

## 26. The Modulo 2 Homology Group of the Space of Rational Functions

By Yasuhiko KAMIYAMA

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., March 12, 1990)

**§ 1. Introduction.** Let  $\hat{M}_k$  be the moduli space of  $SU(2)$  monopoles associated with Yang-Mills-Higgs and Bogomol'nyi equations. It is shown [1] that  $\hat{M}_k$  is homeomorphic to the space of based holomorphic maps of degree  $k$  from  $S^2$  to  $S^2$ .

More generally we define  $F_k^*(S^2, CP^m)$  to be the space of based holomorphic maps of degree  $k$  from  $S^2$  to  $CP^m$ .

Segal [3] studied the connection between  $F_k^*(S^2, CP^m)$  and  $\Omega_k^2 CP^m$ . The result is as follows

**Theorem 1** [3]. *The inclusion*

$$i: F_k^*(S^2, CP^m) \longrightarrow \Omega_k^2 CP^m$$

*is a homotopy equivalence up to dimension  $k(2m-1)$ , the induced homomorphism  $i_*: \pi_q(F_k^*(S^2, CP^m)) \rightarrow \pi_q(\Omega_k^2 CP^m)$  is bijective for  $q < k(2m-1)$  and surjective for  $q = k(2m-1)$ .*

It is well known [2] that  $\coprod_k \Omega_k^2 CP^m$  has natural loop sum and  $C_2$  structure.

Recently Boyer and Mann [1] introduced loop sum and  $C_2$  structure in  $\coprod_k F_k^*(S^2, CP^m)$  which are compatible with the inclusion  $i$ . Hence we can naturally define the loop sum and the Dyer-Lashof operation  $Q_1$  in  $\bigoplus_k H_*(F_k^*(S^2, CP^m); Z_2)$ .

By using these methods, Boyer and Mann produced certain elements in  $H_*(F_k^*(S^2, CP^m); Z_2)$  some of which have degree greater than  $k(2m-1)$ . (cf. Theorem 1.)

Then the following question arises naturally.

**Question.** Are the elements produced by the loop sum and the Dyer-Lashof operation from  $\iota_{2m-1}$  ( $\iota_{2m-1}$  will be defined later) the basis of  $H_*(F_k^*(S^2, CP^m); Z_2)$ ?

We shall study this question. The results are as follows. We write  $F_k^*$  for  $F_k^*(S^2, CP^1)$ .

**Theorem A.** *The elements produced by the loop sum and the Dyer-Lashof operation from  $\iota_1$  are the basis of  $H_*(F_2^*; Z_2)$ .*

**Theorem B.** *For  $m \geq 2$ , the elements produced by the loop sum and the Dyer-Lashof operation from  $\iota_{2m-1}$  are the basis of  $H_*(F_2^*(S^2, CP^m); Z_2)$ .*

**Theorem C.** *For  $m \geq 2$ , the elements produced by the loop sum and the Dyer-Lashof operation from  $\iota_{2m-1}$  are the basis of  $H_*(F_3^*(S^2, CP^m); Z_2)$ .*

**Theorem D.** *For  $m \geq k+1$ , the elements produced by the loop sum*

and the sum and the Dyer-Lashof operation from  $\iota_{2m-1}$  are the basis of  $H_*(F_k^*(S^2, CP^m); Z_2)$ .

If we regard a function belonging to  $F_k^*$  as a holomorphic function  $f: S^2 \rightarrow S^2$  of degree  $k$  such that  $f(\infty)=1$  then  $F_k^*$  can be described in the following form.

$$F_k^* = \left\{ \frac{p(z)}{q(z)} = \frac{z^k + a_1 z^{k-1} + \cdots + a_k}{z^k + b_1 z^{k-1} + \cdots + b_k}; p(z) \text{ and } q(z) \text{ have no common root.} \right\}$$

Similarly we can assume  $F_k^*(S^2, CP^m)$  as follows.

$F_k^*(S^2, CP^m) = \{[p_0(z), p_1(z), \dots, p_m(z)]; p_i(z) \text{ are monic polynomials of degree } k \text{ such that there exists no } \alpha \in C \text{ which satisfies } p_0(\alpha)=0, p_1(\alpha)=0, \dots, p_m(\alpha)=0.\}$

Then it is clear that  $F_k^*(S^2, CP^m)$  is homotopically equivalent to  $S^{2m-1}$ .

Before proving our results, we review the results of [1]. As for  $H_*(\Omega^2 CP^m; Z_2)$ , the following is well known.

**Proposition 2** [2].

$$H_*(\Omega^2 CP^m; Z_2) = Z_2[\tilde{\iota}_{2m-1}, Q_{I_1}(\tilde{\iota}_{2m-1})] \otimes Z_2[Z]$$

where  $Q_{I_1}(\tilde{\iota}_{2m-1}) = Q_1 \cdots Q_1(\tilde{\iota}_{2m-1})$  ( $I_1$  has length 1 and 1 is an any element of  $N$ ) and  $\tilde{\iota}_{2m-1}$  is the mod 2 reduction of the generator of  $H_{2m-1}(\Omega^2 CP^m; Z) = \pi_{2m-1}(\Omega^2 CP^m) = Z$ .

Let  $\iota_{2m-1}$  be the generator of  $H_{2m-1}(F_k^*(S^2, CP^m); Z_2) = Z_2$ . By operating the loop sum and  $Q_{I_1}$  to  $\iota_{2m-1}$ , we obtain elements in  $H_*(F_k^*(S^2, CP^m); Z_2)$ . Then by using Proposition 2, we can easily prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\xi$  be an element of  $H_q(\Omega^2 CP^m; Z_2)$  for  $q \leq k(2m-1)$ , then we can construct an element  $\zeta$  of  $H_q(F_k^*(S^2, CP^m); Z_2)$  by the loop sum and the Dyer-Lashof operation from  $\iota_{2m-1}$  such that  $i_* \zeta = \xi$ .

In § 2 we shall prove Theorem A and in § 3 we shall prove Theorem D in the case  $k=3$ . The proof of Theorems B, C and Theorem D in the case  $k \geq 4$  are omitted.

**§ 2. Proof of Theorem A.** In the following, all cohomology group and compact support cohomology group are assumed to be modulo 2 coefficients.

We define  $R: F_2^* \rightarrow C^\times$  as follows. Let  $p(z)/q(z)$  be an element of  $F_2^*$  and let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  be the roots of  $p(z)$ ,  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  be the roots of  $q(z)$ . Then  $R(p(z)/q(z))$  is defined by  $\prod_{i,j} (\alpha_i - \beta_j)$ . Let  $Y_2$  be  $R^{-1}(1)$ . Then it is shown in [3] that  $R: F_2^* \rightarrow C^\times$  is a fiber bundle with simply connected fiber  $Y_2$ .

First we shall compute  $H^*(Y_2)$ . We define the closed subspace  $Y_1$  of  $Y_2$  as follows.

$$Y_1 = \left\{ \frac{p(z)}{q(z)} \in Y_2; q(z) \text{ has a multiple root.} \right\}$$

Because of the exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_c^q(Y_2 - Y_1) \longrightarrow H_c^q(Y_2) \longrightarrow H_c^q(Y_1) \longrightarrow H_c^{q+1}(Y_2 - Y_1) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

it will be enough to compute  $H_c^*(Y_2 - Y_1)$  and  $H_c^*(Y_1)$ . Here  $H_c^*$  denotes the compact support cohomology.

**Assertion 1.**  $Y_1$  is homeomorphic to  $C^2 \coprod C^2$ .

Let  $\tilde{C}_2$  be the space of ordered distinct 2-tuples in  $C$ . We think of  $C^\times$  as  $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in (C^\times)^2; \xi_1\xi_2=1\}$ .

**Assertion 2.**  $Y_2 - Y_1$  is the quotient of  $C^\times \times \tilde{C}_2$  by a free action of the symmetric group  $\Sigma_2$ .

Now by using the compact support cohomology exact sequence and the Poincaré duality, we see

$$H^q(Y_2) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2 & q=0, 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By using the Serre spectral sequence of the above fiber bundle, we can prove Theorem A.

**§ 3. Proof of Theorem D in the case  $k=3$ .** We write  $X_3$  for  $F_3^*(S^2, CP^m)$ . To prove Theorem D in the case  $k=3$ , it will be enough to determine  $H_c^q(X_3)$  for  $q \leq 9$  by Theorem 1 and Proposition 3. We define the closed subspace  $X_2$  of  $X_3$  and the closed subspace  $X_1$  of  $X_2$  as follows.

$$X_2 = \{[p_0(z), \dots, p_m(z)]; p_0(z) \text{ has a multiple root.}\}$$

$$X_1 = \{[p_0(z), \dots, p_m(z)]; p_0(z) \text{ has a triple root.}\}$$

**Assertion 1.**  $X_1$  is homotopically equivalent to  $S^{2m-1}$ .

**Assertion 2.**  $X_2 - X_1$  is homotopically equivalent to  $(S^{2m-1})^2 \times S^1$ .

By using the compact support cohomology exact sequence of the pair of spaces  $(X_2, X_1)$ , we see  $H_c^q(X_2) = 0$  for  $q \leq 9$ .

Let  $\tilde{C}_3$  be the space of ordered distinct 3-tuples in  $C$ .

**Assertion 3.**  $X_3 - X_2$  is homotopically equivalent to  $(S^{2m-1})^3 \times_{\Sigma_3} \tilde{C}_3$ .

$$\text{Assertion 4. } H^q((S^{2m-1})^3 \times_{\Sigma_3} \tilde{C}_3) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2 & q=6m-3, 6m-2 \\ 0 & q \geq 6m-1. \end{cases}$$

Assertion 4 is proved by using the Serre spectral sequence of the following fiber bundle and the fact [2]  $H^*(\tilde{C}_3 / \Sigma_3) = H^*(S^1)$ .

$$(S^{2m-1})^3 \longrightarrow (S^{2m-1})^3 \times_{\Sigma_3} \tilde{C}_3 \longrightarrow \tilde{C}_3 / \Sigma_3.$$

Theorem D in the case  $k=3$  can be deduced from the compact support cohomology exact sequence of the pair of spaces  $(X_3, X_2)$ .

## References

- [1] C. P. Boyer and B. M. Mann: Monopoles, non-linear  $\sigma$ -models, and two-fold loop spaces. Commun. Math. Phys., 115, 571–594 (1988).
- [2] F. R. Cohen, T. J. Lada, and J. P. May: The homology of iterated loop spaces. Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 533, Springer, Berlin (1976).
- [3] G. Segal: The topology of rational functions. Acta Math., 143, 39–72 (1979).

