On Lecacheux's family of quintic polynomials

By Akinari Hoshi*) and Masakazu Koshiba**)

(Communicated by Kenji Fukaya, M.J.A., Dec. 14, 2020)

Abstract: Kida, Rikuna and Sato [6] developed a classification theory for Brumer's quintic polynomials via Kummer theory arising from associated elliptic curves. We generalize their results to elliptic curves associated to Lecacheux's quintic F_{20} -polynomials instead of Brumer's quintic D_5 -polynomials.

Key words: Lecacheux's quintic polynomial; Kummer theory; elliptic curves.

1. Introduction. Let K be a field with $\operatorname{char} K \neq 2$, 5 and C_n be the cyclic group of order n. Let $D_5 \simeq C_5 \rtimes C_2$ be the dihedral group of order 10 and $F_{20} \simeq C_5 \rtimes C_4$ be the Frobenius group of order 20. Let K(s,t) be the rational function field over K with two variables s, t. Brumer's quintic polynomial Bru(t, s; X) is defined to be

(1) Bru
$$(t, s; X) := X^5 + (t - 3)X^4 - (t - s - 3)X^3 + (t^2 - t - 2s - 1)X^2 + sX + t \in K(s, t)[X].$$

The polynomial Bru(t, s; X) is K-generic for D_5 , namely (i) the Galois group of Bru(t, s; X) over K(s,t) is isomorphic to D_5 ; and (ii) every D_5 -Galois extension L/M, $\#M = \infty$, $M \supset K$, can be obtained as $L = \mathrm{Spl}_M(\mathrm{Bru}(b, a; X))$, the splitting field of Bru(b, a; X) over M, for some $a, b \in M$ (see Jensen, Ledet and Yui [4, Theorem 2.3.5]).

Kida, Rikuna and Sato [6] studied Brumer's quintic Bru(t, s; X) via Kummer theory arising curves. elliptic The splitting $\mathrm{Spl}_{K(s,t)}(\mathrm{Bru}(t,s;X))$ contains the unique quadratic subfield $K(s,t)(\sqrt{d_{t,s}})$ where

(2)
$$d_{t,s} := -4s^3 + (t^2 - 30t + 1)s^2 + 2t(3t+1)(4t-7)s - t(4t^4 - 4t^3 - 40t^2 + 91t - 4) \in K(s,t).$$

In this paper, we study the case where $K = \mathbf{Q}$. We search elements α and β in $\mathbf{Q}(s,t)$ such that the quadratic subfields of $\mathrm{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}(s,t)}(\mathrm{Bru}(\beta,\alpha;X))$ and of $Spl_{\mathbf{O}(s,t)}(Bru(t,s;X))$ coincide. According to Kida, Rikuna and Sato [6, Section 2], we restrict ourselves to treat the case $\beta = t$ and consider the equation

$$d_{t,s}u^2 = d_{t,\alpha}$$
.

Define

$$d = d_{t,s}, \ x = -4d\alpha, \ y = 4d^2u.$$

Then we obtain the associated elliptic curve

(3)
$$E_{t,s}: y^2 = x^3 + d(t^2 - 30t + 1)x^2$$

 $-8d^2t(3t+1)(4t-7)x$
 $-16d^3t(4t^4 - 4t^3 - 40t^2 + 91t - 4)$

to Brumer's quintic polynomial Bru(t, s; X). This elliptic curve $E_{t,s}$ has an isogeny ϕ of degree 5 defined over $\mathbf{Q}(s,t)$. The 5-division polynomial of $E_{t,s}$ (see Silverman [8, Exercise 3.7]) has a quadratic factor $f_2(x)$ (see [1, Section 1]). Take a root θ of $f_2(x) = 0$. Then we obtain a point $A \in E_{t,s}(\mathbf{Q}(s,t))$ of order 5 with $x(A) = \theta$. Apply the Vélu formula [10] to $\langle A \rangle$ and take $E_{t,s}^* = E_{t,s}/\langle A \rangle$ as the image of ϕ (see Kida, Rikuna and Sato [6, Section 2]):

(4)
$$E_{t,s}^* : y^2 = x^3 + d(t^2 - 30t + 1)x^2$$

 $-8d^2(26t^4 - 310t^3 + 327t^2 + 315t + 26)x$
 $+16d^3(68t^6 - 1120t^5 + 3804t^4 + 1760t^3 + 6929t^2 + 1380t + 68).$

After the specialization $\mathbf{Q}(s,t)^2 \ni (s,t) \mapsto (s',t') \in$ \mathbf{Q}^2 , we obtain that $\mathrm{Bru}(t',s';X)$, $E_{t',s'}$ and $E^*_{t',s'}$ are defined over **Q**. After the specialization, for $s, t \in \mathbf{Q}$, we also write Bru(t, s; X), $E_{t,s}$ and $E_{t,s}^*$ which are defined over **Q** (not **Q**(s,t)). Let $\phi^*: E_{t,s}^* \to E_{t,s}$ be the dual isogeny of ϕ . Then the quotient group $E_{t,s}(\mathbf{Q})/\phi^*(E_{t,s}^*(\mathbf{Q}))$ is finite by weak Mordel-Weil theorem (see [8, Chapter VIII, Section 1]).

Definition 1.1 (Kida, Rikuna and Sato [6, page 694]). Let s,t be rational numbers. For each **Q**-rational point $P = (x(P), y(P)) \in E_{t,s}(\mathbf{Q}),$ Brumer's polynomial Bru(P; X) with respect to P is defined to be

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11G05, 11R20, 12F20, 12G05.

Department of Mathematics, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181, Japan.

**) Graduate School of Science and Technology, Niigata

University, Niigata 950-2181, Japan.

$$\operatorname{Bru}(P;X) := \operatorname{Bru}\left(t, \frac{x(P)}{-4d}; X\right)$$

where Bru(t, s; X) is Brumer's polynomial as in (1) and $d = d_{t,s}$ is given as in (2).

We remark that there exists a rational point $P_0 = (-4ds, 4d^2) \in E_{t,s}(\mathbf{Q})$ and by the definition we have $\operatorname{Bru}(P_0; X) = \operatorname{Bru}(t, s; X)$.

Theorem 1.2 (Kida, Rikuna and Sato [6, Theorem 2.1]). Let s,t be rational numbers. Let $E_{t,s}$ be the elliptic curve as in (3). Let Bru(P;X) be Brumer's polynomial with respect to P as in Definition 1.1 with the splitting field $Spl_{\mathbf{Q}}(Bru(P;X))$ over \mathbf{Q} .

- (i) For any **Q**-rational point $P \in E_{t,s}(\mathbf{Q})$, Bru(P; X) is reducible over **Q** if and only if $P \in \phi^*(E_{t,s}^*(\mathbf{Q}))$;
- (ii) There exists a bijection between the following two finite sets

{subgroup of order 5 in
$$E_{t,s}(\mathbf{Q})/\phi^*(E_{t,s}^*(\mathbf{Q}))$$
}

and

$${\operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\operatorname{Bru}(P;X)) \mid P \in E_{t,s}(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus \phi^*(E_{t,s}^*(\mathbf{Q}))}.$$

The bijection is induced by the correspondence $E_{t,s}(\mathbf{Q}) \ni P \mapsto \mathrm{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{Bru}(P;X)).$

The aim of this paper is to generalize Theorem 1.2 to elliptic curves associated to Lecacheux's quintic F_{20} -polynomial Lec(p, r; X) instead of Brumer's quintic D_5 -polynomial Bru(t, s; X).

Let $\mathbf{Q}(p,r)$ be the rational function field over \mathbf{Q} with two variables p,r. Lecacheux's quintic polynomial $\mathrm{Lec}(p,r;X)$ is defined to be

(5) Lec
$$(p, r; X) := X^5 + \left(r^2(p^2 + 4) - 2p - \frac{17}{4}\right)X^4$$

 $+ \left(3r(p^2 + 4) + p^2 + \frac{13}{2}p + 5\right)X^3$
 $- \left(r(p^2 + 4) + \frac{11}{2}p - 8\right)X^2$
 $+ (p - 6)X + 1 \in \mathbf{Q}(p, r)[X].$

The polynomial Lec(p, r; X) is known to be **Q**-generic for F_{20} (see [4, Theorem 2.3.6]).

We will define the elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}_{p,r}$ associated to Lecacheux's polynomial Lec(p, r; X). Define

(6)
$$W_{p,r} := 16(p^2 + 4)r^3 + 4(p^2 + 4)r^2 - 4(19p + 41)r - 16p - 199,$$

$$D_{p,r} := \frac{W_{p,r}}{8} ((p^4 + 5p^2 + 4) + p(p^2 + 3)\sqrt{p^2 + 4}).$$

The splitting field $\operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}(p,r)}(\operatorname{Lec}(p,r;X))$ contains the unique quadratic (resp. quartic) subfield $\mathbf{Q}(p,r)(\sqrt{p^2+4})$ (resp. $\mathbf{Q}(p,r)(\sqrt{D_{p,r}})$) (see Hoshi and Miyake [2, Lemma 7.3 and Lemma 7.4]; $\operatorname{Lec}(p,r;X)$ is $g_{p,r}^{E_{20}}(X)$ in [2]).

We search β such that the quartic subfields of $\mathrm{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{Lec}(p,\beta;X))$ and of $\mathrm{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{Lec}(p,r;X))$ coincide. We consider the equation

$$D_{p,r}u^2 = D_{p,\beta}.$$

Write $D = D_{p,r}$ and $W = W_{p,r}$. Then the above equation becomes

$$Wu^2 = W_{n,\beta}$$
.

Define

$$x := 4(p^2 + 4)W\beta$$
, $y := 2(p^2 + 4)W^2u$.

Then we get the associated elliptic curve

(7)
$$\mathcal{E}_{p,r}: y^2 = x^3 + (p^2 + 4)Wx^2$$
$$-4(19p + 41)(p^2 + 4)W^2x$$
$$-4(p^2 + 4)^2(16p + 199)W^3$$

to Lecacheux's quintic polynomial Lec(p, r; X).

The curve $\mathcal{E}_{p,r}$ has an isogeny ν of degree 5 defined over $\mathbf{Q}(p,r)$. We see that the 5-division polynomial of $\mathcal{E}_{p,r}$ (see Silverman [8, Exercise 3.7]) has the quadratic factor $f_2(x)$ (see [1, Section 1]). Take a root θ of $f_2(x)=0$. Then we obtain a point $A\in\mathcal{E}_{p,r}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}(p,r)})$ of order 5 with $x(A)=\theta,~\mathcal{E}_{p,r}^*=\mathcal{E}_{p,r}/\langle A\rangle$ as the image of ν and the dual isogeny $\nu^*:\mathcal{E}_{p,r}^*\to\mathcal{E}_{p,r}$ of ν as in (4) (see also Kida, Rikuna and Sato [6, Section 2]):

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{p,r}^* : y^2 &= x^3 + (p^2 + 4)Wx^2 \\ &- 4(p^2 + 4)(52p^2 - 625p + 833)W^2x \\ &+ 4(p^2 + 4)^2(272p^2 - 5000p + 21713)W^3. \end{split}$$

As in the case of Brumer's quintic, after the specialization $\mathbf{Q}(p,r)^2 \ni (p,r) \mapsto (p,r) \in \mathbf{Q}^2$, we also write $\mathrm{Lec}(p,r;X)$, $\mathcal{E}_{p,r}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{p,r}^*$ for $p,r \in \mathbf{Q}$ which are defined over \mathbf{Q} (not $\mathbf{Q}(p,r)$).

Definition 1.3. Let p,r be rational numbers. For each **Q**-rational point $P = (x(P), y(P)) \in \mathcal{E}_{p,r}(\mathbf{Q})$, Lecacheux's polynomial $\operatorname{Lec}(P;X)$ with respect to P is defined to be

$$\operatorname{Lec}(P;X) := \operatorname{Lec}\left(p, \frac{x(P)}{4(p^2+4)W}; X\right)$$

where Lec(p, r; X) is Lecacheux's polynomial as in (5) and $W = W_{p,r}$ is given as in (6).

We note that there exists the point $Q_0 =$ $(4r(p^2+4)W, 2(p^2+4)W^2) \in \mathcal{E}_{p,r}(\mathbf{Q})$ and we have $Lec(Q_0; X) = Lec(p, r; X)$ by the definition.

The following is the main theorem of this

Theorem 1.4. Let p, r be rational numbers. Let $\mathcal{E}_{p,r}$ be the elliptic curve as in (7). Let Lec(P; X) be Lecacheux's polynomial with respect to P as in Definition 1.3 with the splitting field $\operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbf{O}}(\operatorname{Lec}(P;X))$ over \mathbf{Q} .

- (i) For any **Q**-rational point $P \in \mathcal{E}_{p,r}(\mathbf{Q})$, $\operatorname{Lec}(P;X)$ is reducible over **Q** if and only if $P \in \nu^*(\mathcal{E}_{p,r}^*(\mathbf{Q}))$;
- (ii) There exists a bijection between the following $two\ finite\ sets$

{subgroup of order 5 in
$$\mathcal{E}_{p,r}(\mathbf{Q})/\nu^*(\mathcal{E}_{p,r}^*(\mathbf{Q}))$$
}

and

$${\operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\operatorname{Lec}(P;X)) \mid P \in \mathcal{E}_{p,r}(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus \nu^*(\mathcal{E}_{p,r}^*(\mathbf{Q}))}.$$

The bijection is induced by the correspondence $\mathcal{E}_{p,r}(\mathbf{Q}) \ni P \mapsto \mathrm{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{Lec}(P;X)).$

- 2. Constructions of Bru(t, s; X) and Lec(p, r; X). We recall constructions of Brumer's polynomial Bru(t, s; X) and Lecacheux's polynomial Lec(p, r; X) in Lecacheux [7, pages 209–214].
- **2.1.** Construction of Bru(t, s; X). We consider the elliptic curve:

$$E_t^*: y^2 + (1-t)xy - ty = x^3 - tx^2$$

with 5-torsion points

$$A = (0,0), 2A = (t,t^2), 3A = (t,0), 4A = (0,t).$$

The curve E_t^* is also called Tate normal form (see Husemöller [3, page 93, Definition 4.1]). The *j*-invariant of E_t^* is $\frac{(t^4-12t^3+14t^2+12t+1)^3}{t^5(t^2-11t-1)}$. There exists the elliptic curve $E_t=E_t^*/\langle A \rangle$ up to isomorphism with the isogeny $\phi: E_t^* \to E_t, X = \frac{t}{x} \mapsto X' = \frac{2(X-2)(X^2+2Xt-1)(2X^2-2Xt-2X+t)}{X(X-1)^2}$ of degree 5. Then by solving this for X, we have $X^5 + (t-3)X^4 + t$ $(1 - \frac{1}{4}X' - 2t^2 - \frac{7}{2}t)X^3 + (4t + 3 + 5t^2 + \frac{1}{2}X')X^2 +$ $(-2t^2-2-\frac{1}{4}X'-\frac{5}{2}t)X+t=0$. Define $s=-2t^2 2 - \frac{1}{4}X' - \frac{5}{2}t$. Then the left-hand side of this equation becomes

Bru
$$(t, s; x) = x^5 + (t - 3)x^4 - (t - s - 3)x^3 + (t^2 - t - 2s - 1)x^2 + sx + t.$$

We find that the elliptic curve E_t and the elliptic curve $E_{t,s}$ associated to Bru(t,s;X) as in (3) are isomorphic over some extension field (see also Kida, Rikuna and Sato [6, page 695]). The *j*-invariants of E_t and of $E_{t,s}$ are the same $\frac{(t^4+228t^3+494t^2-228t+1)^3}{t(t^2-11t-1)^5}$. **2.2. Construction of Lec(p, r; X).** We con-

sider the elliptic curve

$$\mathcal{E}_p^* : y^2 - \frac{1}{4}(p^2 + 4)(x^2 + 1)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(x^2 - px - 1)(2x - p)$$

with 5-torsion points

$$A = (\alpha, \beta), 2A = \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right),$$
$$3A = \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}, -\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right), 4A = (\alpha, -\beta)$$

where α and $-1/\alpha$ are roots of $x^2 - px - 1$ and β satisfies

$$\beta^2 = \frac{1}{4}(p^2 + 4)(\alpha^2 + 4) = \frac{1}{4}(p^2 + 4)^{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha.$$

The *j*-invariant of \mathcal{E}_p^* is $\frac{(p^2-12p+16)^3}{p-11}$. There exists the elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}_p = \mathcal{E}_p^*/\langle A \rangle$ up to isomorphism with the isogeny

$$\phi: \mathcal{E}_p^* \to \mathcal{E}_p, x \mapsto r = \frac{x+2p}{p^2+4} + \frac{(p^2+4)(px+2)}{L^2} + \frac{x(p+2)+(p^2-p+6)}{L} - \frac{5p}{2(p^2+4)}$$

of degree 5 where $L = x^2 - px - 1$. Define l = $-L/(p^2+4)$. Solving the equation for l, we have

$$\begin{split} l^5 + & (r^2(p^2+4) - 2p - \frac{17}{4})l^4 \\ & + (3r(p^2+4) + p^2 + \frac{13}{2}p + 5)l^3 \\ & - (r(p^2+4) + \frac{11}{2}p - 8)l^2 + (p - 6)l + 1 = 0. \end{split}$$

The left-hand side of this equation yields Lec(p, r; l). The elliptic curve \mathcal{E}_p and the associated elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}_{p,r}$ to Lec(p,r;X) as in (7) are isomorphic over some extension field with the j-invariant $\frac{(p^2+228p+496)^3}{(p-11)^5}.$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4. The idea of the proof of Theorem 1.4 is to combine the results given in Hoshi and Miyake [2] and Kida, Rikuna and Sato [6]. According to [2, page 1078, Equation (25)], for $p, r \in \mathbf{Q}$, we define $k = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p^2 + 4})$ and

(8)
$$s = -\frac{1}{4}(5p + 8r + 2p^2r + (2pr + 5)\sqrt{p^2 + 4}),$$
$$t = \frac{1}{2}(p + \sqrt{p^2 + 4}).$$

Then it follows that $\operatorname{Spl}_k(\operatorname{Bru}(t,s;X)) = \operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\operatorname{Lec}(p,r;X))$. The associated elliptic curves $E_{t,s}$ and $E_{t,s}^*$ given as in (3) and (4) are defined over k. According to [6, Section 3], we take elliptic curves E_t and E_t^* defined over k by

$$E_t: y^2 - (t-1)xy - ty = x^3 - tx^2$$
$$-5t(t^2 + 2t - 1)x$$
$$-t(t^4 + 10t^3 - 5t^2 + 15t - 1),$$
$$E_t^*: y^2 - (t-1)xy - ty = x^3 - tx^2.$$

The curves $E_{t,s}$ (resp. $E_{t,s}^*$) and E_t (resp. E_t^*) are isomorphic over $F = k(\sqrt{d_{t,s}})$ where $d_{t,s}$ is given in (2) and we take an isogeny $\phi: E_{t,s} \to E_{t,s}^*$ and the dual isogeny $\phi^*: E_{t,s}^* \to E_{t,s}$. We also take an isogeny $\lambda^*: E_t^* \to E_t$ of degree 5. By [6, Theorem 3.1], there exists an injective homomorphism

$$E_{t,s}(k)/\phi^*(E_{t,s}^*(k))$$

$$\hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cont}}(\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{F}/F), \operatorname{Ker} \lambda^*(k)).$$

We will prove that there exists an injective homomorphism

$$\mathcal{E}_{p,r}(\mathbf{Q})/\nu^*(\mathcal{E}_{p,r}^*(\mathbf{Q}))$$

$$\hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom_{cont}}(\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{F}/F), \operatorname{Ker} \lambda^*(k)).$$

We see that the elliptic curves $\mathcal{E}_{p,r}$ and $E_{t,s}$ are isomorphic over k with j-invariant $\frac{(p^2+228p+496)^3}{(p^2+28p+496)^3}$. Indeed, we may find an isomorphism $f: \mathcal{E}_{p,r}^{(p-11)^5} \to E_{t,s}$ which is given explicitly as

$$(x,y) \mapsto (ax+b,uy)$$

where $a, b, u \in k$ are given by

$$a = \frac{1}{8} (p^4 + 4p^2 + 2 + p(p^2 + 2)\sqrt{p^2 + 4}),$$

$$b = \frac{5}{4} (p(p^2 + 2)(p^2 + 4) + (p^4 + 4p^2 + 2)\sqrt{p^2 + 4})W_{p,r},$$

$$u = \frac{1}{16} ((p^2 + 2)(p^4 + 4p^2 + 1) + p(p^2 + 1)(p^2 + 3)\sqrt{p^2 + 4})$$

with $W_{p,r} = 16(p^2 + 4)r^3 + 4(p^2 + 4)r^2 - 4(19p + 41)r - 16p - 199$ given as in (6).

We obtain an isomorphism $f^*: \mathcal{E}_{p,r}^* \to E_{t,s}^*$

defined over k such that the diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \nu^{*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{p,r}^{*} \xrightarrow{\nu_{/Q}^{*}} \mathcal{E}_{p,r} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$f_{/k}^{*} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow f_{/k}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \phi^{*} \longrightarrow E_{t,s}^{*} \xrightarrow{\phi_{/k}^{*}} E_{t,s} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$g_{/F}^{*} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow g_{/F}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \lambda^{*} \longrightarrow E_{t}^{*} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{/k}^{*}} E_{t} \longrightarrow 0$$

commutes with exact rows. The j-invariants of $\mathcal{E}_{p,r}^*$ and $E_{t,s}^*$ are the same $\frac{(p^2-12p+16)^3}{p-11}$. Therefore the isomorphism f induces an injection

$$\overline{f}: \mathcal{E}_{p,r}(k)/\nu^*(\mathcal{E}_{p,r}^*(k)) \hookrightarrow E_{t,s}(k)/\phi^*(E_{t,s}^*(k)).$$

By [6, Theorem 3.1] (see also Kida [5, Remark 4.3]), there exists an injective homomorphism

$$\overline{g}: E_{t,s}(k)/\phi^*(E_{t,s}^*(k))$$

$$\hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cont}}(\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{F}/F), \operatorname{Ker} \lambda^*(k)).$$

Then we also obtain an injective homomorphism

$$\overline{g} \circ \overline{f} : \mathcal{E}_{p,r}(k)/\nu^*(\mathcal{E}_{p,r}^*(k))$$

$$\hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cont}}(\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{F}/F), \operatorname{Ker} \lambda^*(k)).$$

Because the isogeny ν^* is defined over \mathbf{Q} , we get

$$\mathcal{E}_{p,r}(\mathbf{Q})/\nu^*(\mathcal{E}_{p,r}^*(\mathbf{Q}))$$

$$\hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom_{cont}}(\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{F}/F), \operatorname{Ker} \lambda^*(k)).$$

Every point $P = (x(P), y(P)) \in \mathcal{E}_{p,r}(\mathbf{Q})$ defines a Kummer extension

$$L_P = F((\lambda^*)^{-1}(g \circ f(P)))$$

over F. In particular, via (8), we observe that

$$L_P = \operatorname{Spl}_k\left(\operatorname{Bru}\left(\frac{1}{2}(p+\sqrt{p^2+4}), \frac{x(f(P))}{-4d}; X\right)\right)$$
$$= \operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\operatorname{Lec}(P; X))$$

where $\operatorname{Lec}(P;X) = \operatorname{Lec}(p,\frac{x(P)}{4(p^2+4)W};X)$ as in Definition 1.3. Hence the group $\mathcal{E}_{p,r}(\mathbf{Q})/\nu^*(\mathcal{E}_{p,r}^*(\mathbf{Q}))$ classifies the isomorphism classes of $\operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\operatorname{Lec}(P;X))$ with quartic subfield F (see also [6, Section 3]). \square

By Theorem 1.4, we have the following result by the multiplication-by-2 map of the elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}_{p,r}$:

Corollary 3.1. For a Q-rational point $P \in \mathcal{E}_{p,r}(\mathbf{Q})$ and integer n with $\gcd(n,5)=1$, $\mathrm{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{Lec}(P;X))=\mathrm{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{Lec}([n]P;X))$ where $\mathrm{Lec}(P;X)=\mathrm{Lec}(p,\frac{x(P)}{4(p^2+4)W};X)$ as in Definition 1.3.

In particular, for $P = Q_0 = (4r(p^2 + 4)W, 2(p^2 +$ $(4)W^2$) and n=2, we have $Spl_{\mathbf{Q}}(Lec(p,r;X)) =$ $Spl_{\mathbf{Q}}(Lec(p, R; X))$ where

$$R = \frac{x([2]Q_0)}{4(p^2+4)W},$$

$$W = 16(p^2+4)r^3 + 4(p^2+4)r^2$$

$$-4(19p+41)r - 16p - 199,$$

$$x([2]Q_0) = 16(p^2+4)^2r^4 + 8(p^2+4)(19p+41)r^2$$

$$+4(32p^3+398p^2+128p+1592)r$$

$$+16p^3+560p^2+1622p+2477.$$

Remark 3.2. We can also verify $\operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\operatorname{Lec}(p, r; X)) = \operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\operatorname{Lec}(p, R; X))$ in Corollary 3.1 by Hoshi and Miyake [2] via multi-resolvent polynomials. We take multi-resolvent polynomials $F_{a,a'}^1$ and $F_{a,a'}^2$ as in [2, page 1071] where a = (s, t), a' = (s', t'). Using [2, page 1078, Method 2], via (8), we obtain that $Spl_{\mathbf{Q}}(Lec(p, r; X)) =$ $\mathrm{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{Lec}(p,R;X))$ if and only if $F_{a,a'}^1$ or $F_{a,a'}^2$ has a linear factor over $k = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p^2+4})$. Indeed, we see that $F_{a,a'}^2$ has a linear factor $x + \frac{1+2r}{2}\sqrt{p^2 + 4} + \frac{p-1}{2}$. **4. Examples of Theorem 1.4.** We will

give two examples of Theorem 1.4.

Example 4.1 (p = 1and r = -3 $\mathcal{E}_{1,-3}(\mathbf{Q})/\nu^*(\mathcal{E}_{1,-3}^*(\mathbf{Q})) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z})$. We consider the case where p = 1 and r = -3. The associated isogenous curves are

$$\mathcal{E}_{1,-3}: y^2 = x^3 - 7375x^2 - 2610750000x \\ + 68994507812500,$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{1,-3}^*: y^2 = x^3 - 7375x^2 - 11313250000x \\ - 5450566117187500$$

with *j*-invariants $-\frac{5\cdot 29^3}{2^5}, -\frac{5^2}{2}$ respectively. Their Mordell-Weil groups are

$$\mathcal{E}_{1,-3}(\mathbf{Q}) = \langle P_1, P_2 \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2},$$

 $\mathcal{E}_{1,-2}^*(\mathbf{Q}) = \langle Q_1, Q_2 \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2}$

where

$$P_1 = (-53100, 6091750),$$

$$P_2 = (88500, 21756250),$$

$$Q_1 = (678500, 543906250),$$

$$Q_2 = (1452875, 1740500000).$$

We see $P_2 = Q_0$ where $Q_0 = (4r(p^2 + 4)W, 2(p^2 +$ $4)W^2$) which corresponds to Lec(1, -3; X). The isogeny $\nu^*: \mathcal{E}_{1,-3}^* \to \mathcal{E}_{1,-3}$ is given by

$$\nu^*(Q_1) = P_1 - 2P_2,$$

$$\nu^*(Q_2) = -P_1 - 3P_2.$$

Hence the image of ν^* is given by

$$\nu^*(\mathcal{E}_{1,-3}^*) = \langle P_1 - 2P_2, 5P_2 \rangle.$$

We conclude that $\mathcal{E}_{1,-3}(\mathbf{Q})/\nu^*(\mathcal{E}_{1,-3}^*(\mathbf{Q})) = \langle \overline{P_2} \rangle \simeq$ **Z**/5**Z**. Thus there exists exactly one isomorphism class of Lecachux's polynomials. We have

$$Spl_{\mathbf{Q}}(Lec(1, -3; X)) = Spl_{\mathbf{Q}}(Lec([n]P_2; X))$$
$$= Spl_{\mathbf{Q}}(Lec(1, \frac{x([n]P_2)}{4(n^2 + 4)W}; X))$$

where gcd(n, 5) = 1. For example, for n = 1, 2, 3, 4, we have

$$\frac{x([n]P_2)}{4(p^2+4)W} = -3, \frac{-263}{236}, \frac{4849}{39605}, \frac{2034016227}{1036798976}$$

respectively. We can check this example by Sage [9] as in the arXiv version of this paper [1, Example 4.1].

Example 4.2 (p = 2 and r = -15 with $\mathcal{E}_{2,-15}(\mathbf{Q})/\nu^*(\mathcal{E}_{2,-15}^*(\mathbf{Q})) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 2}).$ We consider the case where p=2, r=-15. The associated isogenous curves are

$$\mathcal{E}_{2,-15}: y^2 = x^3 - 3362328x^2 - 446557358393568x + 4390381057572915584256,$$

$$\mathcal{E}^*_{2,-15}: y^2 = x^3 - 3362328x^2 + 1181398581066528x \\ -243295532112514685688576$$

with *j*-invariants $-\frac{2^6 \cdot 239^3}{3^{10}}, \frac{2^6}{3^2}$ respectively. Their Mordell-Weil groups are

$$\mathcal{E}_{2,-15}(\mathbf{Q}) = \langle P_{\text{tor}} \rangle \oplus \langle P_1, P_2, P_3 \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 3},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{2,-15}^*(\mathbf{Q}) = \langle Q_{\text{tor}} \rangle \oplus \langle Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 3}$$
where

$$\begin{split} P_{\text{tor}} &= (-23536296, 0), \\ P_{1} &= (\frac{1213850592}{121}, \frac{32104365187824}{1331}), \\ P_{2} &= (12954852, 14669441496), \\ P_{3} &= (24185016, 75959770464), \\ Q_{\text{tor}} &= (57159576, 0), \\ Q_{1} &= (\frac{9662338144}{169}, \frac{26786536642000}{2197}), \\ Q_{2} &= (58184676, 105083001000), \\ Q_{3} &= (\frac{15400097496}{121}, \frac{1841522732064000}{1331}). \end{split}$$

The isogeny $\nu^*: \mathcal{E}_{2,-15}^* \to \mathcal{E}_{2,-15}$ is given by $\nu^*(Q_{\rm tor}) = P_{\rm tor},$ $\nu^*(Q_1) = -P_1 + 2P_2 + 2P_3,$ $\nu^*(Q_2) = P_{\text{tor}} - 2P_1 - P_2 - P_3,$ $\nu^*(Q_3) = -2P_1 + 4P_2 - P_3.$

Hence we obtain the image

$$\nu^*(\mathcal{E}_{2,-15}^*) = \langle P_{\text{tor}}, P_1 + 2P_2 + 2P_3, 5P_2, 5P_3 \rangle$$

and conclude that $\mathcal{E}_{2,-15}(\mathbf{Q})/\nu^*(\mathcal{E}_{2,-15}^*(\mathbf{Q})) = \langle \overline{P_2}, \overline{P_3} \rangle \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 2}$. There exist 6 subgroups of order 5 in $\mathcal{E}_{2,-15}(\mathbf{Q})/\nu^*(\mathcal{E}_{2,-15}^*(\mathbf{Q})) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 2}$ which correspond to the 6 isomorphism classes

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Lec}(P_2 - 2P_3; X) &= \operatorname{Lec}(2, -\frac{6826408529368884683}{114084259282587016}; X), \\ \operatorname{Lec}(P_2 - P_3; X) &= \operatorname{Lec}(2, -\frac{5293745}{2271049}; X), \\ \operatorname{Lec}(P_2; X) &= \operatorname{Lec}(2, -\frac{131}{136}; X), \\ \operatorname{Lec}(P_2 + P_3; X) &= \operatorname{Lec}(2, \frac{157}{529}; X), \\ \operatorname{Lec}(P_2 + 2P_3; X) &= \operatorname{Lec}(2, \frac{9701177386741}{7753965979144}; X), \\ \operatorname{Lec}(P_3; X) &= \operatorname{Lec}(2, -\frac{19759}{10988}; X), \end{aligned}$$

with the quartic subfield

$$F = \mathbf{Q}\left(\sqrt{-233495 - \frac{326893}{2}\sqrt{2}}\right).$$

Since Lec(2, -15; X) corresponds to the point $Q_0 = (4r(p^2 + 4)W, 2(p^2 + 4)W^2)$ $= (201739680, 2826312394896) = P_{tor} - P_1 - P_3$ and $\langle \overline{Q_0} \rangle = \langle \overline{P_2 - 2P_3} \rangle$ in $\mathcal{E}_{2,-15}(\mathbf{Q})/\nu^*(\mathcal{E}_{2,-15}^*(\mathbf{Q}))$, $\mathrm{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{Lec}(Q_0; X)) = \mathrm{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{Lec}(2, -15; X))$ $= \mathrm{Spl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{Lec}(P_2 - 2P_3; X)).$

We can check this example by Sage [9] as in the arXiv version of this paper [1, Example 4.2].

Two examples of the degenerate cases $G_{p,r} \simeq D_5$ and C_5 where $G_{p,r} = \operatorname{Gal}(\operatorname{Lec}(p,r;X)/\mathbf{Q})$ are also given in [1, Section 5].

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the referee for helpful comments. This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19K03418.

References

- [1] A. Hoshi and M. Koshiba, On Lecacheux's family of quintic polynomials, arXiv:2003.13458 (the arXiv version of this paper).
- [2] A. Hoshi and K. Miyake, On the field intersection problem of solvable quintic generic polynomials, Int. J. Number Theory 6 (2010), no. 5, 1047–1081.
- [3] D. Husemöller, Elliptic curves, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 111, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.
- [4] C. U. Jensen, A. Ledet and N. Yui, Generic polynomials, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, 45, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [5] M. Kida, On metacyclic extensions, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **24** (2012), no. 2, 339–353.
- [6] M. Kida, Y. Rikuna and A. Sato, Classifying Brumer's quintic polynomials by weak Mordell-Weil groups, Int. J. Number Theory 6 (2010), no. 3, 691–704.
- [7] O. Lecacheux, Constructions de polynômes génériques à groupe de Galois résoluble, Acta Arith. 86 (1998), no. 3, 207–216.
- [8] J. H. Silverman, The arithmetic of elliptic curves, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 106, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [9] W. A. Stein, et al., Sage: Open Source Mathematical Software (Version 9.0), The Sage Group, http://www.sagemath.org, 2020.
- [10] J. Vélu, Isogénies entre courbes elliptiques, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 273 (1971), A238– A241.