

## A NOTE ON THE OPERATORS OF BLASCHKE AND PRIVALOFF

D. H. POTTS

Let  $f(P)$  be a function of a point  $P \equiv P(x, y)$  in Euclidean 2-space. Let  $L(f; P; r)$ ,  $A(f; P; r)$  be the mean values of  $f(P)$  on the perimeter and on the interior, respectively, of a circle of center  $P$  and radius  $r$ , that is,

$$L(f; P; r) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{C(P; r)} f(Q) ds_Q,$$

$$A(f; P; r) = \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \iint_{D(P; r)} f(Q) dQ$$

where  $C(P; r)$ ,  $D(P; r)$  are the perimeter and interior, respectively, of the circle with center  $P$  and radius  $r$ . The operators

$$\nabla_n f(P) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{4}{r^2} [L(f; P; r) - f(P)],$$

$$\nabla_a f(P) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{8}{r^2} [A(f; P; r) - f(P)]$$

have been defined by Blaschke and Privaloff, respectively. The following are a few of the results which have been obtained by these and other investigators.

**THEOREM A** [1, 2].<sup>1</sup> *If  $f(P)$  has continuous second partial derivatives, then  $\nabla_n f(P)$ ,  $\nabla_a f(P)$  exist, and*

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \right)_P \equiv \nabla^2 f(P) = \nabla_n f(P) = \nabla_a f(P).$$

**THEOREM B** [1]. *If (i)  $f(P)$  is continuous on a circle  $\bar{D}(Q; r)$ , (ii)  $\nabla_n f(P)$  exists on the interior,  $D(Q; r)$ , then*

$$\frac{4}{r^2} [L(f; Q; r) - f(Q)]$$

*lies between the upper and lower bounds of  $\nabla_n f(P)$  on  $D(Q; r)$ .*

**THEOREM C** [3, 4]. *If  $u(P)$  is a logarithmic potential function*

---

Received by the editors July 28, 1947, and, in revised form, September 11, 1947.

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.

$$u(P) = \int_W \log \frac{1}{PQ} d\mu(Q)$$

where  $\mu$  is a mass distribution, and if the density exists at  $R$ , that is,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{D(R;r)} d\mu(Q) = D_s \mu(R)$$

exists, then  $\nabla_p u(R)$ ,  $\nabla_a u(R)$  exist and  $\nabla_p u(R) = \nabla_a u(R) = -2\pi D_s \mu(R)$ . ( $W$  indicates integration over the whole space.)

The purpose of this note is to give extensions of Theorems B and C. Theorem B is readily extended to the operator  $\nabla_a$  by the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *If (i)  $f(P)$  is continuous on a circle  $\bar{D}(Q; r)$ , (ii)  $\nabla_a f(P)$  exists on the interior,  $D(Q; r)$ , then*

$$\frac{8}{r^2} [A(f; Q; r) - f(Q)]$$

lies between the upper and lower bounds of  $\nabla_a f(P)$  on  $D(Q; r)$ .

**PROOF.** Consider the function

$$\lambda(P) = f(P) - h(P) + L(f; Q; \rho) - f(Q) - \frac{1}{\rho^2} [L(f; Q; \rho) - f(Q)] \overline{PQ}^2$$

where  $\rho \leq r$ , and  $h(P)$  is the function harmonic on  $D(Q; \rho)$  and such that  $h(P) = f(P)$  on  $C(Q; \rho)$ . Clearly  $\lambda(P) = 0$  on  $C(Q; \rho)$ . Further  $\lambda(Q) = L(f; Q; \rho) - h(Q)$ . But

$$h(Q) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{C(Q;\rho)} h(P) ds_P = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{C(Q;\rho)} f(P) ds_P = L(f; Q; \rho).$$

Therefore  $\lambda(Q) = 0$ . Thus the continuous function  $\lambda(P)$  has both a maximum and a minimum value on  $D(Q; \rho)$ . Now if  $R$  is a maximum point of  $\lambda(P)$  then  $\nabla_a \lambda(R) \leq 0$ , for

$$\nabla_a \lambda(R) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi \rho^4} \iint_{D(P;\rho)} [\lambda(P) - \lambda(R)] dP \leq 0.$$

But

$$\nabla_a \lambda(P) = \nabla_a f(P) - \nabla_a h(P) - \frac{1}{\rho^2} [L(f; Q; \rho) - f(Q)] \nabla_a \overline{PQ}^2.$$

By Theorem A,  $\nabla_a h(P) = 0$ ,  $\nabla_a \overline{PQ}^2 = 4$ . Therefore

$$\nabla_a \lambda(P) = \nabla_a f(P) - \frac{4}{\rho^2} [L(f; Q; \rho) - f(Q)].$$

But  $\nabla_a \lambda(R) \leq 0$ , hence

$$\nabla_a f(R) \leq \frac{4}{\rho^2} [L(f; Q; \rho) - f(Q)].$$

Similarly if  $S$  is a minimum point of  $\lambda(P)$  on  $D(Q; \rho)$  we have

$$\nabla_a f(S) \geq \frac{4}{\rho^2} [L(f; Q; \rho) - f(Q)].$$

Thus, if  $M, m$  are the upper and lower bounds, respectively, of  $\nabla_a f(P)$  on  $D(Q; r)$ , then for all  $\rho \leq r$

$$m \leq \frac{4}{\rho^2} [L(f; Q; \rho) - f(Q)] \leq M,$$

and so

$$\frac{2}{r^2} \int_0^r m \rho^3 d\rho \leq \frac{8}{r^2} \int_0^r L(f; Q; \rho) \rho d\rho - \frac{8}{r^2} \int_0^r f(Q) \rho d\rho \leq \frac{2}{r^2} \int_0^r M \rho^3 d\rho.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} A(f; Q; r) &= \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \iint_{D(Q; r)} f(P) dP = \frac{2}{r^2} \int_0^r \rho d\rho \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{C(Q; \rho)} f(P) ds_P \\ &= \frac{2}{r^2} \int_0^r L(f; Q; \rho) \rho d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $mr^2/2 \leq 4[A(f; Q; r) - f(Q)] \leq Mr^2/2$  and

$$m \leq \frac{8}{r^2} [A(f; Q; r) - f(Q)] \leq M.$$

For the operator  $\nabla_a$  a somewhat stronger form of Theorem C is obtainable.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $u(P)$  is a logarithmic potential function*

$$u(P) = \int_w \log \frac{1}{PQ} d\mu(Q)$$

*where  $\mu$  is a mass distribution, and if at  $R$*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{ap} \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{D(R;r)} d\mu(P) = D_a \mu(R)$$

exists, then  $\nabla_a u(R)$  exists, and  $\nabla_a u(R) = -2\pi D_a \mu(R)$ .

PROOF. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} L(u; R; \rho) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{C(R;\rho)} u(P) ds_P = \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{C(R;\rho)} ds_P \cdot \int_W \log \frac{1}{PQ} d\mu(Q) \\ &= \int_W d\mu(Q) \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{C(R;\rho)} \log \frac{1}{PQ} ds_P. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{C(R;\rho)} \log \frac{1}{PQ} ds_P &= \log \frac{1}{QR} && (QR > \rho) \\ &= \log \frac{1}{\rho} && (QR \leq \rho). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} L(u; R; \rho) &= \int_{D(R;\rho)} d\mu(Q) \cdot \log \frac{1}{\rho} + \int_{W-D} d\mu(Q) \cdot \log \frac{1}{QR} \\ &= \int_W d\mu(Q) \cdot \log \frac{1}{QR} + \int_{D(R;\rho)} \left[ \log \frac{1}{\rho} - \log \frac{1}{QR} \right] d\mu(Q) \\ &= u(R) + \int_{D(R;\rho)} \left[ \log \frac{1}{\rho} - \log \frac{1}{QR} \right] d\mu(Q). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} A(u; R; r) &= \frac{2}{r^2} \int_0^r L(u; R; \rho) \rho d\rho \\ &= u(R) + \frac{2}{r^2} \int_0^r \rho d\rho \cdot \int_{D(R;\rho)} \left[ \log \frac{1}{\rho} - \log \frac{1}{QR} \right] d\mu(Q), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{8}{r^2} [A(u; R; r) - u(R)] \\ &= \frac{16}{r^4} \int_0^r \rho d\rho \cdot \int_{D(R;\rho)} \left[ \log \frac{1}{\rho} - \log \frac{1}{QR} \right] d\mu(Q). \end{aligned}$$

The integrand depends only on  $|QR|$ , so we can write

$$\frac{8}{r^2} [A(u; R; r) - u(R)] = \frac{16}{r^4} \int_0^r \rho d\rho \cdot \int_0^\rho \left[ \log \frac{1}{\rho} - \log \frac{1}{t} \right] d\bar{\mu}(t)$$

where

$$\bar{\mu}(t) = \int_{D(R;t)} d\mu(Q).$$

Integrating by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{8}{r^2} [A(u; R; r) - u(R)] \\ = \frac{16}{r^4} \int_0^r \rho d\rho \cdot \left\{ \left[ \left( \log \frac{1}{\rho} - \log \frac{1}{t} \right) \bar{\mu}(t) \right]_0^\rho - \int_0^\rho \bar{\mu}(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\bar{\mu}(t) = \int_{D(R;t)} d\mu(Q) = \pi t^2 D_a \mu(R) + o(t^2)$$

for almost all small  $t$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{8}{r^2} [A(u; R; r) - u(R)] \\ = \frac{16}{r^4} \int_0^r \rho d\rho \cdot \left\{ - \int_0^\rho \pi t^2 D_a \mu(R) \frac{dt}{t} - \int_0^\rho o(t^2) \frac{dt}{t} \right\} \\ = \frac{16}{r^4} \int_0^r \rho d\rho \cdot \left[ - \frac{\pi}{2} \rho^2 D_a \mu(R) + o(\rho^2) \right] \\ = - 2\pi D_a \mu(R) + \frac{16}{r^4} \int_0^r o(\rho^3) d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{8}{r^2} [A(u; R; r) - u(R)] = \nabla_a u(R) = - 2\pi D_a \mu(R).$$

Many results which have been proven for one operator can be extended to the other operator by use of the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $\nabla_{rf}(P)$  exists, then so does  $\nabla_{af}(P)$ , and  $\nabla_{af}(P) = \nabla_{rf}(P)$ .*

PROOF.  $L(f; P; r)$  exists for small  $r$ , and further

$$L(f; P; r) = f(P) + \frac{r^2}{4} \nabla_P f(P) + o(r^2).$$

Also

$$A(f; P; r) = \frac{2}{r^2} \int_0^r L(f; P; \rho) \rho d\rho.$$

And hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{8}{r^2} [A(f; P; r) - f(P)] \\ &= \frac{8}{r^4} \left[ 2 \int_0^r L(f; P; \rho) \rho d\rho - r^2 f(P) \right] \\ &= \frac{8}{r^4} \left\{ 2 \int_0^r \left[ f(P) + \frac{\rho^2}{4} \nabla_P f(P) + o(\rho^2) \right] \rho d\rho - r^2 f(P) \right\} \\ &= \nabla_P f(P) + \frac{16}{r^4} \int_0^r o(\rho^3) d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

The last term is easily seen to approach zero as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . Thus

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{8}{r^2} [A(f; P; r) - f(P)] = \nabla_a f(P) = \nabla_P f(P).$$

These results hold true for spaces of higher dimensions, and the above proofs follow through with obvious modifications of the coefficients and the form of the potential function.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. Blaschke, *Ein Mittelwertsatz und eine kennzeichnende Eigenschaft des logarithmischen Potentials*, Berichte über die Verhandlungen der königlich sächsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Leipzig, Mathematisch-Physische Klasse vol. 68 (1916) pp. 3-7..
2. I. Privaloff, *Sur les fonctions harmonique*, Rec. Math. (Mat. Sbornik) vol. 32 (1924-1925) pp. 464-469.
3. ———, *On a theorem of S. Saks*, Rec. Math. (Mat. Sbornik) N.S. vol. 9 (1941) pp. 457-460.
4. S. Saks, *On the operators of Blaschke and Privaloff for subharmonic functions*, Rec. Math. (Mat. Sbornik) N.S. vol. 9 (1941) pp. 451-456.