \\
= & \frac{i}{2 \pi} \int_{\Gamma} u_{t}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{0}(L)\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}, \xi^{\prime}, \xi_{n}\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& \times \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sigma_{m-j}(0)\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}, \xi^{\prime}\right) u_{t} \cdot \xi_{n}^{j-l+h-1}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{l-h} d \xi_{n} \\
= & u_{t}^{-1} q_{h l} u_{t} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

for $h l=1,2, \ldots, m$, where $\Gamma$ is any simple closed contour oriented clockwise and enclosing all poles of the integrand in $\operatorname{Im} \xi_{n}<0$ and $\sigma_{m-j}(t)$ are the symbols of the differential operators of order $m-j$ in the tangential variables $\xi^{\prime}$.

In particular, formula (9) tells us that the rank of the matrix $q_{t}$ does not depend on $t$, that is, it remains equal to $r$, the rank of $q$. Q.E.D.
Proof of Theorem 2. Recall that $\mathscr{C}_{t} T=T u_{t}$ and $\mathscr{C}_{t}^{-1} T=T u_{t}^{-1}$, for all $t$, and then $\mathscr{U}_{t} \mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1}=\mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1} \mathscr{U}_{t}=\mathrm{id}$.

Pick up the Poisson's map $P_{B_{t}}$ of the problem $L_{B_{t}}=\left(L, B_{t}\right)$. (See near Theorem 1.) Because of the nature of $u_{t}^{-1}$ and $\mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1}$ it is easy to show that $\operatorname{Im}(B)=\operatorname{Im}\left(B_{t}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Ker}\left(L_{t}\right)=u_{t}^{-1}(\operatorname{Ker} L)$. Let us consider $P_{t B}=u_{t}^{-1} P_{B_{t}}$. It
results that $P_{t B}$ is the Poisson's map of the problem $L_{t B}=\left(L_{t}, B\right)$; recall that $L_{t}=L u_{t}$. In fact, $P_{t B}$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{align*}
B T P_{t B \mid \operatorname{Im}(B)} & =B T u_{t}^{-1} P_{B_{t} \mid \operatorname{Im}\left(B_{t}\right)}=B \mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1} T P_{B_{t} \mid \operatorname{Im}\left(B_{t}\right)}  \tag{i}\\
& =B_{t} T P_{B_{t} \mid \operatorname{Im}\left(B_{t}\right)}=I_{\mid \operatorname{Im}\left(B_{t}\right)}=I_{\mid \operatorname{Im}(B)},
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{t B} B T_{\mid \operatorname{Ker}\left(L_{t}\right)} & =u_{t}^{-1} P_{B_{t}} B T_{\mid u_{t}^{-1}(\operatorname{Ker}(L))}=u_{t}^{-1} P_{B_{t}} B T u_{t \mid \operatorname{Ker}(L)}^{-1}  \tag{ii}\\
& =u_{t}^{-1} P_{B_{t}} B \mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1} T_{\mid \operatorname{Ker}(L)}=u_{t}^{-1} P_{B_{t}} B_{t} T_{\mid \operatorname{Ker}(L)} \\
& =u_{t}^{-1} I_{\mid \operatorname{Ker}(L)}=I_{\mid \operatorname{Ker}\left(L_{t}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, the relationship between the Forman's maps $\Phi_{t A B}$ and $\Phi_{A_{t} B_{t}}$ associated to the problems $L_{t B}$ and $L_{t A}$, respectively, is given by:

$$
\Phi_{t A B}=A \mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1} T P_{B_{t}}=A_{t} T P_{B_{t}}=\Phi_{A_{t} B_{t}} .
$$

The proof continues now in the same way as the precedent one. The slight difference becomes when it is necessary to show that $I-\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B} \in I_{h}^{-1}(X)$. Because this operator belongs to $I_{h}^{0}(X)$, it is enough to see that its principal symbol is the null matrix. Indeed, when hypothesis (i) is satisfied, the proof of Lemma 3 applies. When hypothesis (ii) holds, $a=\sigma_{0}(A)=\sigma_{0}(B)=b$ and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{0}\left(I-\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}\right) & =\mathrm{id}-\sigma_{0}\left(\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1}\right) \sigma_{0}\left(\Phi_{t A B}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{id}-b q q^{*} b^{*}\left(a q q^{*} b^{*}\right)^{-1} a q_{t} q_{t}^{*} b^{*}\left(b q_{t} q_{t}^{*} b^{*}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\mathrm{id}-\mathrm{id}=0 . \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Some Applications

### 3.1. The Laplacian in the Disc

Let us consider the differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=-\Delta+\lambda^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

acting on the functions $f(r, \theta)$ defined in the disc

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\{(r, \theta): 0 \leq r \leq R, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{t} T f(R, \theta)=a \partial_{r} f(R, \theta)+(1-t a) f(R, \theta) \\
& B_{t} T f(R, \theta)=f(R, \theta) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

If $u_{t}(r)$ is any smooth function such that $u_{t}^{-1}(R)=1$ and $\partial_{r} u_{t}^{-1}(R)=-t$, for $t>0$, the matrix $\mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1}$ given by (3b) is $\mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ -t & 1\end{array}\right)$. We are interested in $t$ 's near $\frac{1}{a}$, because the first condition in (12) becomes a Neumann's condition type.

We see that the boundary conditions $A_{t}$ and $B_{t}$ satisfy $A_{t}=A \mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1}, B_{t}=B \mathscr{U}_{t}^{-1}$, with $A$ and $B$ the $1 \times 2$ matrices $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & a\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ respectively.

Then, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A T f(R, \theta)=a \partial_{r} f(R, \theta)+f(R, \theta) \\
& B T f(R, \theta)=f(R, \theta) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

As in Theorem 2, (10) is transformed into:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{t}=L u_{t}=-\Delta u_{t}+\lambda^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions $A$ and $B$.
If $\Phi_{t A B}$ is expanded in the basis $\left\{e^{i k \theta}\right\}_{k \in \mathbf{Z}}$ of the kernel of $L$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi_{t A B} e^{\imath k^{\prime} \theta}, e^{\imath k \theta}\right\rangle=\left[(1-t a)+a \lambda \frac{I_{k}^{\prime}(\lambda R)}{I_{k}(\lambda R)}\right] \delta_{k k^{\prime}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{k}(z)$ is the modified $k$-Bessel function for $\lambda \neq 0$, and $I_{k}(z)=r^{|k|}$ for $\lambda=0$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ [1]. The operator $I-\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}$ is not trace class, but from Theorem 2, we know that it is Hilbert-Schmidt. Note that hypothesis (i) of Theorem 2 is fulfilled because $\sigma_{0}(L)$ commutes with $u_{t}$.

Finally, for $\lambda \neq 0$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det}_{2}\left(u_{t}^{-1} L_{B / K_{t}^{-1}}^{-1} u_{t} L_{A}^{-1} L_{B}\right)=\operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}\right) \\
& \quad=\prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{1-\frac{t a}{1+a \lambda \frac{I_{k}^{\prime}(\lambda R)}{I_{k}(\lambda R)}}\right\} \exp \left\{\frac{t a}{1+a \lambda \frac{I_{k}^{\prime}(\lambda R)}{I_{k}(\lambda R)}}\right\} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

and, for $\lambda=0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det}_{2}\left(u_{t}^{-1} L_{B \|_{t}^{-1}}^{-1} u_{t} L_{A}^{-1} L_{B}\right)=\operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{t A B}\right) \\
& \quad=\prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{1-\frac{t a}{1+|k| \frac{a}{R}}\right\} \exp \left\{\frac{t a}{1+|k| \frac{a}{R}}\right\} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

3.2. Bosonic Field at Temperature $\frac{1}{\beta}>0$

Let us consider the differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=-\Delta-\partial_{t}^{2}+m^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the three-dimensional manifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\left\{\left(r e^{\imath \theta}, t\right): 0 \leq r \leq R, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi, 0 \leq t \leq \beta\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $t$ the temporal coordinate. $L$ acts on periodic functions in the $t$-direction satisfying $A \mathscr{U}_{s}^{-1} T f=0$ and $B \mathscr{U}_{s}^{-1} T f=0$ in $r=R$, with $A$ and $B$ the boundary conditions defined in (12) and the transformations $u_{s}$ and $\mathscr{U}_{s}$ as in the previous example. Now we have that $\Phi_{s A B}$ is diagonal in the basis of the functions $\left\{e^{i k \theta+i \omega_{n} s}\right\}_{n, k \in \mathbf{Z}}$ defined on the boundary of $M$ with $\omega_{n}=\frac{2 n \pi}{\beta}$.

Since $\sigma_{0}(L)$ and $u_{s}$ commute, we have from Theorem 2 that the operator ( $I-$ $\left.\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{s A B}\right)^{p}$ is trace class if $p=3=\operatorname{dim}(M)$, as it was shown by means of hard computation in [1]. Furthermore, we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det}_{3}\left(u_{s}^{-1} L_{B \varkappa_{s}^{-1}}^{-1} L_{A \varkappa_{s}^{-1}} u_{s} L_{A}^{-1} L_{B}\right)=\prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{1-\frac{s a}{1+a \lambda_{n} \frac{I_{k}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{n} R\right)}{I_{k}\left(\lambda_{n} R\right)}}\right\} \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{\frac{s a}{1+a \lambda_{n} \frac{I_{k}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{n} R\right)}{I_{k}\left(\lambda_{n} R\right)}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{s a}{1+a \lambda_{n} \frac{I_{k}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{n} R\right)}{I_{k}\left(\lambda_{n} R\right)}}\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.3. Variable External Field

We now consider (18) and (19) with an external field $u_{s}(r, \theta)$ such that:

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{s}(R, \theta) & =1 \\
u_{s} \partial_{r} u_{s}^{-1}(R, \theta) & =-s \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} C_{l} e^{i l \theta} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\mathscr{U}_{s}(R, \theta)$ obtained from $u_{s}$ as in (3a).
For instance, we can take $u_{s}(r, \theta)=e^{s g(r) f(\theta)}$, where $g(r)$ is a smooth function vanishing in $[0, \varepsilon)$, for some small $\varepsilon>0$ and behaving like $r-R$ in $(R-\varepsilon, R]$, and $f(\theta)$ is the $2 \pi$-periodic function given by $f(\theta)=\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} C_{l} e^{2 l \theta}$.

Since only boundary conditions were modified, we can consider the same basis as before for the kernel of $L$. As it was shown in [1] after a direct algebra it results:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{s A B}\right)_{k^{\prime} k}^{n^{\prime} n}=\left\{\delta_{k^{\prime} k}-\frac{s a C_{k^{\prime}-k}}{1+a \lambda_{n} \frac{I_{k}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{n} R\right)}{I_{k}\left(\lambda_{n} R\right)}}\right\} \delta^{n^{\prime} n} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\sigma_{0}(L)$ commutes $u_{s}$, hypothesis (i) is satisfied and so we get that $\operatorname{det}_{p}$ is finite if $p=\operatorname{dim}(M)=3$.

### 3.4. Free Energy of a Four-Dimensional Chiral Bag

As in [3], let us consider a theory of free massless fermions confined to a spherical cavity of fixed radius $R$ and interacting at the boundary with a hedgehog configuration of an external pionic field.

This theory can be described by the first order differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=i \not \partial=i \sum_{\jmath=0}^{3} \gamma_{\jmath} \partial_{x_{\jmath}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

acting on $t$-antiperiodic sections over the manifold $M=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{4}:|x| \leq R\right\}$, for $t \in[0, \beta]$, with full symbol $\sigma(L)(x, \xi)=i \sum_{j=0}^{3} \gamma_{j} \xi_{j}$, where $x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$, $\xi=\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right), \mathrm{id}_{4}$ the $4 \times 4$ identity matrix and $\gamma_{j}$ are $4 \times 4$ Dirac matrices satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\gamma_{j}, \gamma_{k}\right\}=2 \delta_{j k} \mathrm{id}_{4}, \quad j, k=0,1,2,3 \\
& \gamma_{5}=i \gamma_{0} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

The corresponding boundary conditions are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A T \psi=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+i \not M e^{-2 \theta \tau \cdot \eta \gamma_{5}}\right) \psi=0 \quad \text { in } \quad r=R \\
& B T \psi=\frac{1}{2}(1+i \not ŋ) \psi=0 \quad \text { in } \quad r=R \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\eta$ the outward normal to the bag surface and $\tau \cdot \eta=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \tau^{\jmath} \eta_{j}$, where $\tau^{\jmath}(j=$ $1,2,3)$ are the Pauli matrices. Let us take as $u_{s}$ the constant matrix $u_{s}=e^{-i s \tau \cdot \eta \gamma_{5}}$. It turns out that $\mathscr{U}_{s}$ is the same matrix.

We claim that the hypothesis (i) of Theorem 2 is fulfilled. To see this, note that in a local chart intersecting the boundary $\partial M=S^{3}$, with tangential coordinates $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, cotangential $\xi^{\prime}=\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ and conormal $\xi_{3}$, we have from (23):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(L)=\sigma_{0}(L)=a_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)+a_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right) \xi_{3} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)=i \sum_{j=0}^{2} \gamma_{j} \xi_{j}$ and $a_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)=i \gamma_{3}$.
Following [2] we write:

$$
\begin{align*}
q\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{i}{2 \pi} \int_{\Gamma}\left(\sigma_{0}(L)\right)^{-1} a_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right) d \xi_{3} \\
& =\frac{i}{2 \pi} \int_{\Gamma}\left(a_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)^{-1} a_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)+\xi_{3} \mathrm{id}_{4}\right)^{-1} d \xi_{3} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Gamma$ is any simple close contour oriented clockwise and enclosing all poles of the integrand in $\operatorname{Im}\left(\xi_{3}\right)<0$.

Taking into account that $\gamma_{3}^{-1}=\gamma_{3}$, the integrand in (27) can be written as:

$$
\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2} \gamma_{3} \gamma_{j} \xi_{j}+\xi_{3} \mathrm{id}_{4}\right)^{-1}
$$

It is clear from (24) that it commutes with $\gamma_{5}$ and so $q$ commutes with $\mathscr{U}_{s}$.
Finally, we get that $\operatorname{det}_{4}\left(u_{s}^{-1} L_{B थ \mathscr{O}_{s}^{-1}}^{-1} L_{A \mathscr{O}}^{-1} u_{s} L_{A}^{-1} L_{B}\right)$ is finite and equal to $\operatorname{det}_{4}\left(\Phi_{0 A B}^{-1} \Phi_{s A B}\right)$.

## 4. Appendix: Some Technical Lemmas

We prove in this appendix technical lemmas related to the differentiability of the trace and the $p$-determinant for bounded operators.

We will denote by $\mathscr{C}(H)$ the space of bounded linear operators on a separable Hilbert space $H$, by $\mathscr{F}_{p}$, the $p^{\text {th }}$ Schatten class operators on $H$ and by $\mathbf{C}$ the complex plane.

The demonstration techniques we shall use are inspired in [6].
4.I. The case of trace class operators $\left(\mathscr{T}_{1}\right)$

Lemma A.1. Let $A(z): G \rightarrow \mathscr{T}_{1}$ a holomorphic map from an open subset $G$ of $\mathbf{C}$ to the ideal $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ endowed with the norm of $\mathscr{D}(H)$. Suppose that the trace norm of $A(z),\|A(z)\|_{1}$ is bounded on every compact subset of $G$. Then the function $\operatorname{det}_{1}(I-A(z)): G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is holomorphic.
Proof. Let $\left\{\Phi_{j}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ be an orthogonal basis of $H$ and for each $n \geq 1$, let $P_{n}$ be the orthogonal projection onto the subspace spanned by $\left\{\Phi_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$.

Let us define $A_{n}(z)=P_{n} A(z) P_{n}$. Since, for each fixed $z \in G, A_{n}(z) \rightarrow A(z)$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathscr{T}_{1}$-norm,

$$
\operatorname{det}_{1}(I-A(z))=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{det}_{1}\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right),
$$

because $\operatorname{det}_{1}$ is continuous in this norm.
For $A(z)$ is holomorphic on $G$,

$$
\operatorname{det}_{1}\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\delta_{j k}-\left(A(z) \Phi_{k}, \Phi_{j}\right)\right)_{j, k=1, \ldots, n}
$$

is holomorphic on $G$ and $\operatorname{det}_{1}(I-A(z))$ is a measurable function.
Then, for each $n$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}_{1}\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|w-z|=r} \frac{\operatorname{det}_{1}\left(I-A_{n}(w)\right)}{w-z} d w \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the path $\{|w-z|=r\} \subset G$, is nonclockwise oriented. If we denote by $\lambda_{j}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)$ and $z_{j}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)$ the eigenvalues and the singular values of the operator $A_{n}(z)$ respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{det}_{1}\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)\right| & =\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left|1-\lambda_{j}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right| \\
& \leq \prod_{j=1}^{n} 1+\left|\lambda_{j}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right| \\
& \leq \prod_{j=1}^{n} 1+z_{j}\left(A_{n}(z)\right) \\
& \leq \prod_{j=1}^{n} e^{z_{\jmath}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)} \\
& =e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)} \\
& =e^{\left\|A_{n}(z)\right\|_{1}} \leq e^{\|A(z)\|_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is bounded by hypothesis for $z \in K$, being $K$ any compact subset of $G$.

Finally, by applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we have from (A.1) the integral representation

$$
\operatorname{det}_{1}(I-A(z))=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|w-z|=r} \frac{\operatorname{det}_{1}(I-A(w))}{w-z} d w
$$

which implies that $\operatorname{det}_{1}(I-A(z))$ is holomorphic in $G$. Q.E.D.
Lemma A.2. Under the hypothesis of Lemma A. 1 we have:
(a) the derivative operator of $A(z)$ is trace class for all $z \in G$;
(b) the function $\operatorname{Tr}(A(z))$ is holomorphic in $G$;
(c) $\partial_{z}[\operatorname{Tr}(A(z))]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\partial_{z} A(z)\right]$.

Remark. Since $\mathscr{T}_{1}$ endowed with the operator norm is not a closed subspace of $\mathscr{L}(H)$, the claim (a) is not obvious.
Proof. We will prove (a) by showing that the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\langle\partial_{z} A(z) \phi_{\jmath}, \phi_{\jmath}\right\rangle$ is absolutely convergent for all $z \in G$ and any orthonormal basis $\left\{\phi_{j}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ of $H$. By hypothesis, the functions $a_{j}(z)=\left\langle\partial_{z} A(z) \phi_{j}, \phi_{j}\right\rangle: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ are holomorphic. Then the sequence $S_{n}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}(z)$ of holomorphic functions in $G$ tends to $\operatorname{Tr}(A(z))$ and is uniformly bounded in compact sets of $G$, because the hypothesis and the following inequality

$$
\left|S_{n}(z)\right| \leq \sum_{\jmath=1}^{n}\left|a_{\jmath}(z)\right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{\jmath}(z)\right|=\|A(z)\|_{1}
$$

For the path $\gamma=\{w-z \mid=r\} \subset G$, it is valid the integral representation

$$
S_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{S_{n}(w)}{w-z} d w
$$

and applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we get:

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(A(z))=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(A(w))}{w-z} d w
$$

This shows that the function $\operatorname{Tr}(A(z))$ is holomorphic in $G$ and then

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{z}[\operatorname{Tr}(A(z))] & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \partial_{z}\left(S_{n}(z)\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{z}\left\langle A(z) \phi_{j}, \phi_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle\partial_{z} A(z) \phi_{j}, \phi_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\left\langle\partial_{z} A(z) \phi_{j}, \phi_{j}\right\rangle, \tag{A.2}
\end{align*}
$$

independently of the choice of the orthonormal basis $\left\{\phi_{\}}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$. In particular this formula is independent of any rearrangement of the basis and the series is absolutely convergent. So $\partial_{z} A(z)$ is trace class and the equality (A.2) can be written as

$$
\partial_{z}[\operatorname{Tr}(A(z))]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\partial_{z} A(z)\right] . \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
$$

Lemma A.3. Under the hypothesis of Lemma A.l we have:

$$
\partial_{z} \ln \left(\operatorname{det}_{1}(I-A(z))=-\operatorname{Tr}\left[(I-A(z))^{-1} \partial_{z}(A(z))\right] .\right.
$$

Proof. Arguing as in Lemma A. 2 for the function $\ln \operatorname{det}_{1}(I-A(z))$, being $z$ such that $\operatorname{det}_{1}(I-A(z)) \neq 0$, we have:

$$
\ln \left[\operatorname{det}_{1}(I-A(z))\right]=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \ln \left[\operatorname{det}_{1}\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)\right]
$$

and

$$
\partial_{z} \ln \left[\operatorname{det}_{1}(I-A(z))\right]=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \partial_{z} \ln \left[\operatorname{det}_{1}\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)\right]
$$

For the finite dimension matrices $A_{n}(z)$ of Lemma A. 1 is valid that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{z} \ln \left[\operatorname{det}_{1}\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)\right] & =\partial_{z} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\ln \left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)\right] \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1} \partial_{z}\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)\right] \\
& =-\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1} \partial_{z} A_{n}(z)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and moreover $\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1} \rightarrow(I-A(z))^{-1}$ in $\mathscr{T}_{1}$.
Then by the continuity of the functional Tr in the ideal $\mathscr{\mathscr { F }}_{1}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{z} \ln \left[\operatorname{det}_{1}(I-A(z))\right] & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}-\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1} \partial_{z} A_{n}(z)\right] \\
& =-\operatorname{Tr}\left[(I-A(z))^{-1} \partial_{z} A(z)\right] . \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
\end{aligned}
$$

4.II. The case of operators in the Schatten's ideal $.7_{p}, p>1$ )

Lemma A.4. Let $A(z): G \rightarrow \mathscr{T}_{p}$ a holomorphic map from an open subset $G$ of $\mathbf{C}$ to the ideal $\mathscr{I}_{p}$ endowed with the norm of $\mathscr{S}(H)$. Suppose that the $p^{\text {th }}$-Schatten ideal norm of $A(z),\|A(z)\|_{p}$ is bounded on every compact subset of $G$. Then the function $\operatorname{det}_{p}(I-A(z)): G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is holomorphic.

Proof. Following [9], we have

$$
R_{p}(A(z))=I-(I-A(z)) e^{A(z)+\frac{(A(z))^{2}}{2}+\ldots+\frac{(A(z))^{p-1}}{p-1}}
$$

where $R_{p}(z)=1-(1-z) e^{z+\frac{z^{2}}{2}+\ldots+\frac{z^{p-1}}{p-1}}$ is an entire function. By hypothesis $A(z) \in \mathscr{\mathscr { F }}_{p}$, then $R_{p}(A(z)) \in \mathscr{T}_{1}$ and $\operatorname{det}_{p}(I-A(z))=\operatorname{det}_{1}\left(I-R_{p}(A(z))\right)$.

We are going to see that $R_{p}(A(z))$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma A.1. In order to show that $R_{p}(A(z)): G \rightarrow \mathscr{Y}_{1}$ is a holomorphic function, we write

$$
R_{p}(A(z))=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{z}} R_{p}(\lambda)(\lambda-A(z))^{-1} d \lambda
$$

with $\Gamma_{z}$ such that the spectrum $\sigma(A(z))$ is contained in its interior. For instance, we can take $\Gamma_{z}=\{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} /|\lambda|=\mathbf{2}\|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{z})\|\}$, nonclockwise oriented.

If $r>0$ is such that $\{z \in \mathbf{C} /|\mathbf{z}|<\mathbf{r}\} \subset \mathbf{G}$ and $h \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|h| \leq r / 2$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{R_{p}(A(z+h))-R_{p}(A(z))}{h} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{z}} R_{p}(\lambda) \frac{\left[(\lambda-A(z+h))^{-1}-(\lambda-A(z))^{-1}\right]}{h} d \lambda \\
& \quad=\frac{-1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{z}} R_{p}(\lambda)(\lambda-A(z+h))^{-1} \frac{(A(z)-A(z+h))}{h}(\lambda-A(z))^{-1} d \lambda . \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By the mean value theorem between Banach spaces we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|A(z)-A(z+h)\|_{H, H} & \leq|h| \max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left\|\partial_{z}(A(z+t h))\right\|_{H, H} \\
& \leq|h| \max _{|\nu| \leq 3 r / 2}\left\|\partial_{\nu}(A(\nu))\right\|_{H, H} \\
& =C|h|
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(\lambda-A(z+h))^{-1}=(\lambda-A(z))^{-1}\left[I+(A(z)-A(z+h))(\lambda-A(z))^{-1}\right]^{-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|(\lambda-A(z+h))^{-1}\right\|_{H, H} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|(\lambda-A(z))^{-1}\right\|_{H, H}\left\|\left[I+(A(z)-A(z+h))(\lambda-A(z))^{-1}\right]^{-1}\right\|_{H, H} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|(\lambda-A(z))^{-1}\right\|_{H, H}\left[1-\|\left(A(z)-A(z+h)\left\|_{H, H}\right\|(\lambda-A(z))^{-1} \|_{H, H}\right]^{-1}\right. \\
& \quad \leq 2\left\|(\lambda-A(z))^{-1}\right\|_{H, H}, \quad \text { for all } \lambda \in \Gamma_{z} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, by the continuity of $A(z)$ in $\mathscr{L}(H)$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $|h|<\delta$ then $\|A(z)-A(z+h)\|_{H, H}>\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\max _{\lambda \in \Gamma_{z}}\left\|(\lambda-A(z))^{-1}\right\|_{H, H}}$. Taking $h$ such that $|h|<\min \{\delta, 3 r / 2\}$ we have $\|A(z)-A(z+h)\|_{H, H}\left\|(\lambda-A(z))^{-1}\right\|_{H, H}<\frac{1}{2}$ uniformly in $\lambda$ for $\lambda \in \Gamma_{z}$.

So, the function under the integral sign in (A.3) is bounded in $\mathscr{C}(H)$-norm by a $\lambda$-integrable function, for all $h$ close to zero. By Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we have that the function $R_{p}(A(z))$ from $G$ to $H$ is holomorphic.

Moreover, writing $R_{p}(z)=z^{p} h(z)$, with $h(z)$ an entire function such that $h(0)=\frac{1}{p} \neq 0$, it results $R_{p}(A(z))=(A(z))^{p} h(A(z))$. Since $h(A(z))$ belongs to $\mathscr{B}(H)$ and $(A(z))^{p}$ is trace class, $R_{p}(A(z))$ is trace class and

$$
\left\|R_{p}(A(z))\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|(A(z))^{p}\right\|_{1}\|h(A(h))\|_{H, H} \leq\|A(z)\|_{p}^{p}\|h(A(z))\|_{H, H}
$$

This inequality ensures us that $R_{p}(A(z))$ is uniformly bounded in every compact subset of $G$, because the first factor is so by hypothesis and the second one is a continuous function in $z$ restricted to a compact subset of $G$. Finally, by Lemma A. 1 we conclude that the function $\operatorname{det}_{p}(I-A(z))$ is holomorphic. Q.E.D.

Lemma A.5. Under the hypothesis of Lemma A. 4 we have:
(a) the derivative operator $\partial_{z} A(z)$ belongs to the ideal $\mathscr{F}_{p}$ for all $z \in G$;
(b) the function $\operatorname{Tr}\left[(A(z))^{p}\right]$ is holomorphic on $G$;
(c) $\partial_{z}\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left[(A(z))^{p}\right]\right]=p \operatorname{Tr}\left[(A(z))^{p-1} \partial_{z} A(z)\right]$.

Proof. $A(z)$ holomorphic implies that in the $\mathscr{B}(H)$-norm,

$$
\partial_{z}(A(z))=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{A(w)}{(w-z)^{2}} d w
$$

where $\Gamma=\{|w-z|=r\} \subset G$ is a nonclockwise oriented path and $r>0$ is close to zero. From the hypothesis of boundness of $\|A(z)\|$ on compact subsets, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\partial_{z}(A(z))\right\|_{p} & \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \sup _{\Gamma}\|A(z)\|_{p} \frac{2 \pi r}{r^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{r} \sup _{\Gamma}\|A(z)\|_{p}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\partial_{z}(A(z)) \in \mathscr{F}_{p}$.
The claim (b) is a direct application of Lemma A.2. To prove (c) note that according to Lemma A. 2 and the cyclic property of trace we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{z} \operatorname{Tr}\left[A(z)^{p}\right] & =\operatorname{Tr}\left[\partial_{z}(A(z))^{p}\right] \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{p} A(z)^{j-1} \partial_{z}(A(z)) A(z)^{p-\jmath}\right] \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{p} \operatorname{Tr}\left[A(z)^{j-1} \partial_{z}(A(z)) A(z)^{p-\jmath}\right] \\
& =\sum_{\jmath=1}^{p} \operatorname{Tr}\left[A(z)^{p-1} \partial_{z}(A(z))\right] \\
& =p \operatorname{Tr}\left[A(z)^{p-1} \partial_{z}(A(z))\right] \text {. Q.E.D. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma A.6. Under the hypothesis of Lemma A. 4 we have

$$
\partial_{z} \ln \operatorname{det}_{p}(I-A(z))=-\operatorname{Tr}\left[(I-A(z))^{-1}(A(z))^{p-1} \partial_{z}(A(z))\right]
$$

Proof. For all $z \in G$ such that $I-A(z)$ is invertible, we have

$$
\ln \operatorname{det}_{p}(1-A(z))=\ln \operatorname{det}_{1}\left(1-R_{p}(A(z))\right)
$$

with $R_{p}(A(z))$ as before.
From Lemmas A. 3 and A.4, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{z} \ln \operatorname{det}_{p}(I-A(z)) & =\partial_{z} \ln \operatorname{det}_{1}\left(I-R_{p}(A(z))\right) \\
& =-\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(I-R_{p}(A(z))\right)^{-1} \partial_{z}\left(R_{p}(A(z))\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left\{\phi_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis of $H$, and $P_{n}$ be the orthogonal projection onto the subspace generated by $\left\{\phi_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}$. Then $A(z)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{n}(z)$ in the norm of $\mathscr{T}_{p}$ being $A_{n}(z)=P_{n} A(z) P_{n}$.

Note that for all positive infeger $r$ such that $1 \leq r \leq p, A_{n}(z)^{r} \rightarrow A(z)^{r}$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the ideal $\mathscr{T}_{p / r}$-norm because $A(z)^{r} \in \mathscr{T}_{p / r}$ and $A_{n}(z)^{r}=P_{n} A(z)^{r} P_{n}$.

On the other hand, if $h(z)$ is an $z$-entire function, for $\Gamma$ a path which surrounds the spectrum of $A(z)$, and $z \in G$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|h\left(A_{n}(z)\right)-h(A(z))\right\|_{H, H} \\
& \quad=\left\|\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} h(\lambda)\left[\left(\lambda-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1}-(\lambda-A(z))^{-1}\right] d \lambda\right\|_{H, H} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\Gamma}|h(\lambda)|\left\|\left[\left(\lambda-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1}-(\lambda-A(z))^{-1}\right]\right\|_{H, H}|d \lambda| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\Gamma}|h(\lambda)|\left\|\left(\lambda-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1}\right\|_{H, H}\left\|A(z)-A_{n}(z)\right\|_{H, H}\left\|(\lambda-A(z))^{-1}\right\|_{H, H}|d \lambda| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma}|h(\lambda)|\left\|(\lambda-A(z))^{-1}\right\|_{H, H}^{2}|d \lambda|\right)\left\|A(z)-A_{n}(z)\right\|_{H, H} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{ } 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left\|\left(\lambda-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1}\right\|_{H, H} \leq 2\left\|(\lambda-A(z))^{-1}\right\|_{H, H}$ for large $n$.
So, $h\left(A_{n}(z)\right)$ tends to $h(A(z))$ in the $H$-norm for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Applying the triangular inequality we obtain

$$
R_{p}(A(z))=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{p}\left(a_{n}(z)\right) \quad \text { in } \mathscr{T}_{1}
$$

because $R_{p}(A(z))=g(A(z))$, being $g(z)=z^{p} h(z)$, with $h(z)$ an entire function.
Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{z} \ln \operatorname{det}_{p}(I-A(z))=-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left[I-R_{p}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right]^{-1} \partial_{z}\left[R_{p}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right]\right\} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for each positive integer $n$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}\{ & {\left.\left[I-R_{p}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right]^{-1} \partial_{z}\left[R_{p}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right]\right\}=\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left[I-g\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right]^{-1} \partial_{z}\left[g\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right]\right\} } \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1} e^{-A_{n}(z)-\frac{1}{2} A_{n}(z)^{2}-\ldots-\frac{1}{p-1} A_{n}(z)^{p-1}}\right. \\
& \left.. \partial_{z}\left[I-\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right) e^{A_{n}(z)+\frac{1}{2} A_{n}(z)^{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{p-1} A_{n}(z)^{p-1}}\right]\right\} \\
= & -\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1} e^{-A_{n}(z)-\ldots-\frac{1}{p-1} A_{n}(z)^{p-1}}\right. \\
& \times\left[-\partial_{z}\left(A_{n}(z)\right) \cdot e^{A_{n}(z)+\ldots+\frac{1}{p-1} A_{n}(z)^{p-1}}\right. \\
& +\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right) \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} e^{A_{n}(z)+\ldots+\frac{1}{j-1} A_{n}(z)^{p-1}} \\
& . \partial_{z}\left(e^{\frac{1}{3} A_{n}(z)^{j}}\right) e^{\left.\left.\frac{1}{j+1} A_{n}(z)^{j+1}+\ldots+\frac{1}{p-1} A_{n}(z)^{p-1}\right]\right\}} \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1} \partial_{z}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right]-\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{-\frac{1}{j} A_{n}(z)^{j}} \partial_{z}\left(e^{\frac{1}{3} A_{n}(z)^{j}}\right)\right] \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

(We have used the cyclic property of traces for finite dimensional matrices to get the last equality.)

Applying the Cauchy formula to the finite dimensional matrices $e^{\frac{\left.A_{n}(z)\right]}{\jmath}}$, it is straightforward to see that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{\frac{-A_{n}(z)^{j}}{\jmath}} \partial_{z}\left(e^{\frac{A_{n}(z)^{3}}{\jmath}}\right)\right] & =\operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{\frac{-A_{n}(z)^{3}}{\jmath}} e^{\frac{A_{n}(z)^{3}}{\jmath}} A_{n}(z)^{j-1} \partial_{z}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right] \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left[A_{n}(z)^{\jmath-1} \partial_{z}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr} & \left\{\left[I-R_{p}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right]^{-1} \partial_{z}\left[R_{p}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right]\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1} \partial_{z}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right]-\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \operatorname{Tr}\left[A_{n}(z)^{j-1} \partial_{z}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right] \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1}-\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} A_{n}(z)^{\jmath-1}\right) \partial_{z}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right] \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1} A_{n}(z)^{p-1} \partial_{z}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right] . \tag{A.6}
\end{align*}
$$

(In the last equality, Taylor's formula was utilized with rest.)
It is easy to verify that

$$
\left(I-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{ }(I-A(z))^{-1} \text { in the norm of } \mathscr{L}(H),
$$

and that

$$
A_{n}(z)^{p-1} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{ } A(z)^{p-1} \text { in the norm of the ideal } \mathscr{\mathscr { F }}_{p / p-1}
$$

On the other hand, since

$$
\partial_{z}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)=\partial_{z}\left(P_{n} A(z) P_{n}\right)=P_{n} \partial_{z}(A(z)) P_{n}
$$

we have

$$
\partial_{z}\left(A_{n}(z)\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\longrightarrow} \partial_{z}(A(z)) \text { in the norm of the ideal } \mathscr{T}_{p} \text {. }
$$

Putting it all together, we get

$$
\left(1-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1} A_{n}(z)^{p-1} \partial_{z}\left(A_{n}(z)\right) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{ }(1-A(z))^{-1} A(z)^{p-1} \partial_{z}(A(z))
$$

in the trace norm.
From this, (A.4) and (A.6), we finally obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{z} \ln \operatorname{det}_{p}(1-A(z)) & =-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(1-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1} A_{n}(z)^{p-1} \partial_{z}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right] \\
& =-\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(1-A_{n}(z)\right)^{-1} A_{n}(z)^{p-1} \partial_{z}\left(A_{n}(z)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

because of the continuity of the trace in the trace norm. Q.E.D.
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