The Spectrum of a Schrödinger Operator in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^{\nu})$ is *p*-Independent

Rainer Hempel and Jürgen Voigt

Mathematisches Institut der Universität München, Theresienstr. 39, D-8000 München 2, Federal Republic of Germany

Abstract. Let $H_p = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V$ denote a Schrödinger operator, acting in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^v)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$. We show that $\sigma(H_p) = \sigma(H_2)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$, for rather general potentials V.

Introduction. In [12, 13], B. Simon conjectured that $\sigma(H_p)$ is *p*-independent, where $H_p = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V$ is a general Schrödinger operator in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Partial results on this problem are contained in Simon [12], Sigal [10], Hempel, Voigt [5].

In the notations of Sect. 1, our main result reads as follows.

Theorem. Let $V = V_+ - V_-$, $V_{\pm} \ge 0$, where V_+ is admissible, and $V_- \in \hat{K}_{\nu}$ with $c_{\nu}(V_-) < 1$. Then $\sigma(H_p) = \sigma(H_2)$ for $1 \le p \le \infty$.

In addition, if λ is an isolated eigenvalue of finite algebraic multiplicity k of H_p , for some $p \in [1, \infty]$, then the same is true for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.

The proof of this result is contained in Propositions 2.1, 3.1, and 2.2.

In Sect. 2 we prove the inclusion $\sigma(H_2) \subset \sigma(H_p)$, following ideas of Simon and Davies.

In Sect. 3 we show that the integral kernel of $(H_2 - z)^{-n}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, n > v/2, defines an analytic $\mathcal{B}(L_p(\mathbb{R}^v))$ -valued function on $\rho(H_2)$, which coincides with $(H_p - z)^{-n}$ for z real and sufficiently negative. This implies $\sigma(H_p) \subset \sigma(H_2)$, by unique continuation.

A different situation, where an integral kernel determines operators with *p-dependent* spectrum, can be found in Jörgens [6; IV, Aufg. 12.11 (b)]; note that the kernel in Jörgens' example is the resolvent kernel of the differential operator

$$-\frac{d}{dx}x^2\frac{d}{dx} \quad \text{on} \quad (0,\infty), \quad \text{at } z = -2.$$

1. Schrödinger Operators in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^v)$

First we recall briefly several facts concerning the semigroup associated with the heat equation. For brevity, we shall write L_p instead of $L_p(\mathbb{R}^v)$, in the sequel

(analogously, $C_c^{\infty} := C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{\nu})$, etc.). For $t \in \mathbb{C}$, Re t > 0, we define $k_t \in L_1$ by

$$k_t(x) := (2\pi t)^{-\nu/2} \exp(-|x|^2/2t).$$

For $1 \le p \le \infty$ we define $U_{0,p}(t) \in \mathcal{B}(L_p)$ $(t \in \mathbb{C}, \text{Re } t > 0)$ by

$$U_{0,p}(t)f := k_t * f(f \in L_p),$$

and further $U_{0,p}(0) = I$. For $1 \le p < \infty$, $U_{0,p}(\cdot)$ is a holomorphic semigroup of angle $\pi/2$; let $-H_{0,p}$ denote its generator. Further denote $H_{0,\infty} := H_{0,1}^*$.

Next we introduce the class of potentials V to be considered in this paper. Following Voigt [14], we define classes of potentials by

$$\hat{K}_{\nu} := \big\{ V \in L_{1,\text{loc}}; \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}}{\operatorname{ess \, sup}} \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} |g_{\nu}(x-y)| \, |V(y)| \, dy < \infty \big\},$$

where g_{ν} is the usual fundamental solution of $\frac{1}{2}\Delta$. Note that this class is slightly larger than the class K_{ν} in Aizenman, Simon [1], Simon [13]. For $V \in \hat{K}_{\nu}$ we define

$$c_{\nu}(V) := \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} (\operatorname{ess \, sup} \int_{|x-y| \leq \alpha} |g_{\nu}(x-y)| \, |V(y)| \, dy).$$

Obviously $\hat{K}_{\nu} \subset L_{1,\text{loc},\text{unif}}$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $\hat{K}_1 = L_{1,\text{loc},\text{unif}}$, and $c_1(V) = 0$ for all $V \in \hat{K}_1$. A potential $V \geq 0$ will be called *admissible* if $Q(H_{0,2}) \cap Q(V)$ is dense in L_2 ; cf. Voigt [14]. In particular, $V \geq 0$ is admissible if $V \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(G)$, where $G = \mathring{G} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$ is such that $\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \setminus G$ is a (closed) set of Lebesgue measure zero.

Throughout this paper we shall assume

$$V = V_{+} - V_{-}, \quad V_{\pm} \ge 0,$$

 $V_{-} \in \hat{K}_{+} \quad \text{with } c_{+}(V_{-}) < 1, \quad V_{+} \text{ admissible.}$ (1.1)

In the following proposition we denote the truncation of V by

$$V^{(n)} := (\operatorname{sgn} V)(|V| \wedge n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

1.1. Proposition. Let V satisfy (1.1), and let $1 \le p < \infty$. Then, for $t \ge 0$, the limit

$$U_p(t) := s - \lim_{n \to \infty} \exp(-t(H_{0,p} + V^{(n)}))$$

exists, and $(U_p(t); t \ge 0)$ is a C_0 -semigroup on L_p . The Feynman-Kac formula

$$U_p(t)f(x) = E_x \left\{ \exp\left(-\int_0^t V(b(s))ds\right) f(b(t)) \right\}$$

holds for all $f \in L_p$.

Here, E_x and $b(\cdot)$ are as in Simon [13]; cf. Reed, Simon [9], Simon [11]. The proof of this proposition can be found in Voigt [14; Proposition 5.8(a), Proposition 2.8, Remark 5.2(b), Proposition 3.2, Proposition 6.1(c)].

We denote the generator of $(U_p(t); t \ge 0)$ by $-H_p$, for $1 \le p < \infty$, and we shall henceforth write $U_p(t) = \exp(-tH_p)$. Also, $H_\infty = H_1^*$. More detailed information about the operators H_p , in particular for p = 1, p = 2 can be found in Voigt [14].

Note that H_2 is the form sum of $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta$ and V; cf. Voigt [14; Remark 6.2(c)]. (It follows from Devinatz [3; Lemma 4] that V_- is $H_{0,2}$ -form small.)

2. $\sigma(H_2) \subset \sigma(H_p)$

In this section we show that interpolation, duality, and p-q-smoothing lead to the following result.

2.1. Proposition. Let V satisfy (1.1). Then $\rho(H_p) \subset \rho(H_2)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$, and

$$(H_p-z)^{-1}|L_p\cap L_2=(H_2-z)^{-1}|L_p\cap L_2\quad (z\!\in\!\rho(H_p)).$$

This result was stated in Simon [12, 13]. The argument given there was based on interpolation between the resolvents $(H_p - z)^{-1}$ and $(H_{p'} - z)^{-1}$, for $z \in \rho(H_p) = \rho(H_{p'})$. It is not immediate, however, that these resolvents coincide on $L_p \cap L_{p'}$, as can be seen from Jörgens' example mentioned in the introduction. This gap in Simon's argument was closed by E. B. Davies (private communication). Compare also Hempel, Voigt [5; Proposition 3.1].

Proof of Proposition 2.1. (i) (due to E. B. Davies) Let $1 \le p < q \le \infty$, t > 0. Then $e^{-tH_p} \in \mathcal{B}(L_p, L_a)$; cf. Voigt [14; Proposition 6.3]. This implies

$$e^{-tH_p}H_p \subset H_q e^{-tH_p}. \tag{2.1}$$

Assume additionally $\lambda \in \rho(H_p) \cap \rho(H_q)$. Then (2.1) implies

$$(H_q - \lambda)^{-1} e^{-tH_p} = e^{-tH_p} (H_p - \lambda)^{-1}.$$

For $t \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$(H_p - \lambda)^{-1} | L_p \cap L_q = (H_q - \lambda)^{-1} | L_p \cap L_q.$$
 (2.2)

(This holds also for $q=\infty$ because $e^{-tH_p}f$ is $\sigma(L_\infty,L_1)$ -continuous for $f\in L_p\cap L_\infty$.) (ii) Let $1\leq p\leq 2$, 1/p+1/p'=1, and let $\lambda\in \rho(H_p)$ (= $\rho(H_{p'})$). Then $(H_{p'}-\lambda)^{-1}$ | $L_p\cap L_{p'}=(H_p-\lambda)^{-1}|L_p\cap L_{p'}$, by (2.2). The Riesz-Thorin convexity theorem implies that $(H_p-\lambda)^{-1}$ is continuous as an operator R_λ on L_2 .

For $f \in L_2 \cap L_p$, (2.1) implies

$$(H_2 - \lambda)e^{-tH_p}(H_p - \lambda)^{-1}f = e^{-tH_p}f.$$

For $t \to 0$ we obtain $(H_2 - \lambda)$ $(H_p - \lambda)^{-1} f = f$. This implies $(H_2 - \lambda)R_{\lambda} = I$, and hence $\lambda \in \rho(H_2)$.

2.2. Proposition. Let V satisfy (1.1), and let $1 \le p \le \infty$. Assume that λ is an isolated point of $\sigma(H_p)$. Then λ is an eigenvalue of H_p with finite algebraic multiplicity if and only if the same is true for H_2 . In this case, λ is real and a pole of first order of the resolvents of H_p and H_2 , and the multiplicities of λ as an eigenvalue of H_p and H_2 coincide.

Proof. Without restriction $p < \infty$. (Duality for $p = \infty$.) Note first that the selfadjoint operator H_2 can only have real eigenvalues which are poles of first order of the resolvent of H_2 . Now the assertions follow from Proposition 2.1 and Auterhoff [2; Theorem 1.5]; see also Hempel, Voigt [5; Theorem 1.3].

3. $\sigma(H_p) \subset \sigma(H_2)$

In this section we shall derive properties of the integral kernel of $(H_2 - z)^{-n}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, n > v/2, in order to show the following result.

3.1. Proposition. Let V satisfy (1.1). Then $\rho(H_2) \subset \rho(H_n)$, for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.

The proof relies on the following two auxiliary results which will be proved below.

- **3.2. Lemma.** Let X be a Banach space, T a closed operator in X, $\rho(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\rho(T)$ is the domain of holomorphy of $(T-z)^{-n}$, for $n=1, 2, \ldots$
- **3.3. Proposition.** Let V satisfy (1.1), and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, n > v/2.
 - (a) Then $(H_2 z)^{-n}$ is an integral operator, for $z \in \rho(H_2)$.
- (b) Let $G^{(n)}(x, y; z)$ denote the integral kernel of $(H_2 z)^{-n}$. Then, for any $K \subset \subset \rho(H_2)^1$ there exist constants $C, \eta > 0$ such that

$$|G^{(n)}(x, y; z)| \le Ce^{-\eta|x-y|} \quad (z \in K, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}).$$

Proof of Proposition 3.1. By duality, it is sufficient to consider the case $1 \le p \le 2$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, n > v/2, and let $G^{(n)}(x, y; z)$ be as in Proposition 3.3.

First we show that $G^{(n)}(\cdot,\cdot;z)$ defines an analytic $\mathcal{B}(L_p)$ -valued function $G_p^{(n)}(z)$ on $\rho(H_2)$. To prove this, we remark that for any $\phi, \psi \in C_\infty^\infty$, the mapping

$$\rho(H_2) \ni z \mapsto \iint G^{(n)}(x, y; z) \phi(y) \overline{\psi}(x) dx dy$$

is holomorphic. Furthermore, for any $K \subset \subset \rho(H_2)$, there exists a constant C' such that

$$\|G_p^{(n)}(z)\|_{\mathscr{B}(L_n)} \leq C' \quad (z \in K),$$

by the estimates in Proposition 3.3(b) and Young's inequality (cf. Reed, Simon [9; p. 32]).

Next, the fact that e^{-tH_p} coincides with e^{-tH_2} on $L_p \cap L_2$ implies that $G_p^{(n)}(z)$ coincides with $(H_p - z)^{-n}$ for z real and sufficiently negative.

It follows by unique continuation that the domain of holomorphy of $(H_p - z)^{-n}$ contains $\rho(H_2)$. Hence, $\rho(H_p) \supset \rho(H_2)$, by Lemma 3.2 above.

Let us now prove the auxiliary results.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Clearly, $(T-z)^{-n}$ is holomorphic on $\rho(T)$. Let $\operatorname{spr}(A)$ denote the spectral radius of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. From the well-known facts (cf. Kato [7; p. 27, p. 37])

$$spr((T - \zeta)^{-1}) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \| (T - \zeta)^{-n} \|^{1/n},$$

$$spr((T - \zeta)^{-1}) \ge \operatorname{dist}(\zeta, \sigma(T))^{-1} \qquad (\zeta \in \rho(T)),$$

it is clear that $||(T-\zeta)^{-n}|| \ge \operatorname{dist}(\zeta, \sigma(T))^{-n} (\zeta \in \rho(T)).$

For several reasons, we include a proof of Proposition 3.3 (instead of simply referring to Simon [13; Theorem B.7.1 (c')]): The estimate given in [13; loc. cit.] is

¹ $K \subset \subset \rho(H_2)$ means: \overline{K} compact and $\overline{K} < \rho(H_2)$

not uniform for $z \in K \subset \rho(H_2)$ (although one might be willing to believe that it must be true). Also, the proof of the (essential) Lemma B.7.11 in [13] is very sketchy, and it is our aim to give a complete proof of reasonable length. Finally, our proof will show that it is advantageous to consider $(H_p - z)^{-n}$, n > v/2, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, instead of arguing with $(H_p - z)^{-1}$ directly (which would be possible, but involve more estimates, like [13; Theorem B.7.2 (1), (2), (4)]).

Since we shall have to consider e^{-tH_p} as an operator from L_p to L_q , $q \ge p$, we shall frequently drop the subscript p and simply write $H = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V$, in the sequel. The proof will involve several steps, following rather closely the outline given in [13; proof of Lemma B.7.11]. For the remainder of this section, the assumptions of Proposition 3.3 are always assumed to hold.

3.4. Lemma. Let $1 \le p \le q \le \infty$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. Then there exist constants $C = C(p, q, \varepsilon_0)$, $A = A(p, q, \varepsilon_0)$, such that for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^v$, $|\varepsilon| \le \varepsilon_0$, t > 0, we have

$$\|e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}e^{t\Delta}e^{-\varepsilon \cdot x}\|_{p,a} \leq Ct^{-\gamma}e^{At},$$

where $\gamma := (v/2)(p^{-1} - q^{-1})$.

Proof (compare Simon [13; Lemma B.6.1]). Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$, $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_0$. Clearly,

$$K_{\varepsilon}(x, y; t) := (2\pi t)^{-\nu/2} e^{\varepsilon \cdot (x-y)} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}\right),$$

is the kernel of $e^{\epsilon \cdot x} e^{(t/2)\Delta} e^{-\epsilon \cdot x}$. By Young's inequality (cf. Reed, Simon [9; p. 32]), it is enough to estimate $||K_{\epsilon}(0, \cdot; t)||_s$, for $s = (1 + q^{-1} - p^{-1})^{-1}$. Now,

$$\|K_{\varepsilon}(0,\cdot;t)\|_{s} \leq ct^{-(v/2)(1-s^{-1})} \left[\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{v}} e^{s\varepsilon_{0}\sqrt{t|\eta|-(s/2)|\eta|^{2}}} d\eta\right]^{1/s},$$

and the term in square brackets can be estimated by

$$\int\limits_{|\eta| \leq 4\varepsilon_0 \sqrt{t}} \!\!\!\! e^{s\varepsilon_0 \sqrt{t}|\eta|} d\eta + \int\limits_{|\eta| > 4\varepsilon_0 \sqrt{t}} \!\!\!\! e^{-(s/4)|\eta|^2} d\eta \leq c' t^{\nu/2} e^{4s\varepsilon_0^2 t} + c''.$$

3.5. Proposition (compare [13; Eq. (B 11)]). For all $1 \le p \le q \le \infty$ there exist constants C = C(p, q), A = A(p, q) such that for all t > 0 we have

$$||e^{-tH}||_{p,q} \leq Ct^{-\gamma}e^{At},$$

where $\gamma = (v/2)(p^{-1} - q^{-1})$.

Proof. This follows from Devinatz [3; Lemma 2] combined with duality and interpolation as described in Voigt [14; proof of Proposition 6.3]. Under the slightly stronger assumption $c_v(V) = 0$ a simpler proof can be found in Simon [13; loc. cit.].

3.6. Lemma (compare [13; Lemma B.6.2(b)]). Let $1 < c < c_v(V)^{-1}$, 1/c + 1/c' = 1. Then, for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^v$,

$$\|e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}e^{-tH}e^{-\varepsilon \cdot x}\|_{p,a} \leq \|e^{-t(-(1/2)\Delta + cV)}\|_{p,a}^{1/c}\|e^{c'\varepsilon \cdot x}e^{(t/2)\Delta}e^{-c'\varepsilon \cdot x}\|_{p,a}^{1/c'}.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$ and write $w(x) = e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}$. Also, let $h \in C_c^{\infty}$, $g := w^{-1}h$. Factorizing

 $|g| = |h|^{1/c} \cdot |w^{-c'}h|^{1/c'}$, it follows by Hölder's inequality in function space that $|(e^{-tH}g)(x)| \le \lceil (e^{-t(-(1/2)\Delta + cV)}|h|)(x)\rceil^{1/c} \cdot \lceil (e^{(t/2)\Delta}|w^{-c'}h|)(x)\rceil^{1/c'}$.

Now, multiplying by |w(x)|, taking q^{th} powers and integrating, we obtain

$$\int |we^{-tH}w^{-1}h|^{q}dx \leq \int \left[e^{-t(-(1/2)\Delta+cV)}|h|\right]^{q/c} \left[w^{c'}e^{(t/2)\Delta}w^{-c'}|h|\right]^{q/c'}dx
\leq \left\{\int \left(e^{-t(-(1/2)\Delta+cV)}|h|\right)^{q}dx\right\}^{1/c} \cdot \left\{\int \left(w^{c'}e^{(t/2)\Delta}w^{-c'}|h|\right)^{q}dx\right\}^{1/c'},$$

which implies

$$\|we^{-tH}w^{-1}h\|_{q} \leq \|e^{-t(-(1/2)\Delta + cV)}\|_{p,q}^{1/c}\|h\|_{p}^{1/c}\|w^{c'}e^{(t/2)\Delta}w^{-c'}\|_{p,q}^{1/c'}\|h\|_{p}^{1/c'}.$$

3.7. Proposition (compare [13; Theorem B.6.3]). Let $1 \le p \le q \le \infty$, $\alpha > \gamma = (v/2)(p^{-1} - q^{-1})$, and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. Then, for z real and sufficiently negative, there exists a constant C such that

$$\|e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}(H-z)^{-\alpha}e^{-\varepsilon \cdot x}\|_{p,q} \leq C \quad (\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}, |\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_0).$$

Proof. For $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}$, we have (with $w := e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}$)

$$(H-z)^{-\alpha}(w^{-1}\phi) = c_{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{tz} t^{\alpha-1} e^{-tH}(w^{-1}\phi) dt,$$

and hence

$$\begin{split} \| \, w (H-z)^{-\alpha} w^{-1} \phi \, \|_q & \leq c_\alpha \int\limits_0^\infty \| \, w e^{-tH} w^{-1} \, \|_{p,q} e^{tz} t^{\alpha-1} dt \cdot \| \, \phi \, \|_p \\ & \leq c_\alpha \int\limits_0^\infty \| \, e^{-t(-(1/2)\Delta + cV)} \, \|_{p,q}^{1/c} \| \, w^{c'} e^{(t/2)\Delta} w^{-c'} \, \|_{p,q}^{1/c'} e^{tz} t^{\alpha-1} dt \cdot \| \, \phi \, \|_p \end{split}$$

(by Lemma 3.6)

$$\leq c_{\alpha}\int\limits_{0}^{\infty} \left[C_{1}t^{-\gamma}e^{A_{1}t}\right]^{1/c}\left[C_{2}t^{-\gamma}e^{A_{2}t}\right]^{1/c'}e^{tz}t^{\alpha-1}dt\cdot\parallel\phi\parallel_{p}$$

(by Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 3.4)

$$\leq C_3 \int_0^\infty t^{-\gamma+\alpha-1} e^{At+tz} dt \cdot \|\phi\|_p \leq C_4 \cdot \|\phi\|_p,$$

provided A + z < 0.

3.8. Proposition. For any $K \subset \subset \rho(H_2)$, there exist $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(K) > 0$ and a constant $C = C(K, \varepsilon_0)$ such that $K \subset \rho(e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}H_2e^{-\varepsilon \cdot x})$ for $|\varepsilon| \le \varepsilon_0$, and

$$\|e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}(H_2 - z)^{-1}e^{-\varepsilon \cdot x}\| = \|(e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}H_2e^{-\varepsilon \cdot x} - z)^{-1}\| \le C \quad (|\varepsilon| \le \varepsilon_0, z \in K).$$

Proof. As W_2^1 contains the form domain of H_2 , the operators ∂_j are $|H_2|^{1/2}$ -bounded and hence H_2 -bounded with relative bound zero $(j = 1, ..., \nu)$. This implies

$$e^{\varepsilon \cdot x} H_2 e^{-\varepsilon \cdot x} = H_2 + \varepsilon \cdot \nabla - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2,$$

for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$. Now the identity

$$(\boldsymbol{H}_2 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla - \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 - z) = (\boldsymbol{I} + (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla - \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2)(\boldsymbol{H}_2 - z)^{-1})(\boldsymbol{H}_2 - z)$$

implies the desired conclusion.

We can now finally proceed to the proof of Proposition 3.3.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, n > v/2, and choose w real and so negative that, by Proposition 3.7,

$$\|e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}(H-w)^{-n/2}e^{-\varepsilon \cdot x}\|_{1/2} + \|e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}(H-w)^{-n/2}e^{-\varepsilon \cdot x}\|_{2/m} \le C \tag{3.1}$$

for all $|\varepsilon| \leq 1$, with some constant C.

Now let $K \subset \subset \rho(H_2)$ and $z \in K$. Taking n^{th} powers of the resolvent equation

$$(H_2-z)^{-1} = (H_2-w)^{-1} + (z-w)(H_2-w)^{-1}(H_2-z)^{-1},$$

we obtain

$$(H_2 - z)^{-n} = (H_2 - w)^{-n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} {n \choose j} (z - w)^j (H_2 - z)^{-j}.$$
 (3.2)

To prove Proposition 3.3, it is clearly enough to show that, for any $0 \le j \le n$, the operator

$$(H_2 - w)^{-n}(H_2 - z)^{-j} = (H_2 - w)^{-n/2}(H_2 - z)^{-j}(H_2 - w)^{-n/2}$$
(3.3)

is an integral operator with kernel $G_n(x, y; z)$ satisfying

$$|G_{ni}(x,y;z)| \le C_{ni}e^{-\alpha_{nj}|x-y|} \quad (z \in K, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}), \tag{3.4}$$

with some positive constants C_{nj} , α_{nj} .

So let $0 \le j \le n$. By Proposition 3.8, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}(H_2 - z)^{-j}e^{-\varepsilon \cdot x}\| \le C' \quad (|\varepsilon| \le \varepsilon_0, z \in K).$$
 (3.5)

By (3.3) we have

$$\begin{split} e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}(H_2-w)^{-n}(H_2-z)^{-j}e^{-\varepsilon \cdot x} &= (e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}(H_2-w)^{-n/2}e^{-\varepsilon \cdot x})(e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}(H_2-z)^{-j}e^{-\varepsilon \cdot x}) \\ & \cdot (e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}(H_2-w)^{-n/2}e^{-\varepsilon \cdot x}), \end{split}$$

and hence it follows from (3.1), (3.5), that

$$\|e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}(H_2 - w)^{-n}(H_2 - z)^{-j}e^{-\varepsilon \cdot x}\|_{1,\infty} \le C'' \quad (|\varepsilon| \le \varepsilon_0, z \in K).$$

Now it follows from a classical theorem of Dunford and Pettis ([4; Theorem 2.2.5, p. 348]; see also Simon [13; Cor. A.1.2]), that the operator $e^{\varepsilon \cdot x}(H_2 - w)^{-n}(H_2 - z)^{-j}e^{-\varepsilon \cdot x}$ is an integral operator, and its kernel $G_{nj,\varepsilon}(x,y;z)$ satisfies the estimate

$$\|G_{nj,\varepsilon}(\cdot,\cdot;z)\|_{\infty} \leq C''' \quad (|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_0, z \in K). \tag{3.6}$$

In particular, the above statements apply to $\varepsilon = 0$, and we see that $(H_2 - w)^{-n} \times (H_2 - z)^{-j}$ is an integral operator with L_{∞} -kernel $G_{n,l}(\cdot,\cdot;z)$; clearly,

$$e^{\varepsilon \cdot (x-y)}G_{nj}(x, y; z) = G_{nj,\varepsilon}(x, y; z).$$

Therefore (3.6) implies

$$e^{\varepsilon_0|x-y|}|G_{nj}(x,y;z)| \leq C''' \quad (z \in K).$$

Acknowledgement. One of the authors (R. H.) would like to thank P. Deift (Courant Institute, New York) for several useful discussions.

References

- Aizenman, M., Simon, B.: Brownian motion and Harnack inequality for Schrödinger operators. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 35, 209-273 (1982)
- Auterhoff, J.: Interpolationseigenschaften des Spektrums linearer Operatoren auf L^p-Räumen. Math. Z. 184, 397-406 (1983)
- 3. Devinatz, A.: Schrödinger operators with singular potentials. J. Oper. Theory 4, 25-35 (1980)
- 4. Dunford, N., Pettis, B. J.: Linear operations on summable functions. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 47, 323–392 (1940)
- 5. Hempel, R., Voigt, J.: On the L_n -spectrum of Schrödinger operators. J. Math. Anal. Appl. (to appear)
- 6. Jörgens, K.: Lineare Integraloperatoren. Stuttgart: B. G. Teubner, 1970
- Kato, T.: Perturbation theory for linear operators. Second edition. Berlin Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1976
- 8. Reed, M., Simon, B.: Methods of modern mathematical physics I: Functional analysis. Revised and enlarged edition. New York: Academic Press 1980
- 9. Reed, M., Simon, B.: Methods of modern mathematical physics II: Fourier analysis, self-adjointness. New York: Academic Press 1975
- 10. Sigal, I. M.: A generalized Weyl theorem and L^p-spectra of Schrödinger operators. Preprint
- 11. Simon, B.: Functional integration and quantum physics. New York: Academic Press 1979
- Simon, B.: Brownian motion, L^p properties of Schrödinger operators and the localization of binding.
 J. Funct. Anal. 35, 215-225 (1980)
- 13. Simon, B.: Schrödinger semigroups. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. New Ser. 7, 447-526 (1982)
- 14. Voigt, J.: Absorption semigroups, their generators, and Schrödinger semigroups, J. Funct. Anal. (to appear)

Communicated by B. Simon

Received July 22, 1985; in revised form September 26, 1985