# SIMPLE CLOSED GEODESICS ON $H^{+} / \Gamma(3)$ ARISE FROM THE MARKOV SPECTRUM 

BY J. LEHNER AND M. SHEINGORN

1. Let

$$
H^{+}=\{z=x+i y: y>0\}
$$

be the complex upper half-plane, and let

$$
\Gamma(n)=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \equiv \pm\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)(\bmod n) ; a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{Z}, a d-b c=1\right\}
$$

be the principal congruence subgroup of level $n$ in the modular group $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ $=\Gamma(1)$. In this note we are concerned with $\Gamma(3)$. Let $S$ be the Riemann surface $H^{+} / \Gamma(3)$ and let $\pi: H^{+} \rightarrow S$ be the projection map. $S$ is a sphere with four punctures.

A hyperbolic element $\gamma$ is a Möbius transformation of $H^{+}$that has two real fixed points; its axis $A_{\gamma}$ is the circle with center on $\mathbf{R}$ connecting the fixed points. Write $\xi_{\gamma}, \xi_{\gamma}^{\prime}$ for the fixed points of $\gamma$. If $\gamma \in \Gamma(3)$ is hyperbolic, $A_{\gamma}$ projects to a closed geodesic on $S$; conversely, every closed geodesic on $S$ arises in this way. A simple closed geodesic is one that does not intersect itself.

The Markov Spectrum will be described in detail in $\S 2$. Here we note the definition of the Markov function $M(\theta)$. For real irrational $\theta$ set

$$
\begin{array}{r}
M(\theta)=\sup \left\{c>0:|\theta-p / q|<1 / c q^{2}\right. \text { for infinitely }  \tag{1.1}\\
\text { many reduced fractions } p / q\} .
\end{array}
$$

In the range $M(\theta)<3, M$ assumes only a denumerably infinite set of values $M_{\nu} \uparrow 3$. The numbers $M_{\nu}$ constitute the Markov Spectrum, which we denote by MS.

The connection between simple closed geodesics on $S$ and MS is established in the following way. For $\beta \in \Gamma(3)$ write $A_{\gamma} \wedge \beta A_{\gamma}$ to mean $A_{\gamma} \cap \beta A_{\gamma} \neq \varnothing, A_{\gamma}$, i.e., the intersection is a single point in $H^{+}$. The following criterion is easy to prove:
(1.2) $\pi\left(A_{\gamma}\right)$ is nonsimple if and only if $A_{\gamma} \wedge \beta A_{\gamma}$ for some $\beta \in \Gamma(3)-\langle\gamma\rangle$.

But in this statement we know nothing about $\beta$ except that if is not elliptic ( $\Gamma(3)$ contains no elliptic elements).

THEOREM 1. If $\pi\left(A_{\gamma}\right)$ is nonsimple, there is a parabolic element $P$ in $\Gamma(3)$ such that $A_{\gamma} \wedge P A_{\gamma}$.

Theorem 1 leads directly to the main result:
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TheOrem 2. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma(3)$ be hyperbolic. Then $\pi\left(A_{\gamma}\right)$ is simple if and only if $M\left(\xi_{\gamma}\right)=M\left(\xi_{\gamma}^{\prime}\right)<3$.

Since Zagier [3] has recently given an asymptotic formula describing this portion of MS, we can deduce from Theorem 2:

COROLLARY 1. Let $N_{S}(T)$ be the number of simple closed geodesics on $S$ of hyperbolic length $\leq T$. Then $T \ll N_{S}(T) \ll T^{2}$.

The implied constants are effective. This inequality contrasts with results on $N(T)$, the number of closed geodesics of length $\leq T$, first obtained by H . Huber [1].

Returning to Theorem 1, we make use in the proof of the following known facts:
(1.3) A simple loop $L$ contained in $\pi\left(A_{\gamma}\right)$ cannot bound a disk, i.e., each component of $S-L$ must contain at least one puncture.
(1.4) If $L$ bounds a disk with exactly one puncture, then $L$ determines a conjugacy class of parabolic elements of $\Gamma(3)$.

We remark that $\pi\left(A_{\gamma}\right)$ has a finite number of self-intersections, since it is a real-analytic curve.

Now it can be shown that $\pi\left(A_{\gamma}\right)$, assumed nonsimple, contains a simple loop $L$ surrounding a single puncture $p$. We are indebted to A. F. Beardon for a proof of this fact that is shorter and simpler than the original one.

There is a lift of $\pi\left(A_{\gamma}\right)$ lying on $A_{\gamma}$ and starting from a point $\zeta$, i.e., the lift is an interval $(\varsigma, \gamma \zeta)$ of $A_{\gamma}$. Using (1.4) one can show that there is a parabolic element $P$ in $\Gamma(3)$ such that the lift of $L$ is an interval $\left(z_{0}, P z_{0}\right) \subset(\zeta, \gamma \zeta)$. Thus $A_{\gamma} \wedge P A_{\gamma}$, as asserted.

Full details will follow in a paper written jointly with A. F. Beardon. This paper also contains the following result. Let $\Gamma$ be a finitely generated fuchsian group and let $S=H^{+} / \Gamma$ be the associated Riemann surface. Then Theorem 1 holds for $S$ if and only if $S$ is of genus zero and has either three or four punctures or deleted disks.
2. We now return to the Markov Spectrum (MS); for a fuller account see $[\mathbf{2}, \mathrm{pp} .29-32]$. In (1.1) and the following lines we defined MS to be the set of values $\left\{M_{\nu}\right\}$ assumed by the Markov function $M(\theta)$ in the range $M(\theta)<3$. In order to calculate $M_{\nu}$ we introduce Markov triples. A triple of positive integers $(x, y, z)$ is called a Markov triple if $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=3 x y z$, $1 \leq x \leq y \leq z$. The first triples are $(1,1,1),(1,1,2),(1,2,5), \ldots$, and the rest can be recursively generated. Order the triples by the size of $z$ so that $1=z_{1} \leq 2=z_{2} \leq \cdots \leq z_{\nu} \cdots$. With each triple $\left(x_{\nu}, y_{\nu}, z_{\nu}\right)$ there is associated a pair of real quadratic conjugates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\nu}, \theta_{\nu}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}+y_{\nu} / x_{\nu} z_{\nu} \pm \frac{1}{2}\left(9-4 / z_{\nu}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad \nu \geq 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The connection of $M(\theta)$ with $\theta_{\nu}$ is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\theta_{\nu}\right)=M_{\nu}=\left|\theta_{\nu}-\theta_{\nu}^{\prime}\right|=\left(9-4 / z_{\nu}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $M_{1}=5^{1 / 2}, M_{2}=8^{1 / 2}, M_{3}=(221)^{1 / 2} / 5, \ldots, \rightarrow 3$.
Next, introduce the equivalence relation:
$\theta \sim \psi$ if and only if $\psi=(a \theta+b) /(c \theta+d)$ with integers $a, b, c, d$ and $a d-b c= \pm 1$.

Then $\theta \sim \psi$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\theta)=M(\psi) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the regular continued fraction expansions of $\theta$ and $\psi$ agree from a certain point on. Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\theta)<3 \Rightarrow \theta \sim \theta_{\nu} \quad \text { for some } \nu \geq 1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, the definition of MS shows that $M(\theta)=M_{\nu}=M\left(\theta_{\nu}\right)$, so $\theta \sim \theta_{\nu}$ by (2.4).

The numbers $\left\{\theta_{\nu}\right\},\left\{\theta_{\nu}^{\prime}\right\}$, together with their equivalents under (2.3), are called Markov quadratic irrationalities (MQI). Theorem 2 may now be restated.

THEOREM $2^{\prime} . \pi\left(A_{\gamma}\right)$ is simple if and only if $\xi_{\gamma}$ is equivalent to a MQI.
We can associate MS to hyperbolic elements of $\Gamma(3)$. For each $\nu$ there is a $\gamma_{\nu} \in \Gamma(3)$ whose fixed points are $\xi_{\gamma_{\nu}}=\theta_{\nu}, \xi_{\gamma_{\nu}}^{\prime}=\theta_{\nu}^{\prime}$. Namely, dropping the subscript $\nu$, let $\zeta=1$ if $z$ is odd, otherwise $\zeta=1 / 2$. Define

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(N+x(2 y+x z) \zeta M) 2^{-1} & \left(2 x^{2} z-4 x y+z\right) \zeta M  \tag{2.6}\\
x^{2} z \zeta M & (N-x(2 y+x z) \varsigma M) 2^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $M>0$ is the smallest integral solution of the Pell equation

$$
x^{4}\left(9 z^{2}-4\right) \varsigma^{2} M^{2}+4=N^{2}
$$

Then it can be shown that $B$ is the $\Gamma(1)$-primitive matrix fixing $\xi, \xi^{\prime}$. Moreover, $B \in \Gamma(3)$ if $3 \mid M$, otherwise $B^{2} \in \Gamma(3)$. But the first case never occurs, so $B^{2}$ is the $\Gamma(3)$-primitive matrix fixing $\xi, \xi^{\prime}$.

By abuse of notation we say $\gamma \in \mathrm{MS}$ if $\gamma \in \Gamma(3)$ and $\xi_{\gamma} \sim \theta_{\nu}$ for some $\nu \geq 1$. If $\gamma \in$ MS so does $V \gamma V^{-1}, V \in \Gamma(1)$, since $V \gamma V^{-1} \in \Gamma(3)$ by normality of $\Gamma(3)$ in $\Gamma(1)$ and $\xi_{V \gamma V^{-1}}=V \xi_{\gamma} \sim V \theta_{\nu} \sim \theta_{\nu}$. That is,
(2.7) the conjugacy class of $\gamma$ in $\Gamma(1)$ belongs to MS if $\gamma \in$ MS.

We now prove Theorem 2. Suppose $\pi\left(A_{\gamma}\right)$ is nonsimple; then by Theorem 1 there is a $\delta$ conjugate to $\gamma$ in $\Gamma(3)$ for which $A_{\delta} \wedge S^{3} A_{\delta}$, i.e., $\left|\xi_{\delta}-\xi_{\delta^{\prime}}\right|>3$. By a translation in $\Gamma(1)$ we may assume $-1<\xi_{\delta}^{\prime}<0$; then $\xi_{\delta}>\xi_{\delta}^{\prime}+3>1$. Thus $\xi_{\delta}$ is "reduced" $[4, \mathrm{p} .73]$ and the regular continued fraction of $\xi_{\delta}$ is pure periodic; also $\xi_{\delta}^{\prime}$. Let $\xi_{\delta}=\overline{\left(b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k-1}\right)}$ for $k \geq 1$; then $-1 / \xi_{\delta}^{\prime}=\overline{\left(b_{k-1}, \ldots, b_{0}\right)}[\mathbf{4}$, p. 76]. Here $b_{n k+\nu}=b_{\nu}$ for $0 \leq \nu<k, n \geq 0$. Set

$$
m_{\mu}=\overline{\left(b_{\mu}, b_{\mu+1}, \ldots, b_{\mu+k-1}\right)}+\left(0, \overline{b_{\mu-1}, b_{\mu-2}, \ldots, b_{\mu-k}}\right), \quad \mu \geq k
$$

By periodicity $m_{\mu}=m_{\mu+k}$. Moreover, $M\left(\xi_{\delta}\right)=\varlimsup_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} m_{\mu}$ [2, p. 29].
Therefore, for all $\varepsilon>0$ and $n>N$,

$$
3<\xi_{\delta}-\xi_{\delta}^{\prime}=m_{k}=m_{n k}<\varlimsup_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} m_{\mu}+\varepsilon<M\left(\xi_{\delta}\right)+\varepsilon
$$

implying

$$
M\left(\xi_{\gamma}\right)=M\left(\xi_{\delta}\right)>3
$$

as asserted.

Conversely, assume $\pi\left(A_{\gamma}\right)$ is simple. Then certainly $\left|\xi_{\gamma}-\xi_{\gamma}^{\prime}\right| \leq 3$, otherwise $A_{\gamma} \wedge S^{3} A_{\gamma}$. Since $\pi\left(A_{\gamma}\right)$ is simple if and only if $\pi\left(V A_{\gamma}\right)=\pi\left(A_{V \gamma V^{-1}}\right)$ is simple for all $V \in \Gamma(1)$-because $\Gamma(3) \triangleleft \Gamma(1)$-we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V \xi_{\gamma}-V \xi_{\gamma}^{\prime}\right| \leq 3, \quad V \in \Gamma(1) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming $\gamma \notin \mathrm{MS}$ we shall produce a $V \in \Gamma(1)$ that contradicts (*).
At this point we observe that $M\left(\xi_{\gamma}\right) \neq 3$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma(1)$. Indeed, $\xi_{\gamma}$ is a quadratic irrationality and $M(\theta)$ is never 3 if $\theta$ is a quadratic irrational [2, p. 32]. It follows that $\gamma \notin \mathrm{MS}$ implies $M\left(\xi_{\gamma}\right)>3$, that is,

$$
\left|\xi_{\gamma}-p_{n} / q_{n}\right|<1 /(3+h) q_{n}^{2}, \quad\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)=1
$$

for some $h>0$, on a sequence $q_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Write $V_{n}=\left(q_{n}^{\prime},-p_{n}^{\prime}: q_{n},-p_{n}\right) \in$ $\Gamma(1)$. Then with $\xi_{\gamma}=\xi, \xi_{\gamma}^{\prime}=\xi^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|V_{n} \xi-V_{n} \xi^{\prime}\right| & =\frac{\left|\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right|}{q_{n}^{2}\left|\xi-p_{n} / q_{n}\right|\left|\xi^{\prime}-p_{n} / q_{n}\right|}>\frac{(3+h)\left|\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi^{\prime}-p_{n} / q_{n}\right|} \\
& \geq \frac{(3+h)\left|\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi^{\prime}-\xi\right|+\left|\xi-p_{n} / q_{n}\right|}>\frac{3+h}{1+1 / 3 q_{n}^{2}\left|\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right|}>3
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \geq n_{0}$. For $V=V_{n_{0}}$ we have a contradiction to ( $*$ ).
We close with a comment on Corollary 1. The existence of long simple geodesics on $H^{+} / \Gamma(3)$ is not hard to prove topologically. The feature of Corollary 1 is that the lengths are known explicitly: they are

$$
\text { length } A_{B_{\nu}^{2}}=2 \log \frac{t_{\nu}+\sqrt{t_{\nu}^{2}-4}}{2}, \quad t_{\nu}=\operatorname{trace} B_{\nu}^{2}
$$
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