

Markov Dilations and Quantum Detailed Balance

Alberto Frigerio and Vittorio Gorini

Dipartimento di Fisica, Sezione di Fisica Teorica, Università di Milano, Milano, Italy

Abstract. We construct quantum stochastic processes whose multi-time correlation functions, with suitable time ordering, can be obtained from a quantum dynamical semigroup. We prove that such a process defines a stationary Markov dilation of the associated semigroup if and only if (up to technicalities) the semigroup satisfies the quantum detailed balance condition with respect to its stationary state.

1. Introduction

Quantum dynamical semigroups have been widely used in the last ten years to describe irreversible time evolutions of open systems. The connection with the underlying Hamiltonian dynamics of isolated systems has been investigated in both directions. For a class of models of open systems, it has been proved that the reduced evolution converges to a dynamical semigroup in the *weak* or the *singular coupling limit* [1, 2]. Conversely, it has been shown that any dynamical semigroup Φ_t on a C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} admits a *unitary dilation*, consisting of an embedding j_0 of \mathcal{B} into another C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , a group α_t of $*$ -automorphisms of \mathcal{A} and a norm one projection E_0 of \mathcal{A} onto $j_0(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\Phi_t = j_0^{-1} E_0 \alpha_t j_0$ for all t in \mathbb{R}^+ [3–5]; however, the unitary dilation is far from unique.

More recently, it was recognized that a tighter connection between irreversible evolution and underlying Hamiltonian dynamics could be obtained by the consideration of multi-time correlation functions [6]. This leads to the idea of a *quantum stochastic process* [7–9], which should be determined up to equivalence by its multi-time correlations, much in the same way as a stochastic process in the sense of Doob is determined by its finite-dimensional joint distributions. Then it becomes possible to require that the unitary dilation defines a Markov process. The convergence of multi-time correlations in the weak or the singular coupling limit was proved by Dümcke [10, 11] for a class of models; the converse problem of Markov dilations has been investigated by Kümmerer and Schröder [12–14, 11]. Related works include the generalized K -flows of Emch et al. [15, 16] and the

construction of quantum stochastic processes via the solution of noncommutative stochastic differential equations, given by Hudson and Parthasarathy [17, 11], see also von Waldenfels [18, 11].

In the present paper, we associate a quantum stochastic process (in the sense of Accardi et al. [8]) with a quantum dynamical semigroup of Lindblad type [19]. The construction is made via Dümcke’s results on the convergence of multi-time correlations in the singular coupling limit [10] and the reconstruction theorem of [8]. Next, we prove that the process is stationary and Markov if and only if (up to minor technicalities) the dynamical semigroup satisfies the quantum detailed balance condition [20, 21] with respect to its stationary state.

Section 2 of the paper contains a collection of the definitions we need. We give the construction of the process in Sect. 3, and we prove the connection with quantum detailed balance in Sect. 4.

Before entering the technical details, we wish to give a rough idea of what is going on. A quantum stochastic process (in the sense of [8]) over a C^* - or W^* -algebra \mathcal{B} consists of a family $\{j_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of identity preserving embeddings of \mathcal{B} into another C^* - or W^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , together with a state μ on \mathcal{A} . It defines a unitary dilation of a dynamical semigroup Φ_t on \mathcal{B} if, in addition, there exists a group $\{\alpha_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of $*$ -automorphisms of \mathcal{A} such that $j_t = \alpha_t j_0$, and the state μ is obtained as $\mu = \varrho \circ j_0^{-1} E_0$, ϱ being a state on \mathcal{B} , and E_0 being a norm one projection onto $j_0(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\Phi_t = j_0^{-1} E_0 \alpha_t j_0$. Conversely, a unitary dilation of Φ_t and a state ϱ on \mathcal{B} define a quantum stochastic process.

A process can be reconstructed uniquely up to equivalence from its *correlation kernels* [8], defined as

$$w_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(A_1, \dots, A_n; B_1, \dots, B_n) = \mu(j_{t_1}(A_1^*) \dots j_{t_n}(A_n^*) j_{t_n}(B_n) \dots j_{t_1}(B_1)), \quad (1.1)$$

$A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}, t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. However, a dynamical semigroup Φ_t and a state ϱ on \mathcal{B} can only determine the *time-ordered* correlation kernels ($0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n$) via

$$w_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(A_1, \dots, A_n; B_1, \dots, B_n) = \varrho(\Phi_{t_1}(A_1^* \Phi_{t_2 - t_1}(A_2^* \dots \Phi_{t_n - t_{n-1}}(A_n^* B_n) \dots B_2) B_1)). \quad (1.2)$$

The time-ordered kernels (1.2) do not suffice to determine all the correlation kernels (1.1), unless the commutation relations of \mathcal{A} are known in advance, as is the case for classical systems, or for quasi-free Bose or Fermi systems [16, 22, 23, 8]. In the general situation, a dynamical semigroup may have *inequivalent* unitary dilations satisfying (1.2), corresponding, for instance, to an interaction of the system of interest with a reservoir of boson or of fermion type.

What is worse, Eq. (1.2) does not provide sufficient information for the construction of a quantum stochastic process associated with a dynamical semigroup. Hence we must resort to a different method of construction; here we employ the results of Dümcke [10] to define the correlation kernels of the process as

$$w_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(A_1, \dots, A_n; B_1, \dots, B_n) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varrho \otimes \varrho^R(\alpha_{t_1}^\varepsilon(A_1^* \otimes \mathbf{1}) \dots \alpha_{t_n}^\varepsilon(A_n^* \otimes \mathbf{1}) \alpha_{t_n}^\varepsilon(B_n \otimes \mathbf{1}) \dots \alpha_{t_1}^\varepsilon(B_1 \otimes \mathbf{1})), \quad (1.3)$$

where $\{\alpha_t^\varepsilon : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the time evolution of a composite system, becoming more and more singular as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The process constructed in this way satisfies (1.2). We do not know, in general, whether the limiting process $(\mathcal{A}, \{j_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu)$ is equipped with a group α_t of *-automorphisms of \mathcal{A} such that $j_t = \alpha_t j_0$. However, this is certainly the case if the process is stationary: then also $\mu \circ \alpha_t = \mu$ and $\varrho \circ \Phi_t = \varrho$. Moreover, if we let $\Phi_t^+ = j_0^{-1} E_0 \alpha_{-t} j_0, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we have

$$\varrho(\Phi_t^+(A)B) = \varrho(A\Phi_t(B)) \quad \text{for all } A, B \text{ in } \mathcal{B}, t \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{1.4}$$

and it follows from the construction (cf. [24]) that the infinitesimal generators L and L^+ of Φ_t and Φ_t^+ respectively satisfy

$$L(B) - L^+(B) = 2i[H, B] \quad (H = H^*) \quad \text{for all } B \text{ in } \mathcal{B}. \tag{1.5}$$

Equations (1.4) and (1.5) together define the quantum detailed balance condition [20, 21]. Conversely, for a quantum dynamical semigroup of Lindblad type satisfying the detailed balance condition, we prove that the associated process is stationary. The Markov property, defined in terms of conditional expectations, follows with the aid of Takesaki's theorem [25].

As pointed out by Kümmerer [12], Eq. (1.4) is a necessary condition for the existence of a stationary Markov dilation. The additional condition (1.5) seems to be related to the method of construction; however, we are not aware of any example of a stationary Markov dilation for a quantum dynamical semigroup which does not satisfy detailed balance.

2. Definitions

Throughout the following, \mathcal{B} will denote a W^* -algebra.

A dynamical semigroup $\{\Phi_t : t \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ on \mathcal{B} is a weakly * continuous semigroup of completely positive identity preserving normal linear maps of \mathcal{B} into itself, Φ_0 being the identity map.

A dynamical semigroup Φ_t on \mathcal{B} will be said to be of *finite Lindblad type* if it is norm continuous, with infinitesimal generator L given by

$$L(B) = i[H, B] + \sum_{j=1}^N V_j^* B V_j - \frac{1}{2}[V_j^* V_j, B] + \tag{2.1}$$

for all B in \mathcal{B} , where $H = H^* \in \mathcal{B}, V_j \in \mathcal{B}, j = 1, \dots, N$ (cf. [19]).

A norm continuous dynamical semigroup $\Phi_t = \exp Lt$ on \mathcal{B} is said to satisfy the *detailed balance condition* [20, 21] with respect to a faithful normal state ϱ on \mathcal{B} if there exists another norm continuous dynamical semigroup $\Phi_t^+ = \exp L^+ t$ on \mathcal{B} such that

$$\varrho(L^+(A)B) = \varrho(AL(B)) \quad \text{for all } A, B \text{ in } \mathcal{B}, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$L(B) - L^+(B) = 2i[H, B], H = H^* \in \mathcal{B}, \quad \text{for all } B \text{ in } \mathcal{B}. \tag{2.3}$$

A *stochastic process* [8, 9] over \mathcal{B} is a triple $(\mathcal{A}, \{j_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu)$, where \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra, j_t is a *-representation of \mathcal{B} into \mathcal{A} , with $j_t(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$, for each t in \mathbb{R} , \mathcal{A} is generated by $j_t(\mathcal{B}) : t \in \mathbb{R}$, and μ is a state on \mathcal{A} .

Two stochastic processes over \mathcal{B} are said to be *equivalent* if they have the same *correlation kernels*, defined by

$$w_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(A_1, \dots, A_n; B_1, \dots, B_n) = \mu(j_{t_1}(A_1^*) \dots j_{t_n}(A_n^*) j_{t_n}(B_n) \dots j_{t_1}(B_1)), \quad (2.4)$$

for all $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n$ in \mathcal{B} , t_1, \dots, t_n in \mathbb{R} , and n in \mathbb{N} . By going to the GNS representation and taking the double commutant, it is always possible (up to equivalence) to assume that \mathcal{A} is a W^* -algebra and μ is a normal state; we shall do so in the following. In the special case when j_t is faithful and normal for all t , we shall say that $(\mathcal{A}, \{j_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu)$ is a *W^* -stochastic process*.

A stochastic process is said to be *stationary* if, for all $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n$ in \mathcal{B} , t_1, \dots, t_n , t in \mathbb{R} and n in \mathbb{N} one has

$$w_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(A_1, \dots, A_n; B_1, \dots, B_n) = w_{t_1+t, \dots, t_n+t}(A_1, \dots, A_n; B_1, \dots, B_n), \quad (2.5)$$

or, equivalently, if there exists a group $\{\alpha_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of $*$ -automorphisms of \mathcal{A} such that $j_t = \alpha_t j_0$, $\mu = \mu \circ \alpha_t$ for all t in \mathbb{R} .

Given a stochastic process $(\mathcal{A}, \{j_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu)$, for any subset I of \mathbb{R} , let \mathcal{A}_I denote the W^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} generated by $j_t(\mathcal{B}) : t \in I$. Then the process is said to be *Markov* if, for each t in \mathbb{R} , there exists a conditional expectation $E_{(-\infty, t]}$ of \mathcal{A} onto $\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t]}$, which is compatible with μ in the sense that

$$\mu = (\mu \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, t]}}) \circ E_{(-\infty, t]}, \quad (2.6)$$

and satisfies

$$E_{(-\infty, t]}(\mathcal{A}_{[t, +\infty)}) = \mathcal{A}_{\{t\}}. \quad (2.7)$$

A *stochastic dilation* of a dynamical semigroup Φ_t on \mathcal{B} is a stochastic process $(\mathcal{A}, \{j_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu)$ over \mathcal{B} such that j_0 is invertible, and there exists a conditional expectation $E_{\{0\}}$ of \mathcal{A} onto $\mathcal{A}_{\{0\}}$, compatible with μ , satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & j_0^{-1} E_{\{0\}}(j_{t_1}(A_1^*) \dots j_{t_n}(A_n^*) j_{t_n}(B_n) \dots j_{t_1}(B_1)) \\ &= \Phi_{t_1}(A_1^* \Phi_{t_2-t_1}(A_2^* \dots \Phi_{t_n-t_{n-1}}(A_n^* B_n) \dots B_2) B_1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

for all $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n$ in \mathcal{B} , $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n$ in \mathbb{R} , and n in \mathbb{N} . A *stationary Markov dilation* [12–14] of a dynamical semigroup Φ_t on \mathcal{B} is a stationary Markov W^* -stochastic process $(\mathcal{A}, \{j_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu)$ over \mathcal{B} such that there exists a normal conditional expectation $E_{\{0\}}$ of \mathcal{A} onto $\mathcal{A}_{\{0\}}$, compatible with μ , satisfying

$$\Phi_t = j_0^{-1} E_{\{0\}} j_t = j_0^{-1} E_{(-\infty, 0]} j_t \quad \text{for all } t \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (2.9)$$

A stationary Markov dilation is both a stochastic dilation [12, 9] and a unitary dilation in the sense of the Introduction.

3. Stochastic Dilations

Let $\Phi_t = \exp Lt$ be a dynamical semigroup of finite Lindblad type on a W^* -algebra \mathcal{B} , describing the irreversible time evolution of a quantum system. We have

$$L(B) = i[H, B] + \sum_{j=1}^N V_j^* B V_j - \frac{1}{2} [V_j^* V_j, B]_+ \quad (3.1)$$

for all B in \mathcal{B} , where $H = H^* \in \mathcal{B}$, $V_j \in \mathcal{B}$, $j = 1, \dots, N$. We construct a stochastic dilation of Φ_t by coupling the system to a suitable reservoir and taking the singular coupling limit, as in [2, 10].

Let \mathcal{F} be the antisymmetric Fock space over the direct sum of N copies of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Denote by Ω the vacuum vector, and by $a_j(f)$, $a_j(f)^*$ the annihilation and creation operators for a fermion with wave-function f in the j^{th} copy of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\{\alpha_t^0 : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the weakly $*$ continuous group of normal $*$ -automorphisms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \equiv \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$, determined by

$$\alpha_t^0(B \otimes a_j(f)) = e^{iHt} B e^{-iHt} \otimes a_j(f_t) \tag{3.2}$$

for all B in \mathcal{B} , f in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, j in $\{1, \dots, N\}$ and t in \mathbb{R} , where $H = H^*$ is the same as in (3.1), and where $f_t(s) = f(s - t)$ for all f in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and all s, t in \mathbb{R} .

Let $\{f^\varepsilon : \varepsilon > 0\}$ be a family of real-valued test functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, such that

$$h^\varepsilon(t - s) = (f_s^\varepsilon, f_t^\varepsilon); \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \tag{3.3}$$

defines a positive symmetric function h^ε in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, with $\|h^\varepsilon\|_1$ independent of ε , and such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s) h^\varepsilon(t - s) ds = g(t) \tag{3.4}$$

for all continuous and bounded functions g on \mathbb{R} and all t in \mathbb{R} (for example, let $\hat{f}^\varepsilon(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp[-\varepsilon^2 \omega^2 / 8]$, leading to $h^\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon^{-1} \pi^{-1/2} \exp[-t^2 / \varepsilon^2]$).

Let V^ε be the self-adjoint element of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ defined by

$$V^\varepsilon = \sum_{j=1}^N V_j^* \otimes a_j(f^\varepsilon) + V_j \otimes a_j(f^\varepsilon)^*. \tag{3.5}$$

The integral equation

$$\alpha_t^\varepsilon(A) = \alpha_t^0(A) + i \int_0^t \alpha_{t-s}^0([V^\varepsilon, \alpha_s^\varepsilon(A)]) ds, \tag{3.6}$$

$A \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, may be solved by iteration (Dyson series), and defines a weakly $*$ continuous group $\{\alpha_t^\varepsilon : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of normal $*$ -automorphisms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. For each t in \mathbb{R} , define a faithful normal $*$ -representation j_t^ε of \mathcal{B} into $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ by

$$j_t^\varepsilon(B) = \alpha_t^\varepsilon(B \otimes \mathbf{1}) = U_t^\varepsilon(B \otimes \mathbf{1}) U_t^{\varepsilon*} \tag{3.7}$$

for all B in \mathcal{B} and t in \mathbb{R} , where $U_t^\varepsilon \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is the solution of the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} U_t^\varepsilon = i U_t^\varepsilon \left[H + \sum_{j=1}^N V_j^* \otimes a_j(f_t^\varepsilon) + V_j \otimes a_j(f_t^\varepsilon)^* \right], \quad U_0^\varepsilon = \mathbf{1}. \tag{3.8}$$

Finally, denote by F_0 the map of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ onto \mathcal{B} defined by

$$F_0(B \otimes A) = (\Omega, A\Omega) B, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}). \tag{3.9}$$

Theorem 3.1 (Dümcke [10, 11]). *For all B_1, \dots, B_n in \mathcal{B} , t_1, \dots, t_n in \mathbb{R} and n in \mathbb{N} , the expressions*

$$F_0(j_{t_1}^\varepsilon(B_1) \dots j_{t_n}^\varepsilon(B_n)) \tag{3.10}$$

converge in norm in the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, uniformly for B_1, \dots, B_n in a compact ball, t_1, \dots, t_n in a compact interval, and n in a finite set. The limit may be computed by means of a term-by-term evaluation of a uniformly convergent Dyson series. Moreover, for ‘‘pyramidal’’ time ordering, $0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_0(j_{t_1}^\varepsilon(A_1^*) \dots j_{t_n}^\varepsilon(A_n^*) j_{t_n}^\varepsilon(B_n) \dots j_{t_1}^\varepsilon(B_1)) \\ &= \Phi_{t_1}(A_1^* \Phi_{t_2-t_1}(A_2^* \dots \Phi_{t_n-t_{n-1}}(A_n^* B_n) \dots B_2) B_1) \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

for all $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n$ in \mathcal{B} .

Proof. See [10] for the case of pyramidal time ordering and the Appendix for the general case. \square

Let \mathcal{A}^ε be the W^* -subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ generated by $j_t^\varepsilon(\mathcal{B}) : t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let ϱ be a normal state on \mathcal{B} such that the GNS representation π_ϱ is faithful, and let μ^ε be the restriction of $\varrho \circ F_0$ to \mathcal{A}^ε . Then we have

Theorem 3.2. *The correlation kernels $w_{t_1, \dots, t_n}^\varepsilon$ of the quantum stochastic process $(\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon, \{j_t^\varepsilon : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu^\varepsilon)$ converge in the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to the correlation kernels w_{t_1, \dots, t_n} of a quantum stochastic process $(\mathcal{A}, \{j_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu)$, which is a stochastic dilation of $\Phi_t = \exp Lt$.*

Proof. For all $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n$ in \mathcal{B} , t_1, \dots, t_n in \mathbb{R} and n in \mathbb{N} , the expression

$$w_{t_1, \dots, t_n}^\varepsilon(A_1, \dots, A_n; B_1, \dots, B_n) = \varrho(F_0[j_{t_1}^\varepsilon(A_1^*) \dots j_{t_n}^\varepsilon(A_n^*) j_{t_n}^\varepsilon(B_n) \dots j_{t_1}^\varepsilon(B_1)])$$

converges in the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, by Theorem 3.1; denote its limit by $w_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(A_1, \dots, A_n; B_1, \dots, B_n)$. This defines a family $\{w_{t_1, \dots, t_n} : t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of functions

$$w_{t_1, \dots, t_n} : \underbrace{(\mathcal{B} \times \dots \times \mathcal{B})}_{n \text{ times}} \times \underbrace{(\mathcal{B} \times \dots \times \mathcal{B})}_{n \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

which inherit from $\{w_{t_1, \dots, t_n}^\varepsilon : t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the following properties:

CK1 (projectivity): If $A_k = B_k = \mathbf{1}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, then

$$w_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(A_1, \dots, A_n; B_1, \dots, B_n) = w_{t_1, \dots, \hat{t}_k, \dots, t_n}(A_1, \dots, \hat{A}_k, \dots, A_n; B_1, \dots, \hat{B}_k, \dots, B_n),$$

where the marking $\hat{}$ above a symbol indicates that it must be omitted;

CK2 (positivity): w_{t_1, \dots, t_n} is a positive definite kernel [4] on $(\mathcal{B} \times \dots \times \mathcal{B}) \times (\mathcal{B} \times \dots \times \mathcal{B})$;

CK3 (normalization): $w_t(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) = 1$;

CK4 (sesquilinearity): w_{t_1, \dots, t_n} is linear in the last n arguments and conjugate-linear in the first n arguments;

CK5 (*-condition): the map $A_n, B_n \mapsto w_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(A_1, \dots, A_n; B_1, \dots, B_n)$ of $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ into \mathbb{C} factors through the map $A_n, B_n \mapsto A_n^* B_n$ of $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ into \mathcal{B} ;

CK6 (multiplicativity): if $t_k = t_{k-1}$, $k = 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & w_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(A_1, \dots, A_n; B_1, \dots, B_n) \\ &= w_{t_1, \dots, \hat{t}_k, \dots, t_n}(A_1, \dots, A_k A_{k-1}, \dots, A_n; B_1, \dots, B_k B_{k-1}, \dots, B_n). \end{aligned}$$

These are the hypotheses of the reconstruction theorem of [8], hence there exists a stochastic process $(\mathcal{A}, \{j_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu)$, unique up to equivalence, such that (2.4) holds.

We regard \mathcal{A} as a von Neumann algebra of operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , with a cyclic vector ζ such that $(\zeta, A\zeta) = \mu(A)$ for all A in \mathcal{A} . Denote by P_0 the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{H} onto $\mathcal{H}_0 \equiv \overline{j_0(\mathcal{B})\zeta}$, and let $\pi_0(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}$ denote the restriction of $j_0(B)$ to \mathcal{H}_0 . Since $\mu(j_0(B)) = \varrho(B)$ for all B in \mathcal{B} , the triple $(\mathcal{H}_0, \pi_0, \zeta)$ is a realization of the GNS representation associated with the normal state ϱ ; then π_0 is normal, and faithful by assumption. Hence also j_0 is invertible.

Now we prove that $P_0\mathcal{A}P_0 \subseteq \pi_0(\mathcal{B})$. Since $P_0(\cdot)P_0$ is a normal linear map and $\pi_0(\mathcal{B})$ is a von Neumann algebra, it suffices to prove that $P_0AP_0 \in \pi_0(\mathcal{B})$ for A of the form

$$A = j_{t_1}(A_1^*) \dots j_{t_n}(A_n^*) j_{t_n}(B_n) \dots j_{t_1}(B_1) : A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}, t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

since the linear span of such A 's is weakly* dense in \mathcal{A} . For all $\varphi = j_0(A_0)\zeta$, $\psi = j_0(B_0)\zeta$: $A_0, B_0 \in \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi, P_0AP_0\psi) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} w_{0, t_1, \dots, t_n}^\varepsilon(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n; B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n) \\ &= \varrho \left(A_0^* \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_0 [j_{t_1}^\varepsilon(A_1^*) \dots j_{t_n}^\varepsilon(A_n^*) j_{t_n}^\varepsilon(B_n) \dots j_{t_1}^\varepsilon(B_1)] B_0 \right) \\ &= \left(\varphi, \pi_0 \left(\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_0 [j_{t_1}^\varepsilon(A_1^*) \dots j_{t_n}^\varepsilon(A_n^*) j_{t_n}^\varepsilon(B_n) \dots j_{t_1}^\varepsilon(B_1)] \right) \psi \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where we have used $j_0^\varepsilon(B) = B \otimes \mathbf{1}$ for all ε . Since (3.12) holds for φ, ψ in a dense set in \mathcal{H}_0 , this proves $P_0\mathcal{A}P_0 \subseteq \pi_0(\mathcal{B})$.

Then we define a map $E_{\{0\}}$ on \mathcal{A} by

$$E_{\{0\}}(A) = j_0 \pi_0^{-1}(P_0AP_0) : A \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{3.13}$$

$E_{\{0\}}$ is a norm one projection of \mathcal{A} onto $\mathcal{A}_{\{0\}}$, hence a conditional expectation, by Tomiyama's theorem (see e.g. Theorem 5.3 of [4]). It follows from (3.12), in the particular case $\varphi = \psi = \zeta$, that

$$\mu(A) = \varrho \circ j_0^{-1} E_{\{0\}}(A) \text{ for all } A \text{ in } \mathcal{A},$$

so that $E_{\{0\}}$ is compatible with μ . For all $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n$ in \mathcal{B} , $0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n$ in \mathbb{R} and n in \mathbb{N} , we have, from (3.12) and (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned} &E_{\{0\}}(j_{t_1}(A_1^*) \dots j_{t_n}(A_n^*) j_{t_n}(B_n) \dots j_{t_1}(B_1)) \\ &= j_0(\Phi_{t_1}(A_1^* \Phi_{t_2-t_1}(A_2^* \dots \Phi_{t_n-t_{n-1}}(A_n^* B_n) \dots B_2) B_1). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof that $(\mathcal{A}, \{j_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu)$ is a stochastic dilation of Φ_t .

Theorem 3.3. *The process $(\mathcal{A}, \{j_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu)$ satisfies the following ‘‘Markov property at $t = 0$ ’’: for all A_- in $\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, 0]}$ and A_+ in $\mathcal{A}_{[0, +\infty)}$, one has*

$$\mu(A_- A_+) = \mu(E_{\{0\}}(A_-) A_+) = \mu(A_- E_{\{0\}}(A_+)) = \mu(E_{\{0\}}(A_-) E_{\{0\}}(A_+)), \tag{3.14}$$

where $E_{\{0\}}$ is the conditional expectation of \mathcal{A} onto $\mathcal{A}_{\{0\}}$ defined by (3.13).

Proof. It suffices to show that, for all s_1, \dots, s_m in \mathbb{R}^- , t_1, \dots, t_n in \mathbb{R}^+ , $A_1, \dots, A_m, B_1, \dots, B_n$ in \mathcal{B} , and m, n in \mathbb{N} , one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_0 [j_{s_1}^\varepsilon(A_1) \dots j_{s_m}^\varepsilon(A_m) j_{t_1}^\varepsilon(B_1) \dots j_{t_n}^\varepsilon(B_n)] \\ &= \left(\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_0 [j_{s_1}^\varepsilon(A_1) \dots j_{s_m}^\varepsilon(A_m)] \right) \left(\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_0 [j_{t_1}^\varepsilon(B_1) \dots j_{t_n}^\varepsilon(B_n)] \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.1, we may evaluate the limit on the Dyson expansion (see Appendix). Taking into account Eq. (A.10), it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{u=s}^0 \int_{v=0}^t (f_u^\varepsilon, f_v^\varepsilon) du dv = 0 \quad \text{for all } s < 0 < t. \tag{3.15}$$

Indeed, let $v - u = |v| + |u| = x, v + u = y$: then $|y| \leq x$ and the double integral in (3.15) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{x=0}^{|s|+t} \int_{y=-x}^x h^\varepsilon(x) dy dx = \int_0^{|s|+t} h^\varepsilon(x) x dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-|s|-t}^{|s|+t} h^\varepsilon(x) |x| dx$$

by the assumed symmetry of h^ε , this vanishes in the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, by (3.4), thus proving (3.15). \square

Remarks. (i) The construction works exactly in the same way also if the antisymmetric Fock space is replaced by the symmetric Fock space (boson reservoir); this leads to an *inequivalent* stochastic dilation of Φ_t .

(ii) The boson construction should give the same process as the one obtained by Hudson and Parthasarathy [17, 11] by solving a noncommutative stochastic differential equation. It seems conceivable that our fermion construction could also be obtained with the use of the fermion stochastic integral of Streater et al. [26, 11].

(iii) An alternative approximation method (“multiplicative Itô integral”) has been used by von Waldenfels [18, 11] in the construction of a quantum stochastic process modelling atomic radiation. When specialized to that model, our “Stratonovich-type” approximation method yields the same result as his “Itô-type” method, by the assumed symmetry of the function $h^\varepsilon(t)$.

(iv) To our knowledge, the first results of this kind were obtained by Davies in [27]; there, however, only the “outgoing states” of the “system plus reservoir” were constructed.

4. Stationarity, Detailed Balance, and Markov Property

In the present section we assume that the dynamical semigroup Φ_t has a faithful normal stationary state, and investigate necessary and sufficient conditions for the stationarity of its stochastic dilation, as constructed in the previous section.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Phi_t = \exp Lt$ be a dynamical semigroup of finite Lindblad type on \mathcal{B} , with a faithful normal stationary state ϱ . If the stochastic dilation $(\mathcal{A}, \{j_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu = \varrho \circ j_0^{-1} \circ E_{\{0\}})$ is a stationary process, then Φ_t satisfies the detailed balance condition with respect to ϱ .*

Proof. We have $\Phi_t = j_0^{-1} \circ E_{\{0\}} \circ j_t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Let also Φ_t^+ be the map on \mathcal{B} defined by

$$\Phi_t^+ = j_0^{-1} \circ E_{\{0\}} \circ j_{-t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (4.1)$$

By the assumed stationarity of the process, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(A\Phi_t(B)) &= \mu(j_0(A)j_t(B)) = \mu(j_0(A)\alpha_t(j_0(B))) \\ &= \mu(\alpha_{-t}(j_0(A))j_0(B)) = \mu(j_{-t}(A)j_0(B)) = \varrho(\Phi_t^+(A)B) \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

for all A, B in \mathcal{B} . The same construction as in Theorem 3.1 proves that $\Phi_t^+ = \exp L^+ t$, where

$$L^+(B) = -i[H, B] + \sum_{j=1}^N V_j^* B V_j - \frac{1}{2} [V_j^* V_j, B]_+, \quad (4.3)$$

for all B in \mathcal{B} (cf. [24, Sect. 8]). Then L and L^+ satisfy (2.2) and (2.3), and detailed balance holds. \square

Theorem 4.1 has a partial converse. Let ϱ be a faithful normal state on a W^* -algebra \mathcal{B} , and denote by $\{\sigma_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ the associated modular automorphism group. If \mathcal{B} is the algebra of all $n \times n$ complex matrices for some integer n , then any dynamical semigroup on \mathcal{B} is of finite Lindblad type, and it satisfies the detailed balance condition if and only if [20, 21] its infinitesimal generator L may be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} L(B) &= i[H, B] + \sum_{k=1}^N (V_k^* B V_k - \frac{1}{2} [V_k^* V_k, B]_+) \\ &\quad + e^{-\beta\omega_k} (V_k B V_k^* - \frac{1}{2} [V_k V_k^*, B]_+) \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

for all B in \mathcal{B} , where $H = H^* \in \mathcal{B}$, $V_k \in \mathcal{B}$, $k = 1, \dots, N$, and where

$$\sigma_t(H) = H \quad \text{for all } t \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\sigma_t(V_k) = e^{i\beta\omega_k t} V_k, \quad \omega_k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{for all } t \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N. \quad (4.6)$$

For an arbitrary W^* -algebra \mathcal{B} , we assume (4.4)–(4.6) as the form of L . Then we have:

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\Phi_t = \exp Lt$ be a dynamical semigroup on \mathcal{B} , with L of the form (4.4), satisfying conditions (4.5) and (4.6). Then*

- (i) Φ_t satisfies the detailed balance condition with respect to ϱ ;
- (ii) the stochastic dilation $(\mathcal{A}, \{j_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu = \varrho \circ j_0^{-1} \circ E_{\{0\}})$ is a stationary process;
- (iii) μ is a faithful state on \mathcal{A} .

Proof. (i) Using the KMS condition in the form $\varrho(AB) = \varrho(\sigma_t(B)A)$ for analytic elements B of \mathcal{B} , A in \mathcal{B} , we find that

$$\varrho(A[iH, B]) = -\varrho([iH, A]B),$$

[by (4.5)];

$$\varrho(A[V_k^* V_k, B]_+) = \varrho([V_k^* V_k, A]_+ B),$$

$$\varrho(A[V_k V_k^*, B]_+) = \varrho([V_k V_k^*, A]_+ B),$$

and

$$\varrho(AV_k^*BV_k) = e^{-\beta\omega_k}\varrho(V_kAV_k^*B),$$

[by (4.6)];

for all A, B in \mathcal{B} . Then (2.2) holds, with $L^+ = L - 2i[H, \cdot]$.

(ii) It suffices to prove that the approximating processes $(\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon, \{j_t^\varepsilon : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu^\varepsilon)$ are stationary for all ε . We need the antisymmetric Fock space over the direct sum of $2N$ copies of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, which we label by indices $k = \pm 1, \dots, \pm N$. From (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} V^\varepsilon &= \sum_{k=1}^N V_k^* \otimes [a_k(f^\varepsilon) + e^{-\beta\omega_k/2} a_{-k}(f^\varepsilon)^*] \\ &\quad + V_k \otimes [a_k(f^\varepsilon)^* + e^{-\beta\omega_k/2} a_{-k}(f^\varepsilon)] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N (1 + e^{-\beta\omega_k})^{1/2} [V_k^* \otimes b_k(f^\varepsilon) + V_k \otimes b_k(f^\varepsilon)^*], \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where we have defined the Bogoliubov transformation

$$b_k(f) = (1 + e^{-\beta\omega_k})^{-1/2} [a_k(f) + e^{-\beta\omega_k/2} a_{-k}(\bar{f})] \tag{4.8}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, N$, f in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (recall that f^ε is real-valued). It is well known [28] that the vacuum vector Ω is both cyclic and separating for the W^* -algebra \mathcal{R} defined by

$$\mathcal{R} = \{b_k(f), b_k(f)^* : k = 1, \dots, N, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}'' \tag{4.9}$$

and that the associated modular automorphism group of \mathcal{R} satisfies [29]

$$\sigma_t(b_k(f)) = e^{i\beta\omega_k t} b_k(f), \quad k = 1, \dots, N, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \tag{4.10}$$

Note that $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{R}$ is globally invariant under $\{\alpha_t^0 : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, and that V^ε is in $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{R}$, so that $\{\alpha_t^\varepsilon : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ maps $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{R}$ into itself, and \mathcal{A}^ε is a W^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{R}$. The state $\bar{\mu} = \varrho \circ F_0 \upharpoonright \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{R}$ is a faithful normal state, and it is invariant under $\{\alpha_t^0 : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, by (4.5). The associated modular automorphism group $\{\bar{\sigma}_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{R}$ satisfies

$$\bar{\sigma}_t(V^\varepsilon) = V^\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \tag{4.11}$$

by (4.6) and (4.10). Then we have, for all A in \mathcal{A}^ε and t in \mathbb{R} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}(\alpha_t^\varepsilon(A)) - \bar{\mu}(A) &= \bar{\mu}(\alpha_t^\varepsilon(A) - \alpha_t^0(A)) \\ &= i \int_0^t \bar{\mu}([V^\varepsilon, \alpha_s^\varepsilon(A)]) ds = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by (3.6) and (4.11). Then $(\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon, \{j_t^\varepsilon = \alpha_t^\varepsilon j_0 : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu^\varepsilon)$ is stationary.

(iii) By (ii) above, $\bar{\sigma}_s$ commutes with α_t^ε for all s, t in \mathbb{R} [30], hence $\bar{\sigma}_s j_t^\varepsilon(B) = j_t^\varepsilon \sigma_s(B)$ for all s, t in \mathbb{R} and B in \mathcal{B} . Taking the limit of multi-time correlations as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(j_{t_1}(A_1) \dots j_{t_m}(A_m) j_{u_1}(\sigma_s(B_1)) \dots j_{u_n}(\sigma_s(B_n))) f(s) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(j_{u_1}(\sigma_s(B_1)) \dots j_{u_n}(\sigma_s(B_n)) j_{t_1}(A_1) \dots j_{t_m}(A_m)) f(s - i) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $A_1, \dots, A_m, B_1, \dots, B_n$ in \mathcal{B} , $s, t_1, \dots, t_m, u_1, \dots, u_n$ in \mathbb{R} , m, n in \mathbb{N} , and for all functions f with Fourier transform in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. It follows, as in [31], that the pair

(\mathcal{A}, μ) is equipped with a modular automorphism group $\{\sigma_s : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$, satisfying

$$\sigma_s[j_{u_1}(B_1) \dots j_{u_n}(B_n)] = j_{u_1}(\sigma_s(B_1)) \dots j_{u_n}(\sigma_s(B_n)) \tag{4.12}$$

for all B_1, \dots, B_n in \mathcal{B} , s, u_1, \dots, u_n in \mathbb{R} , and n in \mathbb{N} ; in particular, μ is a faithful state on \mathcal{A} . \square

We may conclude that the following statements are “essentially equivalent” (up to technicalities):

- (I) Φ_t satisfies detailed balance with respect to ϱ ;
- (II) the stochastic dilation $(\mathcal{A}, \{j_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu)$ as constructed from Φ_t and ϱ as in Sect. 3 is a stationary process, and μ is a faithful state on \mathcal{A} .

By using the modular automorphism group of \mathcal{A} associated with μ , the stationarity of the process, and Takesaki’s theorem on conditional expectations [25], we can extend the “Markov property at $t = 0$ ” of Theorem 3.3 to the full Markov property.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \{j_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mu)$ be the stochastic dilation, as in Sect. 3, of a dynamical semigroup Φ_t on \mathcal{B} . If it is a stationary process and μ is a faithful state, then it is a stationary Markov dilation of Φ_t .*

Proof. It remains to prove that j_t is a faithful normal map for all t in \mathbb{R} , $E_{\{0\}}$ is a normal conditional expectation, and the Markov property (2.7) holds. We give the proofs separately:

(i) Since $j_t = \alpha_t j_0$ and α_t is an automorphism leaving the normal state μ invariant, it suffices to prove that j_0 is normal (j_0 is faithful by Theorem 3.2). Since μ is faithful, the set of the positive linear functionals on \mathcal{A} that are dominated by a scalar multiple of μ generates a dense subset of \mathcal{A}_* . Let ν be such a positive functional. Then $\nu \circ j_0$ is dominated by a scalar multiple of $\mu \circ j_0 = \varrho$, hence it is a normal functional on \mathcal{B} . By taking linear combinations and norm limits, it follows that j_0^* maps \mathcal{A}_* into \mathcal{B}_* , so that j_0 is normal.

(ii) $E_{\{0\}}$ satisfies $\mu \circ E_{\{0\}} = \varrho \circ j_0^{-1} \circ E_{\{0\}} = \mu$; and since $E_{\{0\}}$ is completely positive and μ is a faithful normal state, it follows that $E_{\{0\}}$ is normal.

(iii) The modular automorphism group $\{\sigma_s : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of \mathcal{A} associated with μ commutes with $\{\alpha_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ [30], hence all the W^* -subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_I = \vee \{\alpha_t j_0(\mathcal{B}) : t \in I\}$, are globally invariant under σ_s . By [25], there exist conditional expectations E_I of \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{A}_I which are compatible with μ ; they are uniquely defined, faithful and normal. In particular, $E_{(-\infty, 0]}$ exists. In order to prove that (2.7) holds for $t = 0$, it suffices to show that, for each A_+ in $\mathcal{A}_{[0, +\infty)}$, there exists A_0 in $\mathcal{A}_{\{0\}}$ such that

$$\mu(A_- A_+) = \mu(A_- A_0) \quad \text{for all } A_- \text{ in } \mathcal{A}_{(-\infty, 0]}. \tag{4.13}$$

By Theorem 3.3, (4.13) holds, with $A_0 = E_{\{0\}}(A_+)$. Then $E_{(-\infty, 0]}(\mathcal{A}_{[0, +\infty)}) = \mathcal{A}_{\{0\}}$. By the stationarity of the process, we have $\alpha_t E_{(-\infty, 0]} = E_{(-\infty, t]} \alpha_t$, and (2.7) follows. \square

Remarks: (i) The connection between stationarity of the dilation and the detailed balance condition for the semigroup should be compared with the “derivation of detailed balance from microscopic reversibility.” As remarked in [24, 32], such a derivation is reliable only when the stationary state of the semigroup is the restriction of a stationary (or, at least, approximately stationary) state for the global evolution of the larger system.

(ii) The processes we have described are called “quantum diffusions” by Hudson and Parthasarathy [17], in that they can be constructed with the aid of a “quantum Wiener process.” It is worth mentioning, in this regard, that a *classical* diffusion with a stationary state does indeed satisfy the detailed balance condition in the sense of [21], as remarked in [32].

Appendix

A published proof of Theorem 3.1 exists only for the case of pyramidal time ordering [10]. Though the convergence of all multi-time correlations is an explicitly stated result in Dümcke’s paper in [11], we think it convenient to provide an explicit proof here.

We introduce some notation. For m in \mathbb{N} and t in \mathbb{R} , let

$$A_m(0, t) = \begin{cases} \{(s_1, \dots, s_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : 0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_m \leq t\} & \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ \{(s_1, \dots, s_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : t \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_m \leq 0\} & \text{for } t \leq 0. \end{cases} \tag{A.1}$$

We shall also understand that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{A_m(0, t)} f_m(t; s_1, \dots, s_m) ds_1 \dots ds_m = f_0(t) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_m(0, t)} f_m(t; s_1, \dots, s_m) ds_1 \dots ds_m. \tag{A.2}$$

For A in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and t in \mathbb{R} , let $A(t) = \alpha_t^0(A)$. It is convenient to rewrite V^ε as

$$V^\varepsilon = \sum_{j=1}^{2N} F_j \otimes \varphi_j(f^\varepsilon), \tag{A.3}$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} F_j &= \frac{1}{2}(V_j^* + V_j), \varphi_j = a_j^* + a_j & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N, \\ F_j &= \frac{i}{2}(V_{j-N}^* - V_{j-N}), \varphi_j = i(a_{j-N}^* - a_{j-N}) & \text{for } j = N + 1, \dots, 2N. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{A.4}$$

We define also

$$\left. \begin{aligned} F_{+j}(A) &= F_j A, \varphi_{+j}(A) = \varphi_j A, \\ F_{-j}(A) &= A F_j, \varphi_{-j}(A) = A \varphi_j, \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{A.5}$$

for all A in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, $j = 1, \dots, 2N$. For all B_1, \dots, B_n in \mathcal{B} , t_1, \dots, t_n in \mathbb{R} and n in \mathbb{N} , the iterative solution of (3.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &F_0[j_{t_1}^\varepsilon(B_1) \dots j_{t_n}^\varepsilon(B_n)] \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_n = r} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n (i \operatorname{sgn} t_k)^{m_k} \right]_{A_{m_1}(0, t_1) \times \dots \times A_{m_n}(0, t_n)} \int \dots \int \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_r = \pm 1, \dots, \pm 2N} \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\prod_{k=1}^r \operatorname{sgn} j_k \right] \\ &\quad \cdot [F_{j_1}(s_1) \dots F_{j_{m_1}}(s_{m_1}) B_1(t_1)] [F_{j_{m_1+1}}(s_{m_1+1}) \dots F_{j_{m_1+m_2}}(s_{m_1+m_2}) B_2(t_2)] \\ &\quad \cdot \dots [F_{j_{m_1+\dots+m_{n-1}+1}}(s_{m_1+\dots+m_{n-1}+1}) \dots F_{j_r}(s_r) B_n(t_n)] \\ &\quad \cdot (\Omega, [\varphi_{j_1}(f_{s_1}^\varepsilon) \dots \varphi_{j_{m_1}}(f_{s_{m_1}}^\varepsilon) \mathbf{1}]) \\ &\quad \cdot \dots [\varphi_{j_{m_1+\dots+m_{n-1}+1}}(f_{s_{m_1+\dots+m_{n-1}+1}}^\varepsilon) \dots \varphi_{j_r}(f_{s_r}^\varepsilon) \mathbf{1}] \Omega ds_1 \dots ds_r. \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

To each ordered r -uple $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) = (\text{sgn} j_1, \dots, \text{sgn} j_r)$ in $\{-1, +1\}^r$, there corresponds a permutation $\pi = \pi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ of $\{1, \dots, r\}$ such that (Ω, \dots, Ω) in (A.6) becomes

$$(\Omega, \varphi_{|j_{\pi(1)}|}(f_{S_{\pi(1)}}^\varepsilon) \dots \varphi_{|j_{\pi(r)}|}(f_{S_{\pi(r)}}^\varepsilon) \Omega).$$

This vanishes for r odd, and for r even, $r = 2l$, it becomes

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(l)} (\text{sgn} p) \prod_{q=1}^l (\Omega, \varphi_{|j_{\pi \circ p(2q-1)}|}(f_{S_{\pi \circ p(2q-1)}}^\varepsilon) \varphi_{|j_{\pi \circ p(2q)}|}(f_{S_{\pi \circ p(2q)}}^\varepsilon) \Omega), \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where $\mathcal{P}(l)$ is the set of those permutations of $\{1, \dots, 2l\}$ such that $p(2q-1) < p(2q)$, $p(2q-1) < p(2q+1)$ for all q , and $\text{sgn} p$ is the parity of the permutation p . Taking into account (A.4), and defining

$$\chi(j, k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } j = k, \\ i & \text{for } j = k - N, \\ -i & \text{for } j = k + N, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

we find that (A.7) becomes

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(l)} (\text{sgn} p) \prod_{q=1}^l \chi(|j_{\pi \circ p(2q-1)}|, |j_{\pi \circ p(2q)}|) h^\varepsilon(S_{\pi \circ p(2q)} - S_{\pi \circ p(2q-1)}). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Combining (A.6) with (A.9), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & F_0[j_{t_1}^\varepsilon(B_1) \dots j_{t_n}^\varepsilon(B_n)] \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^\infty \sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_n = 2l} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n (i \text{sgn} t_k)^{m_k} \right] \int_{A_{m_1}(0, t_1)} \dots \int_{A_{m_n}(0, t_n)} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_r = \pm 1, \dots, \pm 2N} \\ & \cdot \left[\prod_{k=1}^{2l} \text{sgn} j_k \right] \\ & \cdot [F_{j_1}(s_1) \dots F_{j_{m_1}}(s_{m_1}) B_1(t_1)] \dots [F_{j_{m_1 + \dots + m_{n-1} + 1}}(s_{m_1 + \dots + m_{n-1} + 1}) \\ & \cdot \dots F_{j_{2l}}(s_{2l}) B_n(t_n)] \\ & \cdot \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(l)} (\text{sgn} p) \prod_{q=1}^l \chi(|j_{\pi \circ p(2q-1)}|, |j_{\pi \circ p(2q)}|) h^\varepsilon(S_{\pi \circ p(2q)} - S_{\pi \circ p(2q-1)}) ds_1 \dots ds_{2l}, \quad (\text{A.10}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi = \pi(\text{sgn} j_1, \dots, \text{sgn} j_{2l})$. The term-by-term limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ of the expansion (A.10) is evaluated by recalling that $h^\varepsilon(t)$ is a symmetric approximation to $\delta(t)$. In order to prove that the expansion converges uniformly in ε , we perform some majorizations. Since $|\chi(j, k)| \leq 1$ and h^ε is a positive symmetric function, we have

$$\left| \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(l)} \dots h^\varepsilon(\dots) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2^l l!} \sum_{\sigma \in S(2l)} \prod_{q=1}^l h^\varepsilon(S_{\pi \circ \sigma(2q)} - S_{\pi \circ \sigma(2q-1)}),$$

where $S(2l)$ is the set of all permutations of $\{1, \dots, 2l\}$. This is actually independent of π , and it may be rewritten as

$$\frac{(2l)!}{2^l l!} P_S \left[\prod_{q=1}^l h^\varepsilon(s_{2q} - s_{2q-1}) \right],$$

where P_S denotes the projection onto the totally symmetric functions of s_1, \dots, s_{2l} . Let also $\|F\| = \max \|F_{|j|}\|$, $\|B\| = \max \|B_i\|$. Then we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{r.h.s. of (A.10)}\| &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_n = 2l} \int \dots \int_{\Delta_{m_1}(0, t_1) \times \dots \times \Delta_{m_n}(0, t_n)} \\ &\cdot (4N)^{2l} \|F\|^{2l} \|B\|^n \frac{(2l)!}{2^l l!} P_S \left[\prod_{q=1}^l h^\varepsilon(s_{2q} - s_{2q-1}) \right] ds_1 \dots ds_{2l}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

Since the integrand is totally symmetric in s_1, \dots, s_{2l} , it is also symmetric in all the groups of variables (s_1, \dots, s_{m_1}) , $(s_{m_1+1}, \dots, s_{m_1+m_2})$, \dots , $(s_{m_1+\dots+m_{n-1}+1}, \dots, s_{2l})$. Hence we may replace

$$\int_{\Delta_m(0, t)} [\dots] ds_1 \dots ds_m \quad \text{by} \quad \frac{1}{m!} \int_0^t \dots \int_0^t [\dots] ds_1 \dots ds_m,$$

and obtain a further majorization by extending each integration from $t_- = \min(t_k, 0)$ to $t_+ = \max(t_k, 0)$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{r.h.s. of (A.10)}\| &\leq \|B\|^n \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (8N^2 \|F\|^2)^l \frac{1}{l!} \\ &\cdot \left[\sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_n = 2l} \frac{(2l)!}{m_1! \dots m_n!} \right] \\ &\cdot \int_{t_-}^{t_+} \dots \int_{t_-}^{t_+} P_S \left[\prod_{q=1}^l h^\varepsilon(s_{2q} - s_{2q-1}) \right] ds_1 \dots ds_{2l}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

The integral is majorized by $(\|h^\varepsilon\|_1(t_+ - t_-))^l$, independent of ε , as in [1]. It remains to control the behaviour of

$$C(n, r) = \sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_n = r} \frac{r!}{m_1! \dots m_n!}.$$

Using $C(1, r) = 1$ for all r , and the easily derived recursion formula

$$C(n, r) = \sum_{s=0}^r \binom{r}{s} C(n-1, s),$$

we find that $C(n, r) \leq (r+1)^{n-1} 2^{(n-1)r}$, which is eventually dominated by 2^{nr} for fixed n and $r \rightarrow \infty$. We conclude that the expansion (A.10) is dominated by

$$(\text{constant}) + \|B\|^n \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} [8N^2 \|F\|^2 4^n \|h\|_1(t_+ - t_-)]^l, \tag{A.13}$$

which is a convergent series, independent of ε . Then we are allowed to take the limit term by term in (A.10). Note that (A.13) proves also the uniformness of the convergence as stated in Theorem 3.1.

Acknowledgements. The preparation of this work greatly benefited from the stimulating atmosphere of the Workshop on Quantum Probability and Applications to the Quantum Theory

of Irreversible Processes, held in Rome, Villa Mondragone, September 6–11, 1982. There we had the opportunity of discussing with many of the authors of the papers referred to. We are also grateful to L. Accardi, R. L. Hudson, G. L. Sewell, and H. Spohn for stimulating discussions on different occasions.

References

1. Davies, E.B.: Markovian master equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **39**, 91–110 (1974)
2. Gorini, V., Kossakowski, A.: N -level system in contact with a singular reservoir. *J. Math. Phys.* **17**, 1298–1305 (1976);
Frigerio, A., Gorini, V.: N -level systems in contact with a singular reservoir. II. *J. Math. Phys.* **17**, 2123–2127 (1976)
3. Davies, E.B.: Dilations of completely positive maps. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **17**, 330–338 (1978)
4. Evans, D.E., Lewis, J.T.: Dilations of irreversible evolutions in algebraic quantum theory. *Commun. Dublin Institute for Advanced studies Ser. A No.* **24**, 1977
5. Varilly, J.C.: Dilation of a non-quasifree dissipative evolution. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **5**, 113–116 (1981)
6. Spohn, H.: Kinetic equations from Hamiltonian dynamics: Markovian limits. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **52**, 569–615 (1980)
7. Lindblad, G.: Non-Markovian quantum stochastic processes and their entropy. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **65**, 281–294 (1979)
8. Accardi, L., Frigerio, A., Lewis, J.T.: Quantum stochastic processes. *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.* **18**, 97–133 (1982)
9. Lewis, J.T.: Quantum stochastic processes. I. *Phys. Rep.* **77**, 339–349 (1981);
Frigerio, A.: Quantum stochastic processes. II. *Phys. Rep.* **77**, 351–358 (1981)
10. Dümcke, R.: Convergence of multi-time correlation functions in the weak and singular coupling limits. *J. Math. Phys.* **24**, 311–315 (1983)
11. Accardi, L., Frigerio, A., Gorini, V. (eds.): Quantum Probability and applications to the quantum theory of irreversible processes – Proceedings, Rome, 1982. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 1055. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo: Springer 1984
12. Kümmerer, B.: A dilation theory for completely positive operators on W^* -algebras. Thesis, Tübingen, 1982
13. Kümmerer, B.: Markov dilations of completely positive operators on W^* -algebras. *Semesterbericht Funktionalanalyse Tübingen, Wintersemester 1981/1982*, pp. 175–186;
Kümmerer, B.: A non-commutative example of a continuous Markov dilation. *Semesterbericht Funktionalanalyse Tübingen, Wintersemester 1982/1983*, pp. 61–91
14. Kümmerer, B., Schröder, W.: A Markov dilation of a non-quasifree Bloch evolution. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **90**, 251–262 (1983)
15. Emch, G.G.: Generalized K -flows. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **49**, 191–215 (1976)
16. Emch, G.G., Albeverio, S., Eckmann, J.P.: Quasi-free generalized K -flows. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **13**, 73–85 (1978)
17. Hudson, R.L., Parthasarathy, K.R.: Quantum diffusions. In: *Theory and applications of random fields. Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences*, Vol. 49. Kallianpur (ed.). Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo: Springer 1983, Noncommutative semimartingales and quantum diffusion processes adapted to Brownian motion, preprint; Quantum Itô's formula and stochastic evolutions (preprint)
18. Von Waldenfels, W.: Light emission and absorption as a quantum stochastic process. Preprint Heidelberg No. 176, 1982
19. Lindblad, G.: On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **48**, 119–130 (1976)
20. Alicki, R.: On the detailed balance condition for non-Hamiltonian systems. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **10**, 249–258 (1976)

21. Kossakowski, A., Frigerio, A., Gorini, V., Verri, M.: Quantum detailed balance and KMS condition. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **57**, 97–110 (1977)
22. Evans, D.E.: Completely positive quasi-free maps on the CAR algebra. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **70**, 53–68 (1979)
23. Lindblad, G.: Gaussian quantum stochastic processes on the CCR algebra. *Math. Phys.* **20**, 2081–2087 (1979)
24. Gorini, V., Frigerio, A., Verri, M., Kossakowski, A., Sudarshan, E.C.G.: Properties of quantum Markovian master equations. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **13**, 149–173 (1978)
25. Takesaki, M.: Conditional expectations in von Neumann algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **9**, 306–321 (1972)
26. Barnett, C., Streater, R.F., Wilde, I.F.: The Itô-Clifford integral. *J. Funct. Anal.* **48**, 172–212 (1982); Itô-Clifford integral II-stochastic differential equations. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2), **27**, 333–384 (1983); The Itô-Clifford integral. III. The Markov property of solutions to stochastic differential equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **89**, 13–17 (1983)
27. Davies, E.B.: Some contraction semigroups in quantum probability. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie* **23**, 261–273 (1972)
28. Balslev, E., Manuceau, J., Verbeure, A.: Representations of anticommutation relations and Bogolioubov transformations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **8**, 315–326 (1968)
29. Rocca, F., Sirugue, M., Testard, D.: On a class of equilibrium states under the Kubo-Martin-Schwinger boundary condition. I. Fermions. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **13**, 317–334 (1969)
30. Sirugue, M., Winnink, M.: Constraints imposed upon a state of a system that satisfies the KMS boundary condition. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **19**, 161–168 (1970)
31. Bratteli, O., Robinson, D.W.: Green functions, Hamiltonians and modular automorphisms. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **50**, 133–156 (1976)
32. Carmichael, H.J., Walls, D.F.: Detailed balance in open quantum Markoffian systems. *Z. Phys.* **B23**, 299–306 (1976)
33. Frigerio, A., Gorini, V.: Diffusion processes, quantum dynamical semigroups, and the classical KMS condition. Preprint IFUM 276/FT, 1982. *J. Math. Phys.* (to appear)

Communicated by H. Araki

Received July 26, 1983; in revised form November 14, 1983