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A capital letter means a bounded linear opeartor on a Hilbert space. An operator $T$ is said to be positive in case $(T x, x) \geqq 0$ for every $x$ in a Hilbert space.

What functions preserve the ordering of positive operators?
In other words, what must $f$ satisfy so that

$$
A \geqq B \geqq 0 \quad \text { implies } \quad f(A) \geqq f(B) ?
$$

A function $f$ is said to be operator monotone if $f$ satisfies the property stated above. This problem was first studied by K. Löwner, who had given a complete description of operator monotone functions. Also he had shown the following result in [7].

Theorem A. If $A$ and $B$ are bounded positive operators on a Hilbert space such that $A \geqq B \geqq 0$, then $A^{\alpha} \geqq B^{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha$ in the interval $[0,1]$.

This theorem had been also shown by E. Heinz [4] and also T. Kato [5] had given a shorter proof. Recently two simple proofs have been shown by Au-Yeung [1] and Man Kam Kwong [6]. An elegant and simple proof based on $C^{*}$-algebra theory of Theorem A has been shown in [8].

Nevertheless it is well known that $A \geqq B \geqq 0$ does not always assure $A^{2} \geqq B^{2}$ in general. We know almost no knowledge except both commutative case and operator monotone function case.

The purpose of this paper is to announce early "order preserving inequalities" on $A$ and $B$ in case $A \geqq B \geqq 0$, that is, we have found two order preserving functions $f(X)$ and $g(Y)$ under suitable and agreeable additional conditions. We explain these functions in Remark 1 and also these conditions in Remark 3.

Theorem 1. If $A \geqq B \geqq 0$, then for each $r \geqq 0$

## (i)

$\left(B^{r} A^{p} B^{r}\right)^{1 / q} \geqq B^{(p+2 r) / q}$
and
(ii)

$$
A^{(p+2 r) / q} \geqq\left(A^{r} B^{p} A^{r}\right)^{1 / q}
$$

hold for each $p$ and $q$ such that $p \geqq 0, q \geqq 1$ and $(1+2 r) q \geqq p+2 r$.
Corollary 1. If $A \geqq B \geqq 0$, then for each $r \geqq 0$
(i)
$\left(B^{r} A^{p} B^{r}\right)^{(1+2 r) /(p+2 r)} \geqq B^{1+2 r}$
and
(ii) $\quad A^{1+2 r} \geqq\left(A^{r} B^{p} A^{r}\right)^{(1+2 r) /(p+2 r)}$
hold for each $p \geqq 1$.
Corollary 2. If $A \geqq B \geqq 0$, then for each $r \geqq 0$
(i)
$\left(B^{r} A^{p} B^{r}\right)^{1 / p} \geqq B^{(p+2 r) / p}$
and
(ii)

$$
A^{(p+2 r) / p} \geqq\left(A^{r} B^{p} A^{r}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

hold for each $p \geqq 1$.
Remark 1. We consider two operator functions $f$ and $g$ depending on $A$ and $B$ such that

$$
f(X)=\left(B^{r} X B^{r}\right)^{1 / q} \quad \text { and } \quad g(Y)=\left(A^{r} Y A^{r}\right)^{1 / q}
$$

In general, $A^{p} \geqq B^{p}$ does not always hold for any $p>1$ even if $A \geqq B \geqq 0$. But Theorem 1 asserts that this order holds in this function, that is, hypothesis $A \geqq B \geqq 0$ assures

$$
f\left(A^{p}\right) \geqq f\left(B^{p}\right)
$$

namely

$$
\left(B^{r} A^{p} B^{r}\right)^{1 / q} \geqq\left(B^{r} B^{p} B^{r}\right)^{1 / q}=B^{(p+2 r) / q}
$$

and also

$$
g\left(A^{p}\right) \geqq g\left(B^{p}\right)
$$

namely

$$
A^{(p+2 r) / q}=\left(A^{r} A^{p} A^{r}\right)^{1 / q} \geqq\left(A^{r} B^{p} A^{r}\right)^{1 / q}
$$

hold under the conditions in Theorem 1.
Recently, F. Hansen [3] has given an ingenious and elegant proof to the following inequality by using a unitary dilation of a contraction.

Theorem B. Let $X$ and $Y$ be bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space. We suppose that $X \geqq 0$ and $\|Y\| \leqq 1$. If $f$ is an operator monotone function defined on $[0, \infty[$, then

$$
f\left(Y^{*} X Y\right) \geqq Y^{*} f(X) Y
$$

By using Theorems A and B, we can give a simplified proof of Theorem

1. Proof of Theorem 1 will appear elsewhere together with related counterexamples without conditions in Theorem 1 by Acos 850 computer at the Information Processing Center in Hirosaki University.

Remark 2. Theorem 1 yields the famous Theorem $A$ when we put $r=0$ in Theorem 1. Put $p \geqq 1$ and $(1+2 r) q=p+2 r$ in Theorem 1, then we have Corollary 1. Also put $p=q$ in Theorem 1, then we have Corollary 2. Also Corollary 2 implies that $A \geqq B \geqq 0$ assures $\left(B A^{p} B\right)^{1 / p} \geqq B^{(p+2) / p}$ for each $p \geqq 1$ and this inequality for $p=0$ in matrix case is just an affirmative answer to a conjecture posed by Chan and Kwong [2] Moreover we show more stronger result than this conjecture by using Theorem 1.

Remark 3. We would like to explain the conditions in Theorem 1. For some given $r \geqq 0$, any point ( $p, q$ ) satisfying the conditions $p \geqq 0, q \geqq 1$ and $(1+2 r) q \geqq p+2 r$ in Theorem 1 lies in the domain surrounded by the oblique lines in Figure and Theorem 1 holds for this point ( $p, q$ ). Roughly speaking, Theorem 1 would hold for almost all point ( $p, q$ ) with $p \geqq 0$ and $q \geqq 1$ attending $r$ to infinity.

Also Theorem 1 holds for any point ( $p, q$ ) satisfying the conditions $q \geqq 1$ and $q \geqq p \geqq 0$ for the restricted $r=0$, this result is just Theorem A.

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to his colleague
M. Futatsuya for guiding him to control the Acos 850 computer.


Figure
In [9] the operator equation $T H T=K$ had been considered as a useful tool for noncommutative Radon-Nikodym theorem. As an application of Theorem 1, we have Theorem 2 closely related to this operator equation $T H T=K$.

Theorem 2. Let $H$ and $K$ be positive operators and assume that $H$ is nonsingular. If there exists the positive operator $T$ such that $T\left(H^{1 / n} T\right)^{n}$ $=K$ for some natural number $n$, then there exists the positive operator $T_{1}$ such that $T_{1}\left(H^{1 / m} T_{1}\right)^{m}=K$ for any natural number $m$ such that $m \leqq n$. In each case, there is at most one positive solution $T$ and $T_{1}$ respectively.

## References

[1] Y. H. Au-Yeung: Some inequalities for the rational power of a nonnegative definite matrix. Linear Algebra Appl., 7, 347-350 (1973).
[2] N. N. Chan and Man Kam Kwong: Hermitian matrix inequalities and a conjecture. American Mathematical Monthly, 92, 533-541 (1985).
[3] F. Hansen: An operator inequality. Math. Ann., 246, 249-250 (1980).
[4] E. Heinz: Beitröge zur Störungsteorie der Spektralzerlegung. ibid., 123, 415538 (1951).
[5] T. Kato: Notes on some inequalities for linear operators. ibid., 125, 208-212 (1952).
[6] Man Kam Kwong: Inequalities for the powers of nonnegative Hermitian operators. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 51, 401-406 (1975).
[7] K. Löwner: Über monotone matrixfunctionen. Math. Z., 38, 177-216 (1934).
[8] G. K. Pedersen: Some operator monotone function. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 36, 309-310 (1972).
[9] G. K. Pedersen and M. Takesaki: The operator equation $T H T=K$. ibid., 36, 311312 (1972).

