## ERGODIC PROPERTIES OF ISOMETRIES IN $L^p$ SPACES, 1

## BY A. IONESCU TULCEA1

Communicated by P. R. Halmos, November 7, 1963

Let X = [0, 1],  $\mathfrak B$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable sets of X and  $\mu$  the Lebesgue measure. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$  denote with  $\mathfrak L^p$  the vector space of all real-valued  $\mathfrak B$ -measurable functions f on X for which  $|f|^p$  is integrable and with  $f \rightarrow ||f||_p = (\int |f|^p d\mu)^{1/p}$  the corresponding seminorm on  $\mathfrak L^p$ . Denote with  $\mathfrak L^\infty$  the vector space of all real-valued  $\mathfrak B$ -measurable functions on X which are essentially bounded and with  $f \rightarrow ||f||_{\infty}$  the essential supremum seminorm on  $\mathfrak L^\infty$ . For each  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  denote with  $L^q$  the associated Banach space and with  $f \rightarrow \tilde{f}$  the canonical mapping of  $\mathfrak L^q$  onto  $L^q$ . If  $T: L^q \rightarrow L^q$  is a continuous linear operator and  $\tilde{f} \in L^q$ , we shall denote by Tf a representative of the class  $T\tilde{f}$ . We shall say that the individual ergodic theorem holds for T if for every  $f \in \mathfrak L^q$ 

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\frac{f(x)+Tf(x)+\cdots+T^{m-1}f(x)}{m}$$

exists almost everywhere. We shall say that the dominated ergodic theorem holds for T if there is a constant C>0 such that for every  $f \in \mathfrak{L}^q$ 

$$\sup_{1 \le m < \infty} \frac{|f + Tf + \dots + T^{m-1}f|}{m} \in \mathfrak{L}^q$$

and

$$\left\| \sup_{1 \le m < \infty} \frac{\left| f + Tf + \dots + T^{m-1} f \right|}{m} \right\|_{q} \le C \|f\|_{q}.$$

Let us recall that an automorphism is a bijective mapping  $\tau \colon X \to X$  satisfying the following two conditions: (i) for every  $E \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\tau^{-1}(E) \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\tau(E) \in \mathfrak{B}$ ; (ii) if  $A \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mu(A) = 0$ , then  $\mu(\tau^{-1}(A)) = \mu(\tau(A)) = 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the group of all automorphisms, e the unit element of  $\mathfrak{A}$  (i.e. the identity mapping of X). For  $\tau_1 \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\tau_2 \in \mathfrak{A}$ , write  $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2$  if  $\mu(\{x \mid \tau_1(x) \neq \tau_2(x)\}) = 0$ ; this defines an equivalence relation R in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Denote with  $\tau \to \tilde{\tau}$  the canonical mapping of the group  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto the quotient group  $\mathfrak{A}/R$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Research supported by the U. S. Army Research Office (Durham) under contract DA-ARO(D)-31-124-G 218.

An automorphism  $\tau$  is called *periodic* if there is  $n \ge 1$  such that  $\tau^n \equiv e$ .

Assume  $1 \le q \le \infty$ . For each  $\tau \in \alpha$  denote with  $T_{\tau}$  the isometry of  $L^q$  (in this note the term isometry stands for a linear operator which preserves the norm) *induced* by  $\tau$ ;  $T_{\tau}$  is defined by the equations

$$T_{\tau}f = f \circ \tau^{-1} \cdot \left(\frac{d\mu \circ \tau^{-1}}{d\mu}\right)^{1/q}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{L}^q$$

(if  $q = \infty$  the above equations reduce to  $T_{\tau}f = f \circ \tau^{-1}$ ,  $f \in \mathfrak{L}^{\infty}$ ). Remark that if  $\tau' \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\tau' \equiv \tau$ , then  $T_{\tau'} = T_{\tau}$ . Denote with  $\mathfrak{G}_q$  the group of all isometries of  $L^q$  induced by automorphisms  $\tau \in \mathfrak{A}$ ; the mapping  $\tilde{\tau} \to T_{\tau}$  is an *isomorphism* of the group  $\mathfrak{A}/R$  onto the group  $\mathfrak{G}_q$  (for each  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ ).

It is known that the individual ergodic theorem does not hold in general for  $T \in \mathfrak{F}_1$  in  $L^1$  and  $T \in \mathfrak{F}_\infty$  in  $L^\infty$ , respectively (see [2; 5; 6; 9]). The purpose of this note is to show that if  $1 , then a dominated ergodic theorem (with the best possible constant) holds for any <math>T \in \mathfrak{F}_p$  in  $L^p$  and that in a certain sense this result can be obtained from the classical dominated ergodic theorem for measure-preserving automorphisms; as a consequence we deduce that the individual ergodic theorem holds for any  $T \in \mathfrak{F}_p$  in  $L^p$ .

Throughout the rest of the paper we shall suppose p fixed and such that 1 ; from now on we shall omit the subscript <math>p from the group  $\mathfrak{G}_p$  and will write  $\mathfrak{G}$  instead of  $\mathfrak{G}_p$ . By a theorem of Banach (see [1, p. 178] and [7])<sup>3</sup> every positive invertible isometry of  $L^p$  is induced by some automorphism  $\tau \in \mathfrak{A}$ ; thus the group of all positive invertible isometries of  $L^p$  coincides with  $\mathfrak{G}$ . We shall consider on  $\mathfrak{G}$  the topology  $\mathfrak{I}$  induced by the strong operator topology; endowed with  $\mathfrak{I}$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a topological group.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The author is grateful to Dr. E. M. Stein for bringing this problem to her attention. In fact Stein had obtained a dominated ergodic theorem for the case p=2 by a method similar in spirit to that of Theorem 2 in his paper [8]; he conjectured that a dominated ergodic theorem probably holds for any 1 and raised the question whether or not this could be derived from the classical ergodic theorem for measure-preserving automorphisms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The various versions of Banach's theorem found in the literature are given for  $p\neq 2$  and arbitrary (not necessarily positive) isometries of  $L^p$ . If p=2 however, then it is easily seen that every *positive* invertible isometry of  $L^2$  is also induced by some automorphism. It is sufficient to remark that T has the following crucial property: (a) If  $f \in \mathcal{L}^2$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{L}^2$  and  $f(x) \cdot g(x) = 0$  almost everywhere, then  $Tf(x) \cdot Tg(x) = 0$  almost everywhere. From property (a) we deduce as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [7] that T is induced by an «automorphism of the measure algebra». Since our measure space is a Lebesgue space, we infer that T is actually induced by some (point) automorphism  $T \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Denote with  $\mathcal{O}$  the set of all  $T = T_{\tau} \in \mathcal{G}$  for which  $\tau$  is periodic. We then have:

(P) The set O is dense in S.

Proposition (P) can be proved for instance in the same way as Proposition 3 in [6], using Linderholm's approximation theorem (given  $\tau \in \alpha$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $\zeta \in \alpha$  periodic such that  $\mu(\{x \mid \tau(x) \neq \zeta(x)\}) \leq \epsilon$ .

THEOREM. Let T be a positive invertible isometry of  $L^p = L^p(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$ . Then for each  $f \in \mathfrak{L}^p$  we have

(\*) 
$$||f^*||_p \le \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right) ||f||_p;$$

here

$$f^*(x) = \sup_{1 \leq m < \infty} \frac{\left| f(x) + Tf(x) + \cdots + T^{m-1}f(x) \right|}{m},$$

for  $x \in X$ .

PROOF. We shall divide the proof into three parts. We shall first prove the following result:

(A) Let  $\tau \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $v \geq 0$  a totally  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $\mathfrak{B}$  equivalent to  $\mu$ . Let S be the isometry of  $L^p(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  induced by  $\tau$  ( $Sf = f \circ \tau^{-1} \cdot (d\mu \circ \tau^{-1}/d\mu)^{1/p}$  for  $f \in \mathfrak{L}^p(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$ ) and U the isometry of  $L^p(X, \mathfrak{B}, \nu)$  induced by  $\tau$  ( $Ug = g \circ \tau^{-1} \cdot (d\nu \circ \tau^{-1}/d\nu)^{1/p}$  for  $g \in \mathfrak{L}^p(X, \mathfrak{B}, \nu)$ ). The dominated ergodic theorem holds for S in  $L^p(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  if and only if the dominated ergodic theorem holds for U in  $L^p(X, \mathfrak{B}, \nu)$ , the constant being the same.

We shall only prove one implication, the proof of the converse implication being entirely similar. Assume that the dominated ergodic theorem holds for S in  $L^p(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  with the constant C>0; we shall show that the dominated ergodic theorem holds for U in  $L^p(X, \mathfrak{B}, \nu)$  with the constant C.

Let  $h = d\nu/d\mu$  and remark that for each  $n \ge 0$  we have

$$(1) \qquad \frac{d\nu \circ \tau^{-n}}{d\nu} \equiv \frac{d\nu \circ \tau^{-n}}{d\mu \circ \tau^{-n}} \cdot \frac{d\mu \circ \tau^{-n}}{d\mu} \cdot \frac{d\mu}{d\nu} \equiv h \circ \tau^{-n} \cdot \frac{d\mu \circ \tau^{-n}}{d\mu} \cdot \frac{1}{h} \cdot \frac{1}{h}$$

Let now  $g \in \mathfrak{L}^p(X, \mathfrak{G}, \nu)$ ; then  $gh^{1/p} \in \mathfrak{L}^p(X, \mathfrak{G}, \mu)$  and we deduce from (1) that

(2) 
$$U^n g = g \circ \tau^{-n} \cdot \left(\frac{d\nu \circ \tau^{-n}}{d\nu}\right)^{1/p} \equiv \frac{1}{h^{1/p}} \cdot S^n(gh^{1/p}) \quad \text{for each } n \geq 0.$$

If we use the notation  $U_m = (I + U + \cdots + U^{m-1})/m$ ,  $S_m = (I + S + \cdots + S^{m-1})/m$  for each  $1 \le m < \infty$  we deduce that

$$U_m g \equiv \frac{1}{h^{1/p}} \cdot S_m(gh^{1/p}) \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq m < \infty;$$

hence

$$\sup_{1 \leq m < \infty} |U_m g| = \frac{1}{h^{1/p}} \cdot \sup_{1 \leq m < \infty} |S_m (gh^{1/p})|.$$

From (3) it follows that  $\sup_{1 \le m < \infty} |U_m g|$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{L}^p(X, \mathfrak{B}, \nu)$  and that

$$\int \left(\sup_{1 \leq m < \infty} |U_m g|\right)^p d\nu = \int \frac{1}{h} \left(\sup_{1 \leq m < \infty} |S_m (gh^{1/p})|\right)^p d\nu$$

$$= \int \left(\sup_{1 \leq m < \infty} |S_m (gh^{1/p})|\right)^p d\mu \leq C^p \left(\int |gh^{1/p}|^p d\mu\right)$$

$$= C^p \left(\int |g|^p h d\mu\right) = C^p \left(\int |g|^p d\nu\right).$$

This shows that the dominated ergodic theorem holds for U in  $L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \nu)$  with the constant C.

We shall prove next that:

(B) For every  $T \in \mathcal{O}$  the dominated ergodic theorem holds in  $L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  with the constant p/p-1.

Let  $T = T_{\tau} \in \mathfrak{O}$ . Since  $\tau$  is periodic, there is  $n \ge 1$  such that  $\tau^n \equiv e$ . Define the measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathfrak{B}$  by the equation  $\nu = \sum_{0 \le j \le n-1} \mu \circ \tau^j$ ;  $\nu$  is a finite measure on  $\mathfrak{B}$  equivalent to  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is invariant under  $\tau$ . The result (B) is then an immediate consequence of the classical dominated ergodic theorem for measure-preserving automorphisms and of proposition (A).

(C) We shall now conclude the proof of the theorem. Let  $T \in \mathcal{G}$  be arbitrary and let  $f \in \mathcal{L}^p$ . Since T is a positive operator, we may assume without loss of generality that  $f \geq 0$ . To prove the inequality (\*) for f it will be enough to show that for any  $k \geq 1$ , any disjoint sets  $A_1 \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $A_k \in \mathcal{G}$  and any integers  $n_1 \geq 1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $n_k \geq 1$  we have:

$$(4) \qquad \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \phi_{A_i} \frac{f + Tf + \dots + T^{n_i - 1} f}{n_i} \right\|_p \leq \left( \frac{p}{p - 1} \right) ||f||_p.$$

But by (B) the inequality (4) holds if we replace T by an arbitrary isometry belonging to  $\mathcal{O}$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{G}$  (see Proposition (P)

above), an elementary argument shows that (4) holds for T. Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.

COROLLARY. If T is a positive invertible isometry of  $L^p = L^p(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  then the individual ergodic theorem holds for T.

PROOF. Consider the vector spaces

$$\mathfrak{N} = \{\tilde{f} \mid T\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M} = (I - T)L^p = \{ \tilde{\mathbf{g}} \mid \tilde{\mathbf{g}} = (I - T)\tilde{\mathbf{h}} \text{ for some } \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \in L^p \}.$$

By the mean ergodic theorem the set (direct sum)  $\mathfrak{N}+\mathfrak{M}$  is dense in  $L^p$ . Now for each  $\tilde{f} \in \mathfrak{N}+\mathfrak{M}$ ,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\frac{f(x)+Tf(x)+\cdots+T^{m-1}f(x)}{m}$$

exists almost everywhere; to see this it is enough to use the fact<sup>4</sup> that for each  $h \in \mathcal{L}^p$ ,  $\lim_{m \to \infty} T^m h(x)/m = 0$  almost everywhere.

Since by the above theorem,

$$\sup_{1 \le m < \infty} \frac{\left| f(x) + Tf(x) + \dots + T^{m-1}f(x) \right|}{m} < \infty$$

almost everywhere, for each  $f \in \mathfrak{L}^p$ , the Banach convergence theorem can be applied (see [3, pp. 332–334]) and we conclude that the individual ergodic theorem holds for T.

REMARKS. (1) For  $p \neq 2$  the above theorem and corollary remain valid for an arbitrary (not necessarily positive) invertible isometry of  $L^p$ , since by the theorem of Banach (see [1, p. 178] and [7]) every such isometry T is "dominated" by a positive invertible isometry S (in the sense that for each  $f \in \mathfrak{L}^p$ ,  $|Tf(x)| \leq S|f|(x)$  almost everywhere). (2) Proposition (A) given in the course of the proof of the above theorem remains valid (with obvious modifications) if we replace the Lebesgue space  $(X, \mathfrak{G}, \mu)$  by an arbitrary totally  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $\tau$  by an «automorphism of the corresponding measure algebra». (3) The theorem, the corollary and Remark (1) above remain valid if we replace the Lebesgue space  $(X, \mathfrak{G}, \mu)$  by an arbitrary totally  $\sigma$ -finite measure space (consider a finite equivalent measure, apply the generalized version of Banach's theorem on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This follows from the obvious inequality  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|(T^m h)/m\|_p^p \le \|h\|_p^p (\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 1/m^p) < \infty$  which is in fact true for an arbitrary contraction T of  $L^p$  and any  $h \in \mathfrak{L}^p$ , as was remarked by Mr. Ackoglu from Brown University.

isometries given by Lamperti (see [7, pp. 461-463]) and make use of the isomorphism of a separable nonatomic normalized measure algebra with the measure algebra of the unit interval (see [4, p. 173])).

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 1. S. Banach, Théorie des opérations linéaires, Monogr. Mat., Warsaw, 1932.
- 2. R. V. Chacon, A class of linear transformations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- 3. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*. I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
  - 4. P. R. Halmos, Measure theory, Van Nostrand, New York, 1950.
- 5. A. Ionescu Tulcea, Un théorème de catégorie dans la théorie ergodique, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 257 (1963), 18-20.
- 6. ——, On the category of certain classes of transformations in ergodic theory, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- 7. J. Lamperti, On the isometries of certain function spaces, Pacific J. Math. 8 (1958), 459-466.
- 8. E. M. Stein, On the maximal ergodic theorem, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 47 (1961), 1894-1897.
- 9. F. B. Wright, The converse of the individual ergodic theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1960), 415-420.

University of Pennsylvania