## LOCALLY COMPACT TRANSFORMATION GROUPS AND C\*-ALGEBRAS

BY EDWARD G. EFFROS<sup>1</sup> AND FRANK HAHN<sup>2</sup>

Communicated by G. A. Hedlund, October 4, 1966

It has long been recognized that one may associate operator algebras with transformation groups (see, e.g. [9, Chapter III], [11; 1, p. 310] [5]). In this paper we shall answer two questions about the ergodic invariant probability measures on a locally compact transformation group (Theorems 2 and 3). This information is then used to solve analogous problems for the unit traces of a  $C^*$ -algebra (Theorems 5 and 6). Full proofs will appear elsewhere.

Let (G, Z) be a topological transformation group with G and Z second countable and Hausdorff, G a locally compact group, and Z a compact space. Let Z/G be the set of orbits  $G\zeta$  with  $\zeta$  in Z, together with the quotient topology. Define an equivalence relation  $\sim \operatorname{on} Z/G$  by  $p \sim q$  if the sets  $\{p\}$  and  $\{q\}$  have the same closure, and let  $(Z/G)^{\sim}$  be the equivalence classes with the quotient topology (see [8, p. 58]). The elements of  $(Z/G)^{\sim}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the subsets of Z that are closures of orbits. Z/G is  $T_0$  if and only if  $\sim$  is trivial, and  $T_1$  if and only if the orbits are closed.

Let Z be compact and let C(Z) be the continuous complex valued functions on Z with the uniform norm, and  $M(Z) = C_r(Z)^*$  the real Radon measures on Z with the weak\* topology. Let G act on C(Z) and M(Z) by translation, i.e., for s in G,  $\zeta$  in Z, f in C(Z), and  $\mu$  in M(Z), let

$$(sf)(\zeta) = f(s^{-1}\zeta),$$
  
 $(s\mu)(f) = \mu(s^{-1}f).$ 

Let  $M_{\mathcal{G}}(Z)$  be the invariant measures on Z, and  $P_{\mathcal{G}}(Z)$  the corresponding probability measures, i.e.,

$$P_G(Z) = M_G^+(Z) \cap H,$$

where  $M_G^+(Z)$  are the positive invariant measures, and H are the measures  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(Z) = 1$ .  $P_G(Z)$  is a compact simplex in the sense of Choquet, and its extremal points are just the ergodic mea-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval research (NONR 551(57)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> National Science Foundation Fellow.

sures (see [10, §10]). Let  $EP_G(Z)$  be the extreme points together with the simplex structure topology. A subset is defined to be closed in this topology if it consists of the extreme points of a closed face in  $P_G(Z)$ .  $EP_G(Z)$  is compact, and it is Hausdorff if and only if  $EP_G(Z)$  is closed in  $P_G(Z)$  (see [4]).

The support of an ergodic measure is the closure of an orbit (see [8, p. 59]), hence we may define a map

$$\theta: EP_G(Z) \to (Z/G) \sim$$

by letting  $\theta(\mu)$  correspond to the support of  $\mu$ . The following is verified:

THEOREM 1.  $\theta$  is continuous. In addition

- (a) If Z/G is  $T_0$ , then  $\theta$  is one-to-one.
- (b) If the orbits are closed,  $\theta$  is onto.
- (c) If the orbits are finite, and uniformly bounded in cardinality,  $\theta$  is a homeomorphism.
- (d) If the orbits have the same finite cardinality, then  $EP_G(Z)$  and  $(Z/G)^{\sim}$  are Hausdorff.
- (e) If G is equicontinuous,  $\theta$  is a homeomorphism onto, and  $EP_G(Z)$  and  $(Z/G)^{\sim}$  are Hausdorff.

In particular, if all of the orbits are finite, then  $\theta$  is a continuous bijection. On the other hand our first construction shows:

Theorem 2. There is a distal action of the integers G on a compact metric space Z such that all of the orbits are finite, but  $\theta$  is not a homeomorphism.

For many transformation groups,  $\theta$  is not one-to-one. In fact we have proved:

THEOREM 3. There is a  $C^{\infty}$  distal action of the integers G on the torus Z such that  $(Z/G)^{\sim}$  has only one point (i.e., (G, Z) is minimal), and  $EP_G(Z)$  is uncountable.

Let  $\mathfrak A$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra with identity. Let pr  $\mathfrak A$  be the set of primitive ideals in  $\mathfrak A$  with the Jacobson structure topology (see  $[3, \S 3]$ ). Let  $\mathfrak A^*$  be the Banach dual of  $\mathfrak A$  with the weak\* topology. The central functions  $C(\mathfrak A)$  are the f in  $\mathfrak A^*$  such that f(AB) = f(BA) for all A and B in  $\mathfrak A$ . Let  $T(\mathfrak A)$  be the unit traces on  $\mathfrak A$ , i.e.,

$$T(\mathfrak{A}) = C^+(\mathfrak{A}) \cap H$$

where  $C^+(\mathfrak{A})$  are the positive central functions, and H consists of the f in  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  such that f(I) = 1.  $T(\mathfrak{A})$  is a compact simplex (see [12, Satz 1]),

and its extreme points are just the traces that give rise to factor representations (see [3,  $\S6.7.3$ ]). Let  $ET(\mathfrak{A})$  be the extreme traces, with the simplex structure topology.

The kernel of a factor representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is primitive (see [2, p. 100]). This enables us to define a map

$$\theta' : ET(\mathfrak{A}) \to \operatorname{pr} \mathfrak{A}$$

by

$$\theta'(\tau) = \text{kernel } L^{\tau}$$
,

where  $L^{\tau}$  is the representation defined by  $\tau$ .

THEOREM. 4.  $\theta'$  is continuous. In addition,

- (a) If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is of type I, then  $\theta'$  is one-to-one.
- (b) If all of the representations of  $\mathfrak A$  are finite dimensional, then  $\theta'$  is onto.
- (c) If the irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{A}$  have dimension uniformly bounded by a finite cardinal, then  $\theta'$  is a homeomorphism.
- (d) If all of the irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak A$  are of the same finite dimension, then  $ET(\mathfrak A)$  and pr  $\mathfrak A$  are Hausdorff.

Letting  $\mathfrak{A}(G, Z)$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra associated with a transformation group (G, Z) (see [6, p. 890]) we may use Theorems 2 and 3 to prove

Theorem 5. There is a separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak A$  for which all of the representations are finite dimensional, and  $\theta'$  is not a homeomorphism.

THEOREM 6. There is a separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak A$  such that pr  $\mathfrak A$  has only one point (i.e.,  $\mathfrak A$  is simple), and  $ET(\mathfrak A)$  is uncountable.

Sketching the proofs of Theorems 5 and 6, assume that G is discrete and Z is compact, and let  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}(G, Z)$ . Consider the diagram

$$EP_G(Z) \stackrel{\pi'}{\leftarrow} ET(\mathfrak{A})$$

$$\downarrow \theta \qquad \qquad \downarrow \theta'$$

$$(Z/G)^{\sim} \stackrel{\pi}{\leftarrow} \operatorname{pr} \mathfrak{A}$$

$$\stackrel{\longrightarrow}{T}$$

 $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  are defined above. C(Z) may be regarded as a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and if P is a primitive ideal in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , there is an orbit closure F in Z such that

$$P \cap C(Z) = \{ f \in C(Z) : f \mid F = 0 \}.$$

 $\pi(P)$  is defined to be the corresponding element of  $(Z/G)^{\sim}$ .  $\pi$  is continuous and onto.

If  $\tau$  is an extremal trace, its restriction to C(Z) is an ergodic measure (see [12, Lemma 14]). Letting  $\pi'$  be the restriction map,  $\pi'$  is continuous and onto (see [12, Lemma 16]), and the diagram is commutative.

The isotropy group  $H_{\zeta}$  at  $\zeta$  consists of the s in G for which  $s\zeta = \zeta$ . Irreducible representations of  $H_{\zeta}$  may be induced to irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{A}$  (see [6, p. 901]). Inducing the trivial one-dimensional representation at  $\zeta$ , one obtains a map  $T_1$  of Z into pr  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If the isotropy groups "vary continuously" with  $\zeta$ , it follows from [6, Theorem 2.1] that  $T_1$  defines a continuous map T of  $(Z/G)^{\sim}$  into pr  $\mathfrak{A}$  which is a cross-section for  $\pi$ . This condition on isotropy groups is too strong for our purposes. We have been able to prove:

THEOREM 7. If the isotropy groups are commutative, then  $T_1$  induces a continuous cross-section T for  $\pi$ .

We have also generalized Theorem 7 to locally compact G and Z.

Turning to Theorem 5, let  $\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{A}(G,Z)$ , where (G,Z) is described in Theorem 2. As the orbits are closed, the action of G on Z is smooth, and by Mackey's Imprimitivity Theorem, all of the irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{A}$  are induced from characters on isotropy groups  $H_{\mathcal{E}}$  (see [6, Theorem 2.2]). As the latter are of finite index in G, the irreducible representations are finite dimensional. It follows from Theorems 1 and 4 that  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  are both bijections. Let  $\mu_{\alpha}$  and  $\mu$  be in  $EP_G(Z)$  with  $\theta(\mu_{\alpha})$  converging to  $\theta(\mu)$ , but  $\mu_{\alpha}$  not converging to  $\mu$ . We have

$$P_{\alpha} = T(\theta(\mu_{\alpha})) \longrightarrow P = T(\theta(\mu)),$$

hence if  $\theta'$  is a homeomorphism,

(1) 
$$\tau_{\alpha} = \theta'^{-1}(P_{\alpha}) \to \tau = \theta'^{-1}(P).$$

As

$$\theta(\mu_{\alpha}) = \pi \theta'(\tau_{\alpha}) = \theta \pi'(\tau_{\alpha})$$

and  $\theta$  is one-to-one,  $\mu_{\alpha} = \pi'(\tau_{\alpha})$  and similarly,  $\mu = \pi'(\tau)$ . From (1),  $\mu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mu$ , a contradiction.

(G, Z) is said to be *free* if the isotropy groups are trivial. G is amenable if the regular representation of G weakly contains all of the irreducible representations (see  $[3, \S18.3]$  and  $[7, \S2.3]$ ). Generalizing a result of Guichardet for semidirect products [8, p. 58],

THEOREM 8. If (G, Z) is free and G is amenable, then  $\pi$  is a homeomorphism.

Letting (G, Z) be the transformation group of Theorem 3, G is amenable, and (G, Z) is free, as otherwise there would be a finite, thus closed orbit. As  $\pi$  is one-to-one, pr  $\mathfrak A$  has only one point, and as  $\pi'$  is onto,  $ET(\mathfrak{A})$  is uncountable.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. J. Dixmier, Algèbres quasi-unitaires, Comment. Math. Helv. 26 (1952), 275-321.

  - Sur les C\*-algèbres, Bull. Soc. Math. France 88 (1960), 95-112.
     Les C\*-algèbres et leurs representations, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964.
  - 4. E. Effros, Structure in simplexes, Acta Math. (to appear).
- 5. H. Furstenberg, Strict ergodicity and transformations of the torus, Amer. J. Math. 83 (1961), 573-601.
- 6. J. Glimm, Families of induced representations, Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), 885-911.
- 7. F. P. Greenleaf, Invariant means on topological groups and their applications, (to appear).
- 8. A. Guichardet, Charactères des algèbres de Banach involutives, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 13 (1962), 1-81.
  - 9. J. von Neumann, On rings of operators. III, Ann. of Math. 41 (1940), 94-161.
- 10. R. Phelps, Lectures on Choquet's theorem, van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1966.
- 11. I. E. Segal, A class of operator algebras which are determined by groups, Duke Math. J. 18 (1951), 221-265.
- 12. E. Thoma, Über unitäre Darstellungen abzählbarer, diskreter Gruppen, Math. Ann. 153 (1964), 111-138.

University of California at Berkeley. University of Pennsylvania and YALE UNIVERSITY