

SELFADJOINT SUBSPACE EXTENSIONS OF NONDENSELY DEFINED SYMMETRIC OPERATORS¹

BY EARL A. CODDINGTON

Communicated by Fred Brauer, December 18, 1972

1. **Subspaces in \mathfrak{H}^2 .** Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hilbert space over the complex field \mathbb{C} , and let $\mathfrak{H}^2 = \mathfrak{H} \oplus \mathfrak{H}$ be the Hilbert space of all pairs $\{f, g\}$, where $f, g \in \mathfrak{H}$, with the inner product $(\{f, g\}, \{h, k\}) = (f, h) + (g, k)$. A subspace T in \mathfrak{H}^2 is a closed linear manifold in \mathfrak{H}^2 ; its domain $\mathfrak{D}(T)$ is the set of all $f \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that $\{f, g\} \in T$ for some $g \in \mathfrak{H}$, and its range $\mathfrak{R}(T)$ is the set of all $g \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that $\{f, g\} \in T$ for some $f \in \mathfrak{H}$. For $f \in \mathfrak{D}(T)$ we put $T(f) = \{g \in \mathfrak{H} | \{f, g\} \in T\}$. A subspace T in \mathfrak{H}^2 is the graph of a linear function if $T(0) = \{0\}$; in this case we say T is an operator in \mathfrak{H} , and then we denote $T(f)$ by Tf .

The adjoint T^* of a subspace T in \mathfrak{H}^2 is defined by

$$T^* = \{\{h, k\} \in \mathfrak{H}^2 | (g, h) = (f, k) \text{ for all } \{f, g\} \in T\}.$$

If J is the unitary operator in \mathfrak{H}^2 given by $J\{f, g\} = \{g, -f\}$, then $T^* = \mathfrak{H}^2 \ominus JT$, the orthogonal complement of JT in \mathfrak{H}^2 . This shows that T^* is also a subspace in \mathfrak{H}^2 .

If T is a subspace in \mathfrak{H}^2 , let $T_\infty = \{\{f, g\} \in T | f = 0\}$. Then $T_s = T \ominus T_\infty$ is a closed operator in \mathfrak{H} , and we have the orthogonal decomposition $T = T_s \oplus T_\infty$, with $\mathfrak{D}(T_s)$ dense in $\mathfrak{H} \ominus T^*(0)$, $\mathfrak{R}(T_s) \subset \mathfrak{H} \ominus T(0)$.

A symmetric subspace S in \mathfrak{H}^2 is one satisfying $S \subset S^*$, and a selfadjoint subspace H is a symmetric one such that $H = H^*$. If $H = H_s \oplus H_\infty$ is a selfadjoint subspace in \mathfrak{H}^2 we have the result (due to Arens, [1, Theorem 5.3]) that H_s , considered as an operator in $\mathfrak{H} \ominus H(0)$, is a densely defined selfadjoint operator there. This permits a spectral analysis of a selfadjoint subspace H , once its operator part H_s and its purely multi-valued part H_∞ have been identified.

If S, S_1 are symmetric subspaces in \mathfrak{H}^2 such that $S \subset S_1$, then S_1 is said to be a symmetric extension of S . In [3] (see also [2]) we described all symmetric and selfadjoint extensions of a symmetric subspace S in \mathfrak{H}^2 . In this note we characterize precisely, in terms of "generalized boundary conditions", those selfadjoint subspace extensions of a non-densely defined symmetric operator S in \mathfrak{H} . Applications to ordinary differential operators will be indicated in a subsequent note. Detailed

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47B99, 47B25, 47A20.

Key words and phrases. Symmetric subspace, selfadjoint subspace, selfadjoint extension, symmetric operator, selfadjoint operator.

¹ This research was supported in part by NSF Grant No. GP-33696X.

proofs will appear elsewhere.

We require from [3, Theorems 12 and 15] two characterizations of the selfadjoint extensions H of a symmetric subspace S in \mathfrak{H}^2 . All such satisfy $S \subset H \subset S^*$; let $M = S^* \ominus S$.

THEOREM A. *A subspace H is a selfadjoint extension of S in \mathfrak{H}^2 if and only if $H = S \oplus M_1$, where M_1 is a subspace of M satisfying $JM_1 = M \ominus M_1$.*

Alternatively, such H may be described in terms of the subspaces $M^\pm = \{ \{h, k\} \in S^* \mid k = \pm ih \}$. We have $M = M^+ \oplus M^-$ and the following result.

THEOREM B. *A subspace H is a selfadjoint extension of S in \mathfrak{H}^2 if and only if there exists an isometry V of M^+ onto M^- such that $H = S \oplus (I - V)M^+$, where I is the identity operator. Thus S has a selfadjoint extension in \mathfrak{H}^2 if and only if $\dim M^+ = \dim M^-$.*

2. Selfadjoint extensions of nondensely defined symmetric operators.

Let S_0 be a symmetric densely defined operator in \mathfrak{H} , and let \mathfrak{H}_0 be a subspace of \mathfrak{H} . Throughout this section we assume that

$$(2.1) \quad \dim \mathfrak{H}_0 = p < \infty, \quad \dim M_0 < \infty, \quad M_0 = S_0^* \ominus S_0.$$

We define S to be the operator in \mathfrak{H} given by

$$(2.2) \quad \mathfrak{D}(S) = \mathfrak{D}(S_0) \cap (\mathfrak{H} \ominus \mathfrak{H}_0), \quad S \subset S_0.$$

This operator is not densely defined, and so its adjoint will be a subspace which is not an operator.

THEOREM 1. *Let S be defined by (2.2), where (2.1) is assumed. Then S is a symmetric operator with $\mathfrak{D}(S)$ dense in $\mathfrak{H} \ominus \mathfrak{H}_0$, and*

$$(2.3) \quad S^* = \{ \{h, S_0^*h + \varphi\} \mid h \in \mathfrak{D}(S_0^*), \varphi \in \mathfrak{H}_0 \},$$

$$(2.4) \quad \dim M^\pm = \dim(M_0)^\pm + \dim \mathfrak{H}_0.$$

Thus $S^*(0) = \mathfrak{H}_0$ and S^* is the algebraic sum of S_0^* and $(S^*)_\infty$. From (2.4) and Theorem B it follows that S has selfadjoint extensions in \mathfrak{H}^2 if and only if $\dim(M_0)^+ = \dim(M_0)^-$, that is, if and only if S_0 has selfadjoint extensions in \mathfrak{H} . We now assume $\dim(M_0)^+ = \dim(M_0)^- = \omega$, and indicate how one can characterize any selfadjoint extension H of S in \mathfrak{H}^2 by means of "generalized boundary conditions". Theorem A implies that any such $H = S \oplus M_1$ can be thought of as $H = S^* \ominus JM_1$, where $\dim M_1 = p + \omega$. Thus

$$H = \{ \{h, k\} \in S^* \mid (k, \alpha) - (h, \beta) = 0 \text{ for all } \{\alpha, \beta\} \in M_1 \},$$

and (2.3) implies that H is the set of all $\{h, S_0^*h + \varphi\} \in S^*$ satisfying

$$(2.5) \quad \langle h\alpha \rangle - (h, \varphi') + (\varphi, \alpha) = 0$$

for all $\{\alpha, S_0^* \alpha + \varphi'\} \in M_1$. Here we have introduced the abbreviation $\langle h\alpha \rangle = (S_0^* h, \alpha) - (h, S_0^* \alpha)$, $h, \alpha \in \mathfrak{D}(S_0^*)$. By thinking of H as in (2.5) we obtain the following precise characterization.

THEOREM 2. *Let H be a selfadjoint subspace extension of S in \mathfrak{H}^2 , with $\dim H(0) = s$. Let an orthonormal basis for $H(0)$ be $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_s$, and suppose $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_s, \varphi_{s+1}, \dots, \varphi_p$ is an orthonormal basis for $S^*(0) = \mathfrak{H}_0$. Then H is the set of all $\{h, S_0^* h + \varphi\} \in S^*$ such that*

- (i) $(h, \varphi_j) = 0, j = 1, \dots, s,$
- (ii) $\langle h\delta_j \rangle - (h, \zeta_j) = 0, j = p + 1, \dots, p + \omega,$
- (iii) $\varphi = c_1 \varphi_1 + \dots + c_s \varphi_s + \sum_{k=s+1}^p [(h, \psi_k) - \langle h\gamma_k \rangle] \varphi_k, c_j \in \mathbb{C}$ arbitrary,

where

- (a) $\gamma_{s+1}, \dots, \gamma_p \in \mathfrak{D}(S_0^*),$
- (b) $\delta_{p+1}, \dots, \delta_{p+\omega} \in \mathfrak{D}(S_0^*)$ are linearly independent mod $\mathfrak{D}(S_0)$, and $\langle \delta_j \delta_k \rangle = 0, j, k = p + 1, \dots, p + \omega,$
- (c) $\zeta_j = -\sum_{k=s+1}^p \langle \delta_j \gamma_k \rangle \varphi_k, j = p + 1, \dots, p + \omega,$
- (d) $\psi_j = \sum_{k=s+1}^p [E_{kj} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \gamma_j \gamma_k \rangle] \varphi_k, j = s + 1, \dots, p, E_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}, E = (E_{jk}) = E^*.$

Conversely, let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_p$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathfrak{H}_0 , suppose γ_j, δ_j exist satisfying (a), (b), and ζ_j, ψ_j are defined by (c), (d). Then H defined via (i)–(iii) is a selfadjoint extension of S with $\dim H(0) = s$.

The operator part H_s of H is given by

$$H_s h = Q_0 S_0^* h + \sum_{k=s+1}^p [(h, \psi_k) - \langle h\gamma_k \rangle] \varphi_k,$$

where Q_0 is the orthogonal projection of \mathfrak{H} onto $\mathfrak{H} \ominus H(0)$.

With appropriate interpretations, Theorem 2 remains valid in the three cases: $s = 0, s = p,$ and $\omega = 0$. If $s = 0$ then H is an operator extension of S , and those operator extensions H satisfying $S_0 \subset H \subset S_0^*$ are obtained by taking $\gamma_j = 0, E_{kj} = 0$, which results in $\zeta_j = 0, \psi_j = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}(H) &= \{h \in \mathfrak{D}(S_0^*) \mid \langle h\delta_j \rangle = 0, j = p + 1, \dots, p + \omega\}, \\ \langle \delta_j \delta_k \rangle &= 0, \quad j, k = p + 1, \dots, p + \omega, \end{aligned}$$

which is the known characterization of such H . If $\omega = 0$ and $s = p$, $H(0) = \mathfrak{H}_0$ and $H_s h = Q_0 S_0 h$. Thus, given any selfadjoint operator S_0 in \mathfrak{H} , with $\mathfrak{D}(S_0)$ dense in \mathfrak{H} , and subspace $\mathfrak{H}_0 \subset \mathfrak{H}, \dim \mathfrak{H}_0 < \infty$, the operator H_s on $\mathfrak{H} \ominus \mathfrak{H}_0$ defined by $H_s h = Q_0 S_0 h$ is a densely defined selfadjoint operator. This is a result due to W. Stenger [4, Lemma 1].

REFERENCES

1. R. Arens, *Operational calculus of linear relations*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 9–23.

MR 23 # A517.

2. E. A. Coddington, *Multi-valued operators and boundary value problems*, Lecture Notes in Math., No. 183, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.

3. ———, *Extension theory of formally normal and symmetric subspaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 134 (1973).

4. W. Stenger, *On the projection of a selfadjoint operator*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), 369–372. MR 36 # 3142.

UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS VI, PARIS, FRANCE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024