

THE ITERATIVE SOLUTION OF THE EQUATION $y \in x + Tx$ FOR A MONOTONE OPERATOR T IN HILBERT SPACE¹

BY RONALD E. BRUCK, JR.

Communicated by R. Creighton Buck, March 5, 1973

ABSTRACT. Suppose T is a multivalued monotone operator with open domain $D(T)$ in a Hilbert space and $y \in R(I + T)$. Then there exist a neighborhood $N \subset D(T)$ of $\bar{x} = (I + T)^{-1}y$ and a real number $\sigma_1 > 0$ such that for any $\sigma \geq \sigma_1$, any initial guess $x_1 \in N$, and any single-valued section T_0 of T , the sequence generated from x_1 by

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - (n + \sigma)^{-1}(x_n + T_0x_n - y)$$

remains in $D(T)$ and converges to \bar{x} with estimate $\|x_n - \bar{x}\| = O(n^{-1/2})$. The sequence $\{x_n + T_0x_n\}$ converges $(C, 1)$ to y . No continuity assumptions of any kind are imposed on T_0 .

If H is a real or complex Hilbert space with inner product (\cdot, \cdot) , a *multivalued monotone operator* on H is a subset T of $H \times H$ for which $\operatorname{Re}(u - v, x - y) \geq 0$ whenever $[x, u], [y, v] \in T$. We write Tx for $\{y \in H: [x, y] \in T\}$, $D(T) = \{x: Tx \neq \emptyset\}$ (the *effective domain* of T), $T(A) = \bigcup \{Tx: x \in A\}$ if $A \subset H$, and $R(T) = T(H)$. I denotes the identity operator on H , so $I + T = \{[x, x + y]: [x, y] \in T\}$ and $(I + T)^{-1} = \{[x + y, x]: [x, y] \in T\}$. T is *locally bounded* at x if there exists a neighborhood N of x (in the norm topology) for which $T(N)$ is bounded. A single-valued section of T is a subset T_0 of T for which T_0x is a singleton set for each x in $D(T)$; we follow the traditional abuse of terminology and refer to T_0x as the *element* in the singleton set.

One of the earliest problems in the theory of monotone operators was to solve the equation $y \in x + Tx$ for x , given an element y of H and a monotone operator T . The initial existence theorems (Vainberg [10], Zarantonello [12]) were constructive in nature, but assumed that the operator T was single-valued and Lipschitzian; later existence results (Minty [7], Browder [1]) were proven under unusually weak continuity assumptions on T , but were nonconstructive in nature. Subsequent iteration methods have weakened the Lipschitz assumptions on T (Petryshn [8], Zarantonello [11]).

In this note we return to the iterative techniques, with the difference that we make *no* assumptions of continuity. Supposing that the equation $y \in x + Tx$ has a solution x , we calculate that solution as the limit of an iteratively constructed sequence with an explicit error estimate. Naturally,

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47H05, 47H10; Secondary 47H15.

¹ Supported by NSF Grant GP-30221.

the sequence of iterates converges more slowly than in the Lipschitzian case—the error estimate is $O(n^{-1/2})$ instead of $O(c^n)$ for some $0 < c < 1$.

THEOREM. *Suppose T is a multivalued monotone operator with open domain $D(T)$ in a Hilbert space H and $y \in R(I + T)$. Then there exist a neighborhood $N \subset D(T)$ of $\bar{x} = (I + T)^{-1}y$ and a real number $\sigma_1 > 0$ such that for any $\sigma \geq \sigma_1$, any initial guess $x_1 \in N$, and any single-valued section T_0 of T , the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated from x_1 by*

$$(1) \quad x_{n+1} = x_n - (n + \sigma)^{-1}(x_n + T_0x_n - y)$$

remains in $D(T)$ and converges to \bar{x} with estimate $\|x_n - \bar{x}\| = O(n^{-1/2})$. The sequence $\{x_n + T_0x_n\}$ converges $(C, 1)$ to y .

REMARK. The iteration (1) is a normal Mann process in the sense of Dotson [3] (see also Mann [6]).

PROOF OF THEOREM. It is well known that $(I + T)^{-1}$ is a single-valued mapping, so $\bar{x} = (I + T)^{-1}y$ is uniquely defined. Choose $\bar{u} \in T\bar{x}$ such that $y = \bar{x} + \bar{u}$. As an aside we note that if already $y \in R(I + T_0)$ then $\bar{u} = T_0\bar{x}$ because $(I + T_0)^{-1} \subset (I + T)^{-1}$.

A monotone operator is locally bounded at each interior point of its effective domain (Browder [2], Rockafellar [9]); thus T is locally bounded at \bar{x} , and we may choose $N = B_d(\bar{x})$, the closed ball of radius $d > 0$ centered at \bar{x} , in such a way that $N \subset D(T)$ and $T(N)$ is bounded. Put $\sigma_1 = [\text{diam } T(N)/d]^2$. Then $\sigma_1 > 0$ and

$$(2) \quad \text{diam } T(N) \leq d\sigma^{1/2} \text{ if } \sigma \geq \sigma_1.$$

Put $t_n = (n + \sigma)^{-1}$, $d_n = (n + \sigma - 1)^{-1/2}$. Starting with an initial guess $x_1 \in N$ and any single-valued section T_0 of T , define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ inductively by (1). That $\{x_n\}$ can in fact be continued indefinitely follows from

$$(3) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, x_n \text{ is well defined and } \|x_n - \bar{x}\| \leq d_n d\sigma^{1/2}.$$

We prove (3) by induction on n .

For $n = 1$, x_n is certainly well defined and $\|x_n - \bar{x}\| \leq d_1 d\sigma^{1/2} = d$ because x_1 was originally chosen from $B_d(\bar{x}) = N$. Next suppose that (3) has been proven for a particular value of n . Then

$$\|x_n - \bar{x}\| \leq d_n d\sigma^{1/2} \leq d_1 d\sigma^{1/2} = d$$

so $x_n \in N \subset D(T) = D(T_0)$; thus x_{n+1} is well defined by (1). Since $y = \bar{x} + \bar{u}$, (1) also implies

$$x_{n+1} - \bar{x} = (1 - t_n)(x_n - \bar{x}) - t_n(T_0x_n - \bar{u})$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - \bar{x}\|^2 &= (1 - t_n)^2 \|x_n - \bar{x}\|^2 - 2t_n(1 - t_n) \\
 (4) \quad &\quad \cdot \operatorname{Re}(T_0x_n - \bar{u}, x_n - \bar{x}) + t_n^2 \|T_0x_n - \bar{u}\|^2 \\
 &\leq (1 - t_n)^2 \|x_n - \bar{x}\|^2 + t_n^2 \|T_0x_n - \bar{u}\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

since $T_0x_n \in Tx_n$, $\bar{u} \in T\bar{x}$, T is monotone, and $t_n(1 - t_n) > 0$. But we also have $\|T_0x_n - \bar{u}\| \leq \operatorname{diam} T(N)$ since T_0x_n and \bar{u} belong to $T(N)$, so when (2) and (4) are combined with the induction hypothesis $\|x_n - \bar{x}\| \leq d_n d\sigma^{1/2}$ there results

$$\|x_{n+1} - \bar{x}\|^2 \leq [(1 - t_n)^2 d_n^2 + t_n^2] d^2\sigma = d_{n+1}^2 d^2\sigma,$$

so that $\|x_{n+1} - \bar{x}\| \leq d_{n+1} d\sigma^{1/2}$. This completes the proof of (3) by induction. Since $d_n = O(n^{-1/2})$ we have also established the error estimate of the Theorem.

As for the $(C, 1)$ convergence of $\{x_n + T_0x_n\}$ to y , we readily establish by induction the identity

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{\sigma}{n + \sigma} \cdot x_1 - \frac{1}{n + \sigma} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (T_0x_i - y),$$

so that $n^{-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n T_0x_i = y - x_{n+1} + \sigma n^{-1}(x_1 - x_{n+1})$. It follows that

$$(C, 1) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_0x_n = y - \bar{x}.$$

Since $x_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$ strongly, also

$$(C, 1) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \bar{x},$$

so finally $(C, 1) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n + T_0x_n) = y$. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY. *Suppose T is a continuous single-valued monotone operator with open domain $D(T)$ in a Hilbert space H and $y \in R(I + T)$. Then there exists a neighborhood $N \subset D(T)$ of $\bar{x} = (I + T)^{-1}y$ such that for any initial guess $x_1 \in N$ the sequence generated from x_1 by*

$$(5) \quad x_{n+1} = \frac{n}{n + 1} x_n - \frac{1}{n + 1} (Tx_n - y)$$

remains in $D(T)$ and converges to \bar{x} with estimate $\|x_n - \bar{x}\| = O(n^{-1/2})$.

REMARK. This iteration has been considered for different families of mappings by Johnson [5] and Franks and Marzec [4].

PROOF OF COROLLARY. By the Theorem there exist a neighborhood N_1 of \bar{x} and a positive integer σ such that the sequence $\{z_n\}$ generated from an initial guess $z_1 \in N_1$ by

$$(6) \quad z_{n+1} = z_n - (n + \sigma)^{-1}(z_n + Tz_n - y)$$

converges to \bar{x} with error estimate $O(n^{-1/2})$. The continuity of T at \bar{x} guarantees the existence of a neighborhood N of \bar{x} such that for any initial guess $x_1 \in N$, the first σ terms of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (5) remain in N_1 . Taking $z_1 = x_\sigma \in N_1$ and generating $\{z_n\}$ by (6), we have $x_n = z_{n-\sigma+1}$ for $n \geq \sigma$. Thus $\{x_n\}$ remains in $D(T)$ and $x_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$ with error estimate $O((n - \sigma + 1)^{-1/2}) = O(n^{-1/2})$. Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

1. F. E. Browder, *Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 862–874. MR **27** #6048.
2. ———, *Nonlinear monotone and accretive operators in Banach spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **61** (1968), 388–393. MR **44** #7389.
3. W. G. Dotson, Jr., *On the Mann iterative process*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (1970), 65–73. MR **41** #2477.
4. R. L. Franks and R. P. Marzec, *A theorem on mean value iterations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (1971), 324–326. MR **43** #6375.
5. G. G. Johnson, *Fixed points by mean value iterations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (1972), 193–194. MR **45** #1006.
6. W. R. Mann, *Mean value methods in iteration*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1953), 506–510. MR **14**, 988.
7. G. J. Minty, *Monotone (nonlinear) operators in Hilbert space*, Duke Math. J. **29** (1962), 341–346. MR **29** #6319.
8. W. V. Petryshn, *On the extension and solution of nonlinear operator equations*, Illinois J. Math. **10** (1966), 255–274. MR **34** #8242.
9. R. T. Rockafellar, *Local boundedness of nonlinear, monotone operators*, Michigan Math. J. **16** (1969), 397–407. MR **40** #6229.
10. M. M. Vainberg, *On the convergence of the method of steepest descent for nonlinear equations*, Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. **2** (1961), 201–220. (Russian) MR **23** #A4026.
11. E. H. Zarantonello, *The closure of the numerical range contains the spectrum*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1964), 781–787. MR **30** #3389.
12. ———, *Solving functional equations by contractive averaging*, Mathematics Research Center Technical Report No. 160, Madison, Wis., 1960.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90007