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#### Abstract

We display irreducible representations of the Virasoro algebra (group of diffeomorphisms of the circle) for any value of the central charge $c$ (central extension defined by a cocycle) and of the highest weight $\varepsilon$, where the Kač determinants do not vanish. The construction is done in terms of a simple bosonic free field. The unitarity of the representation is discussed, and it is realized with non-trivial hermiticity properties of the free field if $\varepsilon<(c-1) / 24$. In the particular case of the central charge ( $c=\frac{1}{2}$ ) corresponding to the Ising model, the three unitary irreducible representations ( $\varepsilon=0, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{2}$ ) are realized in terms of the anticommuting oscillators of the free fields of the Neveu-SchwarzRamond model.


A decade after they were introduced in string theories [1], the representations of the conformal group in two dimensions are the subject of a growing interest in physics as well as in mathematics. In this communication, we discuss a general form for the irreducible representations of the associated Lie algebra with central charge, the so-called Virasoro algebra:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{n}, L_{m}\right]=(n-m) L_{n+m}+\frac{c}{12}\left(n^{3}-n\right) \delta_{n,-m}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the hermiticity condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}=L_{-n}^{+} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n, m$ are integers, $c$ is a real number. For a given $c$, the irreducible representations are characterized by the ground state (highest weight vector) $|\varepsilon\rangle$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}|\varepsilon\rangle=0, \quad n>0, \quad L_{0}|\varepsilon\rangle=\varepsilon|\varepsilon\rangle . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

All states can, in principle, be obtained by repeated applications of $L_{-n}(n>0)$ to $|\varepsilon\rangle$. Past experience has shown that this way of building representations is often
cumbersome, especially in dealing with the product of operators, because the matrix of inner products of such states is complicated. It proved much more convenient to express the $L_{n}$ 's as quadratic forms of creation-annihilation operators. So far, only particular cases of such quadratic realizations are widely known. In this communication, we obtain quadratic expressions for $L_{n}$ for general values of $\varepsilon$ and $c$ following our earlier discussion of the Liouville field theory in two dimensions [2, 3].

There is a well-known irreducible representation of (1), (2), and (3) with $c=1$ in terms of a set of harmonic oscillators [1]. Introduce creation-annihilation operators $a_{n}, a_{n}^{+}$with positive integer indices:

$$
\left[a_{n}, a_{m}\right]=\left[a_{n}^{+}, a_{m}^{+}\right]=0, \quad\left[a_{n}, a_{m}^{+}\right]=\delta_{n, m} .
$$

Define further a zero mode $a_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a_{0}, a_{n}\right]=\left[a_{0}, a_{n}^{+}\right]=0, \quad a_{0}=a_{0}^{+} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a_{0}$ commutes with $a_{n}$ and $a_{n}^{+}$, we take it to be a number. These commutation relations can be summarized as follows. If one defines $a_{n}$ for negative $n$ to be equal to $a_{-n}^{+}$, one can write Eq. (3) as

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[a_{n}, a_{m}\right] } & =\theta(n) \delta_{n,-m}, \quad a_{n}^{+}=a_{-n} \\
\theta(n) & = \pm 1 \quad \text { for } n \gtrless 0 . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

In dual models [1], one introduced the operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=-\infty}^{+\infty} N\left(a_{r} a_{n-r}\right) \sqrt{|r(n-r)|} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ means normal ordering (all $a_{n}$ with $n \geqq 0$ to the right). These operators satisfy the Virasoro algebra [Eq. (1)] with $c=1, \varepsilon=a_{0}^{2} / 2$. Checking this is straightforward. One must only be careful with the normal ordering; otherwise one finds the classical value $c=0$.

Next, we move to other values of $c$. Adding a linear term to (6) is a simple way to change $c$. Let us try

$$
L_{n}^{\prime \prime}=L_{n}^{\prime}-i \lambda n \sqrt{|n|} a_{n}
$$

One obtains immediately

$$
\left[L_{n}^{\prime \prime}, L_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right]=(n-m) L_{n+m}^{\prime \prime}+\left[\left(\frac{1}{12}+\lambda^{2}\right) n^{3}-n\right] \delta_{n,-m}
$$

This is not quite of the form (1), but the last term can be changed by an additional shift of $L_{0}$. If one lets

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}^{c}=L_{n}^{\prime}-i \lambda n \sqrt{|n|} a_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{n, 0} \lambda^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

one verifies Eqs. (1) and (2) with

$$
\begin{gather*}
c=1+12 \lambda^{2}  \tag{8}\\
\varepsilon=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{0}^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

All is well so far. However, the reality condition $L_{n}=L_{-n}^{+}$together with $a_{n}^{+}=a_{-n}$ implies that $\lambda$ is real, and hence $c>1$. Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon>\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}=\frac{c-1}{24} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the simple modification (7) does not work for all values of $\varepsilon$ and $c$.
The whole problem is due to the reality condition (5). For $n \neq 0$, it holds by construction. For $n=0$, it is necessary in order to ensure that $L_{m}=L_{-m}^{+}$for all $m$.

We now discuss the extension to more general values of $\varepsilon$ and $c$. First introduce a compact notation by letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=\left(\frac{3|n|}{c-1}\right)^{1 / 2} a_{n}, \quad n \neq 0, \quad p_{0}=\left(\frac{3}{c-1}\right)^{1 / 2} a_{0} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (5), (7), and (9) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[p_{n}, p_{m}\right]=n \frac{3}{c-1} \delta_{n,-m},}  \tag{12}\\
L_{n}=\frac{c-1}{6}\left[\sum_{r} N\left(p_{r} p_{n-r}\right)-i n p_{n}\right]+\frac{c-1}{24} \delta_{n, 0},  \tag{13}\\
\varepsilon=\frac{c-1}{6}\left(p_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

This structure already appeared in our quantum solution of the Liouville field theory [2], and our general study gives us hints on how to extend it to arbitrary values of $\varepsilon$ and $c$. The following discussion is already implicitly contained in our earlier papers $[2,3]$. Consider the $p_{n}$ 's as the Fourier modes of a field $P(\sigma)$, by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\sigma)=\sum_{n} p_{n} e^{-i n \sigma}, \quad P(\sigma+2 \pi)=P(\sigma) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we identify $L_{0}$ with the Hamiltonian, we have at time $\tau \neq 0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\sigma, \tau)=\sum_{n} p_{n} e^{-i n(\sigma+\tau)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $P$ is thus a simple free field. Equation (13) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{\pi(c-1)} \sum_{n} L_{n} e^{-i n \sigma}-\frac{1}{8 \pi}=N\left[P^{2}(\sigma)+\frac{\partial P}{\partial \sigma}\right] \equiv U(\sigma) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [2], we have derived a canonical transformation from $P(\sigma)$ to another free field $\tilde{P}(\sigma)$ which is defined by the implicit equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(P^{2}+\frac{\partial P}{\partial \sigma}\right)=\tilde{N}\left(\tilde{P}^{2}+\frac{\partial \tilde{P}}{\partial \sigma}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{P}(\sigma)$ has a Fourier decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{P}(\sigma)=\sum_{n} \tilde{p}_{n} e^{-i n \sigma} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{p}_{0}=-p_{0}, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where $\tilde{N}$ means normal ordering with respect to the modes of $\tilde{P}$ (all $\tilde{p}_{n}$ with positive $n$ to the right). If $2 i p_{0}$ is not an integer, we showed that Eqs. (18) and (19) perturbatively relate $P$ and $\tilde{P}$ in a well-defined way, such that if (12) holds, $P$ and $\tilde{P}$ satisfy the same commutation relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[p_{n}, p_{m}\right]=\left[\tilde{p}_{n}, \tilde{p}_{m}\right]=\frac{3 n}{c-1} \delta_{n,-m} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (18) we can thus obtain another equivalent expression for the $L_{n}$ 's:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}=\frac{c-1}{26}\left[\sum_{r} \tilde{N}\left(\tilde{p}_{r} \tilde{p}_{n-r}\right)-i n \tilde{p}_{n}\right]+\frac{c-1}{24} \delta_{n, 0} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we now have two ways to satisfy the hermiticity condition $L_{n}=L_{-n}^{+}$. We can let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{+}=p_{-n}, \quad p_{0}^{+}=p_{0}, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{+}=\tilde{p}_{-n}, \quad p_{0}^{+}=\tilde{p}_{0}=-p_{0} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the creation-annihilation language which we used above, the first choice is the obvious one. It leads to real values of $p_{0}$. The second choice is new and defines an unusual hermitian structure. It forces $p_{0}$ to be pure imaginary, and allows us to cover the remaining regions of the ( $\varepsilon, c$ ) plane.

In all cases, the ground state $|\varepsilon\rangle$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}|\varepsilon\rangle=0, \quad n>0, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{p}_{n}|\varepsilon\rangle=0, \quad n>0 . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Excited states can be obtained by applying monomials of $L_{-n}$ 's, or of $p_{-n}$ 's, or of $\tilde{p}_{-n}$ 's with $n>0$ to $|\varepsilon\rangle$. In the generic case, each method provides an equivalent basis for the Hilbert space and the representation is irreducible because no subspace is left invariant. We shall elaborate on this point later.

According to Eq. (14), there are four cases:

$$
\begin{align*}
& c>1, \varepsilon>\frac{c-1}{24}, p_{0}=p_{0}^{+}, \quad p_{n}=p_{-n}^{+},  \tag{27a}\\
& c<1, \varepsilon<\frac{c-1}{24}, p_{0}=p_{0}^{+}, \quad p_{n}=p_{-n}^{+},  \tag{27b}\\
& c>1, \varepsilon<\frac{c-1}{24}, p_{0}=-p_{0}^{+}, p_{n}=\tilde{p}_{-n}^{+},  \tag{28a}\\
& c<1, \varepsilon>\frac{c-1}{24}, p_{0}=-p_{0}^{+}, p_{n}=\tilde{p}_{-n}^{+} . \tag{28b}
\end{align*}
$$

Case (27a) was already discovered by the simple modification of the operator with $c=1$. The corresponding Hilbert space is automatically positive definite.

In case (27b), on the contrary, one has the usual hermiticity property, but the commutation relation (21) has the wrong sign and there are ghosts. This was obvious from the beginning since the state $L_{-1}|\varepsilon\rangle$ has the norm.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\varepsilon| L_{1} L_{-1}|\varepsilon\rangle=2 \varepsilon, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is negative if ( 27 b ) holds.
If (28a) or (28b) holds, one has to introduce the new hermitian structure. It is convenient to write

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{0} & =-i \frac{k}{2}, \quad k \text { real, }  \tag{30}\\
\varepsilon & =\frac{c-1}{24}\left(1-k^{2}\right) . \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

According to (29), $\varepsilon$ must be positive, and hence there are obviously ghosts unless

$$
\begin{align*}
& k^{2}<1 \quad \text { if } \quad c>1, \varepsilon<\frac{c-1}{24}  \tag{32a}\\
& k^{2}>1 \text { if } c<1, \varepsilon>\frac{c-1}{24} \tag{32b}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to proceed, we recall Kač's result [4] on the matrix of inner products of the states generated by the $L_{-n}$ 's applied to $|\varepsilon\rangle$. This matrix naturally diagonalizes into submatrices, one for each eigenvalue of $L_{0}$. Kač gave the determinant of each such submatrix. If $(c-1)(c-25)>0$, these determinants vanish at some level for

$$
\begin{equation*}
k= \pm k_{p q}= \pm\left[\frac{p+q}{2}+\frac{p-q}{2}\left(\frac{c-25}{c-1}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ and $q$ are two positive integers. If $(c-1)(c-25)<0$, the vanishing occurs only for

$$
\begin{equation*}
k= \pm p, p \text { positive integer } \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

In both cases, $k$ is real, and one has to introduce our non-trivial hermitian conjugation $p_{n}=\tilde{p}_{-n}^{+}$.

For $c>25$, Eq. (33) indicates that all $k_{p q}$ are outside the interval [0,1], except $k_{11}$ which is equal to 1 . For $25>c>1$, one sees from Eq. (34) that this remains trivially true. Thus, there is no zero in case (32a). One can therefore obtain all the matrix of inner products by continuation [5] from the case $c>1, \varepsilon>(c-1) / 24$, where we know that they are positive definite, without any change of sign. Therefore, the matric is positive definite for $c>1,0<\varepsilon<(c-1) / 24$. The case $c>25$, $\varepsilon<(c-1) / 24$ appears in the weak coupling regime of the Liouville field theory [3].

Finally, in case ( 32 b ), the situation is complicated because the determinants vanish in the interval. Friedan et al. have shown [5] that there are ghosts except if

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=1-\frac{6}{r(r+1)}, \quad r=2,3,4, \ldots \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k= \pm k_{p q}, \quad q \leqq p \leqq r-1 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is possible because the vanishing of the determinant is not due to the existence of a zero norm state, but rather reflects the fact that some of the states generated by the $L_{-n}$ 's are linearly dependent. Hence, the space of the representation becomes smaller than the bosonic Fock spaces we have been using. The only simple case where we can exhibit irreducible unitary representations is for $c=\frac{1}{2}(r=3)$, for the three allowed values of $\varepsilon: 0, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{2}$. These representations use free fermions, and we introduce the following sets of anticommuting operators:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{b_{m}, b_{n}\right\}=\delta_{m,-n}, \quad m, n= \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}, \ldots  \tag{37}\\
\left\{d_{m}, d_{n}\right\}=\delta_{m,-n}, \quad m, n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots,  \tag{38}\\
b_{-m}=b_{m}^{+}, d_{-m}=d_{m}^{+}
\end{gather*}
$$

Define by normal ordering:

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{n}^{b}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m} m N\left(b_{n-m} b_{m}\right),  \tag{39}\\
L_{n}^{d}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m} m N\left(d_{n-m} d_{m}\right)+\frac{1}{16} . \tag{40}
\end{gather*}
$$

Both $L_{n}^{b}$ and $L_{n}^{d}$ satisfy Eqs. (1) and (2) with $c=\frac{1}{2}$ (see [1]). The ground states $\left|0_{b}\right\rangle$ and $\left|0_{d}\right\rangle$ of the fermionic harmonic oscillators are defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b_{m}\left|0_{b}\right\rangle=0, & m>0, \\
d_{m}\left|0_{d}\right\rangle=0, & m>0 . \tag{42}
\end{array}
$$

The $d$ representation immediately leads to $\varepsilon=1 / 16$, the highest weight vector being $\left|0_{d}\right\rangle$. The $b$ representation is trivially reducible, because $L_{n}$ commutes with $G=(-1) \sum_{m=-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} b_{-m} b_{m}$. It splits into two irreducible representations, depending on the sign of $G$. The two highest weight vectors are $\left|0_{b}\right\rangle$ and $b_{-1 / 2}\left|0_{b}\right\rangle$, and their respective values of $\varepsilon$ are 0 and $\frac{1}{2}$. Thus, we find naturally the only three allowed values of $\varepsilon$ at this value of $c$ [5], which corresponds to the Ising model. The energy density and spinor operators of the Ising model are built with the pion emission vertex operator [1] of the Neveu-Schwarz-Ramond model, while the order operator is built with the fermion emission vertex [6].
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