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In the present note, we introduce two notions, i.e. finite type of inclusion relation of von Neumann algebras and indicial derivative. The former is a generalization of index finite type and entropy finite type. The latter is a substitute of the index initiated by V. Jones [3] and extended by H. Kosaki [6]. The aim of the present note is to report that the indicial derivative produces both of the index and Pimsner-Popa's entropy [7].

1. Let $M \supset N$ be a pair of von Neumann algebras on a Hilbert space $H$. The representation space $H$ is assumed to be separable throughout the present note. For the pair $M \supset N$, let $P(M, N)$ denote the set of all faithful normal semifinite $N$-valued weights on $M$. Moreover, set $P_{1}(M, N)=$ $\left\{E \in P(M, N): \sigma_{t}^{E}=i d\right\}$ and $E_{1}(M, N)=\left\{E \in P_{1}(M, N): E(1)=1\right\} . \quad P(M, C)$ [resp. $E_{1}(M, C)$ ] is often denoted by $P(M)$ [resp. $E_{1}(M)$. For each $E \in$ $P(M, N)$, let $E^{c}$ denote the restriction of $E$ to $N^{\prime} \cap M$ and let $E^{-1}$ denote the Haagerup correspondent of $E$, uniquely determined by the equation of spatial derivative $\Delta((\varphi \circ E) / \psi)=\Delta\left(\varphi /\left(\psi \circ E^{-1}\right)\right)$ for $\varphi \in P(N)$ and $\psi \in P\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. For more details, refer to [1], [2].

Lemma 1. Let $M \supset N$ be as above. Then, there exists $E \in E_{1}(M, N)$ with $\left(E^{-1}\right)^{c} \in P_{1}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M, Z(M)\right)$ if and only if $E_{1}(M, N) \neq \varnothing$ and $E_{1}\left(N^{\prime}, M^{\prime}\right) \neq \varnothing$.

When a pair $M \supset N$ of von Neumann algebras satisfies the conditions in Lemma 1, we say that the inclusion relation $R(M, N)$ is of finite type. Let $E T(M, N)$ denote the set of all pairs $(E, \tau)$ where $E \in E_{1}(M, N)$ and $\tau \in$ $E_{1}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)$ such that $\tau \circ E^{c}=\tau$. Then, if $R(M, N)$ is of finite type, $E T(M, N)$ $\neq \varnothing$, and for each $(E, \tau) \in E T(M, N)$, one can take $E^{\prime} \in E_{1}\left(N^{\prime}, M^{\prime}\right)$, uniquely determined by the condition that $\tau \circ\left(E^{\prime}\right)^{c}=\tau$ and we call it standard correspondent of $E$ w.r.t. $\tau$. In this case, a generalized Pedersen-Takesaki's derivative $d E^{-1} / d E^{\prime}$ is well defined by $d E^{-1} / d E^{\prime}=d\left(\varphi \circ E^{-1}\right) / d\left(\varphi \circ E^{\prime}\right)$ for $\varphi \in P\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ because the derivative $d\left(\varphi \circ E^{-1}\right) / d\left(\varphi \circ E^{\prime}\right)$ does not depend on the choice of $\varphi \in P\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Since this derivative $d E^{-1} / d E^{\prime}$ is determined for $(E, \tau) \in$ $E T(M, N)$, we denote it by $I_{r}^{E}(M \mid N)$ and we call it indicial derivative of $E$ w.r.t. $\tau$.

Lemma 2. Let $M \supset N$ be a pair of von Neumann algebras such that $R(M, N)$ is of finite type. Then, for $(E, \tau) \in E T(M, N)$, the indicial derivative $I_{\tau}^{E}(M \mid N)$ is a positive selfadjoint operator affiliated with the center $Z\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)$ of $N^{\prime} \cap M$ such that $I_{\tau}^{E}(M \mid N)=d\left(\tau \circ\left(E^{-1}\right)^{c}\right) / d \tau \geq 1$.
2. For a pair $M \supset N$ of von Neumann algebras and $E \in E_{1}(M, N)$,
index $E=E^{-1}(1)$ is defined as an extended positive element of $Z(M)$, according to Kosaki's definition [6]. We note that, if $Z\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)=C, E_{1}(M, N)$ consists of a unique element $E_{0}$ and index $E_{0}=I_{\tau}^{E_{0}}(M \mid N)\left(\tau=E_{0}^{c}\right)$, which we denote by [ $M: N$ ] according to Jones' notation. For a pair of finite von Neumann algebras with $\tau \in E_{1}(M)$, the relative entropy $H_{\tau}(M \mid N)$ has been defined by Pimsner-Popa [7], associated with $E \in E_{1}(M, N)$ such that $\tau \circ E=\tau$. They have obtained a remarkable result: $H_{\tau}(M \mid N)=\log [M: N]$ for a pair $M \supset N$ of type $I I_{1}$ factors with $Z\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)=C$. The next theorem is a generalization of this result.

Theorem 3. (i) Let $M \supset N$ be a pair of von Neumann algebras. If there exists $E \in E_{1}(M, N)$ such that index $E \in Z(M)^{+}$, then, $R(M, N)$ is of finite type. If $R(M, N)$ is of finite type, then, for $(E, \tau) \in E T(M, N)$, index $E=E^{\prime}\left(I_{\tau}^{E}(M \mid N)\right)$ where $E^{\prime}$ is the standard correspondent of $E$ w.r.t. $\tau$.
(ii) Let $M \supset N$ be a pair of von Neumann algebras of type $I I_{1}$. If $H_{\tau}(M \mid N)<+\infty$ for some $\tau \in E_{1}(M), R(M, N)$ is of finite type. If $R(M, N)$ is of finite type, then, for $(E, \tau) \in E T(M, N), H_{\tau}(M \mid N)=\tau\left(\log I_{\tau}^{E}(M \mid N)\right)$.

We note that it often occurs that index $E=\infty \cdot 1$ or $H_{\tau}(M \mid N)=+\infty$ even if $R(M, N)$ is of finite type. Theorem 3 is of use in these cases too and we observe from this theorem that the indicial derivative $I_{\tau}^{E}(M \mid N)$ works as a kind of index of $E \in E_{1}(M, N)$ which contains more informations than the original index or entropy. Moreover, several formulas on index and entropy immediately follow from those of indicial derivative. As a remarkable application, some answers can be afforded to the following problem: When does the equality $H_{\tau}(M \mid N)=H_{\tau}(M \mid L)+H_{\imath}(L \mid N)$ hold true for an algebra $L$ such that $M \supset L \supset N$ ?

Corollary 4. Let $M \supset N$ be a pair of von Neumann algebras of type $I I_{1}$ with $\tau \in E_{1}(M)$.
(i) For such a von Neumann algebra $L$ that $M \supset L \supset N$, the followings are equivalent in the case that $H_{z}(M \mid N)<+\infty$.
(a) $H_{z}(M \mid N)=H_{\tau}(M \mid L)+H_{z}(L \mid N)$.
(b) $E^{\prime}=E_{1}^{\prime} \circ E_{2}^{\prime}$ for $E \in E_{1}(M, N), E_{1} \in E_{1}(M, L)$ and $E_{2} \in E_{1}(L, N)$, all of which are determined by keeping the trace $\tau$ fixed.
(c) $\sigma_{t}^{\varphi \circ E^{\prime}}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=L^{\prime}$ for some $\varphi \in P\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ and $E \in E_{1}(M, N)$ such that $\tau \circ E$ $=\tau$.
(ii) If the algebra $L$ is given by $M \cap A^{\prime}$ or $N \vee A$ for a subalgebra $A$ in $N^{\prime} \cap M, H_{\tau}(M \mid N)=H_{\tau}(M \mid L)+H_{\tau}(L \mid N)$ holds true.

The statement (ii) of Theorem 3 leads us to define an abstract entropy $K_{\tau}^{E}(M \mid N)=\tau\left(\log d\left(\tau \circ E^{-1}\right) / d \tau\right)$ in a sense of extended operator calculus. The proof of the statement (ii) has been done by checking the equality $H_{z}(M \mid N)$ $=K_{r}^{E}(M \mid N)$ in each step of the reduction ([4], [5]). Its intrinsic proof will be expected.
3. We shall describe some fundamental properties on finiteness of $R(M, N)$ and so on.

Proposition 5. Let $M \supset N$ be a pair of von Neumann algebras.
(i) The finiteness of $R(M, N)$ does not depend on the representation space.
(ii) $R(M, N)$ is of finite type if and only if so is $R\left(N^{\prime}, M^{\prime}\right)$. If this is the case, for $(E, \tau) \in E T(M, N), \quad I_{\tau}^{E}(M \mid N)=I_{\tau}^{E^{\prime}}\left(N^{\prime} \mid M^{\prime}\right)$ and $K_{\tau}^{E}(M \mid N)=$ $K_{\tau}^{E^{\prime}}\left(N^{\prime} \mid M^{\prime}\right)$.
(iii) When either $M$ or $N$ is a factor, $R(M, N)$ is of finite type if and only if $N^{\prime} \cap M$ is atomic and $\left[M_{p}: N_{p}\right]<+\infty$ for all atoms $p \in Z\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)$.
(iv) If $R(M, N)$ is of finite type, $N^{\prime} \cap M$ must be a type I algebra of finite type.

Proposition 6. (i) Let $M \supset N$ be a pair of von Neumann algebras such that $N^{\prime} \cap M$ is atomic and let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}\left[\right.$ resp. $\left.\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right]$ denote the set of all atoms of $Z(M)[r e s p . Z(N)]$. Then, we obtain, for $(E, \tau) \in E T(M, N)$,

$$
I_{\tau}^{E}(M \mid N)=\sum_{i, j}\left(\tau\left(e_{i}\right) \tau\left(f_{j}\right) / \tau\left(e_{i} f_{j}\right)^{2}\right) I_{\tau i j}^{E_{i j}}\left(M_{e_{i} f_{j}} \mid N_{e_{i} f_{j}}\right) e_{i} f_{j}
$$

where ( $i, j$ ) runs over $e_{i} f_{j} \neq 0, \tau_{i j}$ is the reduced normalized trace of $\tau$, and $E_{i j} \in E_{1}\left(M_{e_{i} f_{j}} \mid N_{e_{i} f_{j}}\right)$ is given by $\tau_{i j} \circ E_{i j}^{c}=\tau_{i j}$.
(ii) Let $M \supset N$ be a pair of factor-subfactor such that $N^{\prime} \cap M$ is atomic and let $\left\{p_{k}\right\}_{k \in K}$ denote the set of all atoms of $Z\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)$. Then, we obtain, for $(E, \tau) \in E T(M, N)$,

$$
I_{\tau}^{E}(M \mid N)=\sum_{k \in K}\left(\left[M_{p_{k}}: N_{p_{k}}\right] / \tau\left(p_{k}\right)^{2}\right) p_{k}
$$

Corollary 7. Under the same situations as the above (i), (ii) respectively, we get the following formulas.
(i) index $E=\sum_{i, j}\left(\left(\tau\left(f_{j}\right) / \tau\left(e_{i} f_{j}\right)\right)\right.$ index $\left.E_{i j}\right) e_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\tau}^{E}(M \mid N)= & \sum_{i, j}\left\{\tau\left(e_{i} f_{j}\right) K_{\tau_{i j}}^{E_{i j}}\left(M_{e_{i} f_{j}} \mid N_{e_{i} f_{j}}\right)+2 \eta\left(\tau\left(e_{i} f_{j}\right)\right)\right\} \\
& -\sum_{i} \eta\left(\tau\left(e_{i}\right)\right)-\sum_{j} \eta\left(\tau\left(f_{j}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta(t)=-t \log t$ for $t>0$.
(ii) index $E=\sum_{k}\left(\left[M_{p_{k}}: N_{p_{k}}\right] / \tau\left(p_{k}\right)\right)$

$$
K_{\tau}^{E}(M \mid N)=\sum_{k}^{\kappa}\left\{\tau\left(p_{k}\right) \log \left[M_{p_{k}}: N_{p_{k}}\right]+2 \eta\left(\tau\left(p_{k}\right)\right)\right\}
$$

We remark that the equality (ii) on index $E$ is a well-known local index formula as described in [3], [6] and the equality (ii) on $K_{r}^{E}(M \mid N)$ is the same formula as that on $H_{\tau}(M \mid N)$. See Theorem 4.4 in [7].

The details of the present note will appear elsewhere.
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