

“Hasse principle” for $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ and $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$

By Takashi ONO

Department of Mathematics, The Johns Hopkins University, U.S.A.

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Oct. 12, 1998)

1. The S-T set for a group. In [1], we introduced a “Shafarevich-Tate set” $\mathbf{III}_H(\mathfrak{g}, G)$ for any \mathfrak{g} -group G and a family H of subgroups of a group \mathfrak{g} :

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbf{III}_H(\mathfrak{g}, G) = \bigcap_h \text{Ker } r_h, \quad h \in H,$$

where r_h is the restriction map: $H(\mathfrak{g}, G) \rightarrow H(h, G)$ of 1-cohomology sets (with origin). In this paper, we consider exclusively the case where $\mathfrak{g} = G$, acting on itself as inner automorphisms, and $H =$ the family of all cyclic subgroups of G . Hence we have a right to set simply

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{III}(G) = \mathbf{III}_H(G, G).$$

Extending the usage of language in Galois cohomology, we call $\mathbf{III}(G)$ in (1.2) the S-T set of G . Furthermore G will be said to enjoy the Hasse principle, if $\mathbf{III}(G) = 1$. It is easy to verify this for abelian groups, dihedral groups and the quaternion group.

2. Results. In this paper, we shall prove the following

(2.1) **Theorem.** *Let G be either $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ or $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$, p being any prime. Then G enjoys the Hasse principle.*

(2.2) **Corollary.** In view of the well-known isomorphisms $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_2) \cong S_3$, $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_3) \cong A_4$ and $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_5) \cong A_5$, three groups S_3 , A_4 and A_5 enjoy the Hasse principle.

Before proving (2.1), let us gather some basic facts on $G = \text{PSL}_2(A)$ where $A = \mathbf{Z}$ or \mathbf{F}_p . If $M \in \text{SL}_2(A)$, we often use the same symbol M to denote its image in the group $G = \text{PSL}_2(A) = \text{SL}_2(A) / \{\pm 1\}$. Let S, T and U be elements of G defined by

$$(2.1) \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One has:

$$(2.2) \quad U = ST, \quad S^2 = 1, \quad U^3 = 1,$$

$$(2.3) \quad G \text{ is generated by } S \text{ and } T : G = \langle S, T \rangle.$$

$$(2.4) \quad G \text{ is generated by } S \text{ and } U : G = \langle S, U \rangle.$$

3. Proof of (2.1). *Case 1. $A \neq \mathbf{F}_2$.* We use (2.3). Let $[f]$ be an element of $\mathbf{III}(G)$. On replac-

ing the cocycle f by one equivalent to it, we may assume that

$$(3.1) \quad f(S) = 1, \quad f(T) = M^{-1}M^T, \\ M^T = TMT^{-1}, \quad \text{for some } M \in G.$$

From (2.2) it follows that

$$(3.2) \quad 1 = f(U^3) = f(U)f(U)^U f(U)U^2 = (f(U)U)^3 \\ = (Sf(T)T)^3 = (SM^{-1}M^T T)^3 = \\ (SM^{-1}TM)^3.$$

Now set

$$(3.3) \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G.$$

Then, (3.2) is equivalent to

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} c^2 & cd-1 \\ cd+1 & d^2 \end{pmatrix}^3 = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, set

$$(3.5) \quad t = c^2 + d^2.$$

Then, (3.2), (3.4) amount to the following relation

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} c^2t^2 - t - c^2 & (cd-1)(t^2-1) \\ (cd+1)(t^2-1) & d^2t^2 - t - d^2 \end{pmatrix} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We find also that

$$(3.7) \quad f(T) = M^{-1}M^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1+cd & -1-cd+d^2 \\ -c^2 & 1-cd+c^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, to prove (2.1) in Case 1 amounts to find an $X \in G$ so that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} X^{-1}X^S = 1 \\ X^{-1}X^T = f(T). \end{cases}$$

Since $cd \neq 1$ or $cd \neq -1$ in this case, we see from (3.6) that $t = \pm 1$. Put $X = \begin{pmatrix} d & -c \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ if $t = 1$, and $X = \begin{pmatrix} -d & c \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ if $t = -1$. In view of (3.5), (3.7), one verifies immediately that X satisfies (3.8).

Case 2. $A = \mathbf{F}_2$. We use (2.4). Let $[f]$ be an element of $\mathbf{III}(G)$. On replacing the cocycle f by one equivalent to it, we may assume that

$$(3.9) \quad f(S) = 1, \quad f(U) = M^{-1}M^U, \quad M^U = UMU^{-1}, \\ \text{for some } M \in G.$$

In this case, we have

$$(3.10) \quad G = \{U^i, U^iS, 0 \leq i \leq 2\}, \quad \text{with } SU = U^2S.$$

If $M = U^i$, then $f(U) = 1$ and so $f \sim 1$. If $M = U^iS$, then $f(U) = SU^{-i}UU^iSU^{-1} = SUSU^{-1} =$

$U^2SSU^{-1} = U$. Now we see at once that $X = S$ is a solution to

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{cases} X^{-1}X^S = 1 \\ X^{-1}X^U = f(U) = U. \end{cases}$$

Q. E. D.

References

- [1] (a) T. Ono: A note on Shafarevich-Tate sets of finite groups. Proc. Japan Acad., **74A**, 77-79 (1998); (b) T. Ono: On Shafarevich-Tate sets. Proc. The 7th MSJ Int. Res. Inst. Class Field Theory-its centenary and prospect (to appear).