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1. Introduction and result. Consider a map $F: C^{2} \rightarrow C^{2}$ defined by (1)

$$
F:{ }^{t}(x, y) \longmapsto{ }^{t}(y, a x+p(y)),
$$

where $a$ is a nonzero constant and $p(y)$ is a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$. The map $F$ is called a twisted elementary map (Kimura [2]). We denote by $F^{k}$ the $k$-times iteration of $F$. Assume that $z_{0}=^{t}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in C^{2}$ is a periodic point of $F$ of period $k$, i.e. a fixed point of $F^{k}$. Let $J$ be the Jacobian matrix of $F^{k}$ at $z_{0}$. Let $\rho$ be an eigenvalue of $J, v={ }^{t}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{2}$ an eigenvector of $J$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\rho$. The eigenvalue $\rho$ is said to be unstable (resp. stable) if $|\rho|>1$ (resp. if $|\rho|<1$ ).

Definition (Kimura [2]). Suppose that $\rho$ is unstable (resp. stable). A holomorphic map $E: C \rightarrow C^{2}$ is called an unstable (resp. a stable) curve through $z_{0}$ if the following two conditions hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Xi(\rho t) & =F^{k}(\Xi(t)) & & \text { for } t \in C  \tag{2}\\
\Xi(t) & =z_{0}+v t+O\left(t^{2}\right) & & \text { as } t \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

If none of $\rho^{n}(n=2,3,4, \cdots)$ is an eigenvalue of $J$, it is known that there exists an unstable (a stable) curve through $z_{0}$ ([2]). The functional equation (2) is called the Poincaré equation, since Poincaré [3] was the first to consider this type of functional equation (cf. Dixon-Esterle [1]). In this paper we shall establish the following :

Main theorem. Each component of the (un) stable curve $E(t)$ is an entire function of order $\tau$ and of finite type, where $\tau$ is given by

$$
\tau=\frac{\log d}{\left.|\log | \rho\right|^{1 / k} \mid}
$$

Remark. In a special case $k=1$, the result is already shown in [2]. As we shall see below, however, we require much subtler estimates than those in [2] to establish the theorem for $k>1$.
2. Notation. Throughout this paper we employ the following notation.
(a) Let $\boldsymbol{E}_{m}=^{t}\left(\xi_{m}, \eta_{m}\right): C \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{2}$ be holomorphic maps defined recursively by $\Xi_{0}(t)=\boldsymbol{E}(t)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{m}(t)=F\left(\Xi_{m-1}\left(\lambda^{-1} t\right)\right) \quad \text { for } m \in \boldsymbol{Z}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=\rho^{1 / k}$. We put $\xi={ }^{t}\left(\xi_{0}, \cdots, \xi_{k-1}\right)$ and $\eta={ }^{t}\left(\eta_{0}, \cdots, \eta_{k-1}\right)$.
(b) For a $k$-vector $u={ }^{t}\left(u_{0}, \cdots, u_{k-1}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{k}$, we put $\|u\|=\left|u_{0}\right|+\cdots+\left|u_{k-1}\right|$ and $p(u)={ }^{t}\left(p\left(u_{0}\right), \cdots, p\left(u_{k-1}\right)\right)$.
(c) We put for $r>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{m}(r) & =\max _{||t|=r}\left|\xi_{m}(t)\right|+1, & N_{m}(r) & =\max _{|t|=r}\left|\eta_{m}(t)\right|+1, \\
M(r) & =\max _{|t|=r}\|\xi(t)\|, & N(r) & =\max _{|t|=r}\|\eta(t)\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) We denote by $C_{j}$ various positive constants depending only on $a, p(y)$ and $k$.
3. Lemmata. We shall give a proof of Main Theorem only in the unstable case $|\rho|>1$; we can treat the stable case in a similar manner. In order to establish Main Theorem, we shall show the following lemmata successively.

Lemma 1. $\quad \log M_{0}(r), \log N_{0}(r) \leq C_{0} r^{r}+C_{1}$.
Lemma 2. $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \log M_{j}(r) \geq C_{2} r^{r}-C_{3}$.
Lemma 3. $\log M_{0}(r)+\log N_{0}(r) \geq C_{4} r^{r}-C_{5}$.
Lemma 4. $\quad \log M_{0}(r), \log N_{0}(r) \geq C_{6} r^{r}-C_{7}$.
Main Theorem is an easy consequence of Lemma 1 and Lemma 4.
4. Proof of Lemma 1. Put $F^{k}(x, y)={ }^{t}(f(x, y), g(x, y))$. It is easy to see that $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ are polynomials of degree $d^{k-1}$ and $d^{k}$, respectively. Hence we have $1+|f(x, y)|, 1+|g(x, y)| \leq C_{0}(2+|x|+|y|)^{d k}$. Since $\Xi(t)$ is an unstable curve, we have $\xi_{0}(\rho t)=f\left(\xi_{0}(t), \eta_{0}(t)\right)$ and $\eta_{0}(\rho t)=$ $g\left(\xi_{0}(t), \eta_{0}(t)\right.$ ). Substituting these into the above inequality, we obtain $M_{0}(|\rho| r), \quad N_{0}(|\rho| r) \leq C_{0}\left\{M_{0}(r)+N_{0}(r)\right\}^{d k}$. So, letting $S(r)=M_{0}(r)+N_{0}(r)$, we have

$$
S(|\rho| r) \leq \exp \left\{\left(d^{k}-1\right) C_{1}\right\} S(r)^{d^{k}}
$$

We see that $s(r)=\exp \left(C_{2} r^{r}-C_{1}\right)$ satisfies $s(|\rho| r)=\exp \left\{\left(d^{k}-1\right) C_{1}\right\} s(r)^{d^{k}}$. Assume that $C_{2}$ is so large that $S(r) \leq s(r)$ for $1 \leq r \leq|\rho|$. Then it is easy to see that $S(r) \leq s(r)$ for $r \geq 1$. Hence we have $S(r) \leq \exp \left(C_{2} r^{r}+C_{3}\right)$ for $r \geq 0$. This shows that $\log M_{0}(r), \log N_{0}(r) \leq C_{2} r^{r}+C_{3}$, which establishes Lemma 1.
5. Proof of Lemma 2. By (4), $\Xi_{m}(t)$ is an unstable curve through $z_{m}=F^{m}\left(z_{0}\right)$. We see that $\Xi_{k}(t)=\Xi_{0}(t)$. Hence it follows from (4) that $\xi(\lambda t)$ $=A \eta(t)$ and $\eta(\lambda t)=a A \xi(t)+p(A \eta(t))$, where $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is a $k \times k$ permutation matrix defined by $a_{i j}=1$ if $i-j \equiv 1(\bmod k), a_{i j}=0$ otherwise. Eliminating $\eta$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{-1} \xi(\lambda t)=p(\xi(t))+a A \xi\left(\lambda^{-1} t\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p(y)$ is a polynomial of degree $d$, we have $|p(y)| \geq C_{0}|y|^{d}-C_{1}$. Applying this estimate to (5), we obtain
( 6 ) $\quad\|\xi(\lambda t)\| \geq C_{0}\|\xi(t)\|^{d}-\left\|\xi\left(\lambda^{-1} t\right)\right\|-C_{2}$.
Since $\xi_{j}(t)(j=0,1, \cdots, k-1)$ are entire functions not identically zero, $\|\xi(t)\|$ is a subharmonic function. Hence $M(r)$ is monotonically increasing in $r$ and tends to $+\infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Thus (6) implies that $M(|\lambda| r) \geq C_{0} M(r)^{d}-$ $C_{1} M(r)-C_{2}$. If $r$ is sufficiently large, then so is $M(r)$. Thus we may assume that

$$
M(|\lambda| r) \geq \exp \left\{(d-1) C_{3}\right\} M(r)^{d}, \quad M(r) \geq 2 \quad \text { for } r \geq r_{0}
$$

We see that $m(r)=\exp \left(C_{4} r^{r}-C_{3}\right)$ satisfies $m(|\lambda| r)=\exp \left\{(d-1) C_{3}\right\} m(r)^{d}$. Assume that $C_{4}$ is so small that $M(r) \geq m(r)$ for $r_{0} \leq r \leq|\lambda| r_{0}$. We can easily
show that $M(r) \geq m(r)$ for $r \geq r_{0}$. Hence we have
(7)

$$
\log M(r) \geq C_{4} r^{r}-C_{1} .
$$

By the definition of $M(r)$ and $M_{j}(r)$, it is evident that $M(r) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{k-1} M_{j}(r)$. On the other hand, the following inequality holds for $x_{j} \geq 1$,

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \log x_{j} \geq \log \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} x_{j}\right)-k .
$$

Combining these inequalities with (7), we obtain $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \log M_{j}(r) \geq C_{4} r r^{r}-C_{3}$. Lemma 2 is thus established.
6. Proof of Lemma 3. We rewrite (4) as
(8) $\quad \xi_{m}(\lambda t)=\eta_{m-1}(t), \quad \eta_{m}(\lambda t)=p\left(\eta_{m-1}(t)\right)+a \xi_{m-1}(t)$.

Eliminating $\eta$, we obtain $\xi_{m+1}(\lambda t)=p\left(\xi_{m}(t)\right)+a \xi_{m-1}\left(\lambda^{-1} t\right)$. If we put $\theta_{m}(t)=$ $\xi_{m}\left(\lambda^{m} t\right)$, then we have $\theta_{m+1}=p\left(\theta_{m}\right)+a \theta_{m-1}$. It follows that $\left|\theta_{m+1}\right| \leq C_{0}\left|\theta_{m}\right|^{d}+$ $C_{1}\left|\theta_{m-1}\right|+C_{2}$. More loosely, we have
(9) $\quad C_{3}+\left|\theta_{m+1}\right| \leq\left(C_{3}+\left|\theta_{m}\right|\right)^{d}\left(C_{3}+\left|\theta_{m-1}\right|\right)^{d}$.

Let us put $L_{m}(r)=\max _{|t|=r}\left|\theta_{m}(t)\right|$ and $u_{m}(r)=\log \left(C_{3}+L_{m}(r)\right)$. Note that $u_{m}(r)$ is monotonically increasing in $r$ and tends to $+\infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (9) that $u_{m+1} \leq d\left(u_{m}+u_{m-1}\right)$. Let $-\alpha$ and $\beta$ be the roots of the quadratic equation $X^{2}-d X-d=0$ such that $0<\alpha<1$ and $\beta>d$. Then we have $u_{m+1}+\alpha u_{m} \leq \beta\left(u_{m}+u_{m-1}\right)$. Since $0<\alpha<1$ and $M_{m}(r)$ is monotonically increasing, this estimate implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha\left\{\log M_{m+1}(r)+\log M_{m}(r)\right\} \\
& \quad \leq \log \left\{C_{3}+M_{m+1}\left(|\lambda|^{n+1} r\right)\right\}+\alpha \log \left\{C_{3}+M_{m}\left(|\lambda|^{m} r\right)\right\} \\
& \quad=u_{m+1}(r)+\alpha u_{m}(r) \\
& \quad \leq \beta^{m}\left\{u_{1}(r)+\alpha u_{0}(r)\right\} \\
& \quad \leq \beta^{m}\left\{\log \left(C_{3}+M_{1}(|\lambda| r)\right)+\log \left(C_{3}+M_{0}(r)\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that (8) implies $\eta_{0}(t)=\xi_{1}(\lambda t)$ and hence $N_{0}(r)=M_{1}(|\lambda| r)$. Hence the above estimate implies

$$
\log M_{m+1}(r)+\log M_{m}(r) \leq C_{4}\left\{\log M_{0}(r)+\log N_{0}(r)\right\}
$$

for $m=0, \cdots, k-1$. Combining this estimate with Lemma 2, we obtain $\log M_{0}(r)+\log N_{0}(r) \geq C_{5} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \log M_{j}(r) \geq C_{6} r^{\tau}-C_{7} . \quad$ Lemma 3 is thus established.
7. Proof of Lemma 4. We put $F^{k}(x, y)=^{t}(f(x, y), g(x, y))$. In view of the form of the map $F:{ }^{t}(x, y) \mapsto^{t}(y, a x+f(y))$, let us provide a weight $d$ with the variable $x$ and a weight 1 with the variable $y$. Then it is easy to see that $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ are homogeneous of order $d^{k-1}$ and $d^{k}$ with respect to these weights, respectively. Hence we have the following estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
& |f(x, y)| \leq C_{0}\left\{1+|x|^{1 / d}+|x|\right\}^{d^{k-1}},  \tag{10}\\
& |g(x, y)| \leq C_{0}\left\{1+|x|^{1 / d}+|y|\right\}^{d k} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $(\xi(t), \eta(t))$ is an unstable curve, we have $\xi(\rho t)=f(\xi(t), \eta(t))$ and $\eta(\rho t)=$ $g(\xi(t), \eta(t))$. Hence (10) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{0}(|\rho| r) \leq C_{0}\left\{1+M_{0}(r)^{1 / d}+N_{0}(r)\right\}^{d^{k-1}}, \\
& N_{0}(|\rho| r) \leq C_{0}\left\{1+M_{0}(r)^{1 / d}+N_{0}(r)\right\}^{d^{k}} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Put $K(r)=\log M_{0}(r)+\log N_{0}(r)$. Then (11) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(|\rho| r) & \leq\left(d^{k}+d^{k-1}\right) \log \left\{1+M_{0}(r)^{1 / k}+N_{0}(r)\right\}+C_{1} \\
& \leq\left(d^{k}+d^{k-1}\right)\left\{\log M_{0}(r)^{1 / d}+\log N_{0}(r)\right\}+C_{2} \\
& \leq\left(d^{k-1}+d^{k-2}\right) K(r)+(d-1)\left(d^{k-1}+d^{k-2}\right) \log N_{0}(r)+C_{2} \\
& \leq\left(d^{k-1}+d^{k-2}\right) K(r)+C_{3}\left\{\log N_{0}(r)+1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\gamma=d^{k-1}+d^{k-2}$. Since $d \geq 2$, we have $1<\gamma<d^{k}$. Summarizing these estimates, we obtain
(12)

$$
K(|\rho| r) \leq \gamma K(r)+C_{3}\left\{\log N_{0}(r)+1\right\}, \quad 1<\gamma<d^{k} .
$$

Applying (12) repeatedly, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(|\rho|^{m} r\right) \leq \gamma^{m} K(r)+C_{3} \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \gamma^{m-n-1}\left\{\log N_{0}\left(|\rho|^{n} r\right)+1\right\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\leq \gamma^{m} K(r)+C_{4}\left(\gamma^{m}-1\right)\left\{\log N_{0}\left(|\rho|^{m} r\right)+1\right\}
$$

On the other hand, Lemma 1 and Lemma 3 imply $K(r) \leq C_{5} r^{r}+C_{6}$ and $K\left(|\rho|^{n} r\right) \geq C_{7}\left(|\rho|^{m} r\right)^{r}-C_{8}=C_{7}\left(d^{k}\right)^{m} r^{r}-C_{8}$, respectively. Here we used the equality $|\rho|^{r}=d^{k}$ which follows from the definition of $\tau$. Substituting these estimates into (13), we obtain
(14) $\quad C_{4}\left(\gamma^{m}-1\right)\left\{\log N_{0}\left(|\rho|^{m} r\right)+1\right\} \geq\left\{C_{7}\left(d^{k}\right)^{m}-C_{5} \gamma^{m}\right\} r^{r}-\left(C_{8}+\gamma^{m} C_{6}\right)$.

Since $d^{k}>\gamma$ (see (12)), there exists an $m \in N$ such that $C_{7}\left(d^{k}\right)^{m}-C_{5} r^{m}>0$. Choose and fix such an $m$. Then we have $\log N_{0}\left(|\rho|^{n} r\right) \geq C_{9} r^{r}-C_{10}$. Replacing $\gamma$ by $|\rho|^{-m} r$, we obtain
(15)

$$
\log N_{0}(r) \geq C_{11} r^{r}-C_{12}
$$

So far we have made the argument with the unstable curve $\Xi_{0}(t)$ and obtained the estimate (15). If we make the same argument with the unstable curve $\Xi_{-1}(t)$ instead of $\Xi_{0}(t)$, then we obtain an estimate for $N_{-1}(r)$ similar to (15). Notice that (8) implies $\xi_{0}(\lambda t)=\eta_{-1}(t)$ and hence $M_{0}(|\lambda| r)=N_{-1}(r)$. Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log M_{0}(r) \geq C_{13} r^{\tau}-C_{14} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimates (15) and (16) establish Lemma 4.
As is noted in $\S 3$, Main Theorem is an easy consequence of Lemma 1 and Lemma 4.
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