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#### Abstract

Concerning the Feit-Thompson conjecture, Stephens found an example for primes 17 and 3313. In this paper, using the Artin map, these primes are common index divisors of subfields of a cyclotomic field, and some results in [7,8] shall be again proved.
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Let $p<q$ be primes and we set

$$
f:=\frac{q^{p}-1}{q-1} \text { and } t:=\frac{p^{q}-1}{p-1} .
$$

Feit and Thompson [3] conjectured that $f$ never divides $t$. If it would be proved, the proof of their odd order theorem [4] would be greatly simplified (see [1] and [5]).

Throughout this paper, we assume that $r$ is a common prime divisor of $f$ and $t$. Using computer, Stephens [10] found the example about $r$ as follows: for $p=17$ and $q=3313, r=112643=2 p q+1$ is the greatest common divisor of $f$ and $t$. This example is so far the only one.

In this paper, using the Artin map, we shall show that both 17 and 3313 are common index divisors (gemeinsamer ausserwesentlicher Discriminantenteiler) of some subfields of a cyclotomic field $\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{r}\right)$ where $r=112643$ and $\zeta_{r}=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{r}}$, and some results in $[7,8]$ shall be again proved.

The assumption on $r$ yields from [7, Lemma, (1) and (3)] that $p$ and $q$ are orders of $q \bmod r$ and $p \bmod r$, respectively and $r \equiv 1 \bmod _{2 \pi i} 2 p q$.

We set $q^{*} q:=r-1$ and $\zeta=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{r}}$. Let $n$ be a divisor of $q^{*}$, let $L_{n}$ be a subfield of $K=\mathbf{Q}(\zeta)$ with $\left[L_{n}: \mathbf{Q}\right]=n$ and let $\mathbf{O}_{n}$ be the algebraic integer ring of $L_{n}$. The next exact sequence using the Artin map $\alpha$ follows from [9, p. 99 and section 2.16] where $\alpha=\alpha_{L_{n} / \mathbf{Q}}$ and $\alpha(s)=\left(\frac{L_{n} / \mathbf{Q}}{s}\right)$ (the Artin symbol, see [9, p. 96]).

[^0]$$
1 \longrightarrow H_{n}(r) \longrightarrow I_{\mathbf{Q}}(r) \xrightarrow{\alpha} G_{n} \longrightarrow 1
$$
where $G_{n}$ is the Galois group of $L_{n}$ over $\mathbf{Q}, I_{\mathbf{Q}}(r)$ is the fractional ideal group of $\mathbf{Q}$ prime to $r$, namely,
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\mathbf{Q}}(r) & :=\left\{\left.\frac{s}{t} \right\rvert\, 0<s, t \in \mathbf{Z},(s t, r)=1\right\} \text { and } \\
H_{n}(r) & :=\left\{\left.\frac{s}{t} \in I_{\mathbf{Q}}(r) \right\rvert\, s \equiv t x^{n} \bmod r, x \in \mathbf{Z}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

We have $d(\mu)=I(\mu)^{2} d\left(L_{n}\right)$ for $\mu \in \mathbf{O}_{n}$ where $I(\mu) \in \mathbf{Z}, d(\mu)$ and $d\left(L_{n}\right)$ are discriminants of $\mu$ and of the field $L_{n}$, respectively.

The example by Stephens shows from the next Theorem that $p=17$ and $q=3313$ are common index divisors of $L_{34}$ and of $L_{6626}$, respectively, because we can exchange $p$ for $q$.

Theorem. Assume $r$ is a common prime divisor of $f$ and $t$, and $n$ is a divisor of $q^{*}$, where $q^{*} q=r-1$. Then $p$ splits completely in $\mathbf{O}_{n}$ and if there exists $\mu \in \mathbf{O}_{n}$ such that $p$ does not divide $I(\mu)$, then $n \leqq p$.

In particular, for $n>p, p$ is a common index divisor of $\mathbf{O}_{n}$ namely, $p$ divides $I(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \mathbf{O}_{n}$.

Proof. First we show $p$ splits completely in $\mathbf{O}_{n}$.
It is well known that $x^{q^{*}} \equiv p \bmod r$ is solvable in $\mathbf{Z}$ from $p^{q} \equiv 1 \bmod r($ see $[6$, p. 45]). Thus $p \in$ $H_{n}(r)$ and $\alpha(p)=1$. Hence $\nu=\nu^{\alpha(p)} \equiv \nu^{p} \bmod P$ for all $\nu \in \mathbf{O}_{n}$, where $P$ is a prime ideal in $\mathbf{O}_{n}$ containing $p$. This means degree of $P$ is 1 . Hence $p$ has the prime ideal decomposition $p=P_{1} P_{2} \cdots P_{n}$ in $\mathbf{O}_{n}$, where $P_{j}$ are all distinct prime ideals.

Next we shall show $n \leqq p$. Let $h(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of $\mu$ over $\mathbf{Q}$. Using decomposition of $p$ and the assumption $p$ does not divide
$I(\mu)$, by Kummer's theorem in [2, p. 141-145], we obtain $\quad h(x) \equiv \phi_{1}(x) \phi_{2}(x) \cdots \phi_{n}(x) \bmod p \quad$ where $\phi_{j}(x)=x-a_{j}, a_{j} \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $a_{j} \bmod p$ are all distinct. Thus we have $p \geqq\left|\left\{a_{j} \bmod p\right\}\right|=n$.

Let $c$ be a primitive root for $r$, let $\chi$ be a character of order $n$ defined by $\chi(c)=\omega$ where $\omega=$ $e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{n}}$ and let $g(\chi)=\sum_{a \in \mathbf{F}_{r}} \chi(a) \zeta^{a}$ be the Gauss sum of $\chi$ where $\mathbf{F}_{r}$ is a finite field of order $r$.

Let $\sigma(\zeta)=\zeta^{c}$ be a generator of the Galois group $G$ of $K$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ and set $T_{n}:=\left\langle\sigma^{n}\right\rangle$.

For simplicity, we set $g_{0}=-1, g_{k}=g\left(\chi^{k}\right)$ for $n>k>0$ and $\theta_{k}=\theta^{\sigma^{k}}$ for $n>k \geqq 0$ where $\theta=$ $\sum_{\tau \in T_{n}} \zeta^{\tau}$ is a trace of $\zeta$.

It is known that $L_{n}=\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ and $\theta$ is a normal basis element of $\mathbf{O}_{n}$ over $\mathbf{Z}$ (see [9, p. 61, p. 74]).

The next Lemma is useful to our object. It only needs to assume $r$ is prime and $n$ is a divisor of $r-1$ in this Lemma. This proof is essentially in the first equation of (1) due to [9, p. 62]. This idea of classifying primitive roots goes back to Gauss; the regular 17 polygon construction by ruler and compass.

## Lemma.

(1) $g_{k}=\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \omega^{k s} \theta_{s} \quad$ for $0 \leqq k<n \quad$ and $n \theta_{k}=$ $\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \bar{\omega}^{k s} g_{s}$ for $0 \leqq k<n$ where $\bar{\omega}$ is the complex conjugate of $\omega$.
(2) We set cyclic matrices $A_{n}, B_{n}$ of the degree $n$ as follows:

$$
A_{n}:=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\theta_{0} & \theta_{1} & \ldots & \theta_{n-1} \\
\theta_{n-1} & \theta_{0} & \ldots & \theta_{n-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\theta_{1} & \theta_{2} & \ldots & \theta_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
B_{n}:=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
g_{0} & g_{1} & \ldots & g_{n-1} \\
g_{n-1} & g_{0} & \ldots & g_{n-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
g_{1} & g_{2} & \ldots & g_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $A_{n}$ has eigenvalues $g_{k}$ and column eigenvectors $v_{k}=\left(\omega^{k j}\right)$, respectively and $B_{n}$ has also eigenvalues $n \theta_{k}$ and column eigenvectors $v_{k}=\left(\bar{\omega}^{k j}\right)$, respectively.
(3) $\left|A_{n}\right|=\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} g_{k}$ and $\left|B_{n}\right|=n^{n} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \theta_{k} \quad$ where $\left|A_{n}\right|$ and $\left|B_{n}\right|$ are determinants of $A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$, respectively.
(4) We set $\epsilon=(-1)^{\frac{(r-1)(n-2)}{8}+1}$ for even $n$ and $\lambda$ is the quadratic character. Then we have

$$
\left|A_{n}\right|= \begin{cases}-r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\ \epsilon r^{\frac{n-2}{2}} g(\lambda) & \text { if } n \text { is even } .\end{cases}
$$

Thus we have

$$
d\left(L_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}r^{n-1} & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\ (-1)^{\frac{r-1}{2}} r^{n-1} & \text { if } n \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. (1) First equations follow essentially from

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\chi) & =\sum_{a \in \mathbf{F}_{r}} \chi(a) \zeta^{a}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} \chi\left(c^{\ell}\right) \zeta^{\ell^{\ell}} \\
& =\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \sum_{s=1}^{\frac{r-1}{n}} \chi\left(c^{n s}\right) \chi\left(c^{t}\right)\left(\zeta^{c^{n s}}\right)^{c^{t}} \\
& =\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \chi\left(c^{t}\right)\left(\sum_{\tau \in T_{n}} \zeta^{\tau}\right)^{\sigma^{t}}=\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \omega^{t} \theta_{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On second equations, we can easily solve linear equations $g_{k}=\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \omega^{k s} \theta_{s}$ for $0 \leqq k<n$ about the unknowns $\theta_{s}$.
(2) is easily checked from (1).
(3) follows easily from (2).
(4): It is easy to see $\chi(-1)=1$ for odd $n$. In general, it follows from

$$
\chi(-1)=\chi\left(c^{\frac{r-1}{2}}\right)=\omega^{\frac{r-1}{2}}=\left(e^{\pi i}\right)^{\frac{r-1}{n}}=(-1)^{\frac{r-1}{n}}
$$

(4) follows from $g_{k} g_{n-k}=\chi(-1)^{k}\left|g_{k}\right|^{2}=\chi(-1)^{k} r$ for $1 \leqq k \leqq \frac{n-1}{2}$.

Some results in $[7,8]$ are proved again in the next

## Corollary.

(1) If $r$ is a common prime divisor of $f$ and $t$, then $p \equiv 1$ or $r \equiv 1 \bmod 4($ see [7, Lemma, (4)]).
(2) If $p=3$ and $f$ divides $t$, then $q \equiv-1 \bmod 9$ (see [8, Corollary, (a)]).
Proof. Let $n$ be a divisor of $q^{*}$ where $q^{*} q=$ $r-1$.
(1) We set here $n=2$. We have $d\left(L_{2}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{r-1}{2}} r$ by Lemma and $d(\theta)=\left(\theta-\theta_{1}\right)^{2}=d\left(L_{2}\right)$ because $\theta=\operatorname{Tr}_{K / L_{2}}(\zeta)$ and so $\theta+\theta_{1}=-1$. Thus Kummer's theorem asserts $h(x) \equiv(x-b)(x+b+1) \bmod p$ for the minimal polynomial $h(x)$ of $\theta$.
$(2 b+1)^{2} \equiv\left(\theta-\theta_{1}\right)^{2}=d\left(L_{2}\right) \equiv(-1)^{\frac{r-1}{2}} \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$.
Thus we have by Fermat's theorem.

$$
1 \equiv\left((2 b+1)^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{r-1}{2}} \bmod p
$$

(2) We consider the case $n=p=3$. If $f$ is composite, then $f$ does not divide $t$. Thus $f$ is prime
and so $r=f$ (see [7]), where $r$ is as in (1). $f$ has a primary prime decomposition $f=\eta \bar{\eta}$ in $\mathbf{Z}[\omega]$ where $\omega=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{3}}$ and $\eta=\omega(\omega-q)$, (see $\left.[6,8]\right)$. In this case, we set $\chi$ is the cubic residue character modulo $\eta$. Let $h(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of $\theta$ over $\mathbf{Q}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(x) & :=x^{3}+a_{1} x^{2}+a_{2} x+a_{3} \\
& =\left(x-\theta_{0}\right)\left(x-\theta_{1}\right)\left(x-\theta_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{1}=-\theta_{0}-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}=1$. If 3 does not divide $I(\theta)$, then $h(x) \equiv x^{3}-x \bmod 3$ contradicts to $a_{1}=1$. Thus $d(\theta) \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ 。

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =r=-\left|A_{3}\right|=-\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \theta_{1} & \theta_{2} \\
1 & \theta_{0} & \theta_{1} \\
1 & \theta_{2} & \theta_{0}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\theta_{0}^{2}+\theta_{1}^{2}+\theta_{2}^{2}-a_{2}=1-3 a_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have $3 a_{2}=1-f=-q(q+1)$. On the other hand, using $g_{2}=\overline{g_{1}}, f=\eta \bar{\eta}$ and the Stickelberger relation $g_{1}^{3}=r \eta=f \eta$ (see [6]), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-27 a_{3} & =27 \theta_{0} \theta_{1} \theta_{2}=\left|B_{3}\right| \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
g_{0} & g_{1} & g_{2} \\
g_{2} & g_{0} & g_{1} \\
g_{1} & g_{2} & g_{0}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =g_{0}^{3}+g_{1}^{3}+g_{2}^{3}-3 g_{0} g_{1} g_{2} \\
& =-1+f(\eta+\bar{\eta})+3 f \\
& =-1+f(q-1)+3 f=(q+1)^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have $3^{3} q^{3} a_{3}=(-q(q+1))^{3}=3^{3} a_{2}^{3}$ and so

$$
a_{2}+a_{3} \equiv a_{2}^{3}-q^{3} a_{3}=0 \bmod 3
$$

Noting $h^{\prime}(\theta) \equiv a_{2}-\theta \bmod 3$ where $h^{\prime}(x)$ is the derivation of $h(x)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \equiv-d(\theta)=N_{L_{3} / \mathbf{Q}}\left(h^{\prime}(\theta)\right) \equiv h\left(a_{2}\right) \\
& \equiv a_{2}-a_{2}^{2}+a_{3} \equiv-a_{2}^{2} \bmod 3
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have $0 \equiv 3 a_{2}=-q(q+1) \bmod 9$.
Remark. The minimal polynomials of $\theta$ and prime ideal decompositions of $p$ in cases (1) and (2) can be explicitly determined.
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