

## ON DESCARTES-HARRIOT'S RULE<sup>1</sup>

PAUL TURÁN

The classical rule of Descartes-Harriot asserts that if

$$(1) \quad f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$$

is a polynomial with real coefficients, then the number  $P$  of its positive zeros cannot exceed the number  $V_0$  of the sign changes of the sequence

$$(2) \quad a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n$$

(if some of the coefficients vanish they can be omitted). This rule has been extended and refined in various ways.  $V_0$  yields obviously an upper bound for the number  $P^*$  of zeros lying in  $0 < x < 1$ ; then Laguerre<sup>2</sup> observed the  $P^*$  is majorised also by the number  $V_1$  of sign changes of the sequence

$$(3) \quad a_0, (a_0 + a_1), \cdots, (a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n), (a_0 + \cdots + a_n), \cdots$$

which is not greater than  $V_0$ . More generally  $P^*$  is majorised by  $V_k$ , where  $V_k$  denotes the number of sign changes of that sequence which arises from (2), completing it with zeros to an infinite sequence and forming the sequence of  $k$  times iterated partial sums; these  $V_k$ 's form a nonincreasing sequence. One trend of the investigations is the study of the  $V_k$  for large  $k$ ; this was done mainly by M. Fekete and G. Pólya.<sup>3</sup> Another trend is to obtain similar rules representing  $f(x)$  in various other forms (Runge,<sup>4</sup> Sylvester,<sup>5</sup> Obreschkoff,<sup>6</sup> I. J. Schoenberg<sup>7</sup>) or even generally for the linear combinations

$$h(x) = a_0\phi_0(x) + \cdots + a_n\phi_n(x)$$

Received by the editors June 22, 1948.

<sup>1</sup> To the memory of my late friend, Ervin Feldheim.

<sup>2</sup> E. Laguerre, *Mémoire sur la théorie des équations numériques*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (3) vol. 9 (1883) pp. 99–146.

<sup>3</sup> See in particular their joint paper in Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo vol. 34 (1912) pp. 89–120 entitled *Über ein Problem von Laguerre*.

<sup>4</sup> See the paper of G. Pólya, *Über einige Verallgemeinerungen der Descartesschen Zeichenregel*, Archiv der Mathematik und Physik vol. 23 (1915) pp. 22–32.

<sup>5</sup> J. J. Sylvester, *Mathematical papers*, vol. 2, pp. 360 and 401.

<sup>6</sup> N. Obreschkoff, *Über die Wurzeln algebraischer Gleichungen*, J. Deutschen Math. Verein vol. 33 (1924) pp. 52–64.

<sup>7</sup> I. J. Schoenberg, *Zur Abzählung der reellen Wurzeln algebraischen Gleichungen*, Math. Zeit. vol. 38 (1934) pp. 546–564.

of a prescribed system  $\phi_0(x), \phi_1(x), \dots$  (Pólya-Szegő,<sup>8</sup> S. Bernstein<sup>9</sup>). A further trend is the comparison of the different rules (F. Klein,<sup>10</sup> I. J. Schoenberg<sup>7</sup>), extensions permitting complex coefficients in (1) and substituting the segments of the positive axis by more general domains (Obreschkoff,<sup>11</sup> I. J. Schoenberg<sup>12</sup>), and finally the improving the rule by suitable Tschirnhausen-transformation (S. Lipka<sup>13</sup>). But as far as we know no similar rule has been given to a *lower* estimation of the number  $P$  of the positive roots. The aim of this note is to give such a simple rule. This is based on the Laguerre-polynomial-representation of  $f(x)$ :

$$(4) \quad f(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n b_{\nu} L_{\nu}(x), \quad b_{\nu} \text{ real,}$$

where the Laguerre-polynomials  $L_{\nu}(x)$  are defined as is well known by

$$(5) \quad e^{-x} L_{\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{\nu!} \frac{d^{\nu}}{dx^{\nu}} (e^{-x} x^{\nu}).$$

The rule we are going to prove will assert that *the number  $P$  of positive roots of  $f(x)$  is not less than the number of sign changes in the sequence of successive differences of the coefficients  $b_{\nu}$ , that is, in the sequence*

$$(6) \quad b_0, [b_0 - b_1], [b_0 - 2b_1 + b_2], \dots, \\ [b_0 - C_{n,1}b_1 + C_{n,2}b_2 - \dots + (-1)^n C_{n,n}b_n].$$

It is perhaps not uninteresting to notice that if  $\eta_n$  denotes the greatest positive root of  $L_n(x)$ , then<sup>6</sup> the number of sign changes of the coefficient-sequence

$$b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n$$

itself furnishes an *upper* bound for the number of the roots of  $f(x)$  in

<sup>8</sup> G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis*, vol. 2, chap. 5, probleme 87–90.

<sup>9</sup> S. Bernstein, *Leçons sur les propriétés extrémales et la meilleure approximation des fonctions analytiques d'une variable réelle*, Paris, 1926.

<sup>10</sup> F. Klein, *Geometrisches zur Abzählung der reellen Wurzeln algebraischer Gleichungen*, Gesammelte Mathematische Abhandlungen, pp. 198–208.

<sup>11</sup> N. Obreschkoff, *Sur un problème de Laguerre*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris vol. 177 (1923) pp. 102–104.

<sup>12</sup> I. J. Schoenberg, *Extensions of theorems of Descartes and Laguerre to the complex domain*, Duke Math. J. vol. 2 (1936) pp. 84–94.

<sup>13</sup> S. Lipka, *Über die Descartessche Zeichenregel*, Acta Univ. Szeged. vol. 7 (1934–1935) pp. 177–185.

the interval  $\eta_n \leq x < +\infty$ .

The proof of the rule (6) is extremely simple and actually gives more since it turns out, as a matter of fact, that even the number of the positive sign changing places of  $f(x)$  is not less than the number of sign changes of the sequence (6). It is based on the following theorem of Fejér.<sup>14</sup>

The number of the sign variations of a real function  $g(x)$  in the interval  $0 < x < a$  ( $a \leq +\infty$ ) is not less than the number of sign changes of the sequence of moments:

$$(7) \quad M_0 = \int_0^a g(t)dt, \dots, M_n = \int_0^a g(t)t^n dt.$$

In order to prove our rule we form instead of the moments of  $f(x)$  those of  $f(x)e^{-x}$

$$(8) \quad M_k = \int_0^\infty f(x)e^{-x}x^k dx, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Owing to the expansion<sup>15</sup>

$$(9) \quad x^k = k! \sum_{\nu=0}^k (-1)^\nu C_{k,\nu} L_\nu(x)$$

and the well known orthogonality<sup>16</sup> property

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-x} L_\mu(x) L_\nu(x) dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \mu \neq \nu \\ 1 & \mu = \nu, \end{cases}$$

we obtain from (9)

$$(10) \quad M_k = k! \sum_{\nu=0}^k (-1)^\nu C_{k,\nu} b_\nu, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

<sup>14</sup> L. Fejér, *Nombre des changement de signe d'une fonction dans un intervalle et ses moments*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris vol. 158 (1914) pp. 1328-1331. If some of the moments are 0, then we can of course simply drop them; we can get an improved lower estimation in the following way. Let, for example,  $M_\mu \neq 0$ . Let  $c_\mu = M_\mu$ ; further for  $\nu < \mu$ ,  $c_\nu = M_\nu$  if  $M_\nu \neq 0$  and  $c_\nu = -\text{sg} c_{\nu+1}$  if  $M_\nu = 0$ ; finally for  $\nu > \mu$ ,  $c_\nu = M_\nu$  if  $M_\nu \neq 0$  and  $c_\nu = -\text{sg} c_{\nu-1}$  if  $M_\nu = 0$ . Then the number of sign changes of  $g(x)$  in  $0 < x < a$  is not less than even the number of sign changes in the sequence  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n$ . See Pólya-Szegő, loc. cit. footnote 8, vol. 2, p. 50.

<sup>15</sup> I don't know to whom this identity is due. I have seen it stated and proved in the paper of E. Feldheim, *Contributions à la théorie des polynômes de Jacobi* (Hungarian with French summary), *Matematikai és Fizikai Lapok* vol. 48 (1941) pp. 453-504.

<sup>16</sup> See, for example, G. Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 23, 1939, p. 96.

This together with the quoted theorem of Fejér shows that the number of positive sign changing places of  $f(x)e^{-x}$  (or of  $f(x)$ ) is not less than the number of sign changes in the sequence (10) or, what amounts to the same, in the sequence (6).

Of course a similar reasoning yields similar rules for other polynomial expansions but the rule given for Laguerre expansion seems to be particularly simple and to a certain extent dual to the rule of Laguerre (3). The question of constructing such simple rules leads to interesting questions in the theory of orthogonal polynomials; to these I shall return elsewhere.

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY