

## STURM COMPARISON THEOREMS FOR ELLIPTIC INEQUALITIES

BY W. ALLEGRETTO AND C. A. SWANSON<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by M. H. Protter, May 21, 1969

Comparison theorems of Sturm's type will be stated for the quasi-linear elliptic partial differential inequalities

$$(1) \quad lu = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_i[a_{ij}(x, u)D_ju] + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x, u)D_iu + uc(x, u) \leq 0,$$

$$(2) \quad Lv = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_i[A_{ij}(x, v)D_jv] + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(x, v)D_iv + vC(x, v) \geq 0,$$

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G, \quad u, v \in I, \quad D_i = \partial/\partial x_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

where  $G$  is a nonempty regular bounded domain in  $R^n$  and  $I$  is a real interval containing zero. The functions  $a_{ij}$ ,  $A_{ij}$ ,  $b_i$ ,  $B_i$ ,  $c$ , and  $C$  are assumed to be real-valued and continuous on  $\bar{G} \times I$ , and the matrices  $(a_{ij})$  and  $(A_{ij})$  symmetric and positive definite in  $G \times I$ .

A *Sturmian theorem* has the following form: If (1) has a nontrivial solution  $u$  which vanishes identically on the boundary of  $G$  and if (2) majorizes (1) in some sense, then every solution  $v$  of (2) has a zero in  $\bar{G}$  (or  $G$ ).

The linear selfadjoint case ( $b_i = B_i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) was first considered by Picone [12], and later independently and more generally by Hartman and Wintner [4], Kuks [10], Kreith [6], [8], Clark and Swanson [2]. A recent research announcement of Diaz and McLaughlin [3] is similar to Kreith's "strong comparison theorem" [9], obtained when  $\partial G$  has the "sphere property" by an appeal to the Hopf maximum principle. The conclusion of the strong comparison theorem is that  $v$  has a zero in  $G$  unless  $v$  is a constant multiple of  $u$ ; an analogous result in the quasilinear case is stated below (Theorem 2). Earlier McNabb [11] had used similar techniques in a different connection.

The linear nonselfadjoint case was studied by Protter [13], Swanson [16], Kreith [9], and Allegretto [1]. Extensions to unbounded domains were obtained in [16] and [17] and applied to oscillation theory and eigenvalue estimation. Comparison theorems

---

<sup>1</sup> Research sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Office of Aerospace Research, United States Air Force, under AFOSR Grant No. AFOSR-68-1531.

in terms of eigenvalues associated with boundary problems for differential operators also have been developed [7], [8], [15] and used to derive oscillation criteria [1], [5]. The quasilinear case was considered by Redheffer [14] and the authors [1], [19]. An extensive bibliography on comparison and oscillation theory can be found in [18].

Let  $m, M$  denote the  $(n+1)$ -square matrix functions on  $\bar{G} \times I$  defined by

$$m(x, u) = \begin{pmatrix} (a_{ij}(x, u)) & (b_i(x, u))^T \\ (b_i(x, u)) & c(x, u) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M(x, u) = \begin{pmatrix} (A_{ij}(x, u)) & (B_i(x, u))^T \\ (B_i(x, u)) & C(x, u) + H(x, u) \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively, where

$$H(x, u) = - [\det(A_{ij}(x, u))]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(x, u) B_i^*(x, u),$$

$B_i^*(x, u)$  denoting the cofactor of  $B_i(x, u)$  in the matrix  $M(x, u)$ .

By means of Green's formula the following functional is associated with  $l$  in a natural way

$$(3) \quad f[u] = \int_G \left[ \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x, u(x)) D_i u D_j u + 2u \sum_i b_i(x, u(x)) D_i u + u^2 c(x, u(x)) \right] dx.$$

The domain  $\mathfrak{D}$  of  $f$  is defined to be the set of all real-valued functions  $u \in C^1(\bar{G})$  with range in  $I$  such that  $u$  vanishes identically on  $\partial G$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If*

- (1) *there exists a function  $u \in \mathfrak{D}$  such that  $f[u] \leq 0$  (respectively,  $f[u] < 0$ );*
- (2)  *$Lv \geq 0$  throughout  $G$ ;*
- (3)  *$v(x) > 0$  for some  $x \in G$ ;*
- (4)  *$m(x, u(x)) - M(x, v(x))$  is positive definite (respectively, positive semidefinite) for all  $x \in G$ ;*

*then  $v$  must vanish at some point in  $\bar{G}$ . The same conclusion holds if the inequalities in (2) and (3) are replaced by  $Lv \leq 0$  and  $v(x) < 0$ . The same conclusion holds if (2) and (3) are replaced by  $Lv = 0$  throughout  $G$ .*

It follows from Green's formula that hypothesis (1) is implied by the existence of a solution  $u$  of  $lu \leq 0$  (respectively,  $lu \geq 0$ ) satisfying  $u > 0$  (respectively,  $u < 0$ ) throughout  $G$  and  $u = 0$  on  $\partial G$ .

The "strong" conclusion that  $v$  must in fact vanish at some point in  $G$  can be obtained by our methods [1], [19] under additional assumptions. Also, an analogue of Theorem 1 is available when the coefficients  $a_{ij}$ ,  $b_i$ ,  $c$ , etc. are functions of first or higher order derivatives of  $u$ . These results with proofs will appear elsewhere.

Of special interest in oscillation theory are cases for which the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied when  $l$  is linear. In such cases, the known properties of linear symmetric operators can be employed to describe the oscillatory behaviour of  $L$ . Simple examples which can be treated this way are Mathieu's and Duffing's equations.

The pointwise inequality in hypothesis (4) of Theorem 1 can be replaced by a weaker integral inequality of the type given in [2], [15], [16], and [17]. For simplicity, we shall state our result in the selfadjoint case  $b_i = B_i = 0$  identically,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $F[u]$  denote the analogue of the functional (3) for  $L$ , i.e. with  $a_{ij}$  and  $c$  in (3) replaced by  $A_{ij}$  and  $C$ , respectively.

**THEOREM 2 (SELFADJOINT CASE).** *Suppose that  $L$  is uniformly elliptic in a nonempty regular bounded domain  $G$  whose boundary has bounded curvature, and that the matrix function  $v \rightarrow M(x, v)$  is nonincreasing (as a form) on  $I$  for each  $x \in G$ . If there exists a nontrivial solution  $u \in \mathcal{D}$  of (1) such that  $u > 0$  in  $G$  and  $f[u] \geq F[u]$ , then every solution  $v$  of (2) has one of the following properties:*

(i) *There exists a subdomain  $G_0 \subset G$  such that  $v(x) < u(x)$  for all  $x \in G_0$ , or*

(ii)  *$v$  is a constant multiple of  $u$ .*

An example given in [19] shows that the conclusion of Theorem 2 is false without the nonincreasing hypothesis on  $M$ . In the linear case, conclusion (i) is strengthened to

(i')  *$v(x)$  vanishes at some point  $x \in G$  (Kreith's theorem [8]).*

Theorem 2 can be used to obtain nonoscillation criteria of the Kneser-Hille-Glazman type [19].

## REFERENCES

1. W. Allegretto, *Comparison and oscillation theorems for elliptic equations*, Ph.D. thesis, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, 1969.
2. Colin Clark and C. A. Swanson, *Comparison theorems for elliptic differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), 886-890.
3. J. B. Diaz and Joyce R. McLaughlin, *Sturm comparison theorems for ordinary and partial differential equations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 75 (1969), 335-339.
4. Philip Hartman and Aurel Wintner, *On a comparison theorem for self-adjoint partial differential equations of elliptic type*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1955), 862-865.

5. V. B. Headley and C. A. Swanson, *Oscillation criteria for elliptic equations*, Pacific J. Math. 27 (1968), 501–506.
6. Kurt Kreith, *A new proof of a comparison theorem for elliptic equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1963), 33–35.
7. ———, *Comparison theorems for constrained rods*, SIAM Rev 6 (1964), 31–36.
8. ———, *A strong comparison theorem for selfadjoint elliptic equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 989–990.
9. ———, *A remark on a comparison theorem of Swanson*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1969), 549–550.
10. L. M. Kuks, *Sturm's theorem and oscillation of solutions of strongly elliptic systems*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 3 (1962), 24–27.
11. A. McNabb, *Strong comparison theorems for elliptic equations of second order*, J. Math. Mech. 10 (1961), 431–440.
12. Mauro Picone, *Un teorema sulle soluzioni delle equazioni lineari ellittiche autoaggiunte alle derivate parziali del secondo-ordine*, Rend. Acc. Lincei 20 (1) (1911), 213–219.
13. M. H. Protter, *A comparison theorem for elliptic equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1959), 296–299.
14. R. M. Redheffer, *A Sturmian theorem for partial differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 458–462.
15. C. A. Swanson, *A generalization of Sturm's comparison theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 15 (1966), 512–519.
16. ———, *Comparison theorems for elliptic equations on unbounded domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 126 (1967), 278–285.
17. ———, *An identity for elliptic equations with applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (1968), 325–333.
18. ———, *Comparison and oscillation theory of linear differential equations*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, vol. 48, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
19. ———, *Comparison theorems for quasilinear elliptic differential inequalities*, J. Differential Equations (to appear).

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA