## 43. q-analogue of de Rham Cohomology Associated with Jackson Integrals. I

By Kazuhiko A0M0T0 Department of Mathematics, Nagoya University

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1990)

In this note we want to give a new formulation of Jackson integrals involved in basic hypergeometric functions through the classical Barnes' representations. We define a q-analogue of de Rham cohomology which can be formulated by means of q-version of Sato's b-functions and derive associated holonomic q-difference system. The evaluation of its multiplicity will be given as a number of different asymptotics.

1. Structure of b-functions. We take the elliptic modulus  $q=e^{2\pi i\tau}$ , Im  $\tau>0$ . Let X be an n dimensional integer lattice  $\simeq Z^n$ . We put  $\overline{X}=X\otimes C^*$ , the n dimensional algebraic torus twisted by q. Let  $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_n$  be a basis of X such that an arbitrary  $\chi \in X$  can be uniquely written by  $\chi=\sum_{j=1}^n \nu_j \chi_j, \nu_j \in Z$ . We may identify  $\overline{X}$  isomorphic to  $X\otimes (C/(2\pi i/\log q))$  with the direct product of n pieces of  $C^*$ . The inclusion  $X\subset \overline{X}$  can be obtained by identifying  $\chi_j$  with the element  $t=(1, \dots, 1, q, 1, \dots, 1) \in (C^*)^n$ . We denote by  $Q_j$  the shift operator  $Q_j f(t)=f(\chi_j \cdot t)$  induced by the displacement  $t \rightarrow \chi_j \cdot t$  for a function f on  $\overline{X}$ . We put  $Q^{\chi}=Q_1^{\nu_1}\cdots Q_n^{\nu_n}$ . We consider the q-difference equations

(1.1)  $Q^{\chi} \boldsymbol{\Phi}(t) = b_{\chi}(t) \boldsymbol{\Phi}(t), \quad \chi \in X \text{ and } t \in \overline{X},$ 

for a set of rational functions  $\{b_x(t)\}_{x \in X}$ , on  $\overline{X}$ , which are not identically zero.  $\{b_x(t)\}_{x \in X}$  satisfies the compatibility condition

(1.2)  $b_{\chi+\chi'}(t) = b_{\chi}(t) \cdot Q^{\chi} b_{\chi'}(t),$ 

so that  $\{b_{\mathfrak{x}}(t)\}_{\mathfrak{x}\in\mathfrak{x}}$  defines a 1-cocycle on X with values in  $R^{\times}(\overline{X})$  the multiplicative abelian group consisting of non-zero rational functions on  $\overline{X}$ . We denote by  $R(\overline{X})$  the field of rational functions on  $\overline{X}$ .  $\{b_{\mathfrak{x}}(t)\}_{\mathfrak{x}\in\mathfrak{x}}$  is a coboundary if and only if  $b_{\mathfrak{x}}(t) = Q^{\mathfrak{x}}\varphi(t)/\varphi(t)$  for  $\varphi \in R^{\times}(\overline{X})$ . We write the corresponding 1-cohomology by  $H^1(X, R^{\times}(\overline{X}))$ .

We put  $(x)_{\infty} = \prod_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (1-xq^{\nu})$  and  $(x)_n = (x)_{\infty}/(xq^n)_{\infty}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the following important result holds.

**Proposition.** An arbitrary cocycle  $\{b_{\mathfrak{x}}(t)\}_{\mathfrak{x}\in\mathfrak{X}}$  modulo a coboundary can be expressed by (1.1), where  $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$  denotes a q-multiplicative function on  $\overline{X}$  written by

(1.3) 
$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} t_j^{\alpha_j} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{(a'_j t^{\mu_j})_{\infty}}{(a_j t^{\mu_j})_{\infty}}$$

for some non-negative integer m and  $\alpha_j$ ,  $a'_j$ ,  $a_j \in C$ , and for  $\mu_j \in \check{X} = \operatorname{Hom}(X, Z)$ . Z).  $t^{\mu_j}$  denotes a monomial  $t_1^{\mu_j(\chi_1)} \cdots t_n^{\mu_j(\chi_n)}$ .  $a_j$  or  $a'_j$  may vanish or may not. This is a q-version of Sato's theorem in [6] and can be proved in a completely similar way (see the appendix in [6]).

We shall assume from now on that any of  $a_j$  and  $a'_j$  don't vanish. If we replace  $\mu_j$ ,  $a_j = q^{s_j}$  and  $a'_j = q^{s'_j}$  by  $-\mu_j$ ,  $qa'_j^{-1}$  and  $qa_j^{-1}$  respectively in the factors of  $\Phi$ , then

(1.4) 
$$T_{j} \Phi = t^{(s_{j} - s'_{j})\mu_{j}} \frac{(qa_{j}^{-1}t^{-\mu_{j}})_{\infty}(a_{j}t^{\mu_{j}})_{\infty}}{(a'_{j}t^{\mu_{j}})_{\infty}(q^{-1}a'_{j}^{-1}t^{-\mu_{j}})_{\infty}} \Phi$$

also satisfies the same equation (1.1) and may replace  $\Phi$  if necessary.

It is convenient to write  $\mu_{-j} = -\mu_j$ ,  $a'_{-j} = qa_j^{-1}$ ,  $a_{-j} = qa_j^{-1}$  for  $j \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm m\}$ . We also put  $u_j = q^{\alpha_j}$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{L} = C[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n, t_n^{-1}]$  the Laurent polynomial ring in t. The function  $b_{\chi}(t)$  can be expressed by  $u^{\chi}(b_{\chi}^+(t)/b_{\chi}^-(t))$  for  $u^{\chi} = u_1^{\mu_1} \cdots u_n^{\mu_n}$ and  $b_{\chi}^{\pm}(t) \in \mathcal{L}$ , where  $b_{\chi}^{\pm}(t)$  denote

(1.5)  $b_{\chi}^{+}(t) = \prod_{\mu_{j}(\chi)>0}^{\mu} (a_{j}t^{\mu_{j}})_{\mu_{j}(\chi)} \cdot \prod_{\mu_{j}(\chi)<0}^{\mu} (a_{j}'q^{\mu_{j}(\chi)}t^{\mu_{j}})_{-\mu_{j}(\chi)},$ (1.6)  $b_{\chi}^{-}(t) = \prod_{\mu_{j}(\chi)>0}^{\mu} (a_{j}'t^{\mu_{j}})_{\mu_{j}(\chi)} \cdot \prod_{\mu_{j}(\chi)<0}^{\mu} (a_{j}'q^{\mu_{j}(\chi)}t^{\mu_{j}})_{-\mu_{j}(\chi)},$ respectively.

2. Jackson integral and q-analogue of de Rham cohomology. We denote by  $\varpi = d_q t_1 / t_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d_q t_n / t_n$  the canonical invariant *n*-form on  $\overline{X}$ . We consider the Jackson integral  $\tilde{f} = \int_{X \cdot \xi} f \varpi$  for a function f on  $\overline{X}$  over an orbit  $X \cdot \xi$ ,  $\xi \in \overline{X}$  as follows:

(2.1) 
$$\tilde{f} = (1-q)^n \sum_{x \in x} Q^x f(\xi),$$

if it is summable. We denote by  $\langle \varphi \rangle$  the Jackson integral  $\widetilde{\varphi \varphi}$ . Then by definition, we have the equality  $\tilde{f} = \widetilde{Q^{z} f}$  which is independent of the choice of the point  $\xi$ . If  $f = \Phi \varphi$ ,  $\varphi \in R(\overline{X})$ , then

(2.2)  $\langle \varphi - b_{\chi} \cdot Q^{\chi} \varphi \rangle = 0, \quad \chi \in X.$ In particular,

(2.3)

 $\langle \varphi - b_{x_j} \cdot Q_j \varphi \rangle = 0, \qquad 1 \leq j \leq n.$ 

Definition 1. The operators  $\nabla_j = 1 - b_{x_j}Q_j$ ,  $1 \le j \le n$ , define a covariant q-differenciation  $\nabla$  on  $\overline{X}$ . They commute each other:

(2.4)  $\nabla_j \nabla_k = \nabla_k \nabla_j$ ,  $1 \le j$ ,  $k \le n$ , because of the compatibility condition for  $\{b_z(t)\}_{z \in x}$ . It should be noted that this gives a *q*-analogue version of ordinary integrable covariant differentiations investigated in [4] (see also [1]).

Definition 2. We denote by  $Q_{u_j}^{\pm 1} = \tilde{Q}_j^{\pm 1}$ ,  $Q_{a_j}^{\pm 1}$  and  $Q_{a_j}^{\pm 1}$  the operators for a function of  $u_j$ ,  $a_j$  and  $a'_j$  induced by the displacements  $u_j \rightarrow u_j q^{\pm 1}$ ,  $a_j \rightarrow a_j q^{\pm 1}$  and  $a'_j \rightarrow a'_j q^{\pm 1}$  respectively, i.e.  $Q_{u_j}^{\pm 1} \Phi = t_j^{\pm 1} \Phi$ ,  $1 \le j \le n$ ;  $Q_{a_j} \Phi = (1 - a_j t^{\mu_j}) \Phi$ ,  $Q_{a'_j} \Phi = (1 - a'_j t^{\mu_j})^{-1} \Phi$ ,  $Q_{a'_j} \Phi = (1 - a'_j t^{\mu_j})^{-1} \Phi$ ,  $1 \le j \le m$  respectively.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the commutative algebra over C of operators generated by  $Q_{a_j}^{\pm 1}, Q_{a_j}^{\pm 1}$  and  $Q_{a'_j}^{\pm 1}$ . We define the subspace V of  $R(\overline{X})$  as follows:

(2.5)  $V = \{A \boldsymbol{\Phi} / \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}.$ 

Then the space  $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \cdot V$  is left invariant under  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover V is invariant under the covariant q-differenciation  $\nabla^{\chi}$ ,  $\chi \in X$ . V contains  $\mathcal{L}$ . It is actually spanned by the rational functions  $\varphi$  q-analogue of de Rham Cohomology

(2.6) 
$$\varphi = \frac{\overline{\varphi}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m} (a'_j t^{\mu_j})_{l'_j} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m} (a_j q^{-i_j} t^{\mu_j})_{l_j}}, \quad \overline{\varphi} \in \mathcal{L},$$

for  $l_j \ge 0$  and  $l'_j \ge 0$ . The space  $\Phi \cdot V$  is left invariant under the covariant q-differenciation  $V^{z}$ . This suggests us to define the following Koszul complex:

Definition 3. (q-analogue of de Rham complex). We put  $\Omega = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \Omega^{r}$ , for  $\Omega^{r} = \wedge^{r} \check{X} \otimes V$ . Let  $e_{1}, \dots, e_{n}$  be a basis of  $\check{X}$  and  $e_{i_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{r}}$  be a basis of  $\wedge^{r} \check{X}$ . An arbitrary element of  $\Omega^{r}$  can be represented by  $\{\varphi_{i_{1}\dots i_{r}}\}_{i_{1} < \dots < i_{r}}$ through  $(e_{i_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{r}}) \otimes \varphi_{i_{1}\dots i_{r}} \in \Omega^{r}$ ,  $\varphi_{i_{1}\dots i_{r}} \in V$ . The boundary operation from  $\Omega^{r}$  into  $\Omega^{r+1}$  is given by

(2.7)  $(\nabla \varphi)_{i_1, \dots, i_{r+1}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{\nu-1} \nabla_{i_\nu} \varphi_{i_1, \dots, i_{\nu-1}, i_{\nu+1}, \dots, i_{r+1}}.$ 

Then we have  $\nabla^2 = 0$ , because of (2.4). Hence we can define its cohomology  $H^*(\Omega, \nabla) = \sum_{r=0}^n H^r(\Omega, \nabla)$ . In particular we have the *n*-th cohomology  $H^n(\Omega, \nabla)$  which is isomorphic to

(2.8)  $V / \sum_{j=1}^{n} (1 - b_{x_j} Q_j) V = V / \sum_{x \in x} (1 - b_x Q^x) V.$ 

It is important to note that  $\langle \varphi \rangle$  vanishes for  $\varphi \in \nabla \Omega^{n-1}$  by (2.2).

Under these circumstances we may pose the following questions:

Q 1. Is dim  $H^*(\Omega, \nabla) < \infty$ ?

Q 2. What is the dual of  $H^*(\Omega, \nabla)$ ? Is it constructed in a geometric way as a family of countable sets in  $\overline{X}$ ? If they exist, we may call them *q*-cycles.

Q 3. Do  $H^r(\Omega^{\bullet}, \nabla)$  vanish for all r < n?

Q 4. What is the Euler number  $\sum_{r=0}^{n} (-1)^r \dim H^r(\Omega, \nabla)$ ?

Under suitable assumptions one may conjecture that it is equal to  $(-1)^n \kappa$ , for

(2.9)  $\kappa = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n} [\mu_{i_1}, \cdots, \mu_{i_n}]^2,$ 

where  $[\mu_{i_1}, \dots, \mu_{i_n}]$  denotes the determinant det  $(\mu_{i_r}(\chi_s))_{1 \leq r,s \leq n}$  of the  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  th elements among  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$ .

If Q3 and Q4 are affirmative, then dim  $H^n(\Omega, \nabla) = \kappa$ .

3. Holonomic q-difference equations. We fix a generic  $\eta \in X$ .  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is quasi-meromorphic in  $u \in (C^*)^n$  and satisfies the system of linear q-difference equations  $(\mathcal{E})$ :

(3.1)  $(b_{\tilde{\chi}}^{-}(\tilde{Q})u^{-\chi}-b_{\tilde{\chi}}^{+}(\tilde{Q}))\tilde{\Phi}=0, \quad \text{for } \chi \in X.$ 

This is equivalent to the subsystem  $(\mathcal{E}^*)$ :

(3.2)  $(b_{\chi}^{-}(\tilde{Q})u^{-\chi}-b_{\chi}^{+}(\tilde{Q}))\tilde{\Phi}=0, \quad \text{for } \chi \in X,$ such that  $(\eta, \chi) > 0.$ 

If  $ilde{\Phi}$  has an asymptotic behaviour

(3.3) 
$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \sim u_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots u_n^{\lambda_n} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \right),$$

for  $\alpha = \eta N + \alpha'$ ,  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ , then  $q^{\lambda}$  must satisfy

(3.4)  $b_{\chi}(q^{\lambda-\chi})=0$ , for each  $\chi$  such that  $(\eta, \chi)>0$ .

Assume that all the zeros of (3.4) are isolated in  $\overline{X}$  and X-inequivalent to each other. Then their number equals  $\kappa$  and there exist  $\kappa$  asymptotic

163

No. 7]

solutions of  $(\mathcal{E})$ . These are given by the Jackson integrals  $\tilde{\varphi}$  over certain q-cycles containing each  $q^{\lambda}$ .

The system ( $\mathcal{E}$ ) consists of an infinite number of equations which contain redundant ones of the form (3.1). We can reduce them by using the following

**Lemma.** Fix  $\chi'$  and  $\chi'' \in X$ . Assume  $\mu_i(\chi')\mu_i(\chi'') > 0$  for all j. Then an arbitrary quasi-meromorphic function f of  $u \in \check{X} \otimes C^*$  satisfying (3.1) with  $\chi = \chi'$  and  $\chi''$  satisfies (3.1) too with  $\chi = \chi' + \chi''$ .

Indeed then  $b_{x+x'}^{\pm}(t) = b_{x}^{\pm}(t) \cdot Q^{x} b_{x'}^{\pm}(t)$ .

Our problem is intimately related to the torus embeddings (see [5]). Let F be a fan divided by hyperplanes  $H_j: \mu_j(\omega) = 0, \ \omega \in X_R$  for  $X_R = X \otimes R$ . F consists of rational polyhedral cones  $\sigma$  given by the connected components of the complement  $X_{\mathbf{R}} - \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} H_{j}$ . It is known that F corresponds to a torus embedding  $T_{emb}(F)$  which is a compactification of the algebraic torus  $\overline{X}$ . There exists a fan  $F^*$  which is a simplicial subdivision of F such that each cone composing  $F^*$  is generated by a basis of X. It is known that the torus embedding  $T_{emb}(F^*)$  gives a desingularization of  $T_{emb}(F)$  and vice versa. We denote by Y the set of corner elements generating rational polyhedral cones in  $F^*$ . Then  $(\mathcal{E}^*)$  are equivalent to the system of a finite number of q-difference equations  $(\mathcal{E}_{Y}^{+})$ :

 $(b_{x}^{-}(\tilde{Q})u^{-x}-b_{x}^{+}(\tilde{Q}))\tilde{\Phi}=0,$ (3.5)

for  $\chi \in Y$  such that  $(\eta, \chi) > 0$ . Then we have

**Theorem.**  $\tilde{\Psi}$  satisfies the system of q-difference equations  $(\mathcal{E}_{Y}^{+})$ .  $(\mathcal{E}_{Y}^{+})$ has  $\kappa$  linearly independent solutions which have asymptotic behaviours (3.3) satisfying (3.4) in a generic direction  $\eta \in X$ . These solutions are given by the Jackson integrals over  $\kappa$  q-cycles containing q<sup>3</sup> satisfying (3.4).

In the second part, under more restrictive conditions, we shall construct such  $\kappa$  q-cycles and show that dim  $H^n(\Omega, V)$  equals  $\kappa$ , by using the notions of q-analogue of stable cycles, Newton polyhedra and torus embeddings.

Acknowledgements. We are indebted to Profs. T. Oda and M. Oka for valuable suggestions and communications.

## References

- K. Aomoto: Les équations aux différences linéares et les intégrales des fonctions multiformes. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 22, 271-297 (1975).
  —: A note on holonomic q-difference systems. Algebraic Analysis. I (eds. M. Kashiwara and T. Kawai). pp. 25-28 (1988).
  [3] —: Finiteness of a cohomology associated with certain Jackson integrals (to appear in Tohoku J. of Math.).
  [4] P. Deligne: Equations différentielles à points réguliers singuliers. Lect. Notes in Math., no. 163, Springer (1970).
  [5] T. Oda: Convex bodies and algebraic geometry. An introduction to the theory of toric varieties. Ergebnisse der Math., Springer (1988).
  [6] M. Sato: Theory of prehomogeneous vector spaces, Algebraic part (The English version translation of Sato's lecture from Shintani's note, translated by M. Muro) (to appear in Nagoya J. Math.).
  [7] L. J. Slater: Generalized Hypergeometric Functions. Cambridge Univ. Press. (1966).