

# Remarks on Spectra of Modular Operators of von Neumann Algebras

Huzihiro Araki\*

Department of Mathematics, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada

Received June 20, 1972

**Abstract.** It is shown that if  $\varrho$  is an invariant state of an asymptotically abelian  $C^*$  algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then the spectrum of modular operator for  $\varrho$  is contained in the spectrum of any other modular operator for the von Neumann algebra  $\pi_\varrho(\mathfrak{A})''$ .

It is also shown that a modular operator can not have an isolated spectrum with a finite multiplicity at 1 unless the associated Hilbert space is of finite dimension. It is further shown that if a modular operator has an isolated spectrum with a finite multiplicity at  $x \neq 1$ , then the von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a direct sum of  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_2$  where  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  is represented on a finite dimensional Hilbert space and the modular operator for  $\mathfrak{R}_2$  does not have its spectrum at  $x$ .

Applications to Connes invariant are given.

## § 1. Preliminaries

A net of operators  $Q_\alpha$  in a von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{R}$  is called weakly (or strongly) central if there exists weakly total self adjoint subset  $\mathfrak{R}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that  $[Q_\alpha, Q] \rightarrow 0$  weakly (or strongly) for every  $Q \in \mathfrak{R}_0$ . If  $Q_\alpha$  is uniformly bounded and weakly central, then  $w\text{-lim}[Q_\alpha, Q] = 0$  for all  $Q \in \mathfrak{R}$  ([1]).

A subset  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  is called weakly (or strongly)  $\tau_\alpha$  central relative to a net of  $*$  automorphisms  $\tau_\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  if  $\tau_\alpha Q$  is weakly (or strongly) central in  $\mathfrak{R}$  for each  $Q \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

For any state  $\varrho$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , we denote by  $H_\varrho$ ,  $\pi_\varrho$  and  $\Omega_\varrho$  a Hilbert space, a representation of  $\mathfrak{R}$  on  $H_\varrho$  and a cyclic vector in  $H_\varrho$  associated with  $\varrho$  through the relation

$$\varrho(Q) = (\Omega_\varrho, \pi_\varrho(Q) \Omega_\varrho), \quad Q \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

$J_\varrho$  and  $\Delta_\varrho$  denote modular conjugation operator and modular operator for  $\Omega_\varrho$  when  $\varrho$  is faithful.  $\bar{\tau}_\varrho(t) Q \equiv \Delta_\varrho^{it} Q \Delta_\varrho^{-it}$ .

If  $Q$  is  $\tau_\alpha$  invariant, then there exists a unitary  $U_\alpha$  such that  $U_\alpha \pi_\varrho(Q) \Omega_\varrho = \pi_\varrho(\tau_\alpha Q) \Omega_\varrho$  for all  $Q \in \mathfrak{R}$ . We denote  $U_\alpha Q U_\alpha^* = \bar{\tau}_\alpha Q$  for  $Q \in \mathfrak{B}(H_\varrho)$ .

The following result has been obtained in [1]. (See also appendix.)

---

\* On leave from Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.

**Lemma 1.** *Let a weakly dense  $*$  subalgebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  be strongly  $\tau_\alpha$  central,  $\varrho$  be a faithful normal state on  $\mathfrak{R}$ , invariant under all  $\tau_\alpha$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the  $C^*$  algebra generated by  $\pi_\varrho(\mathfrak{A})j_\varrho\{\pi_\varrho(\mathfrak{A})\}$  where  $j_\varrho(Q) = J_\varrho Q J_\varrho$ . Let  $\hat{\varrho}$  denote the vector state on  $\mathcal{B}(H_\varrho)$  by the vector  $\Omega_\varrho$  and  $\varrho'$  be any normal state on  $\mathcal{B}(H_\varrho)$ , such that its restriction to the center  $\mathfrak{Z} = \pi_\varrho(\mathfrak{R}) \cap \pi_\varrho(\mathfrak{R})'$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  is the same as that of  $\hat{\varrho}$ :  $\hat{\varrho}(z) = \varrho'(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathfrak{Z}$ . Then*

$$\lim \varrho'(\bar{\tau}_\alpha Q) = \hat{\varrho}(Q), \quad Q \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

To achieve the situation  $\varrho'|_{\mathfrak{Z}} = \hat{\varrho}|_{\mathfrak{Z}}$ , we use the following commutative Radon-Nikodym Theorem. Here,  $s(\varrho)$  denotes the support projection of  $\varrho$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\varrho_1$  and  $\varrho_2$  be normal states of a commutative von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{Z}$  and  $s(\varrho_1) \geq s(\varrho_2)$ . (The last condition is automatically fulfilled if  $\varrho_1$  is faithful.) Then there exists a non-negative self adjoint operator  $A^3(\varrho_2/\varrho_1)$  affiliated with  $\mathfrak{Z}$  such that  $\Omega_{\varrho_1}$  is in the domain of  $\pi_{\varrho_1}(A^3(\varrho_2/\varrho_1))$  ( $\equiv \int \lambda d\pi_{\varrho_1}(E_\lambda)$  if  $A^3(\varrho_2/\varrho_1) = \int \lambda dE_\lambda$ ) and the vector state on  $\mathfrak{Z}$  by the vector  $\Omega' \equiv \pi_{\varrho_1}(A^3(\varrho_2/\varrho_1))\Omega_{\varrho_1}$  is  $\varrho_2$ .*

$A(\varrho_2/\varrho_1)$  is the positive square root of Radon-Nikodym derivate in measure theoretical sense.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a von Neumann algebra on  $H$  and  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega'$  be two cyclic and separating vectors related by  $\Omega' = A\Omega$  where  $A$  is a positive self adjoint operator affiliated with center  $\mathfrak{Z} = \mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{R}'$ . Then  $\Delta_\Omega = \Delta_{\Omega'}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $z \in \mathfrak{Z}$ ,  $z = z^*$  and  $S_\Omega = J_\Omega A^{1/2}$ .

Then

$$S_\Omega Q z \Omega = z Q^* \Omega = Q^* z \Omega, \quad Q \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

Let  $A = \int \lambda dE_\lambda$ ,  $A_L = A E_L$ . Then  $A_L \in \mathfrak{Z}$ ,  $A_L^* = A_L$ . Further,

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} Q A_L \Omega = Q \Omega', \quad \lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} Q^* A_L \Omega = Q^* \Omega'$$

for  $Q \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Since  $S_\Omega$  is closed, we have

$$S_\Omega Q \Omega' = Q^* \Omega' = S_{\Omega'} Q \Omega'.$$

Hence  $S_\Omega \supset S_{\Omega'}$ . Since  $\Omega = A^{-1} \Omega'$ , we have  $S_{\Omega'} \supset S_\Omega$ . Therefore  $S_\Omega = S_{\Omega'}$ , which implies  $\Delta_\Omega = S_\Omega^* S_\Omega = S_{\Omega'}^* S_{\Omega'} = \Delta_{\Omega'}$ . Q.E.D.

The following Lemma has been given by Connes [2].

**Lemma 4.**  *$t \in [0, \infty)$  is in the spectrum of  $\Delta_\Omega$  if and only if there exist operators  $x \in \mathfrak{R}$  and  $y \in \mathfrak{R}'$  for each given  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\|x\Omega\| = 1$ ,  $\|t^{1/2} x \Omega - y \Omega\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\|x^* \Omega - t^{1/2} y^* \Omega\| < \varepsilon$ .*

### § 2. Invariant State of Asymptotically Abelian System

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\tau_\alpha$  be a net of  $*$  automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that a weakly dense sub  $*$  algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  is strongly  $\tau_\alpha$  central and  $\varrho$  be a faithful normal state of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , invariant under all  $\tau_\alpha$ . Then the spectrum of  $\Delta_\varrho$  is contained in the spectrum of  $\Delta_{\varrho'}$  for any faithful normal state  $\varrho'$  on  $\mathfrak{R}$ .*

*Remark.* This theorem with an assumption of strong clustering has been given by Størmer [4].

*Proof.* Let  $t \in [0, \infty)$  be in the spectrum of  $\Delta_\varrho$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. By Lemma 4, there exists  $x \in \mathfrak{R}$  and  $y \in \mathfrak{R}'$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\Omega_\varrho\| &= 1, \\ \|t^{1/2} x\Omega_\varrho - y\Omega_\varrho\| &< \varepsilon/4, \\ \|x^*\Omega_\varrho - t^{1/2} y^*\Omega_\varrho\| &< \varepsilon/4. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a self adjoint linear weakly dense subset of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , it is  $*$  strongly dense in  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Hence there exist  $x_1 \in \pi_\varrho(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $y_1 \in J_\varrho \pi_\varrho(\mathfrak{A}) J_\varrho$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1\Omega_\varrho\| &= 1, \\ \|t^{1/2}(x - x_1)\Omega_\varrho - (y - y_1)\Omega_\varrho\| &< \varepsilon/4, \\ \|(x^* - x_1^*)\Omega_\varrho - t^{1/2}(y^* - y_1^*)\Omega_\varrho\| &< \varepsilon/4. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Omega_\varrho$  is cyclic and separating for  $\pi_\varrho(\mathfrak{R}) \sim \mathfrak{R}$ , there exists a vector  $\Omega_{\varrho'} \in H_\varrho$  such that the vector state by  $\Omega_{\varrho'}$  on  $\mathfrak{R}$  is  $\varrho'$ . By Lemma 2, there exists a positive self adjoint operator  $z$  affiliated with  $\mathfrak{Z} = \pi_\varrho(\mathfrak{R}) \cap \pi_\varrho(\mathfrak{R})'$  such that  $z\Omega_{\varrho'} \equiv \Omega'$  gives the same vector state on  $\mathfrak{Z}$  as  $\Omega_\varrho$ . Let  $\varrho''$  be the vector state on  $\mathcal{B}(H_\varrho)$  by the vector  $\Omega'$ .

By Lemma 1, there exists  $\alpha$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\varrho'' - \hat{\varrho})(\bar{\tau}_\alpha(x_1^* x_1))| &< 1/2, \\ |(\varrho'' - \hat{\varrho})(\bar{\tau}_\alpha\{(t^{1/2} x_1 - y_1)^*(t^{1/2} x_1 - y_1)\})| &< \varepsilon^2/4, \\ |(\varrho'' - \hat{\varrho})(\bar{\tau}_\alpha\{(x_1^* - t^{1/2} y_1^*)^*(x_1^* - t^{1/2} y_1^*)\})| &< \varepsilon^2/4. \end{aligned}$$

We define  $\lambda = \varrho''(\bar{\tau}_\alpha(x_1^* x_1))^{1/2}$ . Then  $\lambda^2 > 1 - 1/2 = 1/2$ , due to  $\hat{\varrho}(\bar{\tau}_\alpha(x_1^* x_1)) = \hat{\varrho}(x_1^* x_1) = 1$ . We define

$$x_2 = \lambda^{-1} \bar{\tau}_\alpha x_1, \quad y_2 = \lambda^{-1} \bar{\tau}_\alpha y_1.$$

By previous estimates and  $\bar{\tau}_\alpha$  invariance of  $\hat{\varrho}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho''(x_2^* x_2) &= 1, \\ \varrho''((t^{1/2} x_2 - y_2)^*(t^{1/2} x_2 - y_2)) &< \varepsilon^2, \\ \varrho''((x_2^* - t^{1/2} y_2^*)^*(x_2^* - t^{1/2} y_2^*)) &< \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x_2 \in \pi_\rho(\mathfrak{R})$ ,  $y_2 \in \pi_\rho(\mathfrak{R})'$ ,  $t$  is in the spectrum of  $\Delta_{\rho'}$  by Lemma 4. By Lemma 3,  $\Delta_{\rho'} = \Delta_{\rho_\rho}$ . Hence  $t$  is in the spectrum of  $\Delta_\rho$ . Q.E.D.

### § 3. Isolated Spectrum with a Finite Multiplicity at 1

**Theorem 2.** *If 1 is an isolated spectrum of  $\Delta_\rho$  with a multiplicity  $n$ , then  $\dim H_\rho \leq n^2$ .*

We need a few preparations for the proof of this Theorem. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be any weakly dense  $\bar{\tau}_\rho(t)$  invariant norm closed linear subset of  $\pi_\rho(\mathfrak{R})$ . Let  $\Delta_\rho = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\lambda} dE_\lambda$ . For any bounded open interval  $I = (a, b)$ , define  $\mathfrak{A}_I$  as the set of all operators  $Q$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} QH((\alpha, \beta)) &\subset H((\alpha + a, \beta + b)), \\ H((\alpha, \beta)) &= (E_{\beta-0} - E_{\alpha+0}) H_\rho. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition

$$\mathfrak{A}_{I_1} \mathfrak{A}_{I_2} \subset \mathfrak{A}_{I_1 + I_2}, \quad \mathfrak{R} = \pi_\rho(\mathfrak{R}). \tag{2.1}$$

Let  $I \subset\subset J$  denotes  $\bar{I} \subset J$  where  $\bar{I}$  is the closure of  $I$ .

**Lemma 5.**  *$H(I)$  is the closure of  $\bigcup_{J \subset\subset I} \mathfrak{A}_J \Omega_\rho$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Omega_\rho \in H(I'')$  for any  $I''$  containing 0, we have  $\mathfrak{A}_J \Omega_\rho \subset H(I)$  if  $J \subset\subset I$ .

Since  $\bigcup_{J \subset\subset I} \mathfrak{A}_J \Omega_\rho$  is a linear set, it is enough to show that for any unit vector  $\Phi \in H(I)$ , there exists  $J \subset\subset I$  and  $Q \in \mathfrak{A}_J$  such that  $(Q \Omega_\rho, \Phi) \neq 0$ .

Let  $I = (a, b)$ . By definition, there exist  $a < a' < b' < b$  such that  $\|(E_{b'-0} - E_{a'+0}) \Phi\| \neq 0$ . ( $\|\Phi\| = 1$  by assumption.) Let  $J = (a', b')$ . Since  $\Omega_\rho$  is cyclic, there exists  $Q_1 \in \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $(Q_1 \Omega_\rho, (E_{b'-0} - E_{a'+0}) \Phi) \neq 0$ .

Let

$$d\mu(\lambda) = d(Q_1 \Omega_\rho, E_\lambda \Phi).$$

It is a finite complex measure and its restriction to  $J$  is not identically 0. The set  $C_0(J)$  of all continuous functions vanishing outside of  $J$  is separating for finite measures on  $J$ . Since  $C^\infty$  functions vanishing outside of  $J$  is norm dense in  $C_0(J)$ , there exists a  $C^\infty$  function  $\tilde{f}(\lambda)$  whose support is in  $\bar{J}$  and  $\int \tilde{f}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) \neq 0$ .

Let  $f(t) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int \tilde{f}(\lambda)^* e^{-it\lambda} d\lambda$ .  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Define

$$Q = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{\tau}_\rho(t) Q_1 f(t) dt \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Then

$$Q\Omega_\varrho = \int \tilde{f}(\lambda)^* dE_\lambda Q_1 \Omega_\varrho ;$$

$$(Q\Omega_\varrho, \Phi) = \int \tilde{f}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) \neq 0 .$$

Lemma 5 is proved if we show  $Q \in \mathfrak{A}_J$ . This follows from the next Lemma. Q.E.D.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\tilde{f}(\lambda)$  be a  $C^\infty$  function with its support in a compact interval  $\bar{J}$  and*

$$f(t) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int \tilde{f}(\lambda) e^{-it\lambda} d\lambda .$$

Then, for any  $Q_1$  in a  $\bar{\tau}_\varrho$ -invariant norm closed linear set  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,

$$Q(f) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{\tau}_\varrho(t) Q_1 f(t) dt \in \mathfrak{A}_J .$$

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a bounded open interval and  $I_1$  be another open interval such that  $I_1 \subset\subset I$ . Since the union of  $H(I_1)$  for all such  $I_1$  is dense in  $H(I)$ , it is enough to prove that for  $\Phi \in H(I_1)$  and any  $\Psi$  such that the measure  $d(\Psi, E_\lambda \Psi)$  has a compact support with empty intersection with  $I + \bar{J}(= I + J)$ ,  $Q(f)$  satisfies

$$(\Psi, Q(f) \Phi) = 0 .$$

Let

$$F(t, s) = (\Psi, \Delta_\varrho^{it} Q_1 \Delta_\varrho^{-is} \Phi) .$$

$F$  is a uniformly bounded continuous function of  $(t, s)$ , analytic in  $t$  and  $s$ . Its Fourier transform

$$\tilde{F}(p, q) = \int e^{i(-pt+qs)} F(t, s) dt ds / (2\pi)^2$$

is a tempered distribution with support in the direct product of the support of  $d(\Psi, E_\lambda \Psi)$  and  $\bar{I}_1 \subset I$ . This support has an empty intersection with the support of  $\tilde{f}(p - q)$ , which is a  $C^\infty$  function. Hence

$$0 = \int \tilde{F}(p, q) \tilde{f}(p - q) dp dq$$

$$= \int F(t, s) f(t) \delta(t - s) dt ds$$

$$= \int F(t, t) f(t) dt = (\Psi, Q(f) \Phi) . \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

**Lemma 7.**  $\mathfrak{A}_J^* \subset \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{-J}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  be open bounded intervals such that  $I_1 + J$  and  $I_2$  has an empty intersection. Then  $\mathfrak{A}_J H(I_1) \perp H(I_2)$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{A}_J^* H(I_2) \perp H(I_1)$ . Given an open bounded interval  $I$ . Let  $I_2 \subset\subset I$ . Then  $I_2 - J \subset\subset I - J$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_J^* H(I_2) \perp H(I_1)$  whenever  $I_2 - J$  has an empty intersection with an open bounded interval  $I_1$ . Since  $I_2 - J \subset\subset I - J$ , this implies  $\mathfrak{A}_J^* H(I_2) \subset H(I - J)$ . Since the union of  $H(I_2)$  is dense in  $H(I)$ , we have  $\mathfrak{A}_J^* H(I) \subset H(I - J)$  and hence  $\mathfrak{A}_J^* \subset \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{-J}$ . Q.E.D.

*Proof of Theorem 2 when  $n = 1$ .* Assume that

$$\dim H((-\delta, \delta)) = 1$$

for some  $\delta > 0$ . Since the spectrum of  $\log \Delta_\rho$  is symmetric due to  $J_\rho(\log \Delta_\rho)J_\rho = -\log \Delta_\rho$ , there exists  $t \geq \delta$  in the spectrum of  $\Delta_\rho$  if  $\dim H_\rho > 1$ . By Lemma 5, there exist  $Q \in \mathfrak{H}_I, ICC(t - \delta/4, t + \delta/4)$ , such that  $\|Q\Omega_\rho\| = 1$ , because  $H((t - \delta/4, t + \delta/4)) \neq 0$ . Let

$$\Phi = Q\Omega_\rho \in H((t - \delta/4, t + \delta/4)).$$

By Lemma 7 and (2.1), we have  $Q^*Q \in \mathfrak{H}((-\delta/2, \delta/2))$  and hence

$$Q^*Q\Omega_\rho = c\Omega_\rho$$

for some complex number  $c$ , which is determined by

$$c = \|Q\Omega_\rho\|^2 = 1.$$

Since  $\Omega_\rho$  is separating for  $\mathfrak{R}$ , we have  $Q^*Q = 1$ . Hence  $\|Q^*\| = 1$ .

We now have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \|Q^*\Omega_\rho\| = \|J_\rho Q^*\Omega_\rho\| = \|\Delta_\rho^{1/2} Q\Omega_\rho\| \\ &= \|\Delta_\rho^{1/2} \Phi\| \geq \{\exp(1/2)(t - \delta/4)\} \|\Phi\| \\ &> 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Q.E.D.

*Proof of Theorem 2 for a general  $n$ .* Let  $H_0$  be the set of all  $\Delta_\rho$  invariant vectors in  $H_\rho$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_0$  be the set of all  $\bar{\tau}_\rho(t)$  invariant elements of  $\mathfrak{H} = \pi_\rho(\mathfrak{R})$ . By assumption, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $H(I) = H_0$  for  $I = (-\delta, \delta)$ .  $\dim H_0 = n$ .

For any  $J \subset I, Q \in \mathfrak{H}_J$  satisfies  $Q\Omega_\rho \in H(I) = H_0$  because  $\Omega_\rho \in H(I_1)$  for small  $I_1$  containing 0 such that  $J + I_1 \subset I$ . Hence  $\{\bar{\tau}_\rho(t)Q\}\Omega_\rho = \Delta_\rho^{it}Q\Omega_\rho = Q\Omega_\rho$ . Since  $\Omega_\rho$  is separating,  $\bar{\tau}_\rho(t)Q = Q$  and hence  $\mathfrak{H}(I) \subset \mathfrak{H}_0$ . If  $0 \in J$ , then  $\mathfrak{H}(J) \supset \mathfrak{H}_0$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{H}(J) = \mathfrak{H}_0$  for  $J \subset I$ . By Lemma 5,  $\mathfrak{H}_0\Omega_\rho$  is dense in  $H(I) = H_0$  and hence  $\mathfrak{H}_0\Omega_\rho = H_0$ . Since  $\Omega_\rho$  is separating for  $\mathfrak{H}$ , it is cyclic and separating for  $\mathfrak{H}_0$  in  $H_0$ . By KMS condition,  $\Omega_\rho$  is a trace vector for  $\mathfrak{H}_0$ .

There exists a set of mutually orthogonal minimal projections  $s_i \in \mathfrak{H}_0$  such that  $\sum s_i = 1$ . Let  $\Omega_i = s_i\Omega_\rho$ . Since  $J_\rho s_i\Omega_\rho = s_i\Omega_\rho$  because  $\Delta_\rho$  is 1 on  $H_0$ , we have  $s_i\Omega_\rho = j_\rho(s_i)\Omega_\rho = s_i^2\Omega_\rho = s_i j_\rho(s_i)\Omega_\rho$ . Let  $s_i j_\rho(s_i)H = H_i$ . Then  $\Omega_i = s_i\Omega_\rho \in H_i$ . Since  $(s_i\mathfrak{H}s_i\Omega_i)^- = (s_i\mathfrak{H}j(s_i)\Omega_\rho)^- = (s_i j(s_i)\mathfrak{H}\Omega_\rho)^- = H_i, \Omega_i$  is cyclic for  $\mathfrak{R}_i \equiv s_i\mathfrak{H}s_i$ . Since  $Q\Omega_i = Q\Omega_\rho$  for  $Q \in \mathfrak{R}_i, \Omega_i$  is separating for  $\mathfrak{R}_i$ . For  $Q \in \mathfrak{R}_i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\rho Q\Omega_i &= S_\rho Qs_i\Omega_\rho = S_\rho Q\Omega_\rho = Q^*\Omega_\rho \\ &= Q^*\Omega_i, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_\rho = J_\rho \Delta_\rho^{1/2}$ . Hence the restriction of  $J_\rho$  and  $\Delta_\rho$  are  $J_{\Omega_i}$  and  $\Delta_{\Omega_i}$  in  $H_i$ .

Since  $s_i$  is minimal in  $\mathfrak{K}_0$  and  $\Omega_\rho$  is cyclic separating trace vector,  $j_\rho(s_i)$  is minimal in the commutant of  $\mathfrak{K}_0$  in  $H_0$  and  $\Omega_i = s_i j_\rho(s_i) \Omega_\rho$  spans  $s_i j_\rho(s_i) H_0$ . Hence  $\Delta_{\Omega_i}$  has an isolated spectrum at 1 with multiplicity 1 and hence  $\dim H_i = 1$ . Hence  $s_i \mathfrak{R} s_i = \mathfrak{R}_i \sim C$ . Therefore  $s_i$  is also a minimal projection of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Since the number of  $s_i$  can not exceed  $\dim H_0 = n$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}$  has at most  $n$  mutually orthogonal minimal projections with sum 1. This implies  $\dim H_\rho \leq n^2$ . Q.E.D.

**§ 4. Isolated Spectrum with a Finite Multiplicity at  $x \neq 1$**

**Theorem 3.** *If  $x$  is an isolated spectrum of  $\Delta_\rho$  with a finite multiplicity, then there exists a direct sum decomposition*

$$\pi_\rho(\mathfrak{R}) = \mathfrak{R}_a \oplus \mathfrak{R}_b, \quad \Omega_\rho = \Omega_a \oplus \Omega_b, \quad \Delta_\rho = \Delta_{\Omega_a} \oplus \Delta_{\Omega_b}$$

such that  $\mathfrak{R}_a$  is of type I with a finite atomic center and  $\Delta_{\Omega_b}$  does not have its spectrum at  $x$  and  $x^{-1}$ .

Let  $H_t$  denote the set of all eigenvectors of  $\Delta_\rho$  belonging to an eigenvalue  $e^t$  and  $s_t$  be the projection to the subspace spanned by  $\mathfrak{R}' H_t + \mathfrak{R}' H_{-t}$ . As a preparation for our proof, we have the following:

**Lemma 8.** *Assume that  $H((t - \delta, t + \delta)) = H_t$ .*

*Then*

- (a)  $[s_t, \Delta_\rho] = 0$ .
- (b) 1 is an isolated spectrum of  $\Delta_\rho|_{s_t H}$ .
- (c) If  $\dim H_t < \infty$ , then  $\dim s_t H_0 < \infty$ .
- (d)  $(1 - s_t) \Delta_\rho$  does not have its spectrum at  $e^{\pm t}$ .

*Proof.* If  $t = 0$ , then  $s_t = 1$  and all statements become trivial. Hence we assume  $t \neq 0$ .

(a) Since  $H_t$  and  $H_{-t}$  are invariant under  $\Delta_\rho^{it}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}'$  is invariant under  $\bar{\tau}_\rho(t)$ ,  $s_t H_\rho$  is invariant under  $\Delta_\rho^{it}$  and hence  $[s_t, \Delta_\rho] = 0$ .

(b) For any  $J \subset (-\delta, \delta)$ , there exists  $I \ni t$  such that  $J + I \subset (t - \delta, t + \delta)$ . Then  $H_t \subset H(I)$  and  $\mathfrak{R}'_J H_t \subset H((t - \delta, t + \delta)) = H_t$ . For  $Q \in \mathfrak{R}'_J$  and  $\Psi \in H_t$ ,

$$\bar{\tau}_\rho(u) Q \Psi = e^{-iu} \Delta_\rho^{iu} Q \Psi = Q \Psi.$$

Hence

$$\{\bar{\tau}_\rho(u) Q - Q\} \Psi = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

for all  $\Psi \in \mathfrak{R}' H_t$ .

Since  $J_\rho \Delta_\rho J_\rho = \Delta_\rho^{-1}$ ,  $\log \Delta_\rho$  has a symmetric spectrum and hence  $H((-t - \delta, -t + \delta)) = H_{-t}$ . By the same argument as above, (4.1) holds for  $\Psi \in \mathfrak{R}' H_{-t}$  and hence for  $\Psi \in s_t H_\rho$ . We have

$$\bar{\tau}_\rho(u) \{Q s_t\} = \{\bar{\tau}_\rho(u) Q\} s_t = Q s_t.$$

Hence  $\mathfrak{R}'_J s_t \subset \mathfrak{R}'_0$  for any  $J \subset (-\delta, \delta)$ . Clearly,  $\mathfrak{R}'_0 s_t \subset \mathfrak{R}'_J s_t$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{R}'_J s_t = \mathfrak{R}'_0 s_t$ . Taking adjoint,  $s_t \mathfrak{R}'_J = s_t \mathfrak{R}'_0$ .

By Lemma 5,  $s_t H((-\delta, \delta))$  is generated by

$$s_t \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_J \Omega_\varrho = s_t \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_0 \Omega_\varrho \subset s_t H_0, \quad J \subset \subset (-\delta, \delta).$$

Hence 1 is an isolated spectrum of  $\Delta_\varrho|_{s_t H}$ . Moreover,  $s_t H_0 \subset s_t \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_J \Omega_\varrho \subset s_t H_0$  and hence  $s_t \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_0 \Omega_\varrho = s_t H_0$ .

(c)  $\dim H_t < \infty$  implies  $\dim H_{-t} = \dim J_\varrho H_t < \infty$ . Since  $QH_t = 0$ ,  $QH_{-t} = 0$ ,  $Q \in \hat{\mathfrak{R}}$  imply  $Qs_t = 0$ , we have

$$\dim H_t + \dim H_{-t} \geq \dim \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_J s_t = \dim \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_0 s_t = \dim s_t H_0.$$

(d) This follows from (1) and the definition of  $s_t$ . Q.E.D.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Let  $x = e'$ . If  $t = 0$ , then Theorem 3 holds with  $\mathfrak{R}_b = 0$  due to Theorem 2. Assume that  $t \neq 0$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} K &= s_t j_\varrho(s_t) H_\varrho, \\ \mathfrak{M} &= s_t \hat{\mathfrak{R}} s_t|_K, \\ \Psi &= s_t j_\varrho(s_t) \Omega_\varrho. \end{aligned}$$

By (a) of Lemma 8, we have  $s_t \Omega_\varrho = \Delta_\varrho^{1/2} s_t \Omega_\varrho = J_\varrho s_t \Omega_\varrho = j_\varrho(s_t) \Omega_\varrho = s_t^2 \Omega_\varrho = s_t j_\varrho(s_t) \Omega_\varrho = \Psi$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{M} \Psi = s_t \hat{\mathfrak{R}} j_\varrho(s_t) \Omega_\varrho = s_t j_\varrho(s_t) \hat{\mathfrak{R}} \Omega_\varrho$  is dense in  $K$  and  $\mathfrak{M}' \Psi = j_\varrho(s_t) \hat{\mathfrak{R}}' j_\varrho(s_t) s_t \Omega_\varrho = j_\varrho(s_t) \hat{\mathfrak{R}}' s_t \Omega_\varrho = j_\varrho(s_t) s_t \hat{\mathfrak{R}}' \Omega_\varrho$  is also dense in  $K$ . Hence  $\Psi$  is cyclic and separating for  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $K$ . For  $Q \in \mathfrak{M}$  and  $S_\varrho = J_\varrho \Delta_\varrho^{1/2}$ ,

$$S_\varrho Q s_t \Omega_\varrho = s_t Q^* \Omega_\varrho = Q^* s_t \Omega_\varrho$$

and hence  $S_\varrho|_K = S_\Psi$ ,  $\Delta_\varrho|_K = \Delta_\Psi$  and  $J_\varrho|_K = J_\Psi$ .

By (c) of Lemma 8,  $\Delta_\Psi$  has an isolated spectrum with a finite multiplicity. Hence  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a finite matrix algebra by Theorem 2.

Since  $s_t H_t = H_t$ ,  $j_\varrho(s_t) H_t = J_\varrho s_t J_\varrho H_t = J_\varrho s_t H_{-t} = J_\varrho H_{-t} = H_t$ . Similarly  $j_\varrho(s_t) H_{-t} = H_{-t}$ . Hence  $H_t + H_{-t} \subset K$ .

Let  $c(s_t)$  be the central support of  $s_t$ . Since  $j_\varrho(c(s_t)) = c(s_t)$  (for any central projection),  $c(j_\varrho(s_t)) = c(s_t)$ .  $\mathfrak{M}$  is isomorphic to  $s_t \hat{\mathfrak{R}} s_t$  restricted to  $\hat{\mathfrak{R}}' K = s_t \hat{\mathfrak{R}}' \Omega_\varrho = s_t H$ . Hence  $c(s_t) \hat{\mathfrak{R}}$  must be of type I with a finite atomic center.

$$\mathfrak{R}_a = c(s_t) \hat{\mathfrak{R}}, \quad \mathfrak{R}_b = (1 - c(s_t)) \hat{\mathfrak{R}}, \quad \Omega_a = c(s_t) \Omega_\varrho,$$

$\Omega_b = (1 - c(s_t)) \Omega_\varrho$  satisfy required properties. Q.E.D.

### § 5. Applications

Connes has introduced the invariant

$$S(\mathfrak{R}) = \bigcap_\varrho \text{spectrum } \Delta_\varrho.$$

Our result gives the following application for  $S(\mathfrak{R})$ .



**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\varrho$  be a faithful normal state of  $\mathfrak{R}$  invariant under a net of  $*$  automorphisms  $\tau_\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{R}$  has a weakly dense sub  $*$  algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  which is strongly  $\tau_\alpha$  central. Then*

$$S(\mathfrak{R}) = \text{Spectrum } \Delta_\varrho .$$

*If  $\varrho$  is ergodic with respect to modular automorphisms in addition, then either  $S(\mathfrak{R})$  is  $[0, \infty)$  or  $H_\varrho$  is of one dimension.*

*Proof.* The first half follows from Theorem 1. If  $\varrho$  is  $\tau_\varrho$  ergodic, then  $\varrho$  is primary and hence  $\text{Spectrum } \Delta_\varrho \setminus \{0\}$  is a multiplicative group. If 1 is not an isolated spectrum of  $\Delta_\varrho$ , then  $\text{Spectrum } \Delta_\varrho = [0, \infty)$ . If 1 is an isolated spectrum of  $\Delta_\varrho$ , then Theorem 2 is applicable where  $n = 1$  due to  $\tau_\varrho$  ergodicity. Hence  $\dim H_\varrho = 1$ . Q.E.D.

*Remark 1.* Størmer [4] proved the first part under the assumption of strong clustering. The second part is stated in [4] with the assumption that  $\tau_\varrho$  is asymptotically abelian.

**Theorem 5.**  $S(\mathfrak{R}) = \bigcap_\varrho$  essential spectrum  $\Delta_\varrho$ .

*Proof.* Obvious from Theorem 3. Q.E.D.

*Remark 2.* Connes invariant is additive under direct sum  $S(\mathfrak{R}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{R}_2) = S(\mathfrak{R}_1) \cup S(\mathfrak{R}_2)$ , whereas the asymptotic ratio set satisfies  $r_\infty(\mathfrak{R}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{R}_2) = r_\infty(\mathfrak{R}_1) \cap r_\infty(\mathfrak{R}_2)$ .  $S(\mathfrak{R})$  is more closely related to the union of  $S_x$  over non-zero portion of partial central decomposition of  $\mathfrak{R}$  according to asymptotic ratio set.

*Remark 3.* In the situation of Theorem 4, if  $\mathfrak{R}$  is ITPFI, then  $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}_x$ ,  $0 < x \leq \infty$ . If  $\varrho$  is  $\tau_\varrho$  ergodic, then  $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}_\infty$ .  $\mathfrak{R}$  appearing in Gibbs states of a lattice system is hyperfinite but it is not known whether it is an ITPFI in general.

### Appendix

The following result is a part of Theorem 4 in [1] and is a basis for Lemma 1 of § 1.

**Lemma 9.** *If  $Q_\alpha$  is a uniformly bounded weakly central net in  $R$  and if  $\varrho$  and  $\varrho'$  are normal states of  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that  $\varrho(z) = \varrho'(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathfrak{Z} = (\mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{R}')$ , then*

$$\lim \{\varrho(Q_\alpha) - \varrho'(Q_\alpha)\} = 0. \tag{A.1}$$

The following direct proof is due to Elliott.

*Proof.* Let  $Q_{\alpha(\beta)}$  be weakly converging subnet of  $Q_\alpha$ . Since  $Q_\alpha$  is weakly central,

$$z = w - \lim Q_{\alpha(\beta)} \in \mathfrak{Z} .$$

Hence  $\varrho(z) = \varrho'(z)$ , i.e.

$$\lim \{ \varrho(Q_{\alpha(\beta)}) - \varrho'(Q_{\alpha(\beta)}) \} = 0.$$

In view of weak compactness of the unit ball of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , this implies (A.1).  
Q.E.D.

Somewhat stronger conclusion can be drawn if  $Q_\alpha = \tau_\alpha Q$ , and  $\varrho$  is a faithful invariant state. An example is seen in the following:

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a weakly dense  $*$  subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\varrho$  be a faithful normal state of  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\tau_\alpha$  be a net of  $*$  automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that  $\varrho$  is invariant and  $\mathfrak{A}$  is weakly  $\tau_\alpha$  central, and  $\varrho'$  be a normal state of  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that  $\varrho'(z) = \varrho(z)$  for every  $z \in \mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{R}'$ . Then*

$$\lim \varrho'(\tau_\alpha Q) = \varrho(Q), \quad Q \in \mathfrak{R}. \tag{A.2}$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 4 of [1],

$$w - \lim \{ \tau_\alpha Q_1 - \tau_\alpha F_\varrho^{3\mathfrak{R}}(Q_1) \} = 0$$

for  $Q_1 \in \mathfrak{A}$ , which implies

$$w - \lim U_\alpha \pi_\varrho(Q_1 - F_\varrho^{3\mathfrak{R}}(Q_1)) \Omega_\varrho = 0.$$

Since  $F_\varrho^{3\mathfrak{R}}$  is strongly continuous on the unit ball, there exists  $Q_1 \in \mathfrak{A}$  for given  $Q \in \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\Phi_j \in H_\varrho$ ,  $j = 1 \dots n$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\| \{ \pi_\varrho(Q_1 - F_\varrho^{3\mathfrak{R}}(Q_1)) - \pi_\varrho(Q - F_\varrho^{3\mathfrak{R}}(Q)) \} \Omega_\varrho \| \|\Phi_j\| < \varepsilon/2.$$

For this  $Q_1$ , there exists  $\alpha_0$  such that for  $\alpha > \alpha_0$ ,

$$|(\Phi_j, U_\alpha \pi_\varrho(Q_1 - F_\varrho^{3\mathfrak{R}}(Q_1)) \Omega_\varrho)| < \varepsilon/2.$$

These two equations imply

$$|(\Phi_j, U_\alpha \pi_\varrho(Q - F_\varrho^{3\mathfrak{R}}(Q)) \Omega_\varrho)| < \varepsilon$$

and hence

$$w - \lim \pi_\varrho(\tau_\alpha \{ Q - F_\varrho^{3\mathfrak{R}}(Q) \}) \Omega_\varrho = 0.$$

Multiplying  $Q' \in \pi_\varrho(\mathfrak{R})'$  and using the cyclicity of  $\Omega_\varrho$  for  $\pi_\varrho(\mathfrak{R})'$ , we obtain

$$w - \lim \pi_\varrho(\tau_\alpha Q - \tau_\alpha F_\varrho^{3\mathfrak{R}}(Q)) = 0,$$

which implies

$$w - \lim (\tau_\alpha Q - \tau_\alpha F_\varrho^{3\mathfrak{R}}(Q)) = 0, \quad Q \in \mathfrak{R}. \tag{A.3}$$

Since  $F_\varrho^{3\mathfrak{R}}(Q) \in \mathfrak{J}$ , we obtain

$$\varrho'(\tau_\alpha F_\varrho^{3\mathfrak{R}}(Q)) = \varrho(\tau_\alpha F_\varrho^{3\mathfrak{R}}(Q)) = \varrho(F_\varrho^{3\mathfrak{R}}(Q)) = \varrho(Q).$$

Hence we obtain from (A.3)

$$\begin{aligned}\lim \varrho'(\tau_\alpha Q) &= \lim \varrho'(\tau_\alpha F_\varrho^{39t}(Q)) \\ &= \varrho(Q). \quad \text{Q.E.D.}\end{aligned}$$

*Acknowledgement.* The author would like to thank Professor E. J. Woods and Dr. G. Elliott for helpful discussions and warm hospitality at Department of Mathematics, Queen's University.

### References

1. Araki, H.: Normal positive linear mappings of norm 1 from a von Neumann Algebra into its commutant and its application. (Queen's Mathematical preprint 1972-12.)
2. Connes, A.: C.R. Acad.Sci. Paris **273**, 900—903 (1971).
3. Connes, A.: C.R. Acad.Sci. Paris **274**, 175—177 (1972).
4. Størmer, E.: Spectra of states and asymptotically abelian  $C^*$  algebras. (Oslo Mathematics preprint No. 8, 1972.)

H. Araki  
Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences  
Kyoto University  
Kyoto, Japan

