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In the previous paper [4], we have studied the asymptotic behaviors of the following semilinear elliptic equation defined on the singularly perturbed domain $\Omega(\zeta)$ with the Neumann boundary condition where $\Omega(\zeta)$ $=D_{1} \cup D_{2} \cup Q(\zeta)$ and the moving portion $Q(\zeta)$ approaches a line segment $L$ as $\zeta \rightarrow 0$.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta v+f(v)=0 & \text { in } \Omega(\zeta)  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega(\zeta),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Delta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial^{2} / \partial x_{i}^{2}$ is the Laplacian and $\nu$ is the unit normal vector on $\partial \Omega(\zeta)$ and $f$ is a real valued smooth function on $\boldsymbol{R}$. We have proved in [4] that any solution $v_{\xi}$ for small $\zeta>0$, is approximated by some triple of solutions ( $w_{1}, w_{2}, V$ ) of the following system of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{lc}
\Delta w_{i}+f\left(w_{i}\right)=0 & \text { in } D_{i}, \\
\partial w_{i} / \partial \nu=0 & \text { on } \partial D_{i}, \\
(i=1,2) \\
\left(d^{2} V / d z^{2}+f(V)=0\right. & z \in L, \\
\left.V\right|_{\partial D_{i} \cap \partial L}=\left.w_{i}\right|_{\partial D_{i} \cap \partial L} & (i=1,2),
\end{array}\right. \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z$ is an adequate variable along $L$. In view of the above characterization of the solutions (1.1) the following inverse problem naturally arise, i.e. for any given triple of solutions $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, V\right\}$ of the system of the equations (1.2) and (1.3), is there a family of functions $\left\{v_{\zeta}\right\}_{0<\zeta<\zeta_{*}}$ such that each $v_{\xi} \in$ $C^{\infty}(\Omega(\zeta))$ is a solution of (1.1) and satisfies the following asymptotic conditions,

$$
v_{\zeta} \sim w_{i} \text { in } D_{i}(i=1,2), \quad v_{\zeta} \sim V \text { in } Q(\zeta)
$$

for small $\zeta>0$ in some sense.
In this paper we report an affirmative answer to the above problem under some non-degeneracy condition of $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, V\right\}$.

First we establish the situation. We set the domain $\Omega(\zeta)$ in the following form :

$$
\Omega(\zeta)=D_{1} \cup D_{2} \cup Q(\zeta)
$$

where $D_{i}(i=1,2)$ and $Q(\zeta)$ are defined in the following conditions (A.1) and (A.2) where $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n-1}$.
(A.1) $\quad D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are bounded domains in $R^{n}$ where $\bar{D}_{1} \cap \bar{D}_{2}=\varnothing$ and each $D_{i}$ has a smooth boundary $\partial D_{i}$ and the following conditions hold for some positive constant $\zeta_{*}>0$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{D}_{1} \cap\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}\left|x_{1} \leqq 1,\left|x^{\prime}\right|<3 \zeta_{*}\right\}\right. \\
=\left\{\left(1, x^{\prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n} \| x^{\prime} \mid<3 \zeta_{*}\right\} \\
\bar{D}_{2} \cap\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}\left|x_{1} \geqq-1,\left|x^{\prime}\right|<3 \zeta_{*}\right\}\right. \\
=\left\{\left(-1, x^{\prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}| | x^{\prime} \mid<3 \zeta_{*}\right\} \\
Q(\zeta)=R_{1}(\zeta) \cup R_{2}(\zeta) \cup \Gamma(\zeta)  \tag{A.2}\\
R_{1}(\zeta)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}\left|1-2 \zeta<x_{1} \leqq 1,\left|x^{\prime}\right|<\zeta \rho\left(\left(x_{1}-1\right) / \zeta\right)\right\}\right. \\
R_{2}(\zeta)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}\left|-1 \leqq x_{1}<-1+2 \zeta,\left|x^{\prime}\right|<\zeta \rho\left(\left(-1-x_{1}\right) / \zeta\right)\right\}\right. \\
\Gamma(\zeta)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}\left|-1+2 \zeta \leqq x_{1} \leqq 1-2 \zeta,\left|x^{\prime}\right|<\zeta\right\}\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\rho \in C^{0}((-2,0]) \cap C^{\infty}((-2,0))$ is a positive function such that $\rho(0)=2$, $\rho(s)=1$ for $s \in(-2,-1), d \rho / d s>0$ for $s \in(-1,0)$ and the inverse function $\rho^{-1}:(1,2) \rightarrow(-1,0)$ satisfies $\lim _{\xi, 2-0}\left(d^{k} \rho^{-1} / d \xi^{k}\right)=0$ holds for any positive integer $k \geqq 1$. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{1} & =(1,0, \cdots, 0), \quad p_{2}=(-1,0, \cdots, 0), \\
L & =\left\{(z, 0, \cdots, 0) \in R^{n} \mid-1<z<1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We impose the following conditions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in C^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{R}), \quad \limsup _{\xi \rightarrow+\infty} f(\xi)<0, \quad \liminf _{\xi \rightarrow-\infty} f(\xi)>0 \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A.4) There exists a system of solutions $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, V\right\}$ in

$$
C^{\infty}\left(\bar{D}_{1}\right) \times C^{\infty}\left(\bar{D}_{2}\right) \times C^{\infty}([-1,1]) \text { of (1.2) and (1.3) }
$$

Definition. For the above solutions $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, V\right\}$ in (A.4), we denote by $\left\{w_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, respectively, the system of the eigenvalues arranged in increasing order (counting multiplicity) of the following eigenvalue problems (1.4) and (1.5),

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta \phi+f^{\prime}(w) \phi+\omega \phi=0 & \text { in } D_{1} \cup D_{2}  \tag{1.4}\\
\partial \phi / \partial \nu=0 & \text { on } \partial D_{1} \cup \partial D_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
w(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
w_{1}(x) & \text { for } x \in D_{1}, \\
w_{2}(x) & \text { for } x \in D_{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{d^{2} S}{d z^{2}}+f^{\prime}(V) S+\lambda S=0 & -1<z<1, \\
S(1)=S(-1)=0
\end{array}\right. \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

We assume the following non-degeneracy condition of $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, V\right\}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\omega_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \cap\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}=\varnothing, \quad\left\{\omega_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \cup\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \nexists 0 \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem. Assume $n \geqq 3$ and the assumptions (A.1)-(A.5). Then, for any $\zeta \in\left(0, \zeta_{*}\right)$, there exists a solution $v_{\zeta}$ of (1.1) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\zeta \rightarrow 0} \sup _{x \in D_{1} \cup D_{2}}\left|v_{\zeta}(x)-w(x)\right|=0,  \tag{1.6}\\
& \lim _{\zeta \rightarrow 0} \sup _{x \in Q(\zeta)}\left|v_{\zeta}\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right)-V\left(x_{1}\right)\right|=0 . \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Sketch of proof. In the proof of Theorem, the results and methods obtained in [4] and [5] are essentially applied, especially in our delicate reduction of (1.1) to the problem of finite dimension. By these methods, we can construct an approximate solution $A_{\zeta} \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega(\zeta))}$ such that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\lim _{\zeta \rightarrow 0} \sup _{x \in D_{1} \cup D_{2}}\left|A_{\zeta}(x)-w(x)\right|=0  \tag{2.1}\\
\lim _{\zeta \rightarrow 0} \sup _{x \in Q(\zeta)}\left|A_{\zeta}\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right)-V\left(x_{1}\right)\right|=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\lim _{\zeta \rightarrow 0} \sup _{x \in \Omega(\zeta)}\left|\Delta A_{\zeta}(x)+f\left(A_{\zeta}(x)\right)\right|=0\right.  \tag{2.2}\\
& \partial A_{\zeta}(x) / \partial \nu=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega(\zeta) .
\end{align*}
$$

We project (1.1) to the subspace of $H^{1}(\Omega(\zeta))$ by using the eigenfunctions of the linearized problem at $A_{\zeta}$.

Let $\left\{\mu_{k}(\zeta)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{\Phi_{k, r}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be, respectively, the eigenvalues (counting multiplicity) arranged in increasing order and the complete system of orthonormalized eigenfunctions in $L^{2}(\Omega(\zeta))$. By [5], we have the following decompositions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\mu_{k}(\zeta)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}=\left\{\omega_{k}(\zeta)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \cup\left\{\lambda_{k}(\zeta)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \left\{\Phi_{k, \zeta}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}=\left\{\phi_{k, \xi}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \cup\left\{\psi_{k, \zeta}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\lim _{\zeta \rightarrow 0} \omega_{k}(\zeta)=\omega_{k}, \quad \lim _{\zeta \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{k}(\zeta)=\lambda_{k} \quad(k \geqq 1)
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\varlimsup_{\zeta \rightarrow 0}\left\|\phi_{k, \zeta}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega(\zeta))}<+\infty\right. & (k \geqq 1)  \tag{2.5}\\
\lim _{\zeta \rightarrow 0}\left\|\psi_{k, \zeta}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega(\zeta))}=+\infty &  \tag{2.6}\\
\left\|\psi_{k^{\prime}, \zeta}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega(\zeta))} \sim O\left(\zeta^{-(n-1) / 2}\right) & (k \geqq 1),  \tag{2.7}\\
\left\|\psi_{k, \zeta}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega(5))} \sim O\left(\zeta^{(n-1) / 2}\right) & (k \geqq 1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
X(\zeta)=H^{1}(\Omega(\zeta)), \quad X_{1}(\zeta)=\text { L.h. }\left[\left\{\phi_{k, r}\right\}_{k=1}^{q} \cup\left\{\psi_{k, r}\right\}_{k=1}^{q}\right]
$$

and

$$
X_{2}(\zeta)=\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{~h} \cdot\left[\left\{\phi_{k,}\right\}_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \cup\left\{\psi_{k, \zeta}\right\}_{k=q+1}^{\infty}\right]^{\ln X(\zeta)}
$$

where $q$ is a adequately fixed large natural number determined by $f$. We seek the solution in the form

$$
v(x)=A_{\zeta}(x)+\Phi_{\zeta}^{(1)}+\Phi_{\zeta}^{(2)} \quad \text { where } \quad \Phi_{\zeta}^{(i)} \in X_{i}(\zeta) \quad(i=1,2) .
$$

Project (1.1) to the subspaces $X_{1}(\zeta)$ and $X_{2}(\zeta)$ by the following operator $P_{\zeta}$ on $L^{2}(\Omega(\zeta))$,

$$
P_{\zeta} \Phi(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{q}\left(\left(\Phi \cdot \phi_{k, \zeta}\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega(\zeta))} \phi_{k, \zeta}(x)+\left(\Phi \cdot \psi_{k, \zeta}\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega(\xi))} \psi_{k, \zeta}(x)\right) .
$$

The difficulty of the reduction is due to the existence of the singularly behaving eigenfunctions $\left\{\psi_{k, 5}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ (cf. (2.5)) which are associated with the partial collapse of $\Omega(\zeta)$. By the elaborate estimate (2.6) and (2.7), the operator $P_{\zeta}$ maps $L^{\infty}(\Omega(\zeta))$ into $L^{\infty}(\Omega(\zeta))$ and its operator norm is bounded in $\zeta>0$. Thus we can carry a good formulation in $L^{\circ}(\Omega(\zeta))$, i.e. we can obtain the finite dimensional equation with respect to the variable $\tau=$ ( $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \cdots, \tau_{2 q}$ ) by putting

$$
\Phi_{\tau, \zeta}^{(1)}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{q}\left(\tau_{k} \phi_{k, \zeta}(x)+\tau_{q+k} \bar{\psi}_{k, \zeta}(x)\right)
$$

where $\bar{\psi}_{k, \zeta}(x)=\psi_{k, \zeta}(x) /\left\|\psi_{k, \zeta}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega(\zeta))}$.
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