Transcendence of special values of log double sine function

By Hidekazu Tanaka

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

(Communicated by Kenji Fukaya, M.J.A., Oct. 14, 2014)

Abstract: In 2009 [4,5], S. Gun, M. R. Murty, P. Rath studied transcendental values of the logarithm of the gamma function. They showed that for any rational number x with $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$, the number $\log \Gamma(x) + \log \Gamma(1-x)$ is transcendental with at most one possible exception. In this paper, we study transcendental values of log double sine function using their method.

Key words: Double sine function; transcendency; Catalan constant.

1. Introduction. Recall that multiple sine functions $S_r(x)$ are constructed as

$$S_r(x) = \prod_{n_1, \dots, n_r \ge 0} (n_1 + \dots + n_r + x) \times \left(\prod_{n_1, \dots, n_r \ge 1} (n_1 + \dots + n_r - x) \right)^{(-1)^{r-1}},$$

where \prod denotes the regularized product of Deninger [3]:

$$\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lambda = \exp\left(-\frac{d}{ds} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lambda^{-s} \bigg|_{s=0}\right).$$

We refer to [2,6–8] for the details of multiple sine functions. We are interested with special values of log multiple sine functions to investigate unknown special values of zeta functions.

In [4,5](2009), S. Gun, M. R. Murty and P. Rath investigated the transcendency of

$$\log \Gamma(x) + \log \Gamma(1-x)$$

for any rational number $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. By Lerch's formula [9] we have

$$\log \Gamma(x) + \log \Gamma(1-x) = \log \pi - \log \sin(\pi x)$$
$$= \log(2\pi) - \log S_1(x).$$

Here we study an analogue of this result for double sine function $S_2(x)$. Using the definition of $S_2(x)$ we have

$$S_2(x) = S_2(2-x)^{-1}$$
.

Hence, we may restrict ourselves to the domain 0 < x < 1 for $\log S_2(x)$. This domain corresponds to

the domain $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$ for $\log \Gamma(x) + \log \Gamma(1-x) = \log \pi - \log \sin(\pi x)$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\alpha \in (0,1) - \{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}\}$ be a rational number.

(1) For any positive integer k, the number

$$\log |S_2(\alpha+k)|$$

is transcendental with at most one possible exceptional k.

(2) For any non-positive integer k, the number

$$\log |S_2(\alpha+k)|$$

is transcendental with at most one possible exceptional k.

Remark 1.2. Let G be the Catalan constant, that is, $G = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)^2} = 0.9159 \cdots > 0$. Then Kurokawa and Koyama [7, Example 2.9 (a)] obtained

$$(1.1) S_2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = 2^{\frac{3}{8}} \exp\left(-\frac{G}{2\pi}\right).$$

Corollary 1.3. (1) Let k be any positive integer. Then the number

$$\log|S_2(\frac{1}{4} + k)| = \frac{3 - 4k}{8}\log 2 - \frac{G}{2\pi}$$

 $is\ transcendental\ with\ at\ most\ one\ possible\ exceptional\ k.$

(2) Let k be any non-positive integer. Then the number

$$\log |S_2 \left(\frac{1}{4} + k\right)| = \frac{3 + 4k}{8} \log 2 - \frac{G}{2\pi},$$

is transcendental with at most one possible exceptional k.

 $2000 \ {\rm Mathematics \ Subject \ Classification.} \quad {\rm Primary \ 11M36.}$

2. Proofs.

We prepare the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1 ([7, (a) of Theorem 2.1]). Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

(2.1)
$$S_r(x+1) = \frac{S_r(x)}{S_{r-1}(x)},$$

where we put

$$S_0(x) = -1.$$

Lemma 2.2. Let k_i be distinct positive integers for i=1,2 and $\alpha \in (0,1)-\{\frac{1}{6},\frac{5}{6}\}$ be a rational number. Put $g(k_i):=\log |S_2(\alpha+k_i)|$. Then we have

$$g(k_1) \neq g(k_2)$$
.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Assume $k_1 > k_2 > 0$ and $g(k_1) = g(k_2)$. Since by (2.1)

$$g(k_i) := \log |S_2(\alpha + k_i)| = \log |S_2(\alpha)|$$
$$- \sum_{l=0}^{k_i - 1} \log |S_1(\alpha + l)|$$

for i = 1, 2, we have

$$\sum_{l=k_2}^{k_1-1} \log |S_1(\alpha+l)| = 0$$

from $g(k_1) = g(k_2)$. Since $\alpha \in (0,1) - \{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}\}$ is a rational number and

$$\prod_{l=k_2}^{k_1-1} |S_1(\alpha+l)| = \prod_{l=k_2}^{k_1-1} |2\sin(\pi(\alpha+l))|$$
$$= (2\sin(\alpha\pi))^{k_1-k_2} \neq 1,$$

we have a contradiction. So $g(k_1) \neq g(k_2)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Now we prove (1) of Theorem 1.1. Suppose otherwise, namely, there exist positive integers k_1, k_2 ($k_1 > k_2$) such that both values $g(k_1)$ and $g(k_2)$ are algebraic. Then using Lemma 2.2 we see that the algebraic number $g(k_1) - g(k_2)$ is expressed as

(2.2)
$$0 \neq g(k_1) - g(k_2) = \sum_{l=k_2}^{k_1-1} \log |S_1(\alpha+l)|.$$

We recall a famous result of Baker.

Lemma 2.3 ([1, Theorem 2.2]). Any non-vanishing linear combination of logarithms of algebraic numbers with algebraic coefficients is transcendental.

From (2.2) and Lemma 2.3 $g(k_1) - g(k_2)$ is transcendental. This gives a contradiction. Next we prove (2) of Theorem 1.1. By the definition we have

$$S_2(2-x) = S_2(x)^{-1}$$
,

so that for $\alpha \in (0,1) - \{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}\}$ and for positive integer k

$$S_2(\alpha + k)^{-1} = S_2(2 - (\alpha + k)) = S_2(\alpha' + k'),$$

where $\alpha' = 1 - \alpha$ and k' = 1 - k. Hence, (2) of Theorem 1.1 is given by (1) of Theorem 1.1. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Corollary 1.3 is given by Theorem 1.1, (1.1) and (2.1).

References

- [1] A. Baker, Transcendental number theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1975.
- [2] E. W. Barnes, On the theory of the multiple gamma function, Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 19 (1904), 374–425.
- [3] C. Deninger, Local *L*-factors of motives and regularized determinants, Invent. Math. **107** (1992), no. 1, 135–150.
- [4] S. Gun, M. R. Murty and P. Rath, Transcendence of the log gamma function and some discrete periods, J. Number Theory 129 (2009), no. 9, 2154–2165.
- [5] S. Gun, M. R. Murty and P. Rath, Corrigendum to "Transcendence of the log gamma function and some discrete periods" [J. Number Theory 129 (9) (2009) 2154-2165], J. Number Theory 130 (2010), 1251.
- [6] S. Koyama and N. Kurokawa, Values of the double sine function, J. Number Theory 123 (2007), no. 1, 204–223.
- [7] N. Kurokawa and S. Koyama, Multiple sine functions, Forum Math. 15 (2003), no. 6, 839– 876.
- [8] N. Kurokawa and M. Wakayama, Extremal values of double and triple trigonometric functions, Kyushu J. Math. 58 (2004), no. 1, 141–166.
- [9] M. Lerch, Další studie v oboru Malmsténovských řad, Rozpravy České Akad. 3 (1894), no. 28, 1–61.