

TWO REDUCTIONS OF THE POINCARÉ CONJECTURE

BY G. A. SWARUP

ABSTRACT. We study two reductions of the Poincaré conjecture. The first is group theoretic and is an improvement over Papakyriakopoulos' reduction [5]. The second reduces the conjecture to a special case of it.

We first examine Papakyriakopoulos' reduction and improve it. The method also gives a new proof of a crucial theorem in his reduction.

P. 1. CONJECTURE. Let $G_p: \{a_1, b_1, \dots, a_p, b_p; \prod_{i=1}^p [a_i, b_i], p > 1\}$ and let $Q_p = \{a_1, b_1, \dots, a_p, b_p; \prod_{i=1}^p [a_i, b_i], [a_1, b_1 \tau]\}$, where $\tau \in [\Phi_p, \Phi_p]$, Φ_p being the free group generated by $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_p, b_p$. Let T_p be an orientable surface of genus p and identity $\pi_1(T_p)$ with G_p . Then

- (a) Q_p is torsion-free.
- (b) The cover of T_p corresponding to the Kernel of the natural map $\varphi_p: G_p \rightarrow Q_p$ is planar.

E. S. Rapaport proved [7] P.1.(a) and Papakyriakopoulos showed that P.1 implies the Poincaré conjecture [5]. He also considered the question ([5], [6]) whether P.1.(b) is group theoretic. Consider the following

P.2. CONJECTURE. The group Q_p defined above is a nontrivial free-product.

We will show

A. THEOREM. $P.1 \Rightarrow P.2 \Rightarrow$ Poincaré conjecture. Moreover, P.1 is group-theoretic.

The crucial step in the reduction [5] of Poincaré conjecture to P.1 is a theorem which connects the problem of finding nontrivial simple loops in a certain normal subgroup with regular planar covers subordinate to it. This result was strengthened by Maskit in [4]; Lemmas 1 and 2 below imply his theorem. These lemmas connect the approach of Papakyriakopoulos with that of Stallings in [8].

Let

$$\{e\} \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{\varphi} H \rightarrow \{e\}$$

be an exact sequence of groups, where G is the fundamental group of a closed

Received by the editors March 20, 1979.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 57A10; Secondary 20E30, 20E40, 20J05.

Key words and phrases. Poincaré conjecture, planar cover, Heegaard decomposition, free product, group cohomology, structure theorem of Stallings.

© 1979 American Mathematical Society
0002-9904/79/0000-0406/\$02.00

surface T . We are interested in finding a criterion which assests the existence of a simple loop on T which represents a nontrivial element of L .

1. LEMMA (a) *With the above notation there is a nontrivial simple loop on T whose n th power for some n represents an element of L if there is a factorization $\varphi = qp: G \xrightarrow{q} Q \xrightarrow{p} H$ such that $H^1(Q; \mathbf{Z}_2Q) \neq 0$.*

(b) *If H is torsion-free, there is a nontrivial simple loop on T which represents an element of L if and only if φ factors through a nontrivial free-product.*

The proof of the above lemma is similar to the proof of Theorem 2 in [8]. For the first statement, we need also the structure Theorem from [9].

2. LEMMA. *With the notation of Lemma 1, there is a nontrivial simple loop on T whose n th power for some n represents an element of L , if there is normal subgroup $K (\neq \{e\})$ of G with $K \subset L$ and such that the cover of T corresponding to K is planar. The above condition is necessary [4].*

PROOF. Let Q be the quotient of G by K and let \tilde{T} be the cover of T corresponding to K . Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(Q; \mathbf{Z}_2Q) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_2Q) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K; \mathbf{Z}_2) & \longrightarrow \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & & & H_e^1(\tilde{T}; \mathbf{Z}_2) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\tilde{T}; \mathbf{Z}_2) & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & & & H_1(\tilde{T}; \mathbf{Z}_2) & \longrightarrow & H_1^\infty(\tilde{T}; \mathbf{Z}_2) & \end{array}$$

The first row is exact (see [3, p. 354]). It is well known that the map in the second row is zero if and only if \tilde{T} is planar. Since $K \neq \{e\}$, $H_1(\tilde{T}; \mathbf{Z}_2) \neq 0$. Thus, if \tilde{T} is planar we have $H^1(Q; \mathbf{Z}_2Q) \approx H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_2Q) \neq 0$. This completes the proof using Lemma 1.

Next, let T be a two-sided orientable surface in a 3-manifold M . Let M_1, M_2 be the two parts into which T divides M ; if $M - T$ is connected we write $M_2 = M_1$. We have two injections $i_1: T \rightarrow M_1$ and $i_2: T \rightarrow M_2$ and let φ_1, φ_2 be the induced maps in the fundamental groups. By Loop Theorem, $\varphi_i(T)$ is a free product of orientable surface groups and free cyclic groups and therefore $\varphi_i(T)$ is torsion-free. Consider $\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2: \pi_1(T) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_1) \times \pi_1(M_2)$ and let φ denote the induced map of $\pi_1(T)$ onto the image H of $\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2$ and let L be the Kernel of φ . Then Lemma 2 implies the following.

3. THEOREM (PAPAKYRIAKOPOULOS, MASKIT). *With the above notation, there is a simple loop on T which represents a nontrivial element of L if and only if there is a normal subgroup $K \neq \{e\}$ of $\pi_1(T)$ with $K \subset L$ and such that the cover of T corresponding to K is planar.*

That P.1 \Rightarrow Poincaré conjecture is proved using the above theorem when $(T; M_1, M_2)$ is a Heegaard decomposition of a homotopy 3-sphere M . In this

case $\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2$ is surjective and the normal subgroup K_p generated by the image of $[a_1, b_1 \tau]$ is in the Kernel of $\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2$ for some $\tau \in [\Phi_p, \Phi_p]$ (see [5]). Let φ_p, Q_p be as in P.1. Let \tilde{T} be the cover of T corresponding to K_p . As in Lemma 2, \tilde{T} is planar if and only if $H^1(Q_p; \mathbf{Z}_2 Q_p) \rightarrow H^1(G_p; \mathbf{Z}_2 Q_p)$ is an isomorphism. This shows that the conjecture P.1 is group-theoretic. If P.1 is true, then

$$H^1(Q_p; \mathbf{Z}_2 Q_p) \approx H^1(G_p; \mathbf{Z}_2 Q_p) \approx H_1(K_p; \mathbf{Z}_2) \neq 0.$$

Since Q_p is torsion-free, by [9], Q_p is a free product. Thus P.1 \Rightarrow P.2. If Q_p is a free product, then by Lemma 1 we can find a simple loop on T which represents nontrivial element in $K_p \subset \text{Kernel of } \varphi_1 \times \varphi_2$ and Poincaré conjecture follows by induction. This proves Theorem A.

The above proof shows that Papakyriakopoulos' approach (via Theorem 3 above) really involves factoring $\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2$ through a free product and hence it is contained in Stallings' approach [8]. However, Papakyriakopoulos has given possible candidates (K_p above) for finding simple loops and what we have done above seems to make his conjectures more accessible. The lemmas above can be generalized using a relative version of Stallings' theorem [10] and these can be used to prove Theorem 3 in Maskit's paper [4].

We next state another reduction of Poincaré conjecture. This reduction is based on the characteristic submanifold Theory ([1] and [2]) and the results of [11]. Thurston is reported to have strong results on the existence of hyperbolic structures for the class of manifolds T considered below and hence the new reduction may also be of some interest. Let T denote the following class.

$M \in T$ if and only if M is compact, irreducible, $\chi(M) = 0$ and M does not admit essential embeddings of the annulus or torus.

C.1. CONJECTURE. If N is a simply connected 3-manifold obtained by adding solid tori to a $M \in T$, then N is homeomorphic to S^3 .

C.2. CONJECTURE. Similar to the above, restricting M to those which in addition satisfy

$\pi_1(M)$ is a subgroup of index ≤ 3 in a real link group.

Our next reduction is

B. THEOREM. C.2 \Rightarrow Poincaré conjecture.

REFERENCES

1. W. Jaco and P. Shalen, *Seifert fibred pairs in 3-manifolds*, Mimeographed Notes, Rice University.
2. K. Johannson, *Homotopy equivalences of knot spaces*, preprint, Univ. Bielefeld, 1976.
3. S. Mac Lane, *Homology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1963.
4. B. Maskit, *A theorem on planar covering surfaces, with applications to 3-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **81** (1965), 341–355.

5. C. D. Papakyriakopoulos, *A reduction of Poincaré conjecture to group-theoretic conjectures*, Ann. of Math. (2) **77** (1963), 250–305.
6. C. D. Papakyriakopoulos, *Attaching 2-dimensional cells to a complex*, Ann. of Math. (2) **78** (1963), 205–222.
7. E. S. Rapaport, *Proof of a conjecture of Papakyriakopoulos*, Ann. of Math. (2) **79** (1964), 506–513.
8. J. R. Stallings, *How not to prove the Poincaré conjecture*, Ann. of Math. Studies, vol. 60, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1966, pp. 83–88.
9. ———, *Group theory and 3-dim. manifolds*, Yale Univ. Press, 1971.
10. G. A. Swarup, *Relative version of a Theorem of Stallings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **11** (1977), 75–82.
11. ———, *Cable knots in homotopy spheres*, preprint, Tata Institute, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay 400 005, India