## Some examples causing energy growth for solutions to wave equations

## By Yuta Wakasugi

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

(Communicated by Masaki Kashiwara, M.J.A., Sept. 12, 2011)

**Abstract:** In this paper we study energy growth for solutions to wave equations. We prove that there exist compact in space perturbations of the wave equation  $\partial_t^2 u - \Delta u = 0$  such that the energy of solution grows at the rate  $\exp((1+t)^{\alpha})$  for any  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

**Key words:** Wave equation; energy growth; compact in space perturbation.

1. Introduction. We are interested in energy growth for solutions to wave equations. There are many results about lower bounds of energy. For instance Reissig-Yagdjian [5] showed that there is an exponentially growing solution to

$$\partial_t^2 u - a(t)^2 \triangle u = 0,$$

where a(t) is positive, smooth, periodic and non-constant.

On the other hand, for solutions to wave equations in divergence form

$$\partial_t^2 u - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_{x_i} (a_{i,j}(x) \partial_{x_j} u) = 0,$$

the energy is preserved.

In this paper we consider compact in space perturbation cases, that is

$$\partial_t^2 u - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_{x_i} (a_{i,j}(t,x) \partial_{x_j} u) = 0,$$

where  $a_{i,j}(t,x)$  is constant outside a compact set in  $\mathbf{R}_x^n$ .

Colombini-Rauch [1] studied an example of compact in space perturbation that would give exponentially growing solutions. But their proof was not complete. Doi-Nishitani-Ueda [2] completed thier proof and extended this result to examples that give  $\exp((1+t)^{\alpha})$  growth of energy for any  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ .

Here we shall further extend these results and we get examples that give  $\exp((1+t)^{\alpha})$  growth of energy for any  $0 \le \alpha$ .

2. Main result. We consider the wave equation

(2.1) 
$$\partial_t^2 u - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_{x_i} (a_{i,j}(t,x) \partial_{x_j} u) = 0$$

with Cauchy data

$$u(0,x) = f(x), \quad \partial_t u(0,x) = g(x),$$

where  $t \in [0, \infty), x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and u denotes a complexvalued unknown function. We assume that  $a_{i,j}(t,x)$  are smooth, real-valued,  $a_{i,j} = a_{j,i}$ , and there exist a constant A > 0 and a smooth nonnegative function  $\delta(t)$  such that for any  $(t, x, \xi) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ we have

(2.2) 
$$A^{-2}|\xi|^2 \le \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(t,x)\xi_i\xi_j$$
$$< A^2(1+\delta(t))^2|\xi|^2.$$

Moreover, we assume that for any multiindex  $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}^n$  the inequality

$$(2.3) |\partial_x^{\alpha} a_{i,j}(t,x)| \le C_{\alpha} (1 + \delta(t))^2$$

holds with some constant  $C_{\alpha} > 0$ . We put

$$a(t, x, \xi) := \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{i,j}(t, x) \xi_i \xi_j$$

and

$$E(u,t):=\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\left|\partial_t u\right|^2+\sum_{i,j=1}^na_{i,j}\partial_{x_i}u\overline{\partial_{x_j}u}dx.$$

We call E(u,t) the total energy of u. If  $f,g \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , then the energy identity holds:

(2.4) 
$$E(u,t) = E(u,0)$$

$$+ \int_0^t \int \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_t a_{i,j}(s,x) \partial_{x_i} u \overline{\partial_{x_j} u} dx ds.$$

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35L05, 35L15.

Denote by  $\mathcal{H}$  the Hilbert space that is the completion of  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2:=\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\sum_{i=1}^n|\partial_{x_i}u|^2dx=\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}|
abla u|^2dx.$$

Let  $\mathcal{R}(t,0)$  be the solution operator defined by

$$C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n) \times C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n) \to C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n) \times C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

$$(u(0,\cdot), \partial_t u(0,\cdot)) \mapsto (u(t,\cdot), \partial_t u(t,\cdot))$$

which can be extended uniquely to bounded operator on  $\mathcal{H} \times L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . A bicharacteristic of a function  $H(t, x, \xi)$  is a solution to the canonical equation

(2.5) 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX}{dt}(t) = \nabla_{\xi} H(t, X(t), \Xi(t)), \\ \frac{d\Xi}{dt}(t) = -\nabla_{x} H(t, X(t), \Xi(t)). \end{cases}$$

Following Colombini-Rauch [1] and Doi-Nishitani-Ueda [2], we use the following lower estimate of energy in terms of a null bicharacteristic:

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume that there is a bicharacteristic  $(X(t), \Xi(t))$  of  $\sqrt{a}$  or  $-\sqrt{a}$  such that

$$(2.6) |\Xi(t)| > c^*$$

for  $t \geq 0$  with some constant  $c^* > 0$ . Then there exists a family of Cauchy data  $(f_j, g_j) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)(j \in \mathbf{N})$  such that for corresponding solutions  $u_j$  to (2.1) we have

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} \frac{E(u_j, t)}{E(u_j, 0)} \ge \frac{1}{4} G(t)$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ , where

$$G(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t \frac{\partial_t a}{2a}(s, X(s), \Xi(s)) ds\right).$$

Here  $S(\mathbf{R}^n)$  denotes the Schwartz space on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

We can prove this lemma in the same way as in Nishiyama [4], where he treated the case  $a_{i,j}(t,x) = a_{i,j}(x)$  with a damping term.

From this lemma, we have the following estimate of the operator norm of  $\mathcal{R}(t,0)$ :

Corollary 2.2. We have

$$\|\mathcal{R}(t,0)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}\times L^2)} \ge \frac{1}{2} A^{-2} (1+\delta(t))^{-1} \sqrt{G(t)}$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{2} A^{-3} (1+\delta(0))^{-1/2} (1+\delta(t))^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{|\Xi(t)|}{|\Xi(0)|}}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Applying Corollary 2.2, we can construct examples which cause  $\exp(\int_0^t \delta(s)ds)$  growth of energy

for any given  $\delta(t)$ . Our construction works in all dimensions  $n \geq 2$  though we present only the case n = 2 for simplicity. Consider the wave equation

(2.7) 
$$\partial_t^2 u - \sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_{x_i} (\tilde{a}(t, x) \partial_{x_i} u) = 0,$$

where  $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^2$ . That is,  $a_{12} = a_{21} = 0$  and  $a_{11} = a_{22} = \tilde{a}$  in (2.1). To apply Corollary 2.2, we require the following conditions that correspond to (2.2), (2.3) and that  $\tilde{a}$  is compact in space perturbation:

(2.8) 
$$\tilde{a}(t,x) \equiv 1 \quad \text{for} \quad |x| \ge 2,$$
$$A^{-2} \le \tilde{a}(t,x) \le A^{2} (1 + \delta(t))^{2},$$
$$|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \tilde{a}(t,x)| \le C_{\alpha} (1 + \delta(t))^{2}.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** For any smooth nonnegative function  $\delta(t)$  on  $[0,\infty)$  there exists  $\tilde{a}(t,x)$  satisfying (2.8) such that for the associate solution operator  $\mathcal{R}(t,0)$  to (2.7) we have

(2.9) 
$$\|\mathcal{R}(t,0)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}\times L^2)} \ge \frac{1}{2}A^{-3}(1+\delta(0))^{-1/2} \times (1+\delta(t))^{-1} \times \exp\left(\int_0^t \delta(s)ds\right).$$

Moreover, if  $\delta(t)$  satisfies

(2.10) 
$$\inf_{t \in [0,\infty)} \delta(t) > 0, \quad \delta' = o(\delta^2), \quad \delta'' = o(\delta^3)$$

as  $t \to \infty$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\tilde{a}(t,x)$  satisfying (2.8) such that for the associate solution operator  $\mathcal{R}(t,0)$  to (2.7) we have

$$(2.11) \qquad \frac{1}{2} A^{-3} \delta(0)^{-1/2} \delta(t)^{-1} \exp\left(\int_0^t \delta(s) ds\right)$$

$$\leq \|\mathcal{R}(t,0)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \times L^2)}$$

$$\leq C_0 \exp\left((2+\varepsilon) \int_0^t \delta(s) ds\right),$$

$$(2.12) C_1 \delta(t)^{-1} \exp\left(\int_0^t \delta(s) ds\right)$$

$$\leq \|\mathcal{R}(t,0)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1 \times L^2; \mathcal{H} \times L^2)}$$

$$\leq \|\mathcal{R}(t,0)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1 \times L^2)}$$

$$\leq C_2 \exp\left((1+\varepsilon) \int_0^t \delta(s) ds\right),$$

where  $H^1$  denotes the usual Sobolev space.

We note that when  $\delta(t)$  is bounded, estimates (2.11), (2.12) are proved in [2].

**3. Proof of Lemma 2.1.** This proof is almost similar to the proof in Nishiyama [4]. We first

describe h-pseudodifferential operators, microlocal defect measures and a diagonalization of the equation (2.1). Let h be a small positive parameter,  $S^m = S((1+|\xi|^2)^{m/2}, |dx|^2 + (1+|\xi|^2)^{-1}|d\xi|^2)$  and  $a \in S^m$ . We define

$$a_h^w u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n} \iint e^{i(x-y)\xi/h} a\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) u(y) dy d\xi$$

for  $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . The operator  $a_h^w$  is called the h-pseudodifferential operator of symbol a. We put

$$OPS^{m} = \{a_{h}^{w}; a \in S^{m}\},$$

$$h^{\infty}S^{-\infty} = \bigcap_{r \in \mathbf{R}} \bigcap_{l \in \mathbf{R}} h^{r}S^{l},$$

$$h^{\infty}OPS^{-\infty} = \{a_{h}^{w}; a \in h^{\infty}S^{-\infty}\}.$$

If  $\{u_h\}$  is a bounded family in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$  then there exist a subsequence  $\{h_j\}_{j\in\mathbf{N}}$  tending to 0 and a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$  such that

$$\lim_{j o\infty}(a_{h_j}^wu_{h_j},u_{h_j})=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}}a(x,\xi)d\mu$$

for any  $a \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ . We call  $\mu$  the microlocal defect measure associated with  $\{u_{h_i}\}$ .

Next, we explain a diagonalization of (2.1). Let  $\chi(\xi) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  satisfy  $0 \le \chi \le 1$ , supp $\chi \subset \{|\xi| < c^*\}$  and  $\chi \equiv 1$  near 0. Multiplying (2.1) by h and adding  $(1/h)\chi_h^w u$  we have

$$h\partial_t^2 u + \frac{1}{h}(a(t, x, \xi) + \frac{h^2}{4} \sum_{i,j} \partial_i \partial_j a_{i,j} + \chi(\xi))_h^w u$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{h} \chi_h^w u$ .

By ellipticity of  $a + (h^2/4) \sum \partial_i \partial_j a_{i,j} + \chi$ , one can find  $\lambda \in S^1$  satisfying

$$(a(t, x, \xi) + \frac{h^2}{4} \sum_{i,j} \partial_i \partial_j a_{i,j}(t, x) + \chi(\xi))_h^w \equiv \lambda_h^w \circ \lambda_h^w$$

 $\operatorname{mod} h^{\infty} OPS^{-\infty}$ .

We put

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t + \frac{i}{h} \lambda_h^w \\ \partial_t - \frac{i}{h} \lambda_h^w \end{pmatrix} u,$$

then V is a solution to

$$h\partial_t V = \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda_h^w & 0\\ 0 & -i\lambda_h^w \end{pmatrix} V + \frac{h}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial_t \lambda}{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}_h^w \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1\\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} V$$
$$-\frac{h^2}{4i} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \{\partial_t \lambda, \lambda\} \end{pmatrix}_h^w \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1\\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} V + R \begin{pmatrix} u\\ u \end{pmatrix},$$

where R denotes the remainder and  $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$  the Poisson bracket:

$$\{a,b\} = \partial_{\varepsilon}a\partial_{x}b - \partial_{x}a\partial_{\varepsilon}b.$$

In order to diagonalize the principal term of the equation above. We introduce

$$\begin{split} Q &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{ih}{4} \left( \frac{\partial_t \lambda}{\lambda^2} + \frac{b}{\lambda} \right)_h^w \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \Lambda &= \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda_h^w & 0 \\ 0 & -i\lambda_+^w \end{pmatrix} + \frac{h}{2} \left( \frac{\partial_t \lambda}{\lambda} - b \right)_h^w \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Then QV satisfies

$$h\partial_t(QV) = \Lambda QV + \tilde{R}V + QR\begin{pmatrix} u\\ u \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\tilde{R} \in h^2 OPS^{-1}$ . Let  $\tilde{\chi}(\xi) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  satisfy  $0 \le \tilde{\chi} \le 1$ , supp $\tilde{\chi} \subset \{|\xi| < c^*\}$  and  $\tilde{\chi} \equiv 1$  near 0. We put

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} = (1 - \tilde{\chi})_h^w Q V$$

then we have

$$h\partial_t W \equiv \Lambda W + [(1 - \tilde{\chi})_h^w, \Lambda]W$$
$$\mod h^2 OPS^{-1}V, h^2 OPS^{-1}u,$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  denotes the commutator. If we take a family  $\{W_h\}$  that satisfies the equation above, then the microlocal defect measure  $\nu$  of  $\{W_h\}$  satisfies certain corresponding equation. More precisely, we have

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume that  $(f_h, g_h) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $||f_h||_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} = O(h^{-\infty})$  and  $\{u_h\}$  are the corresponding solutions to (2.1). We define  $\{v_{1,h}\}$ ,  $\{v_{2,h}\}$ ,  $\{w_{1,h}\}$ ,  $\{w_{2,h}\}$  from  $\{u_h\}$  as above. If  $\sup_{h \in (0,1]} E(u_h, 0) < +\infty$  then one can find a subsequence  $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$  tending to 0 and microlocal defect measures  $\nu_k(t)$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n_{|\xi| > c^*}$  associated with  $\{w_{k,h_j}(t)\}(k=1,2)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\nu_1 = \{\sqrt{a}, \nu_1\} + \frac{\partial_t a}{2a}\nu_1, \\ \frac{d}{dt}\nu_2 = -\{\sqrt{a}, \nu_2\} + \frac{\partial_t a}{2a}\nu_2 \end{cases}$$

on  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n_{|\xi|>c^*}$  in the sense of distribution. Here  $\mathbf{R}^n_{|\xi|>c^*} = \{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n; |\xi|>c^*\}.$ 

We can prove this lemma by differentiating the form of microlocal defect measure. We omit the detail, which can be found in Nishiyama [4].

Now we prove Lemma 2.1:

**Proof of Lemma 2.1.** We can assume that  $(X(t), \Xi(t))$  is a bicharacteristic of  $-\sqrt{a}$  without loss

of generality. We put  $(x_0, \xi_0) = (X(0), \Xi(0))$ . Let  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  satisfy  $\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} = 1$  and we define

$$\Phi_h(x) = h^{-4/n} \varphi \left( \frac{x - x_0}{h^{1/2}} \right) e^{ix \cdot \xi_0/h}.$$

We choose Cauchy data

$$(f_h, g_h) = \left(\frac{h}{i} \left(\lambda_h^w\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \Phi_h, \frac{1}{2} \Phi_h\right),$$

where  $(\lambda_h^w)^{-1}$  is a parametrix of  $\lambda_h^w$ . We note that  $(v_{1,h}(0), v_{2,h}(0)) \equiv (\Phi_h, 0) \mod h^{\infty} S^{-\infty}$ . Using the sharp Gårding inequality, we calculate

(3.1)

$$\begin{split} E(u_h,0) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} (a_{i,j} h \partial_j (\lambda_h^w)^{-1} \Phi_h, h \partial_i (\lambda_h^w)^{-1} \Phi_h)_{L^2} + \frac{1}{4} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} ((\lambda_h^w)^{-1} h \partial_i a_{i,j} h \partial_j (\lambda_h^w)^{-1} \Phi_h, \Phi_h)_{L^2} + \frac{1}{4} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - (\chi_h^w (\lambda_h^w)^{-1} \Phi_h, (\lambda_h^w)^{-1} \Phi_h)_{L^2} + O(h^\infty) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} + O(h). \end{split}$$

It is known that  $\{\Phi_h\}$  has the defect measure  $\delta_{(x_0,\xi_0)}$  (see Evans-Zworski [3]) and it is easy to see that  $(1-\tilde{\chi})_h^w v_{1,h}(0)$  and  $w_{1,h}(0)$  have same defect measure  $\delta_{(x_0,\xi_0)}$ . From this and Lemma 3.1, we can take a subsequence  $\{h_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  tending to 0 and microlocal defect measure  $\nu_1(t)$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n_{|\xi|>c^*}$  associated with  $\{w_{1,h_i}(t)\}$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\nu_1 = \{\sqrt{a}, \nu_1\} + \frac{\partial_t a}{2a}\nu_1, \\ \nu_1(0) = \delta_{(x_0, \xi_0)}. \end{cases}$$

Solving this equation we have

$$\nu_1(t) = G(t)\delta_{(X(t),\Xi(t))}.$$

Since

$$\begin{split} G(t) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n_{|\xi| > c^*}} G(t) \delta_{(X(t),\Xi(t))} dx d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n_{|\xi| > c^*}} d\nu_1(t) \leq \lim_{j \to \infty} \|w_{1,h_j}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}^2 \end{split}$$

and

$$||w_{1,h_{j}}(t)||_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{n})}^{2} \leq 2||w_{1,h_{j}}(t) - (1 - \tilde{\chi})_{h}^{w}v_{1,h_{j}}(t)||_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{n})}^{2}$$

$$+ 2||(1 - \tilde{\chi})_{h}^{w}v_{1,h_{j}}(t)||_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{n})}^{2}$$

$$\leq 4E(u_{h_{i}}, t) + O(h)$$

(see Nishiyama [4] Lemma 3.1), we obtain  $4 \limsup E(u_{h_i}, t) \geq G(t)$ .

On the other hand, from (3.1) it follows that  $E(u_h, 0) \leq 1$  for small h. Thus we have

$$4\frac{E(u_h, t)}{E(u_h, 0)} \ge 4E(u_h, t)$$

for small h. Consequently we obtain

$$4\limsup_{j\to\infty}\frac{E(u_{h_j},t)}{E(u_{h_i},0)}\geq G(t)$$

and complete the proof.

**4. Proof of Theorem 2.3.** We follow the construction given by Colombini and Rauch in [1]. We first show a simple upper bound:

Proposition 4.1. We have

(4.1) 
$$\|\mathcal{R}(t,0)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}\times L^2)} \le A^2(1+\delta(0))$$

$$\times \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \\ \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n}} \frac{|\partial_t a(s, x, \xi)|}{a(s, x, \xi)} \, ds\right)$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* From (2.4) we have

$$E(u,t) = E(u,0) + \int_0^t \int \partial_t a(s,x,\nabla u) dx ds$$

$$\leq E(u,0) + \int_0^t \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n}} \frac{|\partial_t a(s,x,\zeta)|}{a(s,x,\zeta)} E(u,s) ds,$$

where

$$a(t, x, \zeta) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{i,j}(t, x) \zeta_i \overline{\zeta_j}.$$

Using Gronwall's inequality, we get

$$E(u,t) \le E(u,0) \exp \left( \int_0^t \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \\ \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n}} \frac{|\partial_t a(s,x,\zeta)|}{a(s,x,\zeta)} \, ds \right).$$

Noting

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n}} \frac{|\partial_t a(s,x,\zeta)|}{a(s,x,\zeta)} = \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n}} \frac{|\partial_t a(s,x,\xi)|}{a(s,x,\xi)}$$

and (2.2), we obtain (4.1).

**Proof of Theorem 2.3.** Let n = 2 and  $\delta(t)$  be an arbitrary smooth nonnegative function. Using the standard identification  $\mathbf{C} \ni u + iv \mapsto (u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^2$  of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with the complex plane, we write

$$x = re^{i\theta}, \quad \xi = \rho e^{i\phi}.$$

Let  $f(\theta)$  be a smooth  $2\pi$  periodic function verifying

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = 1, \quad \sup_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} |f| \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad \sup_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} |f'| \le 1.$$

For example

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\sin(2\theta)$$

satisfies these requirements. We define  $\theta(t)$  and  $\phi(t)$  by the solutions to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\theta}{dt}(t) = 1 + \delta(t), & \theta(0) = \frac{\pi}{2}, \\ \frac{d\phi}{dt}(t) = 1 + \delta(t), & \phi(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\chi(r)$  be a smooth cut-off function such that  $0 \le \chi(r) \le 1$  and

$$\chi(r) \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{near } r = 1, \\ 0 & r \le 1/2 \text{ or } r \ge 2. \end{array} \right.$$

Let us define

$$\sqrt{\tilde{a}(t,r,\theta)} = \chi(r)e^{r-1}(1 + \delta(t) - 2\delta(t)f(\theta - \theta(t))) + 1 - \chi(r)$$

then  $\tilde{a} \in C^{\infty}([0,\infty) \times \mathbf{R}^2)$  and (2.8) holds. Moreover,  $r(t) \equiv 1, \theta(t), \phi(t)$  and  $\rho(t) = \exp(2 \int_0^t \delta(s) ds)$ satisfy the canonical equation with Hamiltonian  $-\sqrt{\tilde{a}}$ :

$$(4.2) \begin{cases} \frac{dr}{dt} = -\sqrt{\tilde{a}}\cos(\theta - \phi), \\ \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \frac{1}{r}\sqrt{\tilde{a}}\sin(\theta - \phi), \\ \frac{d\phi}{dt} = -\frac{\partial\sqrt{\tilde{a}}}{\partial r}\sin(\phi - \theta) + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial\sqrt{\tilde{a}}}{\partial \theta}\cos(\phi - \theta), \\ \frac{d\rho}{dt} = \rho\left(\frac{\partial\sqrt{\tilde{a}}}{\partial r}\cos(\phi - \theta) + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial\sqrt{\tilde{a}}}{\partial \theta}\sin(\phi - \theta)\right). \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$X(t) = r(t)e^{i\theta(t)}, \quad \Xi(t) = \rho(t)e^{i\phi(t)}$$

are solutions to (2.5) and satisfy (2.6). Furthermore, we have

$$\sqrt{\frac{|\Xi(t)|}{|\Xi(0)|}} = \exp\left(\int_0^t \delta(s)ds\right).$$

Thus we can apply Corollary 2.2 and get (2.9).

Next we prove the latter assertion. Let  $\delta(t)$  satisfy (2.10) and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . And let M be a large

integer depending on  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $f(\theta)$  a smooth  $2\pi$  periodic function satisfying

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = 1, \quad \sup_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} |f| \le \frac{1}{M}, \quad \sup_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} |f'| \le 1,$$

for example

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{M}\sin(M\theta).$$

Take  $\theta(t)$ ,  $\phi(t)$  and  $\chi(r)$  defined as above. We define

$$\sqrt{\tilde{a}(t,r,\theta)} = \chi(r)e^{r-1}(\delta(t) - 2\delta(t)f(\theta - \theta(t) + t)) + 1 - \chi(r).$$

Then  $\tilde{a} \in C^{\infty}([0,\infty) \times \mathbf{R}^2)$  and (2.8) holds. Note that we can replace  $(1+\delta(t))$  by  $\delta(t)$  in the condition (2.8). Furthermore, in this case  $r(t) \equiv 1, \theta(t) - t, \phi(t) - t, \rho(t) = \exp(2\int_0^t \delta(s)ds)$  also satisfy (4.2). And then we can apply Corollary 2.2 and get the lower estimate of (2.11). To give the upper estimate of (2.11), it suffices to estimate

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \\ \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n}} \frac{|\partial_t a(t, x, \xi)|}{a(t, x, \xi)}$$

from Proposition 4.1. We first obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{|\partial_t \tilde{a}|}{\tilde{a}} = 2 \frac{|\partial_t \sqrt{\tilde{a}}|}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}}}$$

$$\leq 2 \frac{(1+2/M)|\delta'| + 2\delta^2}{(1-2/M)\delta}$$

$$= 2 \frac{(1+2/M)|\delta'|}{(1-2/M)\delta} + \frac{4}{1-2/M} \delta.$$

By using assumption (2.10), there exists  $T_1 = T_1(M) > 0$  such that for all  $t \ge T_1$ 

$$2\frac{(1+2/M)|\delta'(t)|}{(1-2/M)\delta(t)} \le \frac{1}{M}\delta(t).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{split} \exp &\left( \int_{T_1}^t 2 \frac{(1+2/M)|\delta'(s)|}{(1-2/M)\delta(s)} + \frac{4}{1-2/M} \delta(s) ds \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left( \left( \frac{1}{M} + \frac{4}{1-2/M} \right) \int_{T_1}^t \delta(s) ds \right) \end{split}$$

for all  $t > T_1$ . Therefore we put  $M_1$  so that

$$\frac{1}{M_1} + \frac{4}{1 - 2/M_1} \le 4 + 2\varepsilon,$$

then

$$\|\mathcal{R}(t,T_1)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}\times L^2)} \le A^2 \delta(T_1) \exp\left((2+\varepsilon) \int_{T_1}^t \delta(s) ds\right)$$

for  $\tilde{a}$  defined from M which larger than  $M_1$ . From this and a standard energy inequality we have

$$\|\mathcal{R}(t,0)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}\times L^2)} \le C_0 \exp\left((2+\varepsilon) \int_0^t \delta(s) ds\right)$$

for  $t \ge 0$  with some constant  $C_0 = C_0(\varepsilon, M) > 0$ . Thus we get (2.11).

Finally we prove (2.12). We can easily prove the lower estimate of (2.12) by modifying Lemma 2.1. To prove the upper estimate, following Doi-Nishitani-Ueda [2], we consider a modified energy

$$\tilde{E}(t) = E(t) + \beta(t) \operatorname{Re}(\partial_t u, u) + \gamma(t) ||u||^2.$$

Here  $\beta(t)$  and  $\gamma(t)$  are chosen later. We define  $\alpha(t)$  by the right hand side of (4.3). We obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\,\tilde{E}(t) \le \beta \tilde{E}(t)$$

$$+ (\alpha - 2\beta) \int \tilde{a}|\nabla u|^2 dx$$

$$+ (\beta' + 2\gamma - \beta^2) \operatorname{Re}(\partial_t u, u)$$

$$+ (\gamma' - \beta\gamma)||u||^2.$$

Now we put

$$\beta = \frac{2}{1 - 2/M} \delta, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2} (\beta^2 - \beta')$$

then we have

$$\beta' + 2\gamma - \beta^2 = 0,$$
  
$$\gamma' - \beta\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(3\beta\beta' - \beta'' - \beta^3).$$

We shall estimate E(t) by  $\tilde{E}(t)$ . From the definition of  $\beta(t)$  and the assumption (2.10), there exists  $T_2 = T_2(\varepsilon, M) > 0$ ,  $c_1 > 0$  such that

$$3\beta\beta' - \beta'' - \beta^3 \le 0,$$
  
$$\frac{1}{3}\beta^2 - \beta' \ge c_1$$

for all  $t \ge T_2$ . By using the Schwarz inequality, there is a constant  $c_2 > 0$  such that

$$\tilde{E} \ge \frac{1}{4}E + c_1 ||u||^2 \ge c_2 ||(u, \partial_t u)(t)||^2_{H^1 \times L^2}.$$

In particular, we have

$$E(t) \le 4\tilde{E}(t)$$
.

From this and (4.4) we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\,\tilde{E}(u,t) \leq \bigg(\beta + 8\,\frac{(1+2/M)|\delta'|}{(1-2/M)\delta}\bigg)\tilde{E}(u,t)$$

for all  $t \geq T_2$ . Using the assumption (2.10) again, we get

$$8\frac{(1+2/M)|\delta'(t)|}{(1-2/M)\delta(t)} \le \frac{1}{M}\delta(t)$$

for  $t \geq T_3$  with sufficiently large  $T_3$ . Thus we have

$$\tilde{E}(t) \leq \tilde{E}(T_3) \exp\left(\left(\frac{2}{1 - 2/M} + \frac{1}{M}\right) \int_{T_3}^t \delta(s) ds\right).$$

Now we take  $M_2$  so that

$$\frac{2}{1-2/M_2} + \frac{1}{M_2} \le 2 + 2\varepsilon$$

then for any  $M \geq M_2$  we have

$$\tilde{E}(t) \le \tilde{E}(T_3) \exp\left((2 + 2\varepsilon) \int_{T_3}^t \delta(s) ds\right)$$

while  $t \geq T_3$ . From this and a standard energy estimate, it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{R}(t,0)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1 \times L^2)} \le C_2 \exp\left((1+\varepsilon) \int_0^t \delta(s) ds\right)$$

for all  $t \ge 0$  with some constant  $C_2 = C_2(\varepsilon, M) > 0$ . Thus, we finish the proof.

## References

- [ 1 ] F. Colombini and J. Rauch, Smooth localized parametric resonance for wave equations, J. Reine Angew. Math. **616** (2008), 1–14.
- [2] S. Doi, T. Nishitani and H. Ueda, Note on lower bounds of energy growth for solutions to wave equations, Osaka J. Math. (to appear).
- [3] L. C. Evans and M. Zworski, Lectures on semiclassical analysis, version 0.95. http://math. berkeley.edu/~zworski/semiclassical.pdf
- 4 ] H. Nishiyama, Non uniform decay of the total energy of the dissipative wave equation, Osaka J. Math. **46** (2009), no. 2, 461–477.
- [5] M. Reissig and K. Yagdjian, About the influence of oscillations on Strichartz-type decay estimates, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 58 (2000), no. 3, 375–388.