

### 83. The Set of Primes Bounded by the Minkowski Constant of a Number Field

By Makoto ISHIBASHI<sup>\*)</sup>

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1990)

Let  $k$  be an algebraic number field with degree  $m=r_1+2r_2\geq 2$  and discriminant  $d_k$ , where  $(r_1, r_2)$  denotes the signature of  $k$ . Write  $M_k=(4/\pi)^{r_2}(m!/m^m)\sqrt{|d_k|}$  (the Minkowski constant of  $k$ ) and  $M(k)=\{p; \text{rational prime and } p\leq M_k\}$ . For every prime number  $p$ , let  $p O_k=P_1^{e_1}\cdots P_g^{e_g}$  be the decomposition into prime ideals of  $O_k$  (where  $O_k$  denotes the ring of integers in  $k$ ,  $P_i\neq P_j$  ( $i\neq j$ ) are distinct prime ideals of  $O_k$ ). In general, the prime number  $p$  is not necessarily irreducible element in  $O_k$ . Let  $\text{Irr}(O_k)$  be the set of all irreducible elements in  $O_k$ . Now we define nine subsets  $A_0(k), A_1(k), \dots, A_8(k)$  of  $M(k)$  as follows.

$$A_0(k)=\{p\in M(k); g=e_1=1 \text{ (i.e. } p \text{ remains prime in } O_k, \text{ so } p\in \text{Irr}(O_k)\}$$

$$A_1(k)=\{p\in M(k); g=1, e_1=m \text{ (i.e. } p \text{ is fully ramified), } p\in \text{Irr}(O_k)\}$$

$$A_2(k)=\{p\in M(k); e_1+\cdots+e_g\leq m, 1\leq e_j \text{ for some } j, p\in \text{Irr}(O_k)\}$$

$$A_3(k)=\{p\in M(k); g=m, e_1=\cdots=e_g=1 \text{ (i.e. } p \text{ splits completely),}$$

$$p\in \text{Irr}(O_k)\}$$

$$A_4(k)=\{p\in M(k); g\leq m, e_1=\cdots=e_g=1 \text{ (i.e. } p \text{ is unramified), } p\in \text{Irr}(O_k)\}$$

$$A_5(k)=\{p\in M(k); g=1, e_1=m \text{ (i.e. } p \text{ is fully ramified), } p\notin \text{Irr}(O_k)\}$$

$$A_6(k)=\{p\in M(k); e_1+\cdots+e_g\leq m, 1\leq e_j \text{ for some } j, p\notin \text{Irr}(O_k)\}$$

$$A_7(k)=\{p\in M(k); g=m, e_1=\cdots=e_g=1 \text{ (i.e. } p \text{ splits completely),}$$

$$p\notin \text{Irr}(O_k)\}$$

$$A_8(k)=\{p\in M(k); g\leq m, e_1=\cdots=e_g=1 \text{ (i.e. } p \text{ is unramified), } p\notin \text{Irr}(O_k)\}.$$

Then we have  $M(k)=A_0(k)\cup A_1(k)\cup\cdots\cup A_8(k)$  (disjoint union). In case  $m=2$ , the subsets  $A_2(k), A_4(k), A_6(k), A_8(k)$  are of course empty.

The following three theorems are variations on the theme of T. Ono [2].

**Theorem 1.** *If  $M(k)=A_0(k)$ , then the class number  $h_k$  of  $k$  is one.*

*Proof.* By the Minkowski lemma, the ideal class group  $H_k$  of  $k$  is generated by the classes of prime ideals over  $p\in M(k)$ . Hence we have  $h_k=1$ . Q.E.D.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $aO_k=Q_1\cdots Q_n$  be the decomposition into prime ideals ( $Q_1, \dots, Q_n$  are not necessarily distinct,  $a\in O_k$ ). Suppose that  $Q_i$  belongs to an ideal class  $x_i\in H_k$  ( $1\leq i\leq n$ ) and  $x_0$  denotes the principal class of  $H_k$ . Then  $a$  is an irreducible element in  $O_k$  if and only if  $x_{i_1}\cdots x_{i_m}\neq x_0$  for every proper subset  $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .*

*Proof.* See Lemma 1.2 in Czogala [1]. Q.E.D.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $\#(A_1(k)\cup A_3(k))\geq 1$ , then  $h_k\geq m=(k:\mathbb{Q})$ .*

---

<sup>\*)</sup> 1-27-10 Kitahara-cho, Tanashi-shi, Tokyo 188.

*Proof.* Assume that  $p \in M(k) \cap \text{Irr}(O_k)$  and  $pO_k = P_1^{e_1} \cdots P_g^{e_g}$ . By Lemma 1, the ideals  $P_1, P_1^2, \dots, P_1^{e_1}, P_1^{e_1}P_2, \dots, P_1^{e_1}P_2^{e_2}, \dots, P_1^{e_1}P_2^{e_2} \cdots P_{g-1}^{e_{g-1}}P_g, \dots, P_1^{e_1}P_2^{e_2} \cdots P_{g-1}^{e_{g-1}}P_g^{e_g}$  are non-equivalent. Hence we have  $e_1 + \cdots + e_g \leq h_k$ . Therefore,  $p \in A_1(k) \cup A_s(k)$  implies  $e_1 + \cdots + e_g = m$ . This completes our proof.

Q.E.D.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $V_m$  be the family of all algebraic number fields  $k$  with a fixed degree  $m$  and  $M_k \geq 3$ . For each  $k \in V_m$ , write  $d_k = (-1)^{r_2} p_{p_1}^{e_1} \cdots p_{s(k)}^{e_{s(k)}} p_{s(k)+b_1}^{f_1} \cdots p_{s(k)+b_{t(k)}}^{f_{t(k)}}$ , where  $p_j$  denotes  $j$ -th rational prime ( $j=1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $p_{s(k)} \leq M_k < p_{s(k)+1}$  ( $b_1 < \cdots < b_{t(k)}$ ). Suppose that  $W_m = \{k \in V_m; e_{s(k)-1} \geq 1 \text{ and } e_{s(k)} \geq 1\}$ . Then  $W_m$  is a finite set.*

*Proof.* From Tschebysheff's theorem (i.e.  $p_{j+1} < 2p_j$ ) and  $p_s + 2b \leq p_{s+b}$  ( $b \geq 1, s \geq 2$ ), it follows that

$$(m! / m^m)^2 p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_{s(k)}^{e_{s(k)}} (p_{s(k)} + 2b_1)^{f_1} \cdots (p_{s(k)} + 2b_{t(k)})^{f_{t(k)}} < 4p_{s(k)}^2.$$

Hence we have

$$p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_{s(k)-2}^{e_{s(k)-2}} p_{s(k)-1}^{e_{s(k)-1}-1} p_{s(k)}^{e_{s(k)}-1} (p_{s(k)} + 2b_1)^{f_1} \cdots (p_{s(k)} + 2b_{t(k)})^{f_{t(k)}} < 8m^{2m} / (m!)^2.$$

Thus  $s(k), t(k), e_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq s(k)$ ),  $f_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq t(k)$ ),  $b_1, \dots, b_{t(k)}$  are bounded. Therefore, the absolute values of  $d_k$  ( $k \in W_m$ ) are bounded from above by a positive constant (independent of  $k$ , and only dependent on  $m$ ). Since there exist only finitely many number fields with a fixed given discriminant, we know that  $W_m$  is a finite set.

Q.E.D.

### References

- [1] Czogala, A.: Arithmetical characterization of algebraic number fields with small class number. *Math. Zeit.*, **176**, 247-253 (1981).
- [2] Ono, T.: A problem on quadratic fields. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **64A**, 78-79 (1988).