## ON THE RELATIONS BETWEEN TAUT, TIGHT AND HYPERBOLIC MANIFOLDS

## BY PETER KIERNAN

Communicated by Richard Palais, May 22, 1969

In [1], Professor Kobayashi defined hyperbolic and complete hyperbolic manifolds. In [2], Professor Wu defined tight and taut complex manifolds. The purpose of this paper is to show that these concepts are related in the following way:

complete hyperbolic ⇒ taut

$$taut \overset{\Rightarrow}{\Leftarrow} hyperbolic$$

hyperbolic ⇔ tight (with respect to some metric)

It seems likely that taut implies complete hyperbolic, but I cannot prove that at the present time. Don Eisenman has obtained these results concurrently by a slightly different method.

We begin by recalling the definition of the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $d_M$  associated to the complex manifold M. Let p and q be points in M. By a chain  $\alpha$  from p to q, we mean a sequence  $p = p_0$ ,  $p_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $p_k = q$  of points in M, points  $a_1, \cdots, a_k$  in the unit disk D=  $\{z \in C \mid |z| < 1\}$  and holomorphic maps  $f_1, \cdots, f_k$  of D into Mwith  $f_i(0) = p_{i-1}$  and  $f_i(a_i) = p_i$ . The length  $|\alpha|$  of  $\alpha$  is defined by

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{k} d(0, a_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log \frac{1 + |a_i|}{1 - |a_i|}$$

where d is the Poincaré-Bergman distance on D. It is given by the metric  $ds^2 = dz d\bar{z}/(1-|z|^2)^2$ . We set  $d_M(p, q) = \inf_{\alpha \in A} |\alpha|$ , where A is the set of all chains from p to q. It is easy to see that  $d_M$  is a pseudodistance on M. If  $d_M$  is an actual distance, we say that M is hyperbolic. M is called complete hyperbolic if  $d_M$  is a complete metric, i.e., if all Cauchy sequences converge. Kobayashi (see  $[1, \S 8]$ ) has shown that complete hyperbolic implies that all bounded subsets have compact closure.

It follows immediately from the definition of  $d_M$  and  $d_N$ , that if  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is holomorphic and  $p, q \in M$ , then  $d_N(f(p), f(q)) \leq d_M(p, q)$ . The classical Schwarz-Pick lemma implies that the Kobayashi distance  $d_D$  on the unit disk D is the same as the Poincaré-Bergman distance  $d_N$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{C}(N,M)$  denote the set of holomorphic maps from N into M. A sequence  $\{f_i\}$  in  $\mathfrak{C}(N,M)$  is called *compactly divergent* if given any compact K in N and compact K' in M, there exists j such that  $f_i(K) \cap K' = \emptyset$  for all  $i \geq j$ . Fix a metric  $\rho$  on M which induces its topology.  $\mathfrak{C}(N,M)$  is called *normal* if every sequence in  $\mathfrak{C}(N,M)$  contain a subsequence which is either uniformly convergent on compact sets or compactly divergent. M is said to be taut if  $\mathfrak{C}(N,M)$  is normal for every N.  $(M,\rho)$  is said to be tight if  $\mathfrak{C}(N,M)$  is equicontinuous for every N. It should be noted that tautness is an intrinsic property of M, while tightness depends on the metric  $\rho$ .

For the rest of the paper, we shall use the following notation.

- (1) p and q are distinct points of M.
- (2)  $B = \{(w_1, \dots, w_n) | |w_1|^2 + \dots + |w_n|^2 < 1\}$  is a coordinate neighborhood centered at p, such that  $q \notin B$ .
  - (3)  $B_s = \{ (w_1, \dots, w_n) | |w_1|^2 + \dots + |w_n|^2 \le s^2 < 1 \} \subset B.$
  - (4)  $\rho$  is a metric on M which induces its topology.
  - (5)  $V_s = \{ p' \in M | \rho(p', p) < s \}.$
  - (6)  $D_{\delta} = \{z \in D | |z| < \delta < 1\}.$

An ordered pair  $(r, \delta)$  of strictly positive numbers is said to *satisfy* property A (relative to the choices above) if for every holomorphic map  $f: D \rightarrow M$  with  $f(0) \in B_r$ , we have  $f(D_{\delta}) \subset B$ .

LEMMA. Let M, p, q and B be as above. If there exists a pair  $(r, \delta)$  satisfying property A, then  $d_M(p, q) > 0$ .

PROOF. Choose a constant c>0 such that  $d_D(0, a) \ge cd_{D_\delta}(0, a)$  for all  $a \in D_{\delta/2}$ .

Let  $\alpha = \{ p = p_0, p_1, \dots, p_l = q; a_1, \dots, a_l; f_1, \dots, f_l \}$  be a chain from p to q. Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in D_{b/2}, p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k \in B_r$  and that  $p_k \in \partial B_r$ . Now

$$\left| \alpha \right| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_D(0, a_i) \geq c \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{D\delta}(0, a_i) \geq c \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_B(p_{i-1}, p_i)$$

$$\geq c d_B(0, p_K) = c' \quad \text{where } c' \text{ is constant } > 0.$$

Thus  $d_{\mathbf{M}}(p, q) \ge c' > 0$ . Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 1. If  $(M, \rho)$  is tight, then M is hyperbolic. If M is hyperbolic, then  $(M, d_M)$  is tight.

PROOF. Assume  $(M, \rho)$  is tight. Using the notation above, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $V_{2\epsilon} \subset B$ . Since  $\mathfrak{A}(D, M)$  is equicontinuous, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $f: D \to M$  is holomorphic with  $f(0) \in V_{\epsilon}$ , then  $f(D_{\delta}) \subset V_{2\epsilon} \subset B$ . Choose r > 0 such that  $B_r \subset V_{\epsilon}$ . Then  $(r, \delta)$  satisfies

property A. By the lemma,  $d_M(p,q) > 0$ . Since p and q were arbitrary distinct points, M is hyperbolic. The second statement is trivial. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 2. If M is taut, then M is hyperbolic.

PROOF. Assume M is not hyperbolic. Then there exist distinct points p and q with  $d_M(p, q) = 0$ . By the lemma,  $(\frac{1}{2}, 1/n)$  does not satisfy property A for any n. Thus there exists a holomorphic map  $f_n: D \to M$  with  $f(0) \in B_{1/2}$  and  $f_n(D_{1/n}) \subset B$ . The sequence  $\{f_i\}$  has no subsequence which is either uniformly convergent on compact sets or compactly divergent. Thus M is not taut. Q.E.D.

EXAMPLE.  $D \times D - \{(0, 0)\}$  is hyperbolic, but it is neither taut nor complete hyperbolic.

PROPOSITION 3. If M is complete hyperbolic, then M is taut.

PROOF. Let N be another manifold. Since  $(M, d_M)$  is tight,  $\alpha(N, M)$  is equicontinuous. Since M is complete hyperbolic, every bounded set in M is relatively compact. This implies that  $\alpha(N, M)$  is normal (see Lemma 1.1 in [2]). Thus M is taut. Q.E.D.

Finally, we observe that if M is a hyperbolic Riemann surface, then M is complete hyperbolic. This follows from the fact that M is covered by D which is complete hyperbolic. By Proposition 5.5 of [1], M is complete hyperbolic.

## REFERENCES

- 1. S. Kobayashi, Invariant distances on complex manifolds and holomorphic maps, J. Math. Soc. Japan 19 (1967), 460-480. MR 38 #736.
- 2. H. Wu, Normal families of holomorphic mappings, Acta Math. 119 (1967), 193-233. MR 37 #468.

University of California, Berkeley, California 94720