

THE STRONG SUMMABILITY OF DOUBLE FOURIER SERIES

HAI-TSIN HSÜ

1. Introduction. Corresponding to the well known theorem of Fejér-Lebesgue, we have for the double Fourier series the following proposition :

If $f \log^+ |f|$ is Lebesgue integrable on the square $(-\pi \leq x \leq \pi, -\pi \leq y \leq \pi)$, then the Fejér mean $\sigma_{m,n}(x, y)$ of $f(x, y)$ tends to $f(x, y)$ almost everywhere as m and n independently increase indefinitely. Moreover, for every increasing function $\phi(t)$ satisfying the conditions

$$\phi(0) = 0, \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(t)}{t \log t} = 0,$$

there is a function $f(x, y)$ such that $\phi(|f|)$ is integrable and that $\sigma_{m,n}(x, y)$ does not converge almost everywhere.¹

The latter half of this theorem shows that the analogue, in double Fourier series, of the Fejér-Lebesgue theorem is not a trivial extension of that of a function of a single variable.

The purpose of the present note is to discuss the strong summability² of double Fourier series. A double series $\sum a_{mn}$ is said to be strongly summable with the positive index k if there exists a constant s such that the expression

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{(m+1)(n+1)} \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |s_{\mu,\nu} - s|^k$$

has the double limit zero as m and n increase without limit, where

$$s_{m,n} = \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_{\mu\nu}.$$

It is easily seen from Hölder's equality that the summability says more for larger k .

Suppose now that $f(x, y)$ is integrable in the Lebesgue sense over

Received by the editors May 16, 1945.

¹ B. Jessen, J. Marcinkiewicz and A. Zygmund [5]. The first example of a function $f(x, y) \in L$ with Fejér mean divergent everywhere was given by A. Zygmund; see S. Saks [8]. Numbers in brackets refer to the Bibliography at the end of the paper.

² A notion first introduced in Fourier series by G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood [1]. For subsequent researches, see Hardy and Littlewood [2, 3], J. Marcinkiewicz [6] and A. Zygmund [12].

the square $Q(-\pi, -\pi; \pi, \pi)$ and is doubly periodic with period 2π in each variable. The Fourier series of $f(x, y)$ is

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{m,n} [a_{m,n} \cos mx \cos ny + b_{m,n} \sin mx \cos ny + c_{m,n} \cos mx \sin ny + d_{m,n} \sin mx \sin ny],$$

where

$$\lambda_{m,n} = \begin{cases} 1/4 & \text{for } m = n = 0; \\ 1/2 & \text{for } m = 0, n > 0 \text{ or } m > 0, n = 0; \\ 0 & \text{for } m > 0, n > 0; \end{cases}$$

and

$$a_{m,n} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_Q \int f(x, y) \cos mx \cos ny dx dy,$$

and so on.

On writing

$$4\phi(u, v) \equiv \phi_{x,y}(u, v) = f(x + u, y + v) + f(x + u, y - v) + f(x - u, y + v) + f(x - u, y - v) - 4s,$$

and

$$\Phi_{x,y}^{(p)}(u, v) = \int_0^u \int_0^v |\phi(\xi, \eta)|^p d\xi d\eta \quad (p \geq 1)$$

the theorems obtained in this paper are as follows:

THEOREM I. *If $f(x, y) \in L^p, p > 1$, then the double Fourier series (1.2) is strongly summable to s for every positive index k whenever³*

$$(1.3) \quad \Phi_{x,y}^{(p)}(u, v) = o(uv).$$

THEOREM II. *If $f(x, y) \in L^p, p > 1$, then the Fourier series of $f(x, y)$ is strongly summable almost everywhere to $f(x, y)$ for every positive index k .*

The question whether the hypothesis in Theorem II may be replaced by $f \log^+ |f| \in L$ is unsettled in this note. Corresponding questions in Fourier series of a single variable have been answered affirmatively by Marcinkiewicz [6] and Zygmund [12]. Indeed, the theorem holds under the weaker hypothesis $f \in L$. We content ourselves with establishing the following theorem.

³ We use the symbol $o(uv)$ to denote a function of u and v such that $\lim_{u,v \rightarrow 0} o(uv)/uv = 0$.

THEOREM III. *If $f(x, y) \log^+ |f(x, y)| \in L$ and $\sigma_{m,n}(x, y)$ denotes the (m, n) th Fejér sum of the Fourier series of $f(x, y)$, then the relation*

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(m+1)(n+1)} \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |\sigma_{\mu,\nu}(x, y) - f(x, y)|^k = 0$$

holds true almost everywhere, where $k > 0$.

2. Lemmas. Before proving our theorems, we prove a number of lemmas:

LEMMA 1. *If $f(x, y) \in L^p$, $p > 1$, then*

$$\lim_{h,k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{hk} \int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} \int_{y_0}^{y_0+k} f(x, y) dx dy = f(x_0, y_0)$$

at almost every point (x_0, y_0) .

This theorem is due to Zygmund [11]. Compare also [5] and [9].

LEMMA 2. *If $f(x, y) \in L^p$, $p > 1$, then at almost every point (x, y) ,*

$$\int_0^h \int_0^k |f(x \pm u, y \pm v) - f(x, y)|^p dudv = o(hk)$$

as $h, k \rightarrow 0$.

PROOF. Let α be a rational number, and E_α the set of points (x, y) such that

$$\frac{1}{hk} \int_0^h \int_0^k |f(x \pm u, y \pm v) - \alpha|^p dudv$$

does not tend to $|f(x, y) - \alpha|^p$ as $h, k \rightarrow 0$. In virtue of Lemma 1, E_α is of measure zero, and so also is the sum E of all E_α . Let (x, y) be not a point of E and let β be a rational number, then, by Minkowski's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \frac{1}{hk} \int_0^h \int_0^k |f(x \pm u, y \pm v) - f(x, y)|^p dudv \right\}^{1/p} \\ & \quad \cong \left\{ \frac{1}{hk} \int_0^h \int_0^k |f(x \pm u, y \pm v) - \beta|^p dudv \right\}^{1/p} \\ & \quad \quad + \left\{ \frac{1}{hk} \int_0^h \int_0^k |\beta - f(x, y)|^p dudv \right\}^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to $2|f(x, y) - \beta|$ as $h, k \rightarrow 0$. As $\beta \rightarrow f(x, y)$, the result follows.

LEMMA 3. Let $f(x, y) \in L^p$, $1 < p \leq 2$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, and let the Fourier series of $f(x, y)$ be given in the complex form:

$$f(x, y) \sim \sum_{\mu=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{\mu, \nu} e^{i(\mu x + \nu y)},$$

then

$$(2.1) \quad \left\{ \sum_{\mu=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_{\mu, \nu}|^q \right\}^{1/q} \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x, y)|^p dx dy \right\}^{1/p}.$$

This is a double series analogue of the Young-Hausdorff theorem, and may be proved by the method of M. Riesz⁴ with an obvious modification.

We also require the following formula of integration by parts:

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{a_1}^{a_2} du \int_{b_1}^{b_2} \rho \psi'(u) \psi''(v) du dv \\ = \rho_1(a_2, b_2) \psi(a_2, b_2) - \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \rho_1(u, b_2) \psi_u(u, b_2) du \\ - \int_{b_1}^{b_2} \rho_1(a_2, v) \psi_v(a_2, v) dv + \int_{a_1}^{a_2} du \int_{b_1}^{b_2} \rho_1 \psi_{uv} dv,$$

where

$$\psi(u, v) = \psi'(u) \psi''(v), \quad \rho_1(u, v) = \int_{a_1}^u d\sigma \int_{b_1}^v \rho(\sigma, t) dt.$$

This formula is valid if ρ is integrable on $(a_1, b_1; a_2, b_2)$, ψ' is absolutely continuous on (a_1, a_2) , and ψ'' is absolutely continuous on (b_1, b_2) .

3. **Proof of Theorem I.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x=0, y=0$. So that

$$s_{m,n} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi f(u, v) \frac{\sin(m+1/2)u}{\sin u/2} \frac{\sin(n+1/2)v}{\sin v/2} du dv.$$

We have to deduce

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |s_{\mu, \nu} - s|^k = o(mn)$$

from (1.3).

Write

⁴ M. Riesz [7], see also A. Zygmund [13].

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^2(s_{\mu, \nu} - s) &= \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \phi(u, v) \frac{\sin(m + 1/2)u}{\sin u/2} \frac{\sin(n + 1/2)v}{\sin v/2} dudv \\ &= \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \phi(u, v) \left(\sin \mu u \cot \frac{u}{2} \sin \nu v + \sin \mu u \cot \frac{u}{2} \cos \nu v \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \cos \mu u \sin \nu v \cot \frac{v}{2} + \cos \mu u \cos \nu v \right) dudv \\ &= I_1(\mu, \nu) + I_2(\mu, \nu) + I_3(\mu, \nu) + I_4(\mu, \nu), \end{aligned}$$

and for $0 < \mu \leq m, 0 < \nu \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_i(\mu, \nu) &= \int_0^{m^{-1}} \int_0^{n^{-1}} + \int_0^{m^{-1}} \int_{n^{-1}}^\pi + \int_{m^{-1}}^\pi \int_0^{n^{-1}} + \int_{m^{-1}}^\pi \int_{n^{-1}}^\pi \\ &= I_{i1}(\mu, \nu; m, n) + I_{i2}(\mu, \nu; m, n) \\ &\quad + I_{i3}(\mu, \nu; m, n) + I_{i4}(\mu, \nu; m, n), \end{aligned}$$

where $i = 1, 2, 3$. For brevity, we also write $I_{ij}(\mu, \nu)$ for $I_{ij}(\mu, \nu; m, n)$. Accordingly,

$$\pi^2(s_{\mu, \nu} - s) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^4 I_{ij}(\mu, \nu) + I_4(\mu, \nu).$$

It follows from Minkowski's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^2 \left\{ \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |s_{\mu, \nu} - s|^k \right\}^{1/k} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^4 \left\{ \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |I_{ij}(\mu, \nu)|^k \right\}^{1/k} \\ (3.2) \qquad \qquad \qquad &+ \left\{ \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |I_4(\mu, \nu)|^k \right\}^{1/k}. \end{aligned}$$

In the first place, by the analogue of the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem⁵ $I_4(\mu, \nu)$ tends to zero as $\mu, \nu \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$(3.3) \qquad \left\{ \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |I_4(\mu, \nu)|^k \right\}^{1/k} = o(mn)^{1/k}.$$

Secondly, let us consider the integrals I_{11}, I_{21} and I_{31} . Write

$$K(u, v) \equiv K(u, v; \mu, \nu) = \sin \mu u \cot u/2 \sin \nu v \cot v/2,$$

then for $0 < u \leq \pi$ and $0 < v \leq \pi$ there is a constant A such that

$$(3.4) \quad uv \max (|K|, \mu^{-1} |K_u|, \nu^{-1} |K_v|, \mu^{-1}\nu^{-1} |K_{uv}|) \leq A.$$

We also write

⁵ W. H. Young [10, p. 138].

$$\Phi(u, v) = \int_0^u \int_0^v \phi(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta,$$

which is $o(uv)$ by (1.3). Then on applying (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11}(\mu, \nu) &= \int_0^{m^{-1}} \int_0^{n^{-1}} \phi(u, v) K(u, v; \mu, \nu) dudv \\ &= \Phi(m^{-1}, n^{-1}) K(m^{-1}, n^{-1}) \\ &\quad - \int_0^{m^{-1}} \Phi(u, n^{-1}) K_u(u, n^{-1}) du \\ &\quad - \int_0^{n^{-1}} \Phi(m^{-1}, v) K_v(m^{-1}, v) dv \\ &\quad + \int_0^{m^{-1}} du \int_0^{n^{-1}} \Phi(u, v) K_{uv} dv. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Since $0 < \mu \leq m$, $0 < \nu \leq n$, it is easily seen from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$I_{11}(\mu, \nu) = o(1). \tag{3.6}$$

In a similar manner, we can prove $I_{21}(\mu, \nu) = o(1)$, $I_{31}(\mu, \nu) = o(1)$. Hence we obtain

$$\left\{ \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |I_{i1}(\mu, \nu)|^k \right\}^{1/k} = o(mn)^{1/k} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3). \tag{3.7}$$

Thirdly, we consider the integrals I_{14} , I_{24} and I_{34} . We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{14}(\mu, \nu) &= \int_{m^{-1}}^{\pi} \int_{n^{-1}}^{\pi} \phi(u, v) \sin \mu u \cot \frac{u}{2} \sin \nu v \cot \frac{v}{2} dudv \\ &= \int_{m^{-1}}^{\pi} \sin \mu u \cot \frac{u}{2} du \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{n^{-1}}^{\pi} \cot \frac{v}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \int_0^v \sin \nu y \phi(u, y) dy \right) dv \\ &= \int_{m^{-1}}^{\pi} \sin \mu u \cot \frac{u}{2} du \left(- \cot \frac{1}{2n} \int_0^{n^{-1}} \sin \nu y \phi(u, y) dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \int_{n^{-1}}^{\pi} \csc^2 \frac{v}{2} dv \int_0^v \sin \nu y \phi(u, y) dy \right) \\ &= I'_{14} + I''_{14}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

say, where I'_{14} is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \cot \frac{1}{2n} \int_{m-1}^{\pi} \cot \frac{u}{2} \left(\frac{d}{du} \int_0^u \int_0^{n-1} \sin \mu x \sin \nu y \phi(x, y) dx dy \right) du \\
& = \cot \frac{1}{2m} \cot \frac{1}{2n} \int_0^{m-1} \int_0^{n-1} \sin \mu x \sin \nu y \phi(x, y) dx dy \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2n} \int_{m-1}^{\pi} \csc^2 \frac{u}{2} du \int_0^u \int_0^{n-1} \sin \mu x \sin \nu y \phi(x, y) dx dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $c_{\mu, \nu}(\alpha, \beta)$ denote the (μ, ν) th Fourier coefficient of the odd-odd function $\chi(x, y)$ which is equal to $\phi(x, y)$ in the rectangle $(0, \alpha; 0, \beta)$ and to zero elsewhere. Then we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad I'_{14} & = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \cot \frac{1}{2m} \cot \frac{1}{2n} c_{\mu, \nu} \left(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{n} \right) \\
& \quad - \frac{\pi^2}{8} \cot \frac{1}{2n} \int_{m-1}^{\pi} \csc^2 \frac{u}{2} c_{\mu, \nu} \left(u, \frac{1}{n} \right) du,
\end{aligned}$$

and I''_{14} may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \int_{m-1}^{\pi} \cot \frac{u}{2} du \\
& \quad \cdot \int_{n-1}^{\pi} \csc^2 \frac{v}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \int_0^u \int_0^v \sin \mu x \sin \nu y \phi(x, y) dx dy \right) dv \\
& = \frac{\pi^2}{8} \int_{m-1}^{\pi} \cot \frac{u}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \int_{n-1}^{\pi} \csc^2 \frac{v}{2} c_{\mu, \nu}(u, v) dv \right) du \\
& = - \frac{\pi^2}{8} \cot \frac{1}{2m} \int_{n-1}^{\pi} \csc^2 \frac{v}{2} c_{\mu, \nu} \left(\frac{1}{m}, v \right) dv \\
& \quad + \frac{\pi^2}{16} \int_{m-1}^{\pi} \int_{n-1}^{\pi} \csc^2 \frac{u}{2} \csc^2 \frac{v}{2} c_{\mu, \nu}(u, v) dudv.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |I_{14}(\mu, \nu)|^k \right)^{1/k} \\
& \leq A \cot \frac{1}{2m} \cot \frac{1}{2n} \left(\sum_{\mu=\nu}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left| c_{\mu, \nu} \left(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{n} \right) \right|^k \right)^{1/k} \\
& \quad + A \cot \frac{1}{2n} \int_{m-1}^{\pi} \csc^2 \frac{u}{2} \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left| c_{\mu, \nu} \left(u, \frac{1}{n} \right) \right|^k \right)^{1/k} du
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + A \cot \frac{1}{2m} \int_{n-1}^{\pi} \csc^2 \frac{v}{2} \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left| c_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{1}{m}, v \right) \right|^k \right)^{1/k} dv \\
& + A \cot \frac{1}{2m} \cot \frac{1}{2n} \int_{m-1}^{\pi} \int_{n-1}^{\pi} \csc^2 \frac{u}{2} \csc^2 \frac{v}{2} \\
& \quad \cdot \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left| c_{\mu,\nu}(u, v) \right|^k \right)^{1/k} dudv.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we assume, without loss of generality, that $k > 2$, $k' = k/(k-1) < p$, so that by Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left| c_{\mu,\nu}(u, v) \right|^k \right)^{1/k} & \leq \left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \chi(x, y) \right|^{k'} dx dy \right)^{1/k'} \\
& = \left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-u}^u \int_{-v}^v \left| \phi(x, y) \right|^{k'} dx dy \right)^{1/k'} \\
& = o(uv)^{1/k'},
\end{aligned}$$

since the condition (1.3) is satisfied a fortiori when p is replaced by the smaller index k' . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left| I_{14}(\mu, \nu) \right|^k \right)^{1/k} & \leq Amno(mn)^{-1/k'} + An \int_{m-1}^{\pi} \left(\frac{u}{n} \right)^{1/k'} \frac{du}{u^2} \\
& \quad + Am \int_{n-1}^{\pi} \left(\frac{v}{m} \right)^{1/k'} \frac{dv}{v^2} \\
& \quad + A \int_{m-1}^{\pi} \int_{n-1}^{\pi} \frac{(uv)^{1/k'}}{u^2 v^2} dudv = o(mn)^{1/k}.
\end{aligned}$$

The integral $I_{24}(\mu, \nu)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{m-1}^{\pi} \cot \frac{u}{2} \left(\frac{d}{du} \int_0^u dx \int_{n-1}^{\pi} \phi(x, y) \sin \mu x \cos \nu y dy \right) du \\
& = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \cot \frac{1}{2m} \left[c'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{1}{m}, \pi \right) - c'_{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \\
& \quad - \frac{\pi^2}{8} \int_{m-1}^{\pi} \csc^2 \frac{u}{2} \left[c'_{\mu,\nu}(u, \pi) - c'_{\mu,\nu} \left(u, \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] du,
\end{aligned}$$

where the $c'_{\mu,\nu}(\alpha, \beta)$ ($\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) denote the Fourier coefficients of the odd-even function $\chi'(x, y)$ which is equal to $\phi(x, y)$ in the rectangle $(0, \alpha; 0, \beta)$ and to zero elsewhere. In virtue of Minkowski's inequality and Lemma 3, it is easily seen that

$$\left(\sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |I_{24}(\mu, \nu)|^k \right)^{1/k} = o(mn)^{1/k}.$$

The integral I_{34} can be treated in the same manner as I_{14} . We omit the details. Collecting the above results, we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |I_{i4}(\mu, \nu)|^k \right)^{1/k} = o(mn)^{1/k} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

Fourthly, we estimate the integrals I_{12} , I_{22} and I_{32} . We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12}(\mu, \nu) &= \int_0^{m^{-1}} \int_{n^{-1}}^\pi \phi(u, v) \sin \mu u \cot \frac{u}{2} \sin \nu v \cot \frac{v}{2} \, dudv \\ &= \int_0^{m^{-1}} \cot \frac{u}{2} \sin \mu u \, du \\ (3.12) \quad &\cdot \int_{n^{-1}}^\pi \cot \frac{v}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \int_0^v \phi(u, y) \sin \nu y \, dy \right] \, dv \\ &= \int_0^{m^{-1}} \cot \frac{u}{2} \sin \mu u \left\{ -\frac{\pi}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2n} c_\nu \left(u, \frac{1}{n} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\pi}{4} \int_{n^{-1}}^\pi \csc^2 \frac{v}{2} c_\nu(u, v) \, dv \right\} \, du, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_\nu(\alpha, \beta)$ denotes the ν th Fourier coefficient of the odd function $\psi(u, \beta)$ which is equal to $\phi(u, v)$ for $0 \leq v \leq \beta$ and to zero for $\beta < v < \pi$. It follows from Young-Hausdorff's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^n |c_\nu(u, v)|^k \right)^{1/k} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi |\psi(u, y)|^{k'} \, dy \right)^{1/k'} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-v}^v |\phi(u, y)|^{k'} \, dy \right)^{1/k'}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^n |I_{12}(\mu, \nu)|^k \right)^{1/k} \\ (3.13) \quad &\leq A \cot \frac{1}{2n} \int_0^{m^{-1}} \mu \left(\int_{-n^{-1}}^{n^{-1}} |\phi(u, y)|^{k'} \, dy \right)^{1/k'} \, du \\ &\quad + A \int_0^{m^{-1}} \mu \, du \int_{n^{-1}}^\pi \csc^2 \frac{v}{2} \left(\int_{-v}^v |\phi(u, y)|^{k'} \, dy \right)^{1/k'} \, dv. \end{aligned}$$

Hölder's inequality gives

$$\int_0^{m^{-1}} \left(\int_{-v}^v |\phi(u, y)|^{k'} dy \right)^{1/k'} du \leq m^{1/k'-1} \left(\int_0^{m^{-1}} \int_{-v}^v |\phi(u, y)|^{k'} dy du \right)^{1/k'} = m^{1/k'-1} o\left(\frac{v}{m}\right)^{1/k'}$$

Hence (3.13) is reduced to

$$\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^n |I_{12}(\mu, \nu)|^k \right)^{1/k} \leq \mu \cot \frac{1}{2n} m^{1/k'-1} o(mn)^{-1/k'} + \mu m^{1/k'-1} \int_{n^{-1}}^\pi v^{-2} o\left(\frac{v}{m}\right)^{1/k'} dv = o(n)^{1/k}$$

Thus we obtain $(\sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |I_{12}(\mu, \nu)|^k)^{1/k} = o(mn)^{1/k}$. The integrals I_{22} and I_{32} may be treated in a similar manner as above. The following relations are thus established:

$$(3.14) \quad \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |I_{i2}(\mu, \nu)|^k \right)^{1/k} = o(mn)^{1/k} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

Finally, we have to consider the integrals I_{13} , I_{23} and I_{33} . The discussion of I_{13} is the same as I_{12} , and the integral I_{23} has been treated implicitly in the discussion of I_{24} . It remains therefore only to deal with I_{33} . Regard the integrals

$$I_{33}(\mu, \nu) = \int_{m^{-1}}^\pi \cos \mu u du \int_0^{n^{-1}} \phi(u, v) \sin \nu v \cot \frac{v}{2} dv \quad (\mu = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

as the Fourier coefficients of the function of u which is equal to

$$\int_0^{n^{-1}} \phi(u, v) \sin \nu v \cot \frac{v}{2} dv \quad \text{for } m^{-1} \leq u \leq \pi,$$

and to zero for $-\pi \leq u < m^{-1}$, then by Hausdorff's inequality,

$$(3.15) \quad \left\{ \sum_{\mu=0}^m |I_{33}(\mu, \nu)|^k \right\}^{1/k} \leq \left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{m^{-1}}^\pi \left| \int_0^{n^{-1}} \sin \nu v \cot \frac{v}{2} \phi(u, v) dv \right|^{k'} du \right)^{1/k} \leq A_\nu \left(\int_{m^{-1}}^\pi \left(\int_0^{n^{-1}} |\phi(u, v)| dv \right)^{k'} du \right)^{1/k'}$$

It follows from Hölder's inequality that

$$\int_0^{n^{-1}} |\phi(u, v)| dv \leq n^{1/k'-1} \left(\int_0^{n^{-1}} |\phi(u, v)|^{k'} dv \right)^{1/k'}$$

so that

$$vn^{1/k'-1} \left(\int_{m^{-1}}^{\pi} du \int_0^{n^{-1}} |\phi(u, v)|^{k'} dv \right)^{1/k'} = vn^{1/k'-1} O(n^{-1/k'}) = O(1).$$

Hence from (3.15) it results that $\left\{ \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |I_{i3}(\mu, \nu)|^k \right\}^{1/k} = o(mn)^{1/k}$. The following relations are thus proved:

$$(3.16) \quad \left\{ \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |I_{i3}(\mu, \nu)|^k \right\}^{1/k} = o(mn)^{1/k} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

Collecting the results (3.2), (3.3), (3.7), (3.11), (3.14), and (3.16) we obtain (3.1). Theorem I is thus proved.

4. Proof of Theorem II. On account of Theorem I, it suffices to show that the condition (1.3) is satisfied almost everywhere when $s=f(x, y)$. Observing

$$\begin{aligned} 4 |\phi_{x,y}(u, v)| &\leq |f(x+u, y+v) - f(x, y)| \\ &\quad + |f(x+u, y-v) - f(x, y)| \\ &\quad + |f(x-u, y+v) - f(x, y)| \\ &\quad + |f(x-u, y-v) - f(x, y)|, \end{aligned}$$

and employing Minkowski's inequality, we immediately obtain the desired result from Lemma 2.

5. Proof of Theorem III. The proof depends upon the following two lemmas:

LEMMA 4. *Theorem III holds good when $f(x, y)$ is bounded.*

Since a bounded function belongs to L^p , $p > 1$, the lemma follows from Theorem II.

LEMMA 5. *Let $h(x)$ be a function such that $h \log^+ |h| \in L(-\pi, \pi)$. Let $\beta_m = \beta_m(x, h)$ ($m=0, 1, 2, \dots$) be the Fejér sums of the Fourier series of $h(x)$, and $\beta^*(x) = \sup_m |\beta_m(x)|$, then*

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \beta^*(x) dx \leq A \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |h| \log^+ |h| dx + B,$$

where A and B are absolute constants.

This lemma is due to Hardy and Littlewood [4]. See also [13, p. 248].

Before proving the theorem, we extend Lemma 5 to the case of two variables. Let, for fixed y ,

$$g(x, y) = \sup_m \beta_m(x; |f|).$$

Integrating this equation with respect to y , we obtain

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(x, y) dx dy \leq 2\pi A \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x, y)| \log^+ |f(x, y)| dx dy + 2\pi B.$$

Writing $K_n(x)$ for the Fejér kernel, we have

$$\sigma_{m,n}(x, y; f) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(u, v) K_m(x - u) K_n(y - v) du dv.$$

It follows that

$$|\sigma_{m,n}(x, y; f)| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(y - v) g(x, v) dv.$$

In virtue of Lebesgue's theorem, the last expression tends to $g(x, y)$ at almost every point (x, y) . Therefore the relation

$$\sigma^*(x, y; f) = \limsup_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} |\sigma_{m,n}(x, y)| \leq g(x, y)$$

holds good almost everywhere. Combining this result with (5.1) we obtain

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sigma^*(x, y; f) dx dy \leq 2\pi A \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x, y)| \log^+ |f(x, y)| dx dy + 2\pi B.$$

Let λ be a positive constant. Substituting λf for f in (5.2), we obtain

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sigma^*(x, y; f) dx dy \leq 2\pi A \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x, y)| \log^+ |f(x, y)| dx dy + 2\pi \frac{B}{\lambda}.$$

Let ϵ be a positive number; we take λ so large that $2\pi B/\lambda < \epsilon/2$. Let

$$f(x, y) = f'(x, y) + f''(x, y)$$

be such that f' is bounded;

$$(5.4) \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f''(x, y)| \, dx dy < \epsilon,$$

$$(5.5) \quad 2\pi A \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f''(x, y)| \log^+ |\lambda f''(x, y)| \, dx dy + 2\pi \frac{B}{\lambda} \cdot$$

Applying the inequality (5.3) to the function $f''(x, y)$, we obtain

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sigma^*(x, y; f'') \, dx dy < \epsilon$$

by observing (5.5). Combining this relation with (5.4), we see that the set $E(\epsilon)$ of points (x, y) such that either $|f''(x, y)| > \epsilon^{1/2}$ or $\sigma^*(x, y; f) > \epsilon^{1/2}$ is of plane measure less than $2\epsilon^{1/2}$. Now let $\sigma'_{\mu, \nu}$ and $\sigma''_{\mu, \nu}$ denote respectively the (μ, ν) th Fejér sums of the Fourier series of f' and f'' , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |\sigma_{\mu, \nu} - f|^k \right) &\leq \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |\sigma'_{\mu, \nu} - f|^k \right)^{1/k} \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |\sigma''_{\mu, \nu} - f''|^k \right)^{1/k}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side is $o(mn)^{1/k}$ almost everywhere, by Lemma 4. And

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |\sigma''_{\mu, \nu} - f''|^k \right)^{1/k} &\leq \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |\sigma''_{\mu, \nu}|^k \right)^{1/k} + \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |f''|^k \right)^{1/k} \\ &\leq [(m+1)(n+1)]^{1/k} (\sigma^*(x, y; f'') + |f''|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, outside the set $E(\epsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{(m+1)(n+1)} \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n |\sigma_{\mu, \nu} - f|^k \right\}^{1/k} \\ \leq \sigma^*(x, y; f'') + |f''| \leq 2\epsilon^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, the theorem follows.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Sur la series de Fourier d'une fonction à carré sommable*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris vol. 156 (1913) pp. 1307–1309.
2. ———, *Notes on the theory of series (IV): On the strong summability of Fourier series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) vol. 26 (1927) pp. 273–286.
3. ———, *The strong summability of Fourier series*, Fund. Math. vol. 25 (1935) pp. 162–189.
4. ———, *A maximal theorem with function-theoretic applications*, Acta Math. vol. 54 (1931) pp. 81–116.
5. B. Jessen, J. Marcinkiewicz and A. Zygmund, *Note on the differentiability of multiple integrals*, Fund. Math. vol. 25 (1935) pp. 217–234.
6. J. Marcinkiewicz, *Sur la sommabilité forte de séries de Fourier*, J. London Math. Soc. vol. 14 (1939) pp. 162–168.
7. M. Riesz, *Sur les maxima des formes bilinéaires et sur les fonctionnelles linéaires*, Acta Math. vol. 49 (1926) pp. 465–497.
8. S. Saks, *Remark on the differentiability of the Lebesgue indefinite integral*, Fund. Math. vol. 22 (1934) pp. 257–261.
9. ———, *Theory of integrals*, Monografie Matematyczne, Warsaw, 1937.
10. W. H. Young, *Multiple Fourier series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) vol. 11 (1913) pp. 133–184.
11. A. Zygmund, *On the differentiability of multiple integrals*, Fund. Math. vol. 23 (1934) pp. 143–149.
12. ———, *On the convergence and summability of power series on the circle of convergence (II)*, Proc. London Math. Soc. vol. 47 (1942) pp. 326–350.
13. ———, *Trigonometrical series*, Monografie Matematyczne, Warsaw, 1935.