

# ON SOME CRITERIA OF CARLEMAN FOR THE COMPLETE CONVERGENCE OF A $J$ -FRACTION

H. S. WALL

1. **Introduction.** Carleman [1, pp. 214–215]<sup>1</sup> derived, from his theory of integral equations, a number of criteria for the complete convergence of a real  $J$ -fraction

$$(1.1) \quad -K \frac{-a_{p-1}^2}{b_p + z} \quad (a_0 = 1, a_p \neq 0).$$

The most important one of these criteria states that the  $J$ -fraction is completely convergent if the series  $\sum (1/c_{2p})^{1/2p}$  diverges, where  $c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots$  are the coefficients in the power series  $\sum (c_p/z^{p+1})$  associated with the  $J$ -fraction. In [2] Carleman gave an algebraic proof of this theorem for the case where  $b_p=0, p=1, 2, 3, \dots$ . The present note contains an algebraic proof for the general case, and some remarks concerning the other criteria of Carleman, especially with reference to their application to  $J$ -fractions with arbitrary complex coefficients.

2. **The determinate and indeterminate cases.** Let  $a_0=1, a_p \neq 0, b_p, p=1, 2, 3, \dots$ , be complex constants, and consider the system of linear equations

$$(2.1) \quad -a_{p-1}x_{p-1} + (b_p + z)x_p - a_px_{p+1} = 0, \quad p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Since the  $a_p$  are not zero, these equations determine  $x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots$  uniquely in terms of arbitrarily chosen initial values  $x_0, x_1$ . If  $x_0 = -1, x_1 = 0$ , let  $x_p = X_p(z)$ , and if  $x_0 = 0, x_1 = 1$ , let  $x_p = Y_p(z)$ . Then,  $X_{p+1}(z)/Y_{p+1}(z)$  is the  $p$ th approximant of the  $J$ -fraction (1.1). If the infinite series  $\sum |X_p(z)|^2$  and  $\sum |Y_p(z)|^2$  both converge for one value of  $z$ , then they converge for every value of  $z$  [7, p. 120]. We may accordingly distinguish two cases for a  $J$ -fraction (1.1) with complex coefficients. In the *indeterminate case*, both the infinite series

$$(2.2) \quad \sum |X_p(0)|^2, \quad \sum |Y_p(0)|^2$$

are convergent. In the *determinate case*, at least one of these infinite series is divergent. A real  $J$ -fraction is completely convergent if and only if the determinate case holds. This is also the case of a determinate moment problem [4].

---

Received by the editors July 31, 1947.

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.

On applying Schwarz's inequality to the determinant formulas

$$(2.3) \quad X_{p+1}(z)Y_p(z) - X_p(z)Y_{p+1}(z) = \frac{1}{a_p}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad X_{p+2}(z)Y_p(z) - X_p(z)Y_{p+2}(z) = \frac{b_{p+1} + z}{a_p a_{p+1}},$$

after setting  $z=0$ , we find immediately that when the indeterminate case holds then the series  $\sum(1/|a_p|)$  and  $\sum|b_{p+1}/a_p a_{p+1}|$  are convergent. These and other criteria obtained by applying Schwarz's inequality to other determinant formulas were found by Dennis and Wall [3]. They were not aware of the fact that Carleman [1, p. 215] had given the first of these criteria for the case of real  $J$ -fractions. Hellinger [6] showed that the determinate case holds for a real  $J$ -fraction if  $\liminf |a_p|$  is finite. This criterion, which is contained in the first criterion mentioned above, was given by Carleman [1, p. 215].

Let  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \dots$  be complex numbers such that  $\delta \leq |\delta_p| \leq \Delta, p=1, 2, 3, \dots$ , where  $\delta$  and  $\Delta$  are positive constants independent of  $p$ . If the determinate case holds for the  $J$ -fraction (1.1), then the determinate case holds for the  $J$ -fraction

$$(2.5) \quad -K \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{p-1}^2 \delta_{p-1} \delta_p}{b_p \delta_p + z} \quad (\delta_0 = 1).$$

The introduction of the factors  $\delta_k$  into (1.1) effects the replacement of the series (2.2) by the series

$$\sum \left| \frac{\delta_1}{\delta_p} \right| |X_p(0)|^2, \quad \sum \left| \frac{\delta_1}{\delta_{p+1}} \right| |Y_p(0)|^2,$$

so that the theorem is obviously true. Carleman [1, p. 215] obtained this for the case of real  $a_p, b_p, \delta_p$  as a corollary to his general theory.

Let  $\alpha_p$  and  $\beta_p$  be complex numbers such that

$$|\alpha_p| < M, \quad |\beta_p| < M, \quad a_p + \alpha_p \neq 0, \quad p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

If the determinate case holds for the  $J$ -fraction (1.1), then the determinate case holds for the  $J$ -fraction

$$(2.6) \quad -K \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_{p-1} + \alpha_{p-1})^2}{b_p + \beta_p + z} \quad (\alpha_0 = 0).$$

Carleman [1, p. 214] gave this theorem for the case of real  $a_k, b_k,$

$\alpha_k, \beta_k$ . If these numbers are complex and  $\alpha_k = 0, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , then the theorem can be proved by means of an easy modification of the proof given in [7, pp. 120–121]. (Cf. also [8, p. 557].) The method used in [7] can be modified to cover the case  $\beta_k = 0, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and hence to establish the theorem in the general case. We shall indicate briefly those modifications. Let

$$L_p(x) = -a_{p-1}x_{p-1} + b_p x_p - a_p x_{p+1}, \quad p = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

and let  $L'_p(x)$  denote the expression  $L_p(x)$  in which  $a_p$  is replaced by  $a_p + \alpha_p$ , where the  $\alpha_p$  are any complex constants such that  $|\alpha_p| < M, a_p + \alpha_p \neq 0, p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . The solution of the system  $L_p(x) = 0$  under the initial conditions  $x_0 = -1, x_1 = 0$  is  $x_p = X_p(0)$ , and under the initial conditions  $x_0 = 0, x_1 = 1$  the solution is  $x_p = Y_p(0)$ . Let  $x'_p$  be the solution of the system  $L'_p(x) = 0$  under the initial conditions  $x'_0 = a, x'_1 = b$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are arbitrarily chosen constants. The theorem will be established if we show that the convergence of the series  $\sum |X_p(0)|^2$  and  $\sum |Y_p(0)|^2$  implies the convergence of the series  $\sum |x'_p|^2$ .

If  $\xi_p$  and  $\xi'_p$  are arbitrary solutions of the systems  $L_p = 0$  and  $L'_p = 0$ , respectively, then we have the Green's formula

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{p=1}^n [\xi_p L'_p(\xi'_p) - \xi'_p L_p(\xi)] = [(\xi_n \xi'_{n+1} - \xi'_n \xi_{n+1}) a_n]_0^n - \sum_{p=1}^n (\alpha_{p-1} \xi'_{p-1} + \alpha_p \xi'_{p+1}) \xi_p = 0.$$

If, in particular,  $\xi_p = X_p(0), \xi'_p = x'_p$ , this gives

$$-b + (x'_n X_{n+1} - x'_{n+1} X_n) a_n - \sum_{p=1}^n (\alpha_{p-1} x'_{p-1} + \alpha_p x'_{p+1}) X_p = 0,$$

where we have written  $X_k$  for  $X_k(0)$ ; and if  $\xi_p = Y_p(0) = Y_p, \xi'_p = x'_p$ , we obtain

$$-a + (x'_n Y_{n+1} - x'_{n+1} Y_n) a_n - \sum_{p=1}^n (\alpha_{p-1} x'_{p-1} + \alpha_p x'_{p+1}) Y_p = 0.$$

On multiplying the first of these equations by  $Y_n$ , the second by  $-X_n$ , and adding, we find that

$$x'_n - \sum_{p=1}^n k_{n,p} x'_p = h_n,$$

where

$$k_{n,p} = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_p(X_{p+1}Y_n - X_nY_{p+1}) + \alpha_{p-1}(X_{p-1}Y_n - X_nY_{p-1})}{1 + (\alpha_{n-1}/a_{n-1})}, & p = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ 0, & p = n, \end{cases}$$

$$h_n = \frac{bY_n - aX_n}{1 + (\alpha_{n-1}/a_{n-1})}.$$

Under the hypothesis that the series  $\sum |X_p|^2$  and  $\sum |Y_p|^2$  converge, it follows, as indicated before, that the series  $\sum |1/a_p|$  converges, so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{n-1}| = \infty$ . Since, by hypothesis,  $|\alpha_p| < M$ ,  $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , we then find at once by Schwarz's inequality that the double series  $\sum |k_{pq}|^2$  and the series  $\sum |h_p|^2$  are convergent. It then follows exactly as in [7, p. 121] that the series  $\sum |x'_p|^2$  is convergent, and the theorem is thereby established.

**3. Criterion involving the moments  $c_p$ .** Let  $\sum (c_p/z^{p+1})$  be the power series expansion in descending powers of  $z$  of a real  $J$ -fraction (1.1). *If the series*

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{c_{2p}} \right)^{1/2p}$$

*diverges, then the determinate case holds for the  $J$ -fraction.* We shall give a simple algebraic proof of this well known theorem of Carleman [1, p. 215].

The quadratic forms

$$F_n = \sum_{p,q=1}^n c_{p+q-2} x_p x_q, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

are all positive definite [4], and we may therefore write

$$F_n \equiv (b_{11}x_1 + b_{12}x_2 + \dots + b_{1n}x_n)^2 + (b_{22}x_2 + b_{23}x_3 + \dots + b_{2n}x_n)^2 + \dots + (b_{nn}x_n)^2,$$

where the  $b_{pq}$  are real constants independent of  $n$  for  $p \leq n, q \leq n$ , and where  $b_{pp} \neq 0, p = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ . The  $b_{pp}$  are connected with the partial numerators of the  $J$ -fraction by the formulas [9]

$$(a_0 a_1 \dots a_p)^2 = b_{p+1,p+1}^2 \quad (a_0 = b_{11} = 1)$$

and, obviously,

$$c_{2p} = \sum_{q=1}^{p+1} b_{q,p+1}^2 \quad (p = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

so that  $c_{2p} \geq b_{p+1, p+1}^2$ . Consequently,

$$(a_0 a_1 \cdots a_p)^2 \leq c_{2p}.$$

Hence, by Carleman's inequality<sup>2</sup> [2],

$$e \sum_{p=1}^n \frac{1}{|a_p|} > \sum_{p=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{a_1^2 a_2^2 \cdots a_p^2} \right)^{1/2p} \cong \sum_{p=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{c_{2p}} \right)^{1/2p}.$$

Therefore, if the series (3.1) is divergent, then the series  $\sum |1/a_p|$  is divergent, so that, as indicated in §2, the determinate case holds for the  $J$ -fraction.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. T. Carleman, *Sur les équations intégrales singulières à noyau réel et symétrique*, Uppsala, 1923.
2. ———, *Les fonctions quasi analytiques*, Paris, 1926.
3. J. J. Dennis and H. S. Wall, *The limit-circle case for a positive definite  $J$ -fraction*, Duke Math. J. vol. 12 (1945) pp. 255–273.
4. H. Hamburger, *Über eine Erweiterung des Stieltjesschen Momentenproblems*, Math. Ann. vol. 81 (1920) pp. 235–319; vol. 82 (1920) pp. 120–164 and 168–187.
5. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge, 1934.
6. E. Hellinger, *Zur Stieltjesschen Kettenbruchtheorie*, Math. Ann. vol. 86 (1922) pp. 18–29.
7. E. Hellinger and H. S. Wall, *Contributions to the analytic theory of continued fractions and infinite matrices*, Ann. of Math. vol. 44 (1943) pp. 103–127.
8. W. T. Scott and H. S. Wall, *On the convergence and divergence of continued fractions*, Amer. J. Math. vol. 69 (1947) pp. 551–561.
9. T. J. Stieltjes, *Sur la réduction en fraction continue d'une série procédant suivant es puissances descendantes d'une variable*, Oeuvres, vol. 2, pp. 184–200.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS

---

<sup>2</sup> For an algebraic proof of Carleman's inequality see, for instance [5].