

## THE RELATIVE CONNECTIVITIES OF SYMMETRIC PRODUCTS\*

BY MOSES RICHARDSON

1. *Introduction.* The topology of the domain of discontinuity of a finite group of transformations operating on a complex, and, in particular, the topology of symmetric product complexes, has been studied by P. A. Smith† and the author.‡ Following a suggestion made by Morse,§ we obtain in this note explicit formulas for the so-called relative connectivities of the symmetric product of a complex in terms of its mod 2 Betti numbers, and we discuss an application of this result to the theory of critical chords. First, however, we derive a more general result of which the formulas for the relative connectivities of symmetric products is a special case. The methods used here follow closely those of S.

2. *Definitions and Preliminary Theorems.* For proofs or fuller discussion of statements made in this section, the reader is referred to S or R.

Let  $K$  be a simplicial  $n$ -complex.|| Let  $T$  be a topological involution such that (a)  $T$  carries  $m$ -simplexes of  $K$  into  $m$ -simplexes of  $K$ ; (b) if a simplex of  $K$  is invariant, it is pointwise invariant.

The invariant simplexes of  $K$  form a subcomplex  $K^0$ , and the non-invariant simplexes can be grouped in pairs so that each member of a pair is transformed into the other member by  $T$ . Thus the  $m$ -simplexes of  $K$  can be renamed  $E_m^i, \bar{E}_m^i, E_m^{0j}$ , where  $\bar{E}_m^i = TE_m^i$ , and  $E_m^{0j}$  is a simplex of  $K^0$ . If ¶  $C = t_i E_m^i$  is a chain of

\* Presented to the Society, February 23, 1935.

† P. A. Smith, *The topology of involutions*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, (1933), pp. 612–618. (Denoted hereafter by S.)

‡ M. Richardson, *On the homology characters of symmetric products*, Duke Mathematical Journal, vol. 1 (1935), pp. 50–69. (Denoted hereafter by R.)

§ M. Morse, *The Calculus of Variations in the Large*, Colloquium Publications of this Society, vol. 18, 1934, p. 191. (Denoted hereafter by M.)

|| Our general topological terminology and notation is that of S. Lefschetz, *Topology*, Colloquium Publications of this Society, vol. 12, 1930.

¶ A repeated index indicates summation.

$K$ , we define  $TC$  to be the chain  $\bar{C} = t_i \bar{E}_m^i$ . The involution  $T$  preserves bounding relations. †

We consider only mod 2 topology; all homologies and equations are understood to be homologies and congruences mod 2.

A chain  $X$  of  $K$  is called invariant if  $X = \bar{X}$ . In particular, if every simplex occurring in a chain with a non-zero coefficient belongs to  $K^0$ , we attach a zero to the chain-symbol, as  $X^0$ . If no simplex of  $K^0$  occurs in a chain with a non-zero coefficient, we attach an asterisk to the chain-symbol, as  $X^*$ . Every invariant chain can be written in the form  $X^* + \bar{X}^* + X^0$ . If an invariant cycle  $\Gamma$  is the boundary of an invariant chain, we write  $\Gamma \cong 0$ . These *special* homologies obey the same formal rules as ordinary homologies.

We choose a base for homology of type  $\Gamma^i, \bar{\Gamma}^i, D^i$  for each dimension. ‡ We consider only the case in which (A)  $D_m^j + \bar{D}_m^j \cong 0$  for every  $m > 0$  and every  $j$ . In this case we can and do replace the  $D_m^i$  in the base by invariant cycles §  ${}^i\Delta_m$ .

We now construct the sequences

$$(1) \quad {}^i\Delta_m, {}^i\Delta_{m-1}, \dots, {}^i\Delta_r, \quad (r = r(m, i) \geq -1),$$

where  ${}^i\Delta_q^m = {}^iX_q^m + {}^i\bar{X}_q^m$ , and  $F({}^iX_q^m) = {}^i\Delta_{q-1}^m$ , (for  $q = m, m-1, \dots, r+1$ ), and  ${}^i\Delta_r^m = {}^iX_r^m + {}^i\bar{X}_r^m + {}^iX_r^{0m}$ , (for  $r \geq 0$ ), where the  ${}^iX_r^{0m}$  are cycles. || We consider only the case where (B) the cycles  ${}^iX_r^{0m}$  are independent with respect to homologies on  $K^0$ . We shall need the following lemmas. ¶

(2) If  $C + \bar{C} + C^0 \cong 0$ , then  $C^0 \sim 0$  on  $K^0$ .

(3) The cycles  $\Gamma_q^i + \bar{\Gamma}_q^i, {}^i\Delta_q^m, (q > 0)$ , are independent with respect to  $\cong$ .

(4) If (A) and (B) hold, every cycle of the form  $C_q + \bar{C}_q, (q > 0)$ , is  $\cong$  to a linear combination of cycles  $\Gamma_q^i + \bar{\Gamma}_q^i, {}^i\Delta_q^m$ .

With the simplexes  $E_m^i$  and  $\bar{E}_m^i$  we associate † a simplex  $e_m^i$ , and we write  $\wedge E_m^i = \wedge \bar{E}_m^i = e_m^i$ . If  $C = t_i E_m^i$ , we define  $\wedge C$  to be the chain  $c = t_i e_m^i$ . The totality of simplexes  $e_m^i$  constitutes

† R, §1.

‡ S, §1.

§ S, p. 614.

|| S, p. 614.

¶ S, pp. 613-615.

† The material in this paragraph is fully discussed in R, §2.

an  $n$ -complex  $k = \wedge K$ , say. In particular, the simplexes  $e_m^{0i} = \wedge E_m^{0i}$  constitute a subcomplex  $k^0 = \wedge K^0$ , say. If  $e = \wedge E$  is a simplex of  $k$ , we write  $\wedge' e = E + \bar{E}$ . If  $c = t_i e_m^i$ , we define  $\wedge' c$  to be the chain  $t_i \wedge' e_m^i$ . Both  $\wedge$  and  $\wedge'$  preserve bounding relations. We shall use large or small letters for chains of  $K$  or  $k$ , respectively. In particular, a symbol like  $x^0$  will denote a chain of  $k^0$ , and a symbol like  $x^*$  will denote a chain in which no cell of  $k^0$  occurs with a non-zero coefficient.

3. *The Topology of  $k \bmod k^0$ .* We shall now determine the Betti numbers  $R_q(k; k^0, 2)$ . A chain whose boundary is a chain of  $k^0$ , that is, a cycle mod  $k^0$ , shall be called a relative cycle.

(5) *If  $c + x^0 \rightarrow 0$ , then  $c$  is a relative cycle.*

PROOF. Let  $F(c) = y^* + y^0$  and  $F(x^0) = z^0$ . Since  $F(c + x^0) = y^* + y^0 + z^0 = 0$ , we have  $y^* = 0$ . Hence  $c \rightarrow 0 \bmod k^0$ .

(6) *If  $c + x^0 \sim 0$ , then  $c \sim 0 \bmod k^0$ .*

PROOF. There exists a chain  $d$  such that  $d \rightarrow c + x^0$ . Thus  $d \rightarrow c \bmod k^0$ .

(7) *If  $\gamma$  is a relative cycle, then  $\wedge' \gamma$  is a cycle.*

PROOF. Since  $\gamma \rightarrow x^0$ , we have  $\wedge' \gamma \rightarrow \wedge' x^0 = X^0 + \bar{X}^0 = 2X^0 = 0$ .

(8) *If  $\gamma \sim 0 \bmod k^0$ , then  $\wedge' \gamma \cong 0$ .*

PROOF. Since there exists a chain  $c$  such that  $c \rightarrow \gamma + x^0$ , we have  $\wedge' c \rightarrow \wedge' \gamma + \wedge' x^0$ . But  $\wedge' x^0 = 0$ . It is obvious that  $\wedge' c$  and  $\wedge' \gamma$  are invariant.

(9) *If  $c$  is a relative cycle, we can write  $\wedge' c$  in the form  $C + \bar{C}$  where  $\wedge C = c$ .*

PROOF. Let  $c = t_i e_m^i + u_i e_m^{0i}$ . We have only to let  $C = t_i E_m^i + u_i E_m^{0i}$ .

(10) *If  $C + \bar{C} \cong 0$ , then  $\wedge C$  is a relative cycle and  $\wedge C \sim 0 \bmod k^0$ .*

PROOF. By hypothesis,  $H + \bar{H} \rightarrow C + \bar{C}$ . Let  $F(H) = C + X$ . Then  $X + \bar{X} = 0$ . Hence  $X = X^* + \bar{X}^* + X^0$ . Therefore,

$$\wedge H \rightarrow \wedge C + \wedge X = \wedge C + 2\wedge X^* + \wedge X^0 = \wedge C + \wedge X^0.$$

Thus  $\wedge C + \wedge X^0 \rightarrow 0$ , and by (5),  $\wedge C$  is a relative cycle. Since  $\wedge H \rightarrow \wedge C + \wedge X^0 \sim 0$ , we have  $\wedge C \sim 0 \bmod k^0$ , by (6).

(11) For  $q \geq r(m, i) + 1$ ,  $\wedge({}^i X_q^m)$  is a relative cycle, say  ${}^i \xi_q^m$ , and  $\wedge'({}^i \xi_q^m) = {}^i \Delta_q^m$ .

PROOF. If  $q \geq r(m, i) + 2$ , then, since  ${}^i X_q^m \rightarrow {}^i \Delta_{q-1}^m$ , we have

$$\wedge({}^i X_q^m) \rightarrow \wedge({}^i \Delta_{q-1}^m) = \wedge({}^i X_{q-1}^m + {}^i \overline{X}_{q-1}^m) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\wedge({}^i X_q^m) = {}^i \xi_q^m$  is an absolute cycle. If  $q = r(m, i) + 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge({}^i X_q^m) \rightarrow \wedge({}^i \Delta_{q-1}^m) &= \wedge({}^i X_r^m + {}^i \overline{X}_r^m + {}^i X_r^{0m}) \\ &= \wedge({}^i X_r^{0m}) = 0 \pmod{k^0}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in this case,  ${}^i \xi_q^m = \wedge({}^i X_q^m)$  is a relative cycle. In either case we have  $\wedge'({}^i \xi_q^m) = {}^i X_q^m + {}^i \overline{X}_q^m = {}^i \Delta_q^m$ .

Let  $\gamma_q^i = \wedge \Gamma_q^i$ .

(12) The relative cycles  $\gamma_q^i, {}^i \xi_q^m, (q \geq r(m, i) + 1 > 0)$ , are independent with respect to homology mod  $k^0$ .

PROOF. Suppose there were a non-trivial homology

$$x_{im} {}^i \xi_q^m + y_i \gamma_q^i \sim 0 \pmod{k^0}.$$

By (8) we have  $\wedge'(x_{im} {}^i \xi_q^m + y_i \gamma_q^i) \cong 0$ . Thus, by (11),

$$x_{im} {}^i \Delta_q^m + y_i (\Gamma_q^i + \overline{\Gamma}_q^i) \cong 0,$$

contradicting (3).

(13) Every relative  $q$ -cycle of  $k$  is homologous mod  $k^0$  to a linear combination of the  $\gamma_q^i$  and  ${}^i \xi_q^m, (q \geq r(m, i) + 1 > 0)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\gamma$  be an arbitrary relative  $q$ -cycle of  $k$ . Let  $\wedge' \gamma = \Gamma + \overline{\Gamma}$ , where  $\wedge \Gamma = \gamma$ , by (9). By (7),  $\wedge' \gamma$  is a cycle. Therefore, by (4),

$$(14) \quad \Gamma + \overline{\Gamma} \cong x_i (\Gamma_q^i + \overline{\Gamma}_q^i) + y_{im} {}^i \Delta_q^m.$$

Now we shall show that  $y_{im} = 0$  whenever  $r(m, i) = q$ . For, if some  $y_{im} \neq 0$ , then (14) would be of the form

$$Y + \overline{Y} + z_{im} ({}^i X_q^m + {}^i \overline{X}_q^m + {}^i X_q^{0m}) \cong 0,$$

where some  $z_{im} \neq 0$ . This implies that  $z_{im} {}^i X_q^{0m} \sim 0$  on  $K^0$ , by (2). But this contradicts (B). Thus, (14) has the form

$$\Gamma + \overline{\Gamma} + x_i (\Gamma_q^i + \overline{\Gamma}_q^i) + y_{im} ({}^i X_q^m + {}^i \overline{X}_q^m) \cong 0,$$

where  $q \geq r(m, i) + 1$ . Let  $C = \Gamma + x_i \Gamma_q^i + y_{im} X_q^m$ . Then  $C + \bar{C} \cong 0$ , and, by (10), we have  $\wedge C \sim 0 \pmod{k^0}$ , or

$$\gamma + x_i \gamma_q^i + y_{im} \xi_q^m \sim 0 \pmod{k^0}.$$

This proves the theorem.

By (12) and (13), the relative cycles  ${}^i \xi_q^m, \gamma_q^i, (q \geq r(m, i) + 1 > 0)$ , constitute a base for relative  $q$ -cycles of  $k$  with respect to homology mod  $k^0$ . Let  $R_q^\Gamma$  be the number of cycles  $\Gamma_q^i$ , and let  $Q_q$  be the number of  $q$ -cycles  ${}^i \Delta_q^m$  satisfying the relation  $r(m, i) + 1 \leq q$ . We have proved the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *If the hypotheses (a), (b), (A), and (B) are fulfilled, then  $R_q(k; k^0, 2) = R_q^\Gamma + Q_q, (q > 0)$ .*

4. *Symmetric Products.* Let  $K_{2n} = K_n \times K_n$  be the complex  $K$  of the preceding sections. Let  $T$  be the involution which interchanges the points  $P \times Q$  and  $Q \times P$  of  $K_{2n}$ . A simplicial subdivision of  $K_{2n}$  satisfying (a) and (b) of §2 can be found.† Of course,  $\wedge K_{2n} = k_{2n}$  is the 2-fold symmetric product of  $K_n$ . We can choose bases for homology on  $K_{2n}$  of the  $\Gamma, \bar{\Gamma}, {}^i \Delta$  type here required.‡ The cycles  ${}^i \Delta_q$  occur only in even dimensions. It has been shown that the sequences (1) can be constructed so that  $r(2h, i) = h$  for all  $i$ , and so that (A) and (B) are fulfilled.§ Therefore we may apply Theorem 1.

Now let  $R_{2s}^\Delta = R_s(K_n, 2)$  for  $s \leq n$  and  $R_{2s}^\Delta = 0$  for  $s > n$ . Then it is easily seen that  $Q_1 = 0$  and

$$Q_q = R_{2t}^\Delta + R_{2(t+1)}^\Delta + \cdots + R_{2(q-1)}^\Delta, \quad (q > 1),$$

where  $t = [(q+1)/2]$ , since the lowest dimension  $2m$  to yield cycles  ${}^i \Delta_q^{2m}$  is either  $2m = q$  or  $2m = q + 1$ . Thus by Theorem 1, we have the following result.

**THEOREM 2.** *For the symmetric product  $k_{2n}$  of  $K_n$  we have*

$$R_1(k_{2n}; k_n^0, 2) = R_1^\Gamma$$

$$R_q(k_{2n}; k_n^0, 2) = R_q^\Gamma + R_{2t}^\Delta + R_{2(t+1)}^\Delta + \cdots + R_{2(q-1)}^\Delta, \quad (q > 1),$$

where  $t = [(q+1)/2]$ , and where

† R, §5.

‡ R, p. 57.

§ R, pp. 64–65.

$$R_q^\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} [R_q(K_{2^{q-1}}, 2) - R_q^\Delta]$$

if  $q$  is even, and

$$R_q^\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} R_q(K_{2^n}, 2)$$

if  $q$  is odd.

Of course, if  $K_n$  is connected, so is  $k_{2^n}$ ; hence  $R_0(k_{2^n}; k_n^0, 2) = 0$  in this case. The numbers  $R_q(k_{2^n}; k_n^0, 2)$  have been called relative connectivities by Morse,† who proved that they are finite.‡ This result is of course implied by our formulas.

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $K_n$  be an  $n$ -sphere. Then  $R_n^\Gamma = R_{2^n}^\Delta = 1$ , while all the other  $R^\Gamma$ 's and  $R^\Delta$ 's, are zero. From our formulas, we obtain for the relative connectivities of  $k_{2^n}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 &= R_1 = \dots = R_{n-1} = 0, \\ R_n &= R_n^\Gamma = 1, \\ R_{n+1} &= R_{2^{(q-1)}}^\Delta = R_{2^n}^\Delta = 1, \\ R_{n+2} &= R_{2^{(q-2)}}^\Delta = R_{2^n}^\Delta = 1, \\ &\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\ R_{2^n} &= R_{2^i}^\Delta = R_{2^n}^\Delta = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The values of the relative connectivities for this example were worked out by Morse§ by special methods involving the critical chords of an  $n$ -ellipsoid.

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $K_n$  be an orientable surface of genus  $p$ . Then the relative connectivities of the symmetric product  $k_{2^n}$  are  $R_0 = 0, R_1 = 2p, R_2 = 2p^2 + p + 1, R_3 = 2p + 1, R_4 = 1$ .

5. *Application to the Theory of Critical Chords.*|| The chief results concerning critical chords are as follows. Let  $R$  be a regular, analytic Riemannian  $n$ -manifold lying in a euclidean  $(n + 1)$ -space, such that  $R$  is homeomorphic to a simplicial  $n$ -complex  $K_n$ . Then the symmetric product of  $R$  is evidently homeo-

† M, p. 182.

‡ M, pp. 182-183.

§ M, Theorem 11.3, p. 191.

|| For definitions and proofs required in this section see M, pp. 181-191.

morphic to the symmetric product  $k_{2n}$  of  $K_n$ . Let  $R_0, R_1, \dots, R_{2n}$  be the relative connectivities of  $k_{2n}$ . Then the sums  $M_i$  of the type numbers of the critical sets of chords of  $R$  and the numbers  $R_i$  satisfy the relations

$$M_0 \geq R_0, M_0 - M_1 \leq R_0 - R_1, M_0 - M_1 + M_2 \geq R_0 - R_1 + R_2, \\ \dots \\ M_0 - M_1 + \dots + (-1)^{2n}M_{2n} = R_0 - R_1 + \dots + (-1)^{2n}R_{2n}.\dagger$$

A simple corollary of this theorem is this: *If the critical chords of  $R$  are all non-degenerate, there exist at least  $R_i$  such chords of index  $\dagger i$ .*

Our Theorem 2 enables us to obtain the values of the relative connectivities  $R_i$  of  $k_{2n}$  when the mod 2 Betti numbers of  $R$  are known. Thus the above theorem and its corollary can be used to obtain numerical information concerning the critical chords of any  $R$  whose mod 2 Betti numbers are known. This makes available a wide class of examples. For instance, the corollary of M, p. 191 follows at once from the above corollary and our Example 1, §4.

As a further example, let  $R$  be any regular, analytic image of an orientable surface of genus  $p$ . Then, from Example 2, §4, and the above corollary, we obtain the result that, if the extremal chords of  $R$  are all non-degenerate, then, among these extremal chords there must be  $2p^2 + 5p + 3$  extremal chords of the following description:  $2p$  extremal chords of index 1,  $2p^2 + p + 1$  extremal chords of index 2,  $2p + 1$  extremal chords of index 3, and 1 extremal chord of index 4. In the degenerate case, the same result holds provided each critical set of chords is counted according to its type numbers.

BROOKLYN COLLEGE

† M, Theorem 11.1, p. 185.

‡ M, p. 185.