

THE PERMANENT AT A MINIMUM ON CERTAIN CLASSES OF DOUBLY STOCHASTIC MATRICES¹

BY MARK BLONDEAU HEDRICK

Communicated by Olga Taussky Todd, March 18, 1974

ABSTRACT. Both David London and Mark B. Hedrick have independently generalized a result of M. Marcus and M. Newman concerning the behavior of the permanent at a minimum on the set of doubly stochastic matrices. The author generalizes this last result and simplifies the proof appreciably. He proves the following. Let A be a doubly stochastic matrix and let X be a set of doubly stochastic matrices with the same $(0, 1)$ -pattern as A in some neighborhood of A . If A is a critical point of the permanent relative to X , then $\text{per } A = \text{per } A(i|j)$ for each positive a_{ij} .

In 1926 [8], B. L. van der Waerden conjectured that the permanent achieves a unique minimum on the set D_n of $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrices at the matrix J_n (each of whose entries is $1/n$). The conjecture has some interesting interpretations in finite probability and finite combinatorics. However, it is only known to be true for n less than or equal to 5 [6], [1], [2] and for the class of positive semidefinite, hermitian matrices [5]. The most general result previously known was obtained independently by D. London [4] and by M. B. Hedrick [3] and stated that if A is a matrix in D_n at which the permanent achieves a local minimum relative to D_n , then $\text{per } A \leq \text{per } A(i|j)$ with equality for each positive a_{ij} . Both of these papers relied heavily on methods from the definitive work of M. Marcus and M. Newman [6] in which knowledge of the eigenvalues of AA^T was required. Since the relationship between the eigenvalues of A or AA^T and the permanent of A appears to be extremely nebulous [7], the author finds a great deal of beauty in the simplicity and purely combinatorial nature of the following proof.

THEOREM. *Let A be a doubly stochastic matrix, and let X be a set of doubly stochastic matrices with the same $(0, 1)$ -pattern as A in some neighborhood of A . If A is a critical point of the permanent relative to X , then $\text{per } A = \text{per } A(i|j)$ for each positive a_{ij} .*

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 05B20, 60J05, 15A15, 15A51.

Key words and phrases. Permanent, doubly stochastic matrix, finite combinatorics, finite probability, critical point of the permanent relative to X .

¹ This paper is dedicated to Terrie.

Copyright © American Mathematical Society 1974

PROOF. Assume that for some positive a_{ij} , $\text{per } A \neq \text{per } A(i|j)$. Observe that for any fixed i , the permanent of A equals the sum of the a_{ij} $\text{per } A(i|j)$ when one sums on j for positive a_{ij} . Thus $\min_j \text{per } A(i|j) \leq \text{per } A \leq \max_j \text{per } A(i|j)$ with equality at either extreme if and only if there is equality throughout. A similar remark can be made for fixed j .

Let $a_{i(1),j(1)}$ be a positive entry in A at which the $\text{per } A(i(1)|j(1))$ equals $\max \text{per } A(i|j)$ for all positive a_{ij} . By the previous remarks, $\text{per } A < \text{per } A(i(1)|j(1))$. Likewise, there is some positive $a_{i(1),j(2)}$ such that $\text{per } A(i(1)|j(2))$ is less than $\text{per } A$. Then there is some positive $a_{i(2),j(2)}$ such that $\text{per } A(i(2)|j(2))$ is greater than $\text{per } A$. Within n times, we must return to a row or a column which has been used previously. For notational convenience, we shall assume that $a_{i(1),j(1)}, a_{i(1),j(2)}, \dots, a_{i(p),j(p-1)}, a_{i(p),j(1)}$ are positive entries of A such that $\text{per } A(i(1)|j(1))$ is greater than $\text{per } A$, $\text{per } A(i(1)|j(2))$ is less than $\text{per } A$, \dots , $\text{per } A(i(p)|j(p-1))$ is greater than $\text{per } A$, and $\text{per } A(i(p)|j(1))$ is less than $\text{per } A$, and the rows and columns which are used occur exactly twice.

Choose $0 < x < \min\{a_{i(1),j(1)}, \dots, a_{i(p),j(1)}\}$. Consider the doubly stochastic matrix $A(x)$ defined by $a_{ij}(x) = a_{ij}$ for (i, j) different from $(i(1), j(1)), \dots, (i(p), j(1))$ and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i(1),j(1)}(x) &= a_{i(1),j(1)} - x, \\ a_{i(1),j(2)}(x) &= a_{i(1),j(2)} + x, \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ a_{i(p),j(p-1)}(x) &= a_{i(p),j(p-1)} - x, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$a_{i(p),j(1)}(x) = a_{i(p),j(1)} + x.$$

Define $f(x)$ to be $\text{per } A(x)$. Then since $f(0)$ equals $\text{per } A$ and A is a critical point of the permanent relative to X , the derivative of $f(x)$ evaluated at 0 equals 0. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &[\text{per } A(i(1)|j(2)) + \dots + \text{per } A(i(p)|j(1))] \\ &\quad - [\text{per } A(i(1)|j(1)) + \dots + \text{per } A(i(p)|j(p-1))] \end{aligned}$$

equals the derivative of $f(x)$ evaluated at 0 which equals 0. However, by the choice of the $\text{per } A(i(1)|j(1)), \dots, \text{per } A(i(p)|j(1))$, the above sum is negative which is a contradiction.

COROLLARY I. *If A is a matrix at which the permanent achieves a local minimum relative to D_n , then $\text{per } A \leq \text{per } A(i|j)$ with equality whenever a_{ij} is positive.*

The procedure to prove Corollary I can be found in [3].

COROLLARY II. *If A is a matrix at which the permanent achieves a local maximum relative to D_n , then $\text{per } A \geq \text{per } A(i|j)$ with equality whenever a_{ij} is positive.*

Write A as a direct sum of fully indecomposable matrices and use the techniques in [3].

It is worth noting that the boundary of D_n satisfies the hypothesis of the Theorem. Likewise, let B be a doubly stochastic matrix. Define X to be the set of all doubly stochastic matrices C such that c_{ij} is zero whenever b_{ij} is zero. Then X satisfies the hypothesis of the Theorem.

M. Marcus and M. Newman showed that the permanent achieves a local minimum at J_n [6], and it is immediate that the permanent achieves an absolute maximum at a permutation matrix (take the product of the n row sums). The author would be very interested in seeing examples at which the permanent achieves a local minimum or local maximum relative to D_n besides these.

REFERENCES

1. P. J. Eberlein, *Remarks on the van der Waerden conjecture*. II, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* **2** (1969), 311–320. MR **40** #160.
2. P. J. Eberlein and G. S. Mudholkar, *Some remarks on the van der Waerden conjecture*, *J. Combinatorial Theory* **5** (1968), 386–396. MR **38** #163.
3. M. B. Hedrick, *P-minors of the doubly stochastic matrix at which the permanent achieves a minimum*, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* (to appear).
4. D. London, *Some notes on the van der Waerden conjecture*, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* **4** (1971), 155–160. MR **45** #279.
5. M. Marcus and H. Minc, *On a conjecture of B. L. van der Waerden*, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **63** (1967), 305–309. MR **34** #5853.
6. M. Marcus and M. Newman, *On the minimum of the permanent of a doubly stochastic matrix*, *Duke Math. J.* **26** (1959), 61–72. MR **21** #3432.
7. D. W. Sasser and M. L. Slater, *On the inequality $\sum x_i y_i \geq (1/n) \sum x_i \sum y_j$ and the van der Waerden conjecture*, *J. Combinatorial Theory* **3** (1967), 25–33. MR **36** #190.
8. B. L. van der Waerden, *Aufgabe 45*, *Jber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein.* **35** (1926), 117.

1205 EASTMAN, PASADENA, TEXAS 77506

Current address: 222 Kalmer, Pasadena, Texas 77502